

Antonia Hover

From: Ellen Plendl
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2025 8:32 AM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Subject: Docket No 20250011
Attachments: FW: FPL rates; FW: Docket 20250011; FW: Reject PSC Docket 20250011; FW: PSC Docket 20250011; FW: FP&L solar energy please reject; FW: PSC docket #20250011; FW: Regarding Docket 20250011

See attached customer correspondence for Docket 20250011.

Antonia Hover

From: Governor's Office of Citizen Services <EOGCitizenServices@eog.myflorida.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2025 7:54 AM
To: Ellen Plendl
Subject: FW: FPL rates
Attachments: Gov Letter.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Please find attached email received by the Governor's Office of Citizen Services. This email is forwarded to your office for review and any response or action appropriate.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martha Lynn
Office of Citizen Services
Executive Office of the Governor

From: Dan Kucik <noreply@flgov.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2025 2:05 AM
To: GovernorRon.DeSantis@eog.myflorida.com
Subject: FPL rates

Submitted
Wed, 06/25/2025 - 02:03

Sender Information

Dan Kucik
dpkucik@gmail.com
dpkucik@gmail.com

Subject
FPL rates

Message
Please see the attachment.
Thx.

IP Address
172.71.22.205

User Agent
Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/137.0.0.0 Safari/537.36

Please note that under Florida law correspondence sent to the Governor's Office, which is not confidential or exempt pursuant to chapter 119 of the Florida Statutes, is a public record made available upon request.

Sir,

I have supported you over the years (including votes, funding, arguing with family members in your defense, etc.), and I'm a firm believer in "common sense". FPL has requested and the state recently approved yet another rate increase, which defies common sense. I've reviewed FPL's explanations, but they don't make sense (and I'm somewhat educated with degrees in electrical engineering, computer engineering, and robotics engineering). If there's a valid reason for increasing rates, FPL has failed to make their rationale clear outside of their public relations (ESG-type) statements. Here's the rationale for my concerns:

- 1) Energy prices are dropping, but FPL rates are going up. It appears that we (current Floridians) are funding FPL's infrastructure expansion to support Florida's growth, but this cost should be addressed via impact fees for new construction similar to what is used for other utilities. If this is what is going on, as someone that has lived in FL 40+ years, why am I paying for the new infrastructure for new folks moving to FL? Amortizing the cost for the state's growth across current residents is wrong because
- 2) Solar farms are inefficient (only about 21-25% efficient). The technology isn't mature yet, and it will blow away in storms...I've seen the impact of storms given my home was a total loss in Michael. We need to eliminate ESG and apply common sense....we need to be looking at coal, natural gas, nuclear, etc.
- 3) I have no idea why the state approved the sale of Gulf Power to FPL. The net result has been constant rate increases, poor customer support, lack of focus on the customer, etc. This approval hurt the folks in NW FL.
- 4) I reached out to Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) regarding my concerns and the only thing they did was forward my concerns to FPL, which resulted in a pacifying ESG-type letter from FPL. This is ridiculous given FPL has a monopoly and this behavior implies that FPSC is "in bed" with FPL since they are effectively creating a situation where FPL is self-regulating and putting them in a position to address/ignore complaints sent to the regulatory agency (that we fund with our tax dollars). I received nothing from FPSC and they work for me. We need to eliminate this behavior on the government side or eliminate the monopoly.

Sorry for bugging you with this topic, but after seeing FPSC's behavior, I'm not sure what other options are available....Perhaps FPSC is due for a FL DOGE review.

Thanks.

Your loyal supporter,

Dan Kucik

Antonia Hover

From: Governor's Office of Citizen Services <EOGCitizenServices@eog.myflorida.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2025 10:12 AM
To: EOG-Referral
Subject: FW: Docket 20250011

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

From: Mary Alger <postscript1@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 5:23 PM
To: GovernorRon.DeSantis@eog.myflorida.com
Subject: Docket 20250011

Please do not allow FPL and other Florida power utilities to degrade our power supply with expensive, ineffective, blight on Florida farmland solar farms which are only a gift to China. It rewards China by buying us buying the horrible solar panels from a Communist country while destroying viable farmland.

This is a sure way to both enslave us by having to pay for this travesty while simultaneously destroying our Florida farms & landscapes & by making Floridians dependent on a green new deal scam!

Mary Alger
120 Clyburn Street
Marco Island, FL 34145

Jesus Christ is King

Please note that under Florida law correspondence sent to the Governor's Office, which is not confidential or exempt pursuant to chapter 119 of the Florida Statutes, is a public record made available upon request.

Antonia Hover

From: Governor's Office of Citizen Services <EOGCitizenServices@eog.myflorida.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2025 9:47 AM
To: EOG-Referral
Subject: FW: Reject PSC Docket 20250011

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

From: Jeanne Kacprzak <jeannekacprzak@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2025 10:32 AM
To: GovernorRon.DeSantis@eog.myflorida.com
Subject: Reject PSC Docket 20250011

The public and voters are becoming aware of the travesty of Florida, Power and Light working to destroy the electric capacity in Florida.

Their proposed rate plan is a huge burden on Florida's population. Older residents have the burden of rising insurance and condo costs, and newer Floridians are trying to escape the high cost of living up north.

The FPL ten-year plan to use Chinese parts to increase solar power in the state, is against everything this country stands for. Electric power will only be available 5 hours a day. Countries who have gone in this direction are in a bad situation right now. Florida needs abundant, reliable, and low-cost energy to avoid being a third-world state.

Please reject this costly FP&L plan to raise our rates in order for them to install a non-diversified supply of dominantly solar energy which will make our great state just like California.

Thank you,

--

Jeanne Kacprzak
6879 NW 113 Avenue
Parkland, FL 33076
Email: jeannekacprzak@gmail.com
Mobile: 954-857-7040

Please note that under Florida law correspondence sent to the Governor's Office, which is not confidential or exempt pursuant to chapter 119 of the Florida Statutes, is a public record made available upon request.

Antonia Hover

From: Governor's Office of Citizen Services <EOGCitizenServices@eog.myflorida.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 2:37 PM
To: EOG-Referral
Subject: FW: PSC Docket 20250011

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

From: Pico <comichazi@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 2:24 PM
To: GovernorRon.DeSantis@eog.myflorida.com
Subject: PSC Docket 20250011

Dear Governor DeSantis:

As a supporter of FLORIDIANS for abundant, reliable 24/7, low cost & low footprint electricity, I strongly urge you to reject PSC Docket 20250011, FP& L's proposed \$9 billion rate hike. My position is based on multiple good reasons including maintaining low-cost energy, maintaining a reliable availability of power, promoting a diversity of energy, promoting wise land use, & ensuring an attractive business environment for smart growth.

In the last 3 years, I've been amazed to learn about numerous misguided energy production ventures where many have been well-intentioned but they, like the FP&L request, have numerous shortcomings. The common thread in these misguided exploits is they consist of Green or Renewable energy examples. These examples include wind & solar developments & they're bad because of where they've been placed or proposed & their implementation scale. For example, 3-4 offshore wind turbine projects have been placed in New England coastal waters where during construction these ventures were found to severely adversely impact the most endangered mammal in N.

America, the Atlantic right whale as well as numerous other marine mammals. That's only the start, as New Englanders have found their energy bills have now doubled & when combined with impacts to commercial fisheries activities, things only got worse (seafood costs rose). FP&L, Duke Energy, & TECO are now travelling the same unwise path & are overly promoting solar energy which is obviously going to cost FLORIDIANS (\$9B) as evidenced in this Docket proposal.

FP&L has been inappropriately promoting solar power despite its limitations and inherent shortcomings. This Docket proposal would take place over 10 yrs & requires 192,000 acres of surface land area, largely in So. Florida.

This type of power generation is maximally efficient for only 5.2 hrs of the day (DOE Berkeley Natl Lab) & this is tops with the best weather conditions. Further, this Docket strategy requires both solar & battery storage (BESS) components which require import products- lithium batteries namely from Chinese supply sources or Chinese sub-vendor countries. Also, numerous sources-Reuters, other news sources, & govt. sources (DOE Sandia Lab 2017) have revealed the presence of control sensors embedded within solar panels, power transformers, & inverters of Chinese origin...all vital components of this energy Docket proposal.

Building this type of solar & BESS capacity has caused rates to rise in areas where power diversity is limited and solar is the mainstay. Over the last 5 yrs. in western Europe, Spain, & Australia, there have been many rolling "blackouts" that have occurred due to an overreliance on solar power and where the power grid has been unwisely configured with minimal power diversity.

This proposal is not acceptable because of FP&L's unwise history in solar power development, a need to maintain FL's existing long-term economies, and a need for future smart

development. The land space needed for this Docket proposal (ie., 192,000 acres) will in time infringe on Florida's longstanding cattle production, citrus farming, & crop production in the So. FL landscape. Solar farms will simply take up too much land space. Florida has good potential for hosting Artificial Intelligence (AI) development with its current land area & a low business tax framework to attract this growing AI expansion. However, AI absolutely requires a highly dependable 24-hr power grid which could be met with a rich power diversity. Hence, Florida's power providers should be working to diversify its array of power generation. Thankfully, Pres. Trump has ordered a full reform of nuclear power permitting that should be operational in a couple of years. This reform and the refinement of small module (nuclear) reactors have fueled high hopes for a new era of nuclear power development that Duke Energy & FP&L should partake in. Further, FL utilities should be developing a more diverse power character with more natural gas proposals built with US components & sources ..all of which are cost efficient & American made. Thus, I strongly urge you to REJECT this Docket proposal as this action should alternatively lead to a more reliable and abundant, low-cost power diversity that will benefit FL businesses and current & future FP&L ratepayers. Sincerely,

Duane Trochessett
311 23rd St SW
Naples, FL 34117

Please note that under Florida law correspondence sent to the Governor's Office, which is not confidential or exempt pursuant to chapter 119 of the Florida Statutes, is a public record made available upon request.

Antonia Hover

From: Governor's Office of Citizen Services <EOGCitizenServices@eog.myflorida.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 11:35 AM
To: EOG-Referral
Subject: FW: FP&L solar energy please reject

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

From: polly7702@aol.com <polly7702@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2025 11:20 AM
To: GovernorRon.DeSantis@eog.myflorida.com
Subject: FP&L solar energy please reject

From: Floridians for abundant, reliable 24/7, low cost & low footprint electricity who request to reject PSC Docket 20250011, FP&L's proposed \$9.0B rate hike.

Whereas:

- 1. The state has seen a completely non-diversified supply of new electric generating capacity added from 2019-2025 by its Florida regulated utilities, being utility scale solar and battery storage only.*
- 2. Filed ten year site plans of the regulated utilities within SERC Florida project some 91% of 2025-2034 of new capacity additions being solar and battery storage (BESS).*
- 3. The related equipment deployed and planned (thin film PV and processed lithium battery components) emanates from Chinese supply sources, and Chinese sub-vendor countries. Fox News, Reuters, and other news agencies; along with a 2017 DOE Sandia lab evaluation have reported the presence of controlling sensors embedded within solar panels, power transformers, and inverters, of Chinese origin.*
- 4. On an energy supply basis, this form of electrification supports Florida power generation only some 5.2 hrs. average hours per day per the NREL. Per The DOE Berkeley National Labs., the net accredited capacity factor of Florida Solar power is only 23%.*
- 5. Based on the above, the Energy basis Kwh installed cost of Florida solar power is 8.5X that of the advanced gas fired combined cycle power technology installed across Florida during the 2010-2019 period, and 4.25X*

as costly as that which would be applied, if based on present new combined cycle build cost estimates.

- 6. The battery storage proposed within the ten year site plans, required to back up just a portion of the intermittent solar power only 2-3 hrs. per day, costs 3.9X advanced combined cycle power.*
- 7. Based upon the part time and non-reliable nature of the power sources described above, winter and summer peak reserve margins will suffer in Florida, adding to present reliability challenges. Winter reserve margins shall decline by some 10% according to FP&L alone. As well, Florida regulated utilities have begun soliciting customers to reduce power demand during summer and winter peak (ex. four thirty PM seven thirty PM summer peak periods) and shift this demand to midnight to five AM).*
- 8. The Docket assumes as well that existing Florida serving constant duty, base load power plants across Florida shall be shuttered, adding great cost and a net reliability loss to ratepayers via the part time and intermittent, non-dispatchable solar replacement power.*
- 9. FP&L 74.5MW solar farms consume on average, 680 acres each; across FP&L's filed ten year site plan, aggregating some 192,000 acres. This same annual Kwh electrical capacity, if combined cycle, on an energy delivered basis, would consume only some 66 acres.*
- 10. As large quantities of utility scale solar farms are added within a given region, their incremental capacity factor declines markedly, by up to some 40%, according to MISO and WECC studies.*
- 11. Building this kind of solar and BESS capacity has caused rates to rise dramatically in all markets where applied heavily (Western Europe, CA, Australia), along with interim supply shortages to the detriment of consumer ratepayers and industry alike.*
- 12. Alternate, cost effective, proven technology appears available to deploy here, given recent large awards to GE Vernova provided by Duke Energy (11 units), along with Nextera, targeting their hyperscaler and data center clients.*

We urge you to reject this extremely costly FP&L plan to continue to install a non-diversified supply of dominantly solar and BESS technology across Florida; as very clearly to the economic, reliability, energy quantity, and land availability detriment of present & potential future Florida Power & Light ratepayers.

Michelle Pyle

829 Palm St Unit A, B and C

Marco Island, Fl 34145

[Sent from the all new AOL app for iOS](#)

Please note that under Florida law correspondence sent to the Governor's Office, which is not confidential or exempt pursuant to chapter 119 of the Florida Statutes, is a public record made available upon request.

Antonia Hover

From: Governor's Office of Citizen Services <EOGCitizenServices@eog.myflorida.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 11:01 AM
To: EOG-Referral
Subject: FW: PSC docket #20250011

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

From: Ben Nottingham <wewe777bn@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 9:43 AM
To: GovernorRon.DeSantis@eog.myflorida.com
Subject: PSC docket #20250011

Dear Governor DeSantis:

As a supporter of FLORIDIANS for abundant, reliable 24/7, low cost & low footprint electricity, I **strongly urge you to reject PSC Docket 20250011, FP& L's proposed \$9 billion rate hike.** My position is based on multiple good reasons including maintaining low-cost energy, maintaining a reliable availability of power, **promoting a diversity of energy**, promoting wise land use, & ensuring an attractive business environment for smart growth.

In the last 3 years, I've been amazed to learn about numerous misguided energy production ventures where many have been well-intentioned but they, like the FP&L request, have numerous shortcomings. The common thread in these misguided exploits is they consist of Green or Renewable energy examples. These examples include wind & solar developments & they're bad because of where they've been placed or proposed & their implementation scale. For example, 3-4 offshore wind turbine projects have been placed in New England coastal waters where during construction these ventures were found to severely adversely impact the most endangered mammal in N. America, the Atlantic right whale as well as numerous other marine mammals. That's only the start, as New Englanders have found their energy bills have now doubled & when combined with impacts to commercial fisheries activities, things only got worse (seafood costs rose). FP&L, Duke Energy, & TECO are now travelling the same unwise path & are overly promoting solar energy which is obviously going to cost FLORIDIANS (\$9B) as evidenced in this Docket proposal.

FP&L has been inappropriately promoting solar power despite it's limitations and inherent shortcomings. This Docket proposal would take place over 10 yrs & requires 192,000 acres of surface land area, largely in So. Florida. This type of power generation is maximally efficient for **only 5.2 hrs** of the day (DOE Berkeley Natl Lab) & this is tops with the best weather conditions. Further, this Docket strategy requires both solar & battery storage (BESS) components which require import products- lithium batteries namely from Chinese supply sources or Chinese sub-vendor countries. Also, numerous sources-Reuters, other news sources, & govt. sources (DOE Sandia Lab 2017) have revealed the presence of control sensors embedded within solar panels, power transformers, & inverters of Chinese origin...all vital components of this energy Docket proposal. Building this type of solar & BESS capacity **has caused rates to rise** in areas where power diversity is limited and solar is the mainstay. Over the last 5 yrs. in western Europe, Spain, & Australia, there have been many rolling "blackouts" that have occurred due to an overreliance on solar power and where the power grid has been unwisely configured with minimal power diversity.

This proposal is not acceptable because of FP&L's unwise history in solar power development, a need to maintain FL's existing long-term economies, and a need for future smart development. The land space needed for this Docket proposal (ie., 192,000 acres) will in time infringe on Florida's longstanding cattle production, citrus farming, & crop production in the So. FL landscape. Solar farms will simply take up too much land space. Florida has good potential for hosting Artificial Intelligence (AI) development with its current land area & a low business tax framework to attract this growing AI expansion. However, AI absolutely requires a highly dependable 24-hr power grid which could be met with a rich power diversity. Hence, Florida's power providers should be working to diversify its array of power generation. Thankfully, Pres. Trump has ordered a full reform of nuclear power permitting that should be operational in a couple of years. This reform and the refinement of small module (nuclear) reactors have fueled high hopes for a

new era of nuclear power development that Duke Energy & FP&L should partake in. Further, FL utilities should be developing a more diverse power character with more natural gas proposals built with US components & sources ..all of which are cost efficient & American made.

Thus, I **strongly urge you to REJECT this Docket proposal** as this action should alternatively lead to a more reliable and abundant, low-cost power diversity that will benefit FL businesses and current & future FP&L ratepayers.

Respectfully,

Ben G. Nottingham Jr.

1897 W. Crown Pointe Blvd.

Naples, FL 34112

Please note that under Florida law correspondence sent to the Governor's Office, which is not confidential or exempt pursuant to chapter 119 of the Florida Statutes, is a public record made available upon request.

Antonia Hover

From: Governor's Office of Citizen Services <EOGCitizenServices@eog.myflorida.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 11:00 AM
To: EOG-Referral
Subject: FW: Regarding Docket 20250011

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

From: Tad Perry <tperry1972sf@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 9:50 AM
To: GovernorRon.DeSantis@eog.myflorida.com
Subject: Regarding Docket 20250011

From: Floridians for abundant, reliable 24/7, low cost & low footprint electricity who request to reject PSC Docket 20250011, FP&L's proposed \$9.0B rate hike.

Whereas:

1. The state has seen a completely non-diversified supply of new electric generating capacity added from 2019-2025 by its Florida regulated utilities, being utility scale solar and battery storage only.
2. Filed ten year site plans of the regulated utilities within SERC Florida project some 91% of 2025-2034 of new capacity additions being solar and battery storage (BESS).
3. The related equipment deployed and planned (thin film PV and processed lithium battery components) emanates from Chinese supply sources, and Chinese sub-vendor countries. Fox News, Reuters, and other news agencies; along with a 2017 DOE Sandia lab evaluation have reported the presence of controlling sensors embedded within solar panels, power transformers, and inverters, of Chinese origin.
4. On an energy supply basis, this form of electrification supports Florida power generation only some 5.2 hrs. average hours per day per the NREL. Per The DOE Berkeley National Labs., the net accredited capacity factor of Florida Solar power is only 23%.
5. Based on the above, the Energy basis KwH installed cost of Florida solar power is 8.5X that of the advanced gas fired combined cycle power technology installed across Florida during the 2010-2019 period, and 4.25X as costly as that which would be applied, if based on present new combined cycle build cost estimates.
6. The battery storage proposed within the ten year site plans, required to back up just a portion of the intermittent solar power only 2-3 hrs. per day, costs 3.9X advanced combined cycle power.

7. Based upon the part time and non-reliable nature of the power sources described above, winter and summer peak reserve margins will suffer in Florida, adding to present reliability challenges. Winter reserve margins shall decline by some 10% according to FP&L alone. As well, Florida regulated utilities have begun soliciting customers to reduce power demand during summer and winter peak (ex. four thirty PM seven thirty PM summer peak periods) and shift this demand to midnight to five AM).
8. The Docket assumes as well that existing Florida serving constant duty, base load power plants across Florida shall be shuttered, adding great cost and a net reliability loss to ratepayers via the part time and intermittent, non-dispatchable solar replacement power.
9. FP&L 74.5MW solar farms consume on average, 680 acres each; across FP&L's filed ten year site plan, aggregating some 192,000 acres. This same annual kWh electrical capacity, if combined cycle, on an energy delivered basis, would consume only some 66 acres.
10. As large quantities of utility scale solar farms are added within a given region, their incremental capacity factor declines markedly, by up to some 40%, according to MISO and WECC studies.
11. Building this kind of solar and BESS capacity has caused rates to rise dramatically in all markets where applied heavily (Western Europe, CA, Australia), along with interim supply shortages to the detriment of consumer ratepayers and industry alike.
12. Alternate, cost effective, proven technology appears available to deploy here, given recent large awards to GE Vernova provided by Duke Energy (11 units), along with Nextera, targeting their hyperscaler and data center clients.

We urge you to reject this extremely costly FP&L plan to continue to install a non-diversified supply of dominantly solar and BESS technology across Florida; as very clearly to the economic, reliability, energy quantity, and land availability detriment of present & potential future Florida Power & Light ratepayers.

Tad Perry

16225 Verilyn Circle

Naples, FL 34110

Please note that under Florida law correspondence sent to the Governor's Office, which is not confidential or exempt pursuant to chapter 119 of the Florida Statutes, is a public record made available upon request.