

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: April 16, 2018
TO: Kyesha Mapp, Senior Attorney, Office of the General Counsel
FROM: Victor Cordiano, ^{vc} Engineering Specialist II, Office of Auditing & Performance Analysis
RE: Docket No. 20180009-EI – Duke Energy Florida, LLC’s second request for confidential classification of portions of audit staff’s report entitled *Review of Duke Energy Florida’s Project Management Internal Controls for Nuclear Plant Upstate and Construction Projects* (June 2013), PA-13-01-001.

Audit staff has reviewed DEF’s request for confidentiality in DN 01499-2018 concerning portions of staff’s audit report. DEF’s Revised Exhibit C contains the justification matrix that identifies the information requested to be classified as confidential under Section 366.093(3)(b),(d), and (e), Florida Statutes.

We concur and recommend that the information identified in DN 01500-2018 and DN 03393-2013 be protected as requested.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

RECEIVED-FPSC
2018 APR 16 PM 12:02
COMMISSION
CLERK



Public Service Commission
CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: April 16, 2018

TO: Division of Auditing and Performance Analysis, Office of Primary Responsibility

FROM: OFFICE OF COMMISSION CLERK

RE: CONFIDENTIALITY OF CERTAIN INFORMATION
DOCKET NO: 20180009-EI DOCUMENT NO: 01500-2018, 03393-2013
DESCRIPTION: Duke Energy (Gamba) - (CONFIDENTIAL) Portions of the review of project management internal controls for nuclear plant uprate and construction projects Audit Report No. PA-13-01-001. [x-ref 01500-2018]
SOURCE: Duke Energy Florida, LLC

The above confidential material is related to a Second request for extension of confidential classification, filed on 2/19/18 (DN 01499-2018). Please complete the following form by checking all applicable information and forward it to the attorney assigned to the docket, along with a brief memorandum supporting your recommendation.

- The document(s) is (are), in fact, what the utility asserts it (them) to be.
- The utility has provided enough details to perform a reasoned analysis of its request.
- The material has been received incident to an inquiry.
- The material is confidential business information because it includes:
 - (a) Trade secrets;
 - (b) Internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors;
 - (c) Security measures, systems, or procedures;
 - (d) Information concerning bids or other contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the public utility or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms;
 - (e) Employee personnel information unrelated to compensation, duties, qualifications, or responsibilities;
 - (f) Tax returns or tax-related information;
 - (g) Information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of information.
- The material appears to be confidential in nature and harm to the company or its ratepayers will result from public disclosure.
- The material appears not to be confidential in nature.
- The material is a periodic or recurring filing and each filing contains confidential information.

This response was prepared by Victor Cordiano on April 16, 2018, a copy of which has been sent to the Office of Commission Clerk and the Office of General Counsel.