

Dorothy Menasco

From: Jeff Bates
Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2013 11:22 AM
To: Dorothy Menasco
Cc: Mark Long; YANT, ROBYN (rh0582@att.com)
Subject: RE: Docket No. 130156-TP - AT&T/Priority wireless Communication, Inc.

I'm not aware of a number.

Yes, Priority Wireless should be identified as a party of record.

Robyn at AT&T, do you have contact information for Priority?

From: Dorothy Menasco
Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2013 11:20 AM
To: Jeff Bates
Subject: RE: Docket No. 130156-TP - AT&T/Priority wireless Communication, Inc.

Hi Jeff,

We will make the requested changes per our conversation and this e-mail.

Also, is there a phone/fax number for Priority Wireless that you would like us to include in the parties information?

Finally, please confirm that Priority Wireless should be identified as a party of record rather than interested persons?

From: Jeff Bates
Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2013 10:53 AM
To: Dorothy Menasco
Cc: Mark Long; YANT, ROBYN (rh0582@att.com)
Subject: Docket No. 130156-TP - AT&T/Priority ireless Communication, Inc.

Dorothy, per our conversation, the docket title for this amendment should be:

"Request for approval of amendment to the one-way interconnection (paging) agreement between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Florida d/b/a AT&T Southeast and Priority Wireless Communications, Inc. f/k/a ABC Paging City Beepers, Inc."

In addition, the utility name listing should include the AT&T entity and the Priority Wireless Communications, Inc. entity. ABC Paging City Beepers, Inc. can be listed if you want to include the former name, but I don't believe that's necessary.

The registered agent and principal address for the wireless company is:

Marlene Diaz
9337 SW 40 St.
Miami, FL 33165

Robyn/AT&T – please submit a corrected transmittal letter for this amendment that indicates it is an amendment to the “one-way (paging) agreement”, versus “interconnection, unbundling, resale, and collocation agreement” as soon as possible.

Jeff