## FILED FEB 27, 2015 DOCUMENT NO. 01173-15 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

000001

		000001	
1		BEFORE THE	
2	F.TOKID	A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
3	IN RE: APPLICATION FOR INCREASE IN WATER/WASTEWATER RATES IN PASCO COUNTY BY LABRADOR DOCKET NO. 140135-WS		
4			
5	UTILITIES, INC.	/	
6			
7			
8	PROCEEDINGS:	CUSTOMER MEETING	
9	COMMISSION STAFF PARTICIPATING:	ADAM IITIT	
10		PAUL VICKERY	
11		PENELOPE BUYS DICK DURBIN	
12	DATE:	Wednesday, February 11, 2015	
13	TIME:	Commenced at 6:00 p.m. Concluded at 7:25 p.m.	
14	PLACE:	-	
15		Forest Lake Estates Community Clubhouse	
16		6429 Forest Lake Drive Zephyrhills, Florida	
17	TRANSCRIBED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

## PROCEEDINGS

2.0

MR. HILL: Excuse me. Good evening. Good evening, ladies and gentlemen, and welcome to Docket Number 140135-WS, the application for Labrador Utilities, Incorporated, increase in rates.

(Boos from audience.)

MR. VICKERY: Please maintain your decorum.

MR. HILL: I'd like to acknowledge and thank the representatives from the co-op and the homeowners association for attending today, in addition to Labrador Utilities has representatives here. And is OPC here at all? Okay. Thank you. Can everybody hear me all right in the back?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No. No.

MR. HILL: All right. I'll try not to blow out anybody's ears in the front. Sorry about that. So my name is Adam Hill with the Florida Public Service Commission, Division of Engineering. With me is Mr. Paul Vickery, Ms. Penelope Buys, and, in the back, Dick Durbin.

Any contact information I've put on the board will be on page 2 of your Special Report, so you don't have to jot anything down. All the information regarding this case can be found on the website. This link is also on your, page 2 of your Special Report.

And if you go to the website, you'll want to go to the tab that says Clerk's Office and click on Dockets and insert the docket number that's shown on the Special Report.

2.0

This -- on the board right now is the consumer assistance line. If you're not sure who to call to ask any questions after tonight, this phone number will direct you to whoever you need to ask that question.

You can also reach out to the Office of
Public Counsel. They're created by the Florida
Legislature to represent the consumers before the PSC
at official PSC events.

Tonight's meeting has three parts. First, we have our presentation about Labrador's application in particular, then about our proposed agency -- proposed agency action process in general, and then finally the customer input.

Starting off with Labrador's application,

Labrador has requested interim and final rates. This
is based on their need to recover costs associated with
doing business and providing water and wastewater
services.

The utility has requested and the PSC has approved interim rates. These rates are put into

effect so that the utility can begin recovering some of the costs that they have been losing at their current rate schedule. They are -- they're calculated by a formula and the PSC checks their numbers on those formulas on a prima facie case, and these interim rates are collected subject to refund. So if the final rates are lower, then you will be refunded the difference with interest.

(Response from audience.)

Please save any comment towards the end. I would love to have you guys give your comments, but right now we'd like to get to that part as quickly as possible.

In your Special Report, you can see the schedule of the proposed final rates as well as those interim rates which have already gone into effect.

Now, moving on to the PSC's role, we follow a proposed agency action process, and as part of that process there's a staff investigation; we hold a customer meeting, the purpose for today; and then we form a PAA recommendation; and we have the Commission conference. And we'll describe all of those a little later.

Starting off with the staff investigation, the staff investigation has three main parts. We have the

audit staff that reviews the utility's books and records, including all the numbers that they have submitted. The engineering staff reviews the quality of service, the prudence of utility operations, and we calculate the used and useful plant so you're not paying for something that they're not using. And, finally, the accounting and economic staff investigate the accounting and billing practices as well as the rates application.

Moving on to the customer meeting, the purpose for tonight. The purpose is to receive customer comments regarding the quality of service, which includes the quality of the products and the utility's interaction with the customers. We are describing the proposed rate increase and we are open to any other concerns or questions you may have.

This is the customer's night, not the utility's. They will not be speaking tonight. And the utility and the Office of Public Counsel may attend, which I saw them walk in. Thank you for coming.

So moving right along, the staff PAA recommendation Commission Conference, this is an informal process. This process was created to save expense to the customers so that the utility doesn't have to go through with lots of attorney's fees. This costs much less than a formal hearing process, and it

must be completed within five months of the official filing date, so it's a little bit quicker.

2.0

Now, on April 23rd, staff will file its recommendation to approve the utility's rates or to approve with, with modification or to deny those rates. The recommendation is reviewed by the Commissioners at, and voted on at a Commission Conference on May 5th.

The customers are encouraged to attend or to view that online. There's a video stream on the website. And at that Commission Conference, customers and utility representatives may speak. If you do plan to attend and you would like to speak, please let us know beforehand so that we can make time for you and acknowledge you at that time.

After that Commission Conference, the

Commission PAA order is issued within 20 days if the

Commission approves it. They can approve it or they

can approve it with modifications or they can deny it.

After that, after that time, the PAA order is issued

and a 21-day protest period then begins where any

substantially affected persons may protest the order

and request a hearing. If no party files a protest,

then the new rates will be effective upon a

consummating order. If a party does protest the PAA

order, the utility can put the requested rates into

effect; however, they will be subject to refund with interest if those rates are overturned.

2.0

If a timely protest is filed, then the hearing will be held in the service area or as close to it as possible, and the utility and the protesting parties will litigate the issues. There will be cross-examination of anyone who would like to participate. The customers may testify, but, again, the utility will be able to ask questions of the customers as well.

So now we come to today, the customer meeting. The purpose is to receive your comments regarding the quality of service -- oh, sorry. This is just a reminder slide because now we're coming to the part where my time is over and your time has begun. So just as a reminder, we're here to talk about the quality of service, the proposed rate increase, and other concerns and questions. The utility will be taking notes; we'll be taking notes so that we can address those. Everything said today will be put in front of the Commissioners so that they can take that into consideration when reviewing our recommendation.

If you do not wish to speak today, you may submit your notes in a written form. The last page, you can tear that off and mail it in. You can write

your comments, they'll be put in the docket file, and they will be considered just as much as any oral testimony, oral input today. Additionally, if you'd like to file yours electronically, you can get to that on the PSC website.

Please remember that tonight's meeting is being recorded, and what I mean by that is if you have something to add, please make sure that you sign up and come say it into the microphone so that it can go into the official docket. Otherwise, the Commissioners might not hear your comments. When it is your time, please come forward to the microphone, state your name, address, and please spell your last name so we can get it correct. Now we have several people who have signed up already. If you would like to sign up during this process, you may. If you find that someone else has said something you would like to have said, you may also come up to acknowledge that and say I agree with what this representative said. Okay?

With that, Ms. Beverley Culliford, please come up.

MS. CULLIFORD: Beverley Culliford. Oops.

MR. HILL: I think there might be a switch.

MS. CULLIFORD: Beverley Culliford,

C-U-L-L-I-F-O-R-D, 6213 Spring Lake Circle, Zephyrhills,

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2.0

Florida, and our community is Forest Lake Estates.

Thank you for the opportunity to express our thoughts and concerns on the proposed water rate increase by Labrador Utilities.

Regulatory commissions tend to focus on several issues. One is the appropriate rate of return on the invested capital. The utility is requesting the rate increase because it believes existing rates do not provide sufficient revenues to earn a fair return on the utility's investment. The rate of return requested in this proceeding using the weighted cost of debt and equity is 8.5 percent. I would ask is this a reasonable rate in these economic times when most interest bearing accounts are paying less than 1 percent? The Commission has the authority to set the interest to be earned on the investment. That percent may have been achieved or it may have been appropriate several years ago, but it is not an appropriate rate of return in this economic condition.

In the community which is made up of seniors who are on fixed incomes and who have not had, received a significant increase in their pensions or Social Security payments over the past five years, the requested increase in cost of water of 35 percent and sewage 70 percent is unconscionable.

There are four capital projects which are making up the requested investment of \$1 million. I'd like to comment on two of them.

At the last rate increase case, in the settlement the Commission gave Labrador Utilities monies for the upkeep and maintenance of the storage tank at the water treatment plant. These monies have not been completely spent on such maintenance and, therefore, part of the cost has already been collected from the residents. The amount should be used to partially offset the cost.

For 14 years complaints were sent to

Labrador, Department of Environmental Protection, and
the Health Unit of Pasco County about the foul odors
which emanated from the sewage plant. Nothing was done
and it continued to get worse, sometimes permeating the
whole community. Labrador Utilities did do extensive
repairs and maintenance on the wastewater system
because of the severe odor problem which had been
experienced over these past 14 years. What initiated
this project was the filing of a lawsuit by Forest Lake
Estates Co-op against Labrador because of the
persistent odor and unhealthy atmosphere which existed
within the community. It should be noted the company,
Labrador, will receive these monies back with interest

from the residents by increased water rates. Perhaps regular and proper maintenance of the wastewater plant would have made this major project unnecessary and the large cost would not have been borne now by us, the residents.

I'm going to leave the description of the water and those problems to the others who I am sure will be making you fully aware of the dissatisfaction with this issue.

I would like to refer to the new legislation which went into effect on July 1st, 2014, it's 2014-68, and the requirement with the -- and the requirement of both the utility and the Commission. Along with this law, Statute 367, in particular 367.072 and 367.0812 were developed. Statute 367.0812, which is entitled rate fixing, water, quality of water service as criteria states that in fixing rates that are just, reasonable, compensatory, and not unfairly discriminatory, the Commission will consider the extent which the utility provides water service that meet secondary water quality standards as established by the Department of Environmental Protection. The Commission will also consider the testimony and evidence provided by the customers.

Statute 36 -- 367.072 states that in the

public interest that water service be of good quality and consistent with the standards stated in the statute. It gives the customers an option to petition for removal of the private utility company for service which is not up to par and replacing it with another public company if 65 percent of these customers sign the petition for the removal of the utility. This certainly opens new possibilities for this community in its search to get acceptable water in their homes.

The majority of our residents either use bottled water, have water coolers, or spend thousands of dollars to install water filtration systems in their homes. Could I ask how many do that? Just to show -- I think I'm representing the majority.

We are in close proximity to a public utility which provides excellent water and sewage services to their customers, and cost for our community is a very deciding factor. After doing calculations, it is very apparent that we're being held hostage by a monopoly who is charging an outrageous amount for their par service, par standard service. Using 3,000 gallons as usage per month -- this is following -- the customers' payment would be \$45.53 for the public utility. At the old rate a customer is paying or was paying 195 percent more than with the public utility, and the current

rates, we are now paying 192 percent more. Utility interim rates raises that to 238.3 percent more. Utility final rates, if granted, will take it to 303 percent, and the staff recommendation, if accepted, would be 228 percent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As seniors, as stated before, disposable income is limited, and we'd like to make the best possible use of it. To repeat, in fixing rates that are just, reasonable, compensatory, and not unfairly discriminatory, the utility -- the Commission shall consider the extent to which the utility provides water service. And I think I can say without any disagreeing that the community, we're not satisfied with the water service, with the water that comes out of our taps that is smelly, and when you get in the shower, you're trying to get clean and you've got a smell coming out of the water, it's really not very pleasant, and there's some other -- we've had incidences of people who have sand in their systems that clog it up. there's definitely -- it's a great deal of improvement that would have to be made.

The request for a raise in rates should be reasonable and fair and also earned. Customers should be satisfied with the service and see the value of the increase, and I'm sure those speaking after will

provide you with a complete picture. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. HILL: Thank you, Ms. Culliford.

Jim Dill.

all, I want to say that I totally support and agree what

MR. DILL: Good evening, everyone. First of

(Applause.)

Beverly just stated.

I want to thank the PSC for coming out tonight to, to listen to our concerns. For those who don't know me, I'm Jim Dill. I live on 56 -- or 5903 Jessup Drive. Last name is Dill, like the pickle, D-I-L-L. And I'm the president of Forest Lake Estates Non-shareholders HOA, better known as FLENS.

I'm here this evening to talk to you on behalf of the 60 percent of Forest Lake Estate residents who are not shareholders. I've lived in Forest Lake now going on our fifth year. Many of our residents have lived here for five, ten, 15 years or more. They've been putting up with the water and sewage issues for the past ten or so years. It is outrageous that we can't get cleaner water, cheaper service, or better day-to-day service. We have water that many will not drink, some hate to cook with it, and many hate to do outside chores due to cost of both

our water and sewage. A lot of us, you're going to hear some redundancy and we never compared notes with our speeches, I think you're going to hear some redundancy between the two, but a lot of us spend extra money on -- every month to, to get fresh water delivered. We get our own at areas like Crystal Springs, or we buy cases of bottled water because we're dissatisfied with the water that we have. In addition, many approach us to install expensive filtration systems or outside filters which have to get replaced every couple months because of ongoing buildup. This is totally unacceptable when we have to pay so much for the water we have.

2.0

Over the past two years, the FLENS residents who pay monthly rent to the park have been fortunate that our base rent has not increased. Our taxes have gone up about \$5 per month over the past two years, and we understand this. However, what we have saved in base rent will get eaten up rather quickly with the huge rate increases Utilities, Inc. is requesting.

I too did an analysis. I did an analysis of what the water and sewage rate increase means to each of us. For my analysis I used 4,000 gallons per month because that's what I use in my house. At the rates — at the time of the filing it cost us 43.58 for water

and 59.80 for sewage, for a total bill of \$106.01 per month. Under the proposed Utilities, Inc. final rate increases, it costs us 62.43 for water, \$106.69 for sewage, for a total of \$166.49 or a 62.7 percent

increase. You heard me, 62.7 percent increase.

As you can see, this 66.48 monthly increase will eat up any rent saving in a couple of months. In addition, the rate we all have to pay while gone during the summer months will increase from 32.81 to 52.57 per month, a 60 percent increase. This is substantial for using no water and getting no service. What is more staggering about this increase is how we compare to what the Zephyrhills residents are paying for sewage and water, plus they have outstanding water they can drink, wash, and cook with.

I look at their fiscal year '15 in-city and out-of-city rates for the same 4,000 gallons of water, their water would cost us \$11.06 and sewage, \$31.33, for a total of \$42.39 per month. The Utilities, Inc. proposed rates are 292 percent higher than Zephyrhills.

If you look at their out-of-city rates, it would cost us \$53.11 per month, this is 13.78 for water, 39.33 for sewage, or 215 percent higher for Utilities, Inc. As Beverley stated, most of us at Forest Lake Estates are retired and live on fixed

incomes. Most of us got just a 1 percent increase this year in Social Security and not much more in our retirements. We cannot and should not have to pay the large proposed Utilities, Inc. increases. We pay more than enough now.

2.0

In addition, the park's rules and regulations require all residents to wash our homes, sidewalks, and driveways. When we wash outside, all this water goes into the stormwater system, but we still have to pay the sewage cost of this water. In some states you only get charged a percentage of water used to calculate that sewage cost. Utilities, Inc. is charging us 100 percent whether it's water in or outside of the house. Unacceptable.

Just this week Utilities, Inc. did a water flush down by my house and you can see where they did the flushes. It went into the stormwater system. The sidewalk from the hydrant to the drain is yellow in color. It's yellow from the chemicals that they use to treat the water.

One of the other areas of concern is the level of service and response that we're getting. We recently had two very large leaks on Jessup Drive, almost across the street from each other, and it took Utilities, Inc. hours to respond to what we all

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

considered an emergency.

In the first case, a concerned resident called in the leak at 6:00 p.m, someone showed up at 11:00 p.m, and someone finally came back at 11:00 a.m. the next day to make the repairs. When they left there, just up the street there was mud up and down Jessup that was not even cleaned up until another concerned resident called in. Utilities, Inc. finally came back to clean the street. Is this the type of service we should be getting for what we pay for? Heck no.

About a week later there was another leak on the opposite side of the street from the first leak. Again, it was called in at 11:00 p.m, and no one showed up until noon the next day. It took over 12 hours to fix, and we still had the same problem with mud all over the place. A concerned resident had to call in again to get the street cleaned up. This response too was totally unacceptable.

In closing, we're here tonight as a group asking the PSC to be fair and reasonable and to deny Utilities, Inc. their requested rate increase. Please scrutinize their spending. Look at their proposed rates and remember the many years we've lived here with a product and service that has been and continues to be

2.0

expensive when compared to surrounding communities on city and county water. If something's not done now, we'll lose our current residents who cannot afford the higher water and sewage rates, and new buyers will go elsewhere because of the expensive and unacceptable water and sewage, which may lower the value of our property. It is time we are heard and have some justice. Thank you very much for your time this evening.

unacceptable with dissatisfied service and very

(Applause.)

MR. HILL: Thank you, Mr. Dill.

Mr. David Crotty. And if you do come up, across the front, please be aware of the cords. Thank you.

MR. CROTTY: Good evening. My name is David Crotty. I live at 5904 Utopia Drive, Zephyrhills, Florida. Basically just to say that I'm not a public speaker nor am I an accountant. I'm a concerned resident.

I've taken a look at the bills that we receive at this time, and they were alluded to just recently. Jim, he mentioned the rates of 4,000 gallons. Utilities, Inc. says that they want to increase the rates for two reasons. One is repairs,

maintenance, and the cost of \$1 million to take care of 1 what they're repairing and trying to maintain. 2 take a look at some calculations, and I don't have 3 exact numbers, I'm sure -- or maybe I'm not sure, I'm 4 not sure if Utilities, Inc. can give me those exact 5 numbers because their numbers really aren't always 6 7 right when I look at some of the rates that they're showing me tonight of 31 something for base rate and 8 9 33 something when we talk about, they talk about 750 to 760 homes that they service when there's 890 homes in 10 this park and probably 870 of them are resident resided 11 12 in. Take a look at the base rate of 870 homes, and a 13 lot of us are seasonal, and I'll be very low in my 14 calculations, say five months at that 4,000 gallons 15 that was mentioned, that'll give an increase to Utilities of a profit of an extra \$203,000, over 16 \$200,000. Take a look at -- that's a base rate, an 17 extra \$200,000. Take the increase per thousand 18 19 gallons, 4,000 gallons, five months, 4,000 gallons, you're probably talking another \$175,000 increase. 20 21 And then I don't know the numbers, if there's

And then I don't know the numbers, if there's 150 residents that live here year-round, it's another \$31,000. So you're looking at probably an extra \$400,000 that they're going to recoup by this major increase.

22

23

24

25

Well, the \$1 million looks like it would be paid for in possibly two years because I didn't take into consideration the RV park which is also served by them and what the park pays for watering lawns, pool service, et cetera, et cetera. So it could be easily \$500,000 a year increase to Utilities, Inc. And, again, I'm not an accountant. These are just numbers that I'm looking at with my bill timesing it by everyone in this room. And if those figures are wrong, I'm hoping that they will give us the exact numbers and not numbers that are just out of the air. Mine are sort of out of the air but not completely. Okay? I can tell you that. I'm sure most people in this room will agree those 100 percent increases that are (inaudible).

With these repairs of \$1 million, your repair and maintenance bills should be down now. You shouldn't have that repairs and maintenance that you want an increase for because the \$1 million that we are going to pay for in probably two years are looked after. So there's something wrong with this picture and the picture needs to become clearer in my opinion.

Other than that, if this rate proposal does go through, what's the other situation? We've paid the bills in two years. What kind of a guarantee do we

2.0

have after that? Our rates certainly are not going to drop that 200 percent, was it, that we were talking about. No way. Those rates are going to stay. And there's going to be another increase or a request for an increase. So we're being held hostage.

Anyway, if this does go through, I think we, after we've paid the bills after two years, these increases, we're definitely going to be sold bad water after, after this. Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MR. HILL: Thank you, Mr. Crotty.

Mr. Kenneth Curtin. And beware of the cables. Thank you. I don't want you to trip.

MR. CURTIN: I don't want to be the only one to trip on them.

Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Kenneth Curtin. I'm with the law -- I'm a lawyer with the law firm of Adams & Reese, and over the many years I've had the pleasure of representing the Forest Lakes Co-op. Along with my partner, David Bernstein, and my firm we have probably represented this co-op for over a decade, I believe. And one of the most striking things, whenever I come out here to lovely Zephyrhills, other than the nature and the beautiful park you have here, how do we know Zephyrhills? What is Zephyrhills

1 known for?

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Water

MR. CURTIN: Thank you. You get bottled water sold across the country. People pay 99 cents for a 12-ounce bottle of water from Zephyrhills. Is there anybody here, I believe, that would pay 99 cents for a bottle of water coming out of your tap?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We're paying more than that.

MR. CURTIN: Do we think Labrador -- they deserve a fair and reasonable return on their investment on the work that they put into this park providing you with clean, drinkable, high quality water and wastewater service, and I don't think anybody here would have a problem if Labrador did provide clean, good, drinkable water and good quality wastewater services at a reasonable price. But when you're sitting here in Zephyrhills and you can go down to the CVS and you can go across the country to California and they're selling Zephyrhills water at 99 cents a bottle, and people in this park, as the PSC staff has already heard and will hear, do not drink the water in this park because it tastes bad, it smells, it has a foul odor to it, a foul color to it, that Utilities, Inc./Labrador do not deserve a rate increase based upon the service and --

(Applause.)

16 17

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

You will hear, and as you already heard, that people have water filters, bottled water, they're going out and buying that 99-cent bottle and bringing it back. They have water coolers (phonetic) because they can't do their laundry without having a water filter system; otherwise, it comes out scaled, dirty, et cetera. You'll hear how the water heaters, the appliances such as coffee makers, they scale up because of the bad quality of the water. That causes leaks inside, and we all know you're responsible for leaks on your side of the pipes. But when you have leaks due to the scaling of the water and things of that nature and you're paying more because of those leaks when your pipes burst because of this bad quality water on your side, then you get billed for that.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Another thousand dollars.

MR. CURTIN: And that leak, a lot of times, is because of the bad quality of the water coming in. There's an old saying, bad in, bad out. So if you have something bad coming in, you're going to have bad return on the outside. That includes not only the quality but the piping inside the residences.

You've already heard how the service has not

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

been good. When you have leaks, which are, by the way, costing you money when the water leaks out there and they leave it for a day because of how that water is being paid for by someone, that they're not out here for over 24 hours. That's something new I've just heard about here today about these leaks. And even after they've repaired the leak, they have to come back and here again they come back to clean up the damage, the mud, et cetera. That is just bad quality service. And when you come -- when it comes down to it, like I said, everybody in this room deserves a profit and a fair return on their investment, but you only get a profit and fair return on your investment if you do a high quality job.

You -- the Office of Public Counsel just handed out this sheet here. I'm just looking at this. They say that the reasonableness of the company's requested increase in salaries and benefits by 22 percent. These individuals here, like Kim said, are on a fixed income for the most part: Social Security, retirement, pension funds. I would ask anybody to raise their hand who has had a 22 percent increase in their pension fund. It's ridiculous.

Going to the new water storage tank, they were already given money for that, money which they

apparently have not used for that and now they're asking to replace that. That's money we've already paid. When you pay someone to do something because they request the money, they should do that. They shouldn't just keep your money and keep it interest free and maybe use that to pay their 22 percent increase in their salaries. I don't know what they used it for.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At the end of the day here, I believe everybody sitting out here, every resident here believes that Labrador deserves a fair return, but also every resident out here knows the quality of what they've received, and the quality of what they've received has not been up to par. And we ask that because of that, not because of anything these residents did, but because of the fact that the quality is not up to par, that this rate increase either not be given or be substantially reduced. We've had to constantly, as lawyers, had to pull, kicking and screaming, Labrador to do the right thing. We've pulled them kicking and screaming to do the right thing on the odor. Now it's up to the PSC to pull Labrador kicking and screaming to do the right thing on the quality of the water. And the only way to do that, like most things in life, is you hit them where it

hurts, hit them in their pocketbook and don't give them
this rate increase until and unless they increase the
quality of the service provided. Thank you for your
time.

(Applause.)

MR. HILL: Thank you, Mr. Curtin.

Ms. Wendy Coache. I hope I'm pronouncing that right.

MS. COACHE: That's correct. Yeah. That's good.

Hi. My name is Wendy Coache. I had no intention of speaking tonight, but I was asked to. I live at 6214 Forest Lake Drive. My last name is C-O-A-C-H-E.

I am a seasonal resident. We come down every year in October. We have a little dog who gets sick every year when she gets here. Other people have little dogs who get sick every year when they get here. The vets have told us, don't give your dogs that water. We give our dog this water, \$35 a month in addition to the water we pay here.

Improvements. I live in New England. We had a huge snowstorm three or four years ago where the electrical wires all came down and needed to be repaired. The electric company asked for an increase.

They were told, what have you been doing with the money these people have been paying? You should have been improving all of your services with that money. You can't ask them to pay more now. We didn't pay more.

In addition to a water bill every month, we go three times a week to fill four one-gallon water jugs that we use for coffee, cooking, dishes, because I won't drink the water that comes out of my faucet. I have a hard time showering with it. Unfortunately there's not much alternative.

We did install a filter. The water coming out of my shower now does not have the odor it did, but it costs me \$88 to buy the filters, another \$100 to install it, and it's going to cost me \$60 every three months to put filters in those.

We've had water outages. One night we were on Facebook. I heard a knock on my door. It was my neighbor, do you have water? No, I have no water.

Says my sister, do you have water? No. Called

Labrador. They'll let you know if you don't have any water. I'm telling you I have no water. Never got a call, no message on my answering machine, no response that it's been fixed. Two nights later, same deal.

Good thing we have Facebook and friends and neighbors who knock on your door.

2.0

I have a relative who lives in town. She has two people in her household. She pays on the average \$35 a month for her water and she can drink her water. We've asked about this; we've complained about it. We've been told that we're comparing apples to oranges. In my book, we're comparing expensive water that I can't really drink or use to affordable water that people can drink and use, and I think the residents here in this park need to get a break at some point. We're -- our increase is what we spend monthly on this and those gallon jugs. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. HILL: Thank you, Ms. Coache.

Ms. Dianne -- help me out here.

MS. HAWRYSZKO: Hawryszko.

MR. HILL: Hawryszko. Thank you.

MS. HARLOW: My name is Dianne Hawryszko, spelled H-A-W-R-Y-S-Z-K-O. I live at 6309 Forest Lake Drive. I also had no intention on speaking today, so I have no prepared speech. But it's, it's important, I think, that we really let everyone know how badly we're treated with our water.

My husband just changed our filter. Would you drink this water? Because that's what comes out of our filter. It's, it's ridiculous. That was after six

weeks, only six weeks of water, and there's -- it's awful.

I have a -- I bought a new kettle this week.

I've used it for about four or five days. Already the bottom is covered with scale.

It's -- the amount of money we pay now is, is so exuberant in relation to what other people -- and not just in town, but I've spoken to people from other parks. They pay nowheres near what we pay for our water and they have nowheres near the number of complaints.

I just, I just feel so strongly that we are not, we're not being treated fairly, we're not, we're not being given any value for our money, and to ask for this amount of increase is, is totally ridiculous. We —— I see that they're saying that they spent, you know, hundreds of thousands of dollars on, on repairing and upgrading. I see no difference than I did a year ago or two years ago or five years ago. We've lived here nine years. It is just as bad now as it was then, no difference. And I don't think that until something brings the utility company to the table that there is going to be any change. And I think with an increase, if they get the increase this time, we're not going to see any difference, as we have not seen in the last few

years, and I really feel that, that we are not being treated fairly, so.

(Applause.)

MR. HILL: Thank you, Ms. Hawryszko.

MS. HAWRYSZKO: You can have this water. You can have it. You can have a drink of it.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Drink it. Drink it.
(Applause.)

MR. HILL: Marion LaPanne.

MS. LAPANNE: Hello. My name is Marion

LaPanne. I live at 6033 Presidential Circle, and I have

no speech or anything. I've just got a couple of notes

because they told us we should all speak up about how

bad our water is, the smell.

I personally have two filters only in my house, but if I didn't use them, I couldn't drink any of the water. I also have bottled water.

Price. I'm on a fixed income. This price increase does not help me either.

Years ago I had a bad leak. I called and the person that they sent out told me not to worry, that it wasn't on my side of the pipeline, and but the next day when the others, they sent others out, all of the sudden it was all on my side and I, and it cost me over \$400 for this leak. So they weren't very helpful at

all.

And I think the price increase is terrible,

and I think everybody should get up and say exactly the

same thing if that's how they feel. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. HILL: Thank you, Ms. LaPanne.

That's all that I have signed up. If there's anyone else who would like to speak. Yes.

Sir, could I get your name real quick?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Sure. The name is Ed Goldstein.

MR. HILL: Goldstein?

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Goldstein, G-O-L-D-S-T-E-I-N.

MR. HILL: And I'll get your address when you

\_

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Sure.

MR. HILL: Thank you.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Good evening. My name is Ed Goldstein. You know, I'd just like to say, before everybody boos me, what a great company this is, Labrador. That's why in the paper, they put an article in the paper that they say that Labrador's water stinks, and this was done by a county commissioner, and said they got to get rid of Labrador Utilities. Utilities, Inc. is part of the problem. And they're trying right

now, New Port Richey is trying right now, if they didn't 1 2 already do that, getting rid of Labrador. So all they do is come down here, make a quick buck, they think they 3 can make a quick buck. And I thank and I praise 4 everybody in here for standing up to these companies. 5 Thank you. 6 7 (Applause.) MR. HILL: Can I get you -- sir, sir, can I 8 9 get your address for the record, please? MR. GOLDSTEIN: Sure. 6202 Forest Lake Drive. 10 11 MR. HILL: And the zip code? I'll get that off --12 13 MR. GOLDSTEIN: 33540, and I spent \$1,500 on the water. 14 MR. HILL: Okay. Thank you. 15 16 MS. DILKS: You want my name? 17 MR. HILL: Yes. 18 MS. DILKS: Carolyn Dilks, C-A-R-O-L-Y-N 19 D-I-L-K-S. MR. HILL: Great. Can you speak your address 20 for the record? Thank you, ma'am. 21 22 Thank you, Mr. Goldstein. 23 Hi, there. I join the others who MS. DILKS: 24 came tonight unprepared to speak, but speak we need to 25 do. And my story is pretty similar to probably a number

of other people in this park.

My husband and I came to Forest Lake Estates a little over 11 years ago. We fell in love with the committee -- or, I'm sorry, the community to the point that we decided that when one of us would pass away, the other would like to stay here because in this community, even if you don't have a mate, you can still have a life. And so my husband passed away a little better than five months ago, but what I'm finding is I don't know whether it is possible to continue to stay here with the kind of rates that we're expected to pay for our water.

As everyone has already noted, our Social Security has not gone up so much. And a fair price we all would agree to. But the fact that there would be people making obscene amounts of money on the backs of people who can't afford it, I think we need to take a look at that personal aspect here because it all comes down to how it impacts each of us on a personal basis. And so I would like to ask the Commission to take a really hard look at this.

Others have spoken to the condition of the water. I have what I'm told is the most expensive softener and filter you can buy, so I can shower with my water. But guess what? In spite of the equipment

	000055		
1	that I have, I still buy bottled water every single		
2	week. I wouldn't even consider drinking it. Thank		
3	you.		
4	(Applause.)		
5	MR. HILL: Ms. Dilks, can you please give me		
6	your address real quick? Thank you.		
7	MS. DILKS: 6460 Presidential.		
8	MR. HILL: And 33540?		
9	MS. DILKS: 40, yeah.		
10	MR. HILL: Got it.		
11	MS. DILKS: Presidential Circle.		
12	MR. HILL: Yes, sir.		
13	MR. DEANER: My name is Kurt, K-U-R-T, Deaner,		
14	D-E-A-N-E-R.		
15	MR. HILL: Great. And your address?		
16	MR. DEANER: 5714 Viau Way, Z-hills. I'm a		
17	year-round resident.		
18	MR. HILL: And the zip code, please?		
19	MR. DEANER: Pardon?		
20	MR. HILL: Zip code, please. Is it the 33540?		
21	MR. DEANER: That's it.		
22	MR. HILL: Okay. Great. Thank you.		
23	MR. DEANER: My name is Kurt Deaner, and I		
24	live at 5714 Viau Way. I'm a year-round resident. It		
25	doesn't make any difference when you birds are all here		

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

or whether you're all gone, the water still stinks.

(Applause.)

2.0

The water comes into my water softener and that goes into our refrigerator. I can drink the water if I put ice cubes in it and a nice bit of scotch. I'm telling you that I was part of the group that went to Tallahassee to the Commission the last time this came around, and it was a done deal when we walked in. We had a busload. Our famous state commission did us zilch.

And here we are back, and hang on to your wallets or your behind because they're going to pour it to us even though 60 percent of us say, you know, it's bad, which it is. Like it was said, we're, most of us, on a fixed income. And, my God, I don't want to have to go broke before I die just because of the nasty water.

Now, Labrador said that they've spent a whole pile of money, \$600,000 one of workers told me, on an outfit to try and curb the smell. Let me tell you, this morning the smell was there. Day before yesterday the smell was there. And I live a long -- (Applause.)

Thank you.

MR. HILL: Thank you, Mr. Deaner.

Is there anyone else? Yes.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	MR. MACMAHAN: Dick MacMahan, M-A-C, capital
2	M-A-H-A-N, 5826 Naples Drive.
3	MR. HILL: And it's the same, 33540?
4	MR. MACMAHAN: Yeah.
5	MR. HILL: Thank you, Mr. Deaner.
6	MR. MACMAHAN: Oh, I'm not Mr. Deaner.
7	MR. HILL: Oh, no. I'm thanking the previous
8	
9	MR. MACMAHAN: I look like him but I'm not
10	him.
11	MR. HILL: I'm thanking the previous speaker,
12	and then I'll
13	MR. MACMAHAN: My name is Dick MacMahan, and
14	what I'd like to say is any honest business in this
15	country, which are very few, would go back to their
16	board and say, I'm ashamed to work for this company.
17	I'm ashamed at what we're doing to these people. I'm
18	ashamed of just being greedy, which is a sin.
19	So what I'm saying to you board members up
20	there, I hope you're ashamed hearing all these
21	complaints.
22	(Applause.)
23	MR. HILL: Thank you, Mr. MacMahan.
24	MR. MACMAHAN: MacMahan. You got that wrong.
25	MR. HILL: MacMahan. Thank you.

Is there anyone else that would like to speak?

MR. WOODROW: Yeah, I have a question.

MR. HILL: Oh, if you would please. Sir, can I get your name real quick before you begin?

MR. WOODROW: What was that?

MR. HILL: Can I get your name real quick before you begin?

MR. WOODROW: My name is Ed Woodrow.

MR. HILL: How do you spell Woodrow?

MR. WOODROW: D-R-O-W, and I live on 6015 Presidential Circle.

MR. HILL: Thank you, sir.

MR. WOODROW: Yeah. Good evening. Like I just said, my name is Ed Woodrow. I live on 6015 Presidential Circle. And while I'm sitting here listening to a lot of the complaints of the, of the rate increases and so on, a lot of complaints about the very poor quality of the water, and I watch our Commissioners up there writing furiously and I'm wondering, it looks like this is the first time they've heard some of these complaints. But what really, the question I really have is if you've heard, if you've heard about this dirty water, because I've been here now in my place six years and I've come here three or four years with other

people, you know, in all that period of time we couldn't drink the water. And I'm like everybody else, buying bottled water that's costing me a fortune, you know. So why in the name of God haven't you done something about, about the poor taste of the water if that's been ongoing all this time and you guys have heard about it, you know? That's my question.

(Applause.)

MR. HILL: If you'd like, we can -- if you'd like, we can certainly speak afterwards. We're here to collect your comments for the Commissioners.

Unfortunately, we, we are not here on the purpose of answering questions for the group. However, if you would like to stay afterwards or call those numbers that are on the Special Report --

(Boos from audience.)

Hey, we would love to respect everyone's time, and anyone who does not wish to have those conversations may go. Anyone who would like to stay and ask questions, we would love to help answer those. Thank you.

MR. WOODROW: Well, I'll hang around. Thank you.

MR. HILL: Thank you, Mr. Woodrow. (Applause.)

MR. GOLDSTEIN: You got my name and 1 2 everything. 3 MR. HILL: Oh, yes. Yes. Yes. MR. GOLDSTEIN: I've got a big mouth. Let me 4 ask you this question. What I had said to you before 5 about the other company, okay, did you hear anything 6 7 about that? MR. HILL: The other company, could you 8 9 refresh my memory? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Summertree. 10 MR. HILL: Oh, the attempt to -- pardon me. 11 12 MR. GOLDSTEIN: No. That the Commissioner 13 said they had dirty, stinking water. 14 MR. VICKERY: Yes, we did. Yes, we've heard. We were there. I was at that meeting and listened to 15 the commissioner and everything. 16 17 MR. GOLDSTEIN: And what did you think? MR. VICKERY: That the water was not up to 18 19 quality. It did smell. MR. GOLDSTEIN: Did they get a raise? 2.0 21 MR. VICKERY: They got a rate increase with a 22 determination of -- what we do is we give them -- reduce 23 their base rate of return based on the service quality 2.4 was not up to par. It was unsatisfactory. So they did 25 not get their full rate of return.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: But they did get a raise though --

MR. VICKERY: They got some.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: How could they get -- let me ask you this question. I'm not trying to have an argument with you, believe me. Okay? I'm an old person and I'm retired, so I don't want to argue. But the whole thing is, the whole thing is, no, really, the whole thing is you sit up here -- in fact, I think I remember you before when we had the last increase.

MR. HILL: No, sir, I've never been here before.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Oh, okay. Well, see, that's what happens when you're old. But I want you to know seriously, is if you sit up here and this is a proven thing and you know about this thing here because you sat on the meeting, when a commissioner will tell you that the water is dirty and stinking, okay, and that New Port Richey is trying to buy this out and get rid of them, and you sit here and with all these people here trying to talk and say something to you, you're going to go back and you still give them a raise.

MR. HILL: I would like to reiterate, please,
just to address your concern --

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Sure.

MR. HILL: -- that it is our duty to prepare the recommendation and then the Commissioners will then take your comments into consideration when considering our recommendation. As Mr. Vickery addressed, we're --

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}$  . GOLDSTEIN: But these are not comments. These are actual fact.

MR. HILL: Oh, yes, sir. And what we are -the way that we incorporate your feedback is in our
recommendation we are able to recommend certain levels
of quality of service, and that is our role in taking
your comments into consideration. Once we've made that,
that recommendation, it is up to the Commissioners to
consider everything in order to, to come to their
consideration whether they approve or approve a
modification or deny it.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you very much.

MR. HILL: Yes, sir. Please come up.

Can we have your name?

MS. HAMM: Sure. My name is Sharon Hamm, spelled H-A-M-M. I live at 6418 Jessup Drive. And the last question answered to me a very tragic situation. I've lived through this 40 years ago in the State of Ohio, lived in a subdivision held captive by a man who owned the utilities. And the PUCO, that's the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, gave him increases so that

he could improve the quality of the water and the service. Well, it sounds like they did the same thing that Florida does, and that is they give the rate increase but they don't demand the service.

It was a very a long, drawn out affair. The man at that time was getting \$178 a month in 1970 for his water service -- or water and sewer service. It didn't work. Ultimately, after all of these increases -- I don't know what he did with the money, but he couldn't pump the water any longer, and so now the PUCO steps in and shuts him down. He sued us, he sued the Governor, he sued the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio to finally get the thing resolved.

Now is Florida going to do the same thing? I mean, where do you draw the line at giving rate increases to someone who doesn't fix anything? That's all I have to say.

(Applause.)

MR. HILL: Thank you, Ms. Hamm.

Is there anyone else who would like to provide comments tonight? Excellent. Well, then I would like to -- oh, oh.

 $\mbox{MR. WRIGHT:}$  My name is Neil Wright, N-E-I-L W-R-I-G-H-T.

MR. HILL: Yes, sir.

MR. WRIGHT: 6065 Utopia Drive.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HILL: Thank you, sir.

MR. WRIGHT: Good evening. I'd also like to reiterate the comments of a lot of people thanking you for your time to come here tonight and listen to our concerns.

You know, you said initially that if you'd put your name down, you want to come up and if someone has already made your point, just say ditto. Well, you can put a ditto behind everybody's name because basically we all share the same concerns. Do I have filters on my water supply? The answer is yes. have problems with my water supply? The answer is definitely yes. Do I buy bottled water? The answer is yes. Do I pay more than I think I should for the services that are rendered here in this park? The answer is yes.

You might ask why do you want to stay here? The reason is, is this is a fantastic community. been commented on by a couple of other folks and it is a great place to spend your time. And that's why we're here, and that's why we're here trying to keep our patience in finding a doable solution to this problem.

We all recognize the fact that Labrador is in business to make a buck. That's fine. But let's,

let's keep it reasonable, folks. That's what we're trying to do here is keep this whole thing reasonable.

Have we considered other alternatives? Yes, we have. If this rate goes through, I would suggest to you that our boards will probably seriously consider it again, and perhaps, you know, this will be the time that we, that we make a move. I would certainly support that without a doubt.

Again, as you've heard on and on again tonight, this isn't a one-time thing with Labrador Utilities. This has gone on for years and years. The same problems keep, keep reoccurring, whether it's odor problems, whether it's leak problems, whether it's, you know, color problems, illness problems. A gentleman I talked to that I don't see here tonight but was telling me a story not long ago where when he first came down here, he experienced a problem with a rash that he hadn't had before. You know, he, he suffered through it for the three months that he was down here that year, and, low and behold, shortly after he got back to his home, the rash cleared up. You know, you've heard about problems with some pets. I've heard of those problems here before as well. It's recurring.

You know, we were told a couple of years ago, when you have a problem, call Labrador Utilities,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

here's the number. We called Labrador Utilities. Nothing ever happened. You know, it seems as though while the lawsuit was on they did absolutely nothing in here. Now that the lawsuit has been resolved, now they, they come and they do some work, bring it up to more or less standard, their standard, I quess, for the equipment, certainly not for the end product, but now they want to get paid for that over and above everything that's happened for all those years. those years that we were in litigation, they were -- I don't know how it went, but it was my understanding they were, they were paying the board a certain amount or the park a certain amount for rent on that equipment. Where did all that money go? I don't know.

You know, there seems to be a whole pile of money somewhere that nobody knows anything about anymore. It's, it's just getting to the point where, you know, it's extremely frustrating, extremely frustrating. And it makes -- probably every homeowner in this park, you know, asks themselves the question again, is it worthwhile still living here? So far the answer is yes, but, you know, at some point that balance is going to dip. Hopefully it's not through this one. Thank you.

(Applause.)

MR. HILL: Thank you, Mr. Wright. 1 Is there anyone else who would you like to 2 3 provide comments? Yes. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'd just like to get 4 5 Brett's opinion about this whole situation since he's here. 6 7 (Applause.) MR. SCHRODER: Brett Schroder, two Ts. 8 9 MR. HILL: Something like that? MR. SCHRODER: S-C-H-R-O-D-E-R. 10 11 MR. HILL: Yeah. 12 MR. SCHRODER: Okay. 13 MR. HILL: And then your address, sir? 14 MR. SCHRODER: My address? 15 MR. HILL: Yes, please. MR. SCHRODER: 19012 Huckaballe Road. 16 17 MR. HILL: Hucko --MR. SCHRODER: Hucka, H-U-C-K-A-B-A-L-L-E, 18 19 Odessa. MR. HILL: And a zip code? 2.0 21 MR. SCHRODER: 33556. 22 MR. HILL: Thank you, sir. 23 MR. SCHRODER: Good evening, everyone. 2.4 your pain. I think the real message here needs to be do 25 you feel punished paying a rate for lousy water? If you

were paying Zephyrhills water at a competitive rate, 1 say, \$35 a month for 3,000 gallons of water, you would 2 still be saying the water is brown, the water smells, I 3 can't buy it to taste it, to drink it, or use in my 4 coffee or cook it. Would you be saying that? 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 6 No. 7 MR. SCHRODER: You wouldn't? So your -- did you understand what I just said? Let's try again. 8 9 If you were paying \$35 a month for your 10 water, you'd still be complaining about dirty water --11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, yes. Yes. MR. SCHRODER: -- smell, taste, buying bottled 12 water. So the issue is less about the rate, it's more 13 about the poor quality of water, not getting a value for 14 15 your dollar. I agree that it's expensive, it's 16 overpriced. 17 (Inaudible responses from audience.) 18 Okay. You're getting ahead of me. I'm 19 trying to lead you down the path. You're getting ahead 20 of me. Let's back up. We all agree the quality of the 21 water is bad. Yes? 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. 23 MR. SCHRODER: It smells bad. 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. 25 MR. SCHRODER: It looks bad.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. 1 2 MR. SCHRODER: It tastes bad. 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. MR. SCHRODER: And it's too damn much money. 4 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. MR. SCHRODER: So we all agree on that. 6 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. MR. SCHRODER: Okay. I agree with you too. 8 9 From an operational standpoint here's the problem we all 10 face, especially the co-op. Their rates go up, it's too much to live here. It's not worth what you're paying 11 12 for. So the operation suffers at the expense of a 13 profit-making company. That's not a win-win. 14 A win-win is a fair rate for a quality product with customer service that matches. That's 15 16 what you're asking for. 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. 18 MR. SCHRODER: Okay. So we're back on the 19 same page. Anybody been smelling odors other than who's 2.0 already mentioned it, please raise your hand. So we 21 don't need to be spending \$600,000 reimbursing them. 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 23 MR. SCHRODER: Because it's not fixed. 2.4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right. Correct. 25 MR. SCHRODER: I need you to come up to the

office every single time and register that. Please do 1 It's really valuable that we have the record show 2 3 what the lack of results are. So the time you go out to dinner, you got out to lunch, whatever you do, come by 4 the office and please fill out a quick report. We'll 5 have a list in the office that says, I smelled odor, put 6 7 your name, put your date. Will you please do that? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. 8 MR. SCHRODER: Okay. We haven't raised your 9 rent for the last two years primarily because the water 10 rates are ridiculous and we understand that. And we 11 12 want to maintain occupancy, we want to maintain harmony 13 in the community, so it's important to us that our 14 message be heard that the quality of the water is not what we pay for. We buy bottled water because we can't 15 get the water we need here. Correct? 16 17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Correct.

MR. SCHRODER: Thank you. Sorry I confused you.

(Applause.)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're the property manager; right?

MR. SCHRODER: Right.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Why are these people still here?

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. SCHRODER: Are you talking about Labrador? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. Why is the company still here?

MR. SCHRODER: Honestly, that's probably a better question answered by one of our attorneys so they can explain to you the process and why they are protected and what things we have to go through.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Why as a park can't we vote to have them out of here? Get them out of here.

MR. SCHRODER: Good question for Ken. don't you come up and help with that one. I think if we could have a vote and it was that simple, we'd have already done it. Yeah. But let's explain why that hasn't happened and what would need to occur.

MR. CURTIN: It's a good question. Probably not the proper question for this forum because this forum with the PSC is about the quality of the water. Because Labrador, Labrador unfortunately has a protected alleged property interest in their utility here, and the way to get Labrador to do what they need to do is exactly what we're doing here, showing the bad quality of their service, the bad quality of their water. That is the only way to get rid of their alleged property right to run this water and wastewater facility. have that right as long as they do it in a reasonable,

fair, just manner and give you a quality product. If they don't do that, then they could lose that right. So that's what we, that's, that's --

(Inaudible comments from audience.)

And that is, that is something the

Legislature is going to have to handle. But these

Commissioners -- well, these are not the

Commissioners -- but the staff here made the

recommendation to the Commissioners. So when you're

speaking into that camera there, eventually you're

speaking to the Commissioners on the PSC. You need to

make that known that in the past year that the quality

of the service, the quality of the water is not up to

par, and what you're paying for that poor quality, that

poor service is so outrageous, that it's downright

criminal.

(Applause.)

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We want everybody to go up there one by one and talk to the camera so they can see that every one of us are not happy with the water.

MR. CURTIN: Absolutely. If anybody has not spoken, give your name to this gentleman right here and say your piece right now into that camera, and that camera is going to go from the staff who are going to make their recommendation to the --

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. HILL: I would like to -- thank you, sir. I would like to remind everybody that, yes, you may come up, you may give your name and all that. Also, if you have someone that you would like to submit, you can take some extra Special Reports. There's the letter. read those as well.

MR. CURTIN: Let me show you. Y'all see this green sheet? You see this last page? What I would like everybody to do is put their name down there, their comment, their complaints. You hand it to Brett at the office and Brett will collect all these and I will make sure they all get to the staff. So everybody, whether you spoke here tonight or not, everybody in this room should fill out their complaint here, bring it to Brett in the office tomorrow. Brett will get me all these, and I will get them to the PSC staff in one lump sum so they have everybody here's complaints up there. fill, please fill these out.

MR. HILL: Sir, sir, if you would like, please come up and use the microphone. Thank you.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: This is my question. If they are granted a raise, will they pay me back the \$1,400 for my water filter?

MR. HILL: Once more, sir, we're here to collect comments. I will answer your question; however, that's not for this forum. I will answer your question
afterwards.

MR. GOLDSTEIN: I mean, because I'm sure that they're going to be granted some type of raise, but I would like to get my money back if they're going to do their job.

MR. HILL: Again, sir, I will -- I would love
to help. That's --

MR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay.

MR. HILL: -- an individual issue I would like to help afterwards.

MR. CURTIN: And we will be here after -- I will also be here after, after this meeting. So anybody right now who has comments, I urge you to come, give your name and give your comments.

MR. HILL: Thank you, sir.

MS. TRUVY: My name is Donna Truvy. I live at 5931 Utopia. I'm the smallest one in the park with the biggest smile. My dog hates the water. I hate the water. It smells like chlorine. It come out brown the other day. My laundry is yellow instead of white and I wash my whites twice. I think that you guys do not deserve a penny from us. I'm a, I'm a widow and I can't afford these water rates by myself, and there's a lot of widows in this park that cannot afford the rates. We

don't get as much money as these other people do. They
got two incomes. The widows got one. That's it. And
we can't afford -- I can't afford a \$200 water bill. I
can't afford a \$100 water bill. You got to do
something. I can't even wash my car, water my plants,
because my plants even said the water sucks.

(Applause.)

MR. HILL: Thank you, Ms. Truvy. Anyone else who would like to provide oral comments this evening?

Seeing no one, thank you -- oh, yes.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can I just make one comment? I'm not going up there. I want to remind the residents on Tuesday, February 24th at 1:00 p.m. there is a resident meeting, and you can be sure that this topic will be on it. There is a process now in place that is possible to make some changes.

(Applause.)

(inaudible).

MR. HILL: Thank you. With that, I would like to thank everyone for attending, and we will be here to answer any questions. This has been the case, Docket 140135, Labrador application for request to increase water rates. Thank you very much.

(Proceeding concluded at approximately 7:25 p.m.)

	00005
1	STATE OF FLORIDA ) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON )
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Hearings Reporter, Hearing Reporter Services Section, Office of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were transcribed from digital
6	recording to the best of my ability.
7	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties,
8	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
9	financially interested in the action.
10	DATED this 27th day of February, 2015.
11	
12	
13	
14	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
15	Official FPSC Hearings Reporter (850) 413-6734
16	(000) 410 0/34
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2.5	

#### State of Florida



## Hublic Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:

February 12, 2015

TO:

Carlotta S. Stauffer, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk

FROM:

Penelope D. Buys, Engineering Specialist III, Division of Engineering Pob

RE:

Docket No. 140135-WS - Application for increase in water/wastewater rates in

Pasco County by Labrador Utilities, Inc.

Please place the following documents handed to staff during the customer meeting in the docket file.

Notes from Beverley Culliford

Thank you for the opportunity to express our thoughts and concerns on the proposed water rate increase by Labrador Utilities.

Regulatory commissions tend to focus on several issues. One is the appropriate rate of return on the invested capital. The Utility is requesting the rate increase because it believes the existing rates do not provide sufficient revenues to earn a fair return on the Utility's investment. The rate of return requested in this proceeding using the weighted cost of debt and equity is 8.50%. I would ask, Is this a reasonable rate in these economic times when most interest bearing accounts are paying less than one percent? The Commission has the authority to set the interest to be earned on the investment. That percent may have been achieved several years ago but is not appropriate in the economic climate of the present time. Using a lesser percentage would make the rate increase request more reasonable and decrease the impact on the customers who are the residents of Forest Lake Estates.

In this community which is made up of seniors who are on fixed incomes and who have not received a significant increase in their pensions or Social Security payments over the past five years the requested increase in cost of water at 35% and sewage at 70% is unconscionable.

There are four capital projects which are making up the requested investment of \$1 million. I would like to comment on two of them.

At the last rate case in the settlement the Commission gave Labrador Utilities monies for the upkeep and maintenance of the storage tank at the water treatment plant. These monies were not completely spent on such maintenance and therefore part of this cost has already been collected from our residents. The amount should be used to partially set off this cost.

For fourteen years, complaints were sent to Labrador, Department of Environmental Protection and the Health Unit of Pasco County about the foul odors which emanated from the sewage plant. Nothing was done and it continued to get worse, sometimes permeating the whole community

Labrador Utilities did do extensive repair and maintenance on the wastewater system because of the severe odor problems which had been experienced over these past fourteen years. What initiated this project was the filing of a lawsuit by the Forest Lake Estates Co-op against Labrador because of the persistent odor and unhealthy atmosphere which existed within the community. It should be noted the company will receive these monies back with interest from the residents by increased water rates. Perhaps regular and proper

maintenance of the wastewater plant would have made this major project unnecessary and the large cost would not have to be borne now by us.

I am going to leave the description of the water and those problems to the others who I am sure will make you fully aware of the dissatisfaction with this issue.

I would like to refer to the new legislation which went into effect on July 2, 2014. Chapter 2014-68. and the requirement of both the Utility and the Commission.

Along with this law Statutes 367 in particular 367.072 and 367.0812 were developed.

Statute 367.0812, which is entitled Rate Fixing: quality of water service as criterion, states that in fixing rates that are just, reasonable, compensatory, and not unfairly discriminatory, the commission shall consider the extent which the utility provides water service that meets secondary water quality standards as established by the Department of Environmental Protection. The commission will also consider the testimony and evidence provided by the customers.

Statute 367.072 states that in the public interest that water service be of good quality and consistent with the standard stated within the statute. It gives the customers an option to petition for removal of the private utility company for service which is not up to par and replacing it with another public company if 65% of these customers sign the petition for the removal of the utility. This certainly opens new possibilities for this community in its search to get acceptable water into their homes. A majority of our residents either use bottled water, have water coolers or spend thousands of dollars to install a water filtration system in their homes.

We are in close proximity to a public utility which provides excellent water and sewage services to their customers. Cost for our community is a very deciding factor. After doing calculations it is very apparent that we are being held hostage by a monopoly who is charging an outrageous amount for their par standard services. Using 3000 gallons as usage for a month, the following was discovered

customer's payment would be (as an out of city customer) \$45.53 with the public utility at the old rates a customer was paying 195% more than if with the public utility

at current rates is paying 192%

Utility interim rates are 238.3\$

Utility final rates if granted 303%

Staff recommendation 228%

As seniors as stated before disposable income is limited and needs to be spent wisely.

To repeat In fixing rates that are just, reasonable, compensatory and not unfairly discriminatory, the commission shall consider the extent to which the utility provides water service.

The request for a raise in rates should be reasonable and fair and also earned. Customers should be satisfied with the service and see the value in the increase.

I am sure those speaking after will provide you with a complete picture.

### Notes from Jim Dill

# STATEMENT TO PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION (PSC)

February 11, 2015

**GOOD EVENING EVERYONE. FIRST I WANT** TO SAY I TOTALLY AGREE WITH THE STATEMENT FROM BEVERLY, THE COOP PRESIDENT. I WANT TO THANK THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FOR SENDING A REPRESENTATIVE OUT TONIGHT TO LISTEN TO OUR CONCERNS. FOR THOSE WHO DO NOT KNOW ME, MY NAME IS JIM DILL. I LIVE AT 5903 JESSUP DRIVE AND I AM THE PRESIDENT OF FOREST LAKE ESTATES NON-

SHAREHOLDERS, BETTER KNOWN AS FLENS.

I AM HERE THIS EVENING TO TALK TO YOU

ON BEHALF OF THE 60% OF FOREST LAKE

ESTATES RESIDENTS WHO ARE NON
SHAREHOLDERS.

I HAVE LIVED IN FOREST LAKE NOW GOING
ON OUR FIFTH YEAR. MANY OF OUR
RESIDENTS HAVE LIVED HERE FOR 5, 10, and
15 YEARS OR MORE. THEY HAVE BEEN
PUTTING UP WITH THE WATER AND SEWAGE
ISSUES FOR THE PAST 10 OR SO YEARS. IT IS
OUTRAGEOUS THAT WE CANNOT GET
CLEANER WATER, CHEAPER SEWAGE, OR

**BETTER DAY-TO-DAY SERVICE. WE HAVE** WATER MANY WILL NOT DRINK, SOME HATE TO COOK WITH IT, AND MANY HATE TO DO **OUTSIDE CHORES DUE TO THE COST OF BOTH** THE WATER AND THE SEWAGE. A LOT OF US SPEND EXTRA MONEY EVERY MONTH TO EITHER GET FRESH WATER DELIVERED, GET **OUR OWN AT AREAS LIKE CRYSTAL SPRINGS,** OR BUY CASES OF BOTTLED WATER. IN **ADDITION, MANY HAVE PURCHASED AND INSTALLED EXPENSIVE FILTRATION SYSTEMS** OR OUTSIDE FILTERS WHICH HAVE TO GET REPLACED EVERY COUPLE MONTHS BECAUSE OF UNWANTED BUILD UP. THIS IS TOTALLY
UNACCEPTABLE WHEN WE HAVE TO PAY SO
MUCH FOR THE TERRIBLE WATER WE HAVE.

**OVER THE PAST TWO YEARS, THE FLENS** RESIDENTS, WHO PAY MONTHLY RENT TO THE PARK, HAVE BEEN FORTUNATE THAT OUR BASE RENT HAS NOT INCREASED. OUR **TAXES HAVE GONE UP ABOUT \$5 PER MONTH** OVER THE PAST TWO YEARS AND WE UNDERSTAND THIS. HOWEVER, WHAT WE HAVE SAVED IN BASE RENT WILL GET EATEN **UP RATHER QUICKLY WITH THE HUGE RATE INCREASES UTILITIES INC. ARE REQUESTING.** 

I DID AN ANALYSIS OF WHAT THE WATER AND SEWAGE RATE INCREASE MEANS TO EACH OF US. FOR MY ANALYSIS, I USED 4,000 GALLONS PER MONTH AS AN AVERAGE. AT THE RATES AT THE TIME OF FILING, IT COST **US \$43.58 FOR WATER AND \$59.80 FOR SEWAGE, FOR A TOTAL BILL OF \$106.01 PER** MONTH. UNDER THE PROPOSED UTILITIES INC. FINAL RATE INCREASES, IT WOULD COST **US \$62.43 FOR WATER AND \$106.69 FOR SEWAGE, FOR A TOTAL OF \$166.49 OR A 62.7% INCREASE...YOU HEARD ME** RIGHT...62.7% INCREASE! AS YOU CAN SEE,

THIS \$66.48 MONTHLY INCREASE WILL EAT
UP ANY RENT SAVINGS IN A COUPLE
MONTHS. IN ADDITION, THE RATE WE WILL
HAVE TO PAY WHILE GONE DURING THE
SUMMER MONTHS WILL INCREASE FROM
\$32.81 TO \$52.57 PER MONTH...A 60%
INCREASE...THIS IS SUBSTANTIAL FOR USING
NO WATER AND GETTING NO SERVICE.

WHAT IS MORE STAGGERING ABOUT THIS
INCREASE IS HOW WE COMPARE TO WHAT
THE ZEPHYRHILLS RESIDENTS ARE PAYING
FOR SEWAGE AND WATER...PLUS THEY HAVE
OUTSTANDING WATER THEY CAN DRINK,

WASH, AND COOK WITH. I LOOKED AT THEIR **FY15 IN-CITY AND OUT-OF-CITY RATES FOR** THE SAME 4,000 GALLONS OF WATER. THEIR WATER WOULD COST \$11.06 AND \$31.33 FOR **SEWAGE, FOR A TOTAL OF \$42.39 PER** MONTH. THE UTILITIES INC. PROPOSED **RATES ARE 292% HIGHER THAN** ZEPHYRHILLS. IF YOU LOOK AT THEIR OUT-**OF-CITY RATES, IT WOULD COST US \$53.11 PER MONTH (\$13.78 FOR WATER AND \$39.33** FOR SEWAGE) OR 215% HIGHER FOR **UTILITIES INC.** 

MOST OF US AT FOREST LAKE ESTATES ARE RETIRED AND LIVE ON A FIXED INCOME.

MOST OF US GOT JUST A 1% INCREASE THIS YEAR IN SOCIAL SECURITY. WE CANNOT AND SHOULD NOT HAVE TO PAY THE LARGE,

PROPOSED UTILITIES INC. INCREASES. WE PAY MORE THAN ENOUGH NOW!

IN ADDITION, THE PARK'S RULES AND
REGULATIONS REQUIRE ALL RESIDENTS TO
WASH OUR HOMES, SIDEWALKS, AND
DRIVEWAYS. WHEN WE WASH OUTSIDE, ALL
THIS WATER GOES INTO THE STORM WATER
SYSTEM WHICH FEEDS INTO THE LAKE. BUT,

WE STILL HAVE TO PAY THE SEWAGE COST OF THIS WATER. IN MANY STATES, YOU ONLY **GET CHARGED A % OF WATER USED TO** CALCULATE THE SEWAGE COSTS. UTILITIES INC. CHARGES US 100% WHETHER IT IS **WATER IN OR OUTSIDE THE** HOUSE....UNACCEPTABLE! JUST THIS WEEK UTILITIES INC. DID A WATER FLUSH AND YOU CAN SEE WHERE THEY DID THE FLUSH AS IT WENT INTO THE STORM WATER SYSTEM. THE SIDEWALK FROM THE HYDRANT TO THE DRAIN IS YELLOW IN COLOR FROM THE CHEMICALS THEY USE TO TREAT THE WATER.

ONE OF THE OTHER AREAS OF CONCERN IS THE LEVEL OF SERVICE AND RESPONSE WE ARE GETTING. WE RECENTLY HAD TWO VERY LARGE LEAKS ON JESSUP DRIVE, ALMOST ACROSS THE STREET FROM EACH OTHER, AND IT TOOK UTILITIES INC. HOURS TO RESPOND TO WHAT WE ALL CONSIDERED AN EMERGENCY. IN THE FIRST CASE MY COUSIN CALLED IN THE LEAK AT 6PM, SOMEONE SHOWED UP AT 11PM, AND SOMEONE FINALLY CAME BACK AT 11AM THE NEXT DAY TO MAKE THE REPAIRS. WHEN THEY LEFT THERE WAS MUD UP AND DOWN JESSUP THAT

**WAS NOT EVEN CLEANED UP UNTIL A CONCERNED RESIDENT CALLED. UTILITIES** INC. FINALLY CAME BACK TO CLEAN THE STREET. IS THIS THE TYPE OF SERVICE WE SHOULD BE GETTING FOR WHAT WE PAY...HECK NO!! ABOUT A WEEK LATER THERE WAS ANOTHER LEAK ON THE **OPPOSITE SIDE OF THE STREET FROM THE** FIRST LEAK. AGAIN, IT WAS CALLED IN AT 11PM AND NO ONE SHOWED UP UNTIL NOON THE NEXT DAY. IT TOOK OVER 12 HOURS TO FIX AND WE STILL HAD THE SAME PROBLEM WITH MUD ALL OVER THE PLACE. A

CONCERNED RESIDENT AGAIN HAD TO CALL
TO GET THE STREET CLEANED UP. THIS
RESPONSE TOO WAS TOTALLY
UNACCEPTABLE.

IN CLOSING, WE ARE HERE TONIGHT AS A GROUP ASKING THE PSC TO BE FAIR AND REASONABLE AND TO DENY UTILTIES INC. THEIR REQUESTED RATE INCREASE. PLEASE SCRUTINIZE THEIR SPENDING, LOOK AT THEIR PROPOSED RATES AND REMEMBER THE MANY YEARS WE HAVE LIVED HERE WITH A PRODUCT THAT IS UNACCEPTABLE, WITH **DISSATIFIED SERVICE, AND VERY EXPENSIVE** 

**COMPARED TO SURROUNDING COMMUNITES** ON CITY AND COUNTY WATER. IF SOMETHING IS NOT DONE NOW, WE WILL LOOSE OUR CURRENT RESIDENTS WHO **CANNOT AFFORD THE HIGH WATER AND SEWAGE RATES AND NEW BUYERS WILL GO ELSEWHERE BECAUSE OF THE EXPENSIVE** AND UNACCEPTABLE WATER AND SEWAGE. WHICH MAY LOWER THE VALUE OF OUR PROPERTY. IT IS TIME WE BE HEARD AND **HAVE SOME JUSTICE!** 

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME THIS EVENING.

## JIM DILL FLENS PRESIDENT

Water provided by Dianne Hawryszko



### Notes from OPC

### FLORIDA OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

Labrador Utilities, Inc. is requesting an increase in its rates of approximately \$96,942 for water, a 37% increase, and \$287,269 for wastewater, a 71% increase. The Office of Public Counsel (OPC) represents the interests of Labrador's ratepayers and is currently reviewing Labrador's requested rate increases. OPC has identified several areas of concern, including the following issues:

- ❖ Wastewater non-used and useful adjustment from last rate case which the company did not include in its filing − Annual impact of \$33,982
- ❖ The requested test year legal fees far exceed the fees allowed in the last rate case or the prior five year average Annual impact of \$28,856 for water and \$28,378 for wastewater
- The requested rate case expense amortization of \$54,013 is more than double the level allowed in the last rate case of \$20,844.
- The company initially requested \$100,000 for a new water storage tank. In the last rate case, the company received in rates deferred maintenance expenses of \$6,028 over five years (total of \$30,140) to inspect and refurbish the existing water tank. The company has not shown that it spent any of this money to refurbish the existing tank, and is now requesting \$172,000 for a new storage tank.
- The reasonableness of the company's request to increase its salaries and benefits by 22% (annual impact of \$24,828) since the last rate case just 3 years ago.
- The company's 2013 actual revenues were \$80,676 less than the revenues approved in the last rate case (\$35,968 for water and \$44,708 for wastewater). The average residential water consumption also declined in 2013. OPC is investigating whether the 2013 usage level is a valid representation of current usage or whether the 2014 usage level should be utilized in setting future rates.
- ❖ Wastewater plant and expenses related to the new odor control improvements – OPC is reviewing the reasonableness of the costs to construct these improvements.