



David M. Lee
Senior Attorney
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408
(561) 691-7263
(561) 691-7135 (facsimile)
David.Lee@fpl.com

September 4, 2025

-VIA ELECTRONIC FILING-

Adam Teitzman
Division of Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 20250001-EI

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

Attached for electronic filing in the above docket is the prepared testimony and exhibit of Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") witness Michael V. Cashman. This testimony is submitted in support of FPL's Petition for Approval of its Levelized Fuel Cost Recovery Factors and Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for January 2026 through December 2026.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions regarding this filing.

Sincerely,

s/ David M. Lee

David M. Lee

Attachments

cc: Counsel for Parties of Record (w/ attachments)

Florida Power & Light Company

700 Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach, FL 33408

23123541v1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
Docket No. 20250001-EI

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished
by electronic service on this 4th day of September 2025 to the following:

Ryan Sandy
Office of General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
rsandy@psc.state.fl.us

J. Jeffry Wahlen
Malcolm N. Means
Virginia Ponder
Ausley McMullen
Post Office Box 391
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
jwahlen@ausley.com
mmeans@ausley.com
vponder@ausley.com
Attorneys for Tampa Electric Company

Paula K. Brown
Manager, Regulatory Coordination
Tampa Electric Company
Post Office Box 111
Tampa, Florida 33601
regdept@tecoenergy.com

Beth Keating
Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A.
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
bkeating@gunster.com
Attorneys for Florida Public Utilities Company

Mike Cassel
Vice President/Governmental
And Regulatory Affairs
Florida Public Utilities Company
208 Wildlight Avenue
Yulee, Florida 32097
mcassel@fpuc.com

Walt Trierweiler
Charles J. Rehwinkel
Mary A. Wessling
Patricia A. Christensen
Octavio Ponce
Austin Watrous
Office of Public Counsel
The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
trierweiler.walt@leg.state.fl.us
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
wessling.mary@leg.state.fl.us
christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
ponce.octavio@leg.state.fl.us
watrous.austin@leg.state.fl.us
Attorneys for the Citizens of the State of Florida

Matthew R. Bernier
Robert L. Pickels
Stephanie A. Cuello
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
matt.bernier@duke-energy.com
robert.pickels@duke-energy.com
stephanie.cuello@duke-energy.com
FLRegulatoryLegal@duke-energy.com
Attorneys for Duke Energy Florida

Dianne M. Triplett
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, Florida 33701
dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com
Duke Energy Florida

Michelle Napier
Director, Regulatory Affairs
Jowi Baugh
Senior Manager/Regulatory Affairs
**Florida Public Utilities
Company/Chesapeake**
1635 Meathe Drive
West Palm Beach, Florida 33411
mnapier@fpuc.com
jbaugh@chpk.com

Peter J. Mattheis
Michael K. Lavanga
Joseph R. Briscar
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington, DC 20007
pjm@smxblaw.com
mkl@smxblaw.com
jrb@smxblaw.com
Attorneys for Nucor Steel Florida, Inc.

James W. Brew
Laura Wynn Baker
Sarah B. Newman
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, P.C.
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington, DC 20007
jbrew@smxblaw.com
lwb@smxblaw.com
sbn@smxblaw.com
**Attorneys for White Springs Agricultural
Chemicals Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate – White
Springs**

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
jmoyle@moylelaw.com
**Attorneys for Florida Industrial Power Users
Group**

William C. Garner
Law Office of William C. Garner, PLLC
3425 Bannerman Road
Unit 105, No. 414
Tallahassee, FL 32312
bgarner@wcglawoffice.com
**Attorney for Southern Alliance for Clean
Energy**

s/ David M. Lee

David M. Lee
Florida Bar No. 103152

1 **BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

2 **FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**

3 **TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL V. CASHMAN**

4 **DOCKET NO. 20250001-EI**

5 **SEPTEMBER 4, 2025**

6
7 **Q. Please state your name, business address, employer and position.**

8 A. My name is Michael V. Cashman. My business address is 700 Universe Boulevard,
9 Juno Beach, Florida, 33408. I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company
10 ("FPL") as Executive Director of Wholesale Operations in the Energy Marketing and
11 Trading Division.

12 **Q. Have you previously testified in this docket?**

13 A. Yes.

14 **Q. Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your supervision, direction and**
15 **control any exhibits or schedules in this proceeding?**

16 A. Yes, I am sponsoring Exhibit MVC-2 - 2026 Projected Dispatch Costs and Availability. I
17 am co-sponsoring the following schedules included in the exhibits of FPL witness
18 Mohomed:

- 19 • Schedules E2 through E9 and H1 included in Exhibit AM-5; and
20 • Schedule E12 included in Exhibits AM-6

1 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

2 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present and explain FPL’s projections for (1) the
3 dispatch costs of natural gas, light fuel oil, and coal; (2) the availability of natural gas
4 to FPL; (3) generating unit heat rates and availabilities; (4) the quantities and costs of
5 wholesale (off-system) power sales and purchased power transactions; and (5) the
6 Incremental Optimization Costs included in FPL’s 2026 Projection Filing.

7

8

FUEL PRICE FORECAST

9 **Q. What forecast methodologies has FPL used for the 2026 recovery period?**

10 A. For natural gas commodity prices, the forecast methodology relies upon the NYMEX
11 Natural Gas Futures contract prices (forward curve). For light fuel oil prices, FPL utilizes
12 Over-The-Counter (“OTC”) forward market prices. For coal, FPL utilizes actual coal
13 purchases, current market quotes, and information from S&P Global to develop its short-
14 and long-term coal price forecasts. Forecasts for the availability of natural gas are
15 developed internally at FPL and are based on contractual commitments and market
16 experience. The forward curves for both natural gas and light fuel oil represent expected
17 future prices at a given point in time. The basic assumption made with respect to using
18 the forward curves is that all available data that could impact the price of natural gas and
19 light fuel oil in the short-term is incorporated into the curves at all times. FPL utilized
20 forward curve prices from the close of business on August 1, 2025 for calculating its
21 projected fuel costs included in the 2026 Fuel Cost Recovery (“FCR”) factors. This
22 forecast methodology and the resulting fuel forecast were utilized to develop cost
23 projections for FPL during the January 2026 through December 2026 time period.

1 **Q. Has FPL previously used these same forecasting methodologies?**

2 A. Yes. For natural gas and light fuel oil, FPL began using the NYMEX Natural Gas Futures
3 contract prices (forward curve) and OTC forward market prices, respectively, in 2004 for
4 its 2005 projections and has used this methodology consistently since that time. For coal
5 price forecasting, FPL implemented the methodology described above beginning in
6 March 2022.

7 **Q. What are the factors that typically can affect FPL’s natural gas prices during the**
8 **January through December 2026 period?**

9 A. In general, the key factors are (1) North American natural gas demand and domestic
10 production; (2) the level of working gas in underground storage throughout the period;
11 (3) weather (particularly in the winter period); (4) the potential for imports and/or
12 exports of natural gas; and (5) the terms of FPL’s natural gas supply and transportation
13 contracts.

14

15 Henry Hub natural gas spot prices averaged \$3.72 per MMBtu for the first half of 2025,
16 compared with an annual average of \$2.25 per MMBtu in 2024. In its August 2025 Short-
17 Term Energy Outlook (“STEO”), the Energy Information Administration (“EIA”)
18 forecasts that Henry Hub natural gas spot prices will average \$3.60 per MMBtu for 2025
19 and \$4.30 per MMBtu in 2026.

20

21 In its latest STEO, the EIA forecasts that demand for natural gas will have a slight
22 reduction in 2026, dropping from roughly 91.4 billion cubic feet per day (“BCF/day”) in
23 2025 to 91.2 BCF/day in 2026 due to normalizing weather.

1 The EIA forecasts dry natural gas production to average 106.4 BCF/day during 2025 and
2 slightly decrease to 106.1 BCF/day in 2026.

3 **Q. Please describe FPL’s natural gas transportation portfolio for the January through**
4 **December 2026 period.**

5 A. FPL utilizes the Florida Gas Transmission Company, LLC (“FGT”), Gulfstream Natural
6 Gas System, LLC (“Gulfstream”), Sabal Trail Transmission, LLC (“Sabal Trail”), Florida
7 Southeast Connection, LLC (“FSC”), and Gulf South Pipeline Company, LLC (“Gulf
8 South”) pipelines to deliver natural gas to its generation facilities. FPL’s total firm
9 transportation capacity on FGT ranges from 1,387,000 to 1,511,000 MMBtu/day. It also
10 has 695,000 MMBtu/day of firm transport on Gulfstream, 600,000 MMBtu/day of firm
11 transport on Sabal Trail/FSC, and 30,000 MMBtu/day of firm transport on Gulf South.

12

13 FPL also has firm transportation capacity on several upstream pipelines that provide FPL
14 access to onshore gas supply. FPL has 325,000 MMBtu/day of firm transport on the
15 Southeast Supply Header, LLC (“SESH”) pipeline, 121,500 MMBtu/day of firm transport
16 with an additional 21,477 MMBtu/day of firm transport (January-March 2026) on the
17 Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, LLC (“Transco”) Zone 4A lateral, 200,000
18 MMBtu/day (January through March and November through December) and 345,000
19 MMBtu/day (April through October) of firm transport on the Gulf South pipeline, 80,000
20 MMBtu/day of firm transport on the Gulf South and Destin Pipeline Company, LLC
21 (“Destin”) pipelines combined, 75,000 MMBtu/day (January – October) of firm transport
22 on the Midcontinent Express Pipeline LLC (“MEP”) and Destin pipelines combined, and
23 225,000 MMBtu/day of firm transport on the FGT and Trunkline Gas Company, LLC

1 (“Trunkline”) pipelines combined. FPL’s firm transportation rights on these pipelines
2 provide access for up to 1,171,500 MMBtu/day of onshore natural gas supply during the
3 summer season, which helps diversify FPL’s natural gas portfolio and enhance the
4 reliability of fuel supply.

5 **Q. Please describe FPL’s natural gas storage position.**

6 A. FPL currently holds firm natural gas storage capacity of 4.0 BCF at Bay Gas Storage
7 (“Bay Gas”) in southwest Alabama, with capacity expanding to 5.0 BCF effective April
8 1, 2026, 1.0 BCF of firm natural gas storage capacity in Southern Pines Energy Center
9 (“Southern Pines”), located in southeast Mississippi, with capacity expanding to 3.0 BCF
10 effective April 1, 2026, and 2.0 BCF of firm natural gas storage capacity in Petal Gas
11 Storage, located in southern Mississippi.

12

13 FPL continually evaluates its natural gas storage portfolio and will make adjustments as
14 required to maintain reliability, provide the necessary flexibility to respond to demand
15 changes, and to diversify its overall portfolio.

16 **Q. What are FPL’s projections for the dispatch cost and availability of natural gas**
17 **for the January through December 2026 period?**

18 A. FPL’s projections of the system average dispatch cost and availability of natural gas,
19 by transport type, by pipeline and by month, are provided on page 1 of Exhibit MVC-2.

20 **Q. Please describe FPL’s utilization of light fuel oil.**

21 A. FPL primarily utilizes light fuel oil (or ultra-low sulfur diesel) as a back-up fuel in its
22 natural gas-fired generation units. FPL’s light fuel oil system is comprised of
23 approximately 1.5 million barrels of storage that provides an average of 82 hours of full

1 load operation across the fleet of dual-fired units. FPL's light fuel oil system offers
2 substantial flexibility through varying tank sizes, resupply options, and through varying
3 locations and proximity to supply sources.

4 **Q. Please provide FPL's projection for the dispatch cost of light fuel oil for the January**
5 **through December 2026 period.**

6 A. FPL's projection for the system average dispatch cost of light fuel oil, by month, is
7 provided on page 1 of Exhibit MVC-2.

8 **Q. What is the basis for FPL's projections of the dispatch cost of coal for Plant Scherer?**

9 A. FPL's projected dispatch cost is based on FPL's price projection for coal delivered to the
10 plant.

11 **Q. Please provide FPL's projection for the dispatch cost of coal at Plant Scherer for the**
12 **January through December 2026 period.**

13 A. FPL's projection for the system average dispatch cost of coal for this period, by month, is
14 shown on page 1 of Exhibit MVC-2.

15 **Q. Do the fuel costs reflected on Schedule E3 for light oil and coal differ from the**
16 **dispatch costs shown on page 1 of Exhibit MVC-2?**

17 A. Yes. FPL maintains inventories of those fuels and runs its plants out of that inventory.
18 The dispatch costs reflect what FPL would pay to replace fuel that is removed from
19 inventory to run the plants. On the other hand, the "charge out" costs for light oil and coal
20 that are reflected on Schedule E3 are based on FPL's weighted average inventory cost, by
21 month, for each fuel type.

**PLANT HEAT RATES, OUTAGE FACTORS, PLANNED
OUTAGES, AND CHANGES IN GENERATING CAPACITY**

Q. Please describe how FPL developed the projected Average Net Heat Rates shown on Schedule E4 of Exhibit AM-5.

A. The projected Average Net Heat Rates were calculated by the GenTrader model. The current heat rate equations and efficiency factors for FPL's generating units, which present heat rate as a function of unit power level, were used as inputs to GenTrader for this calculation. The heat rate equations and efficiency factors are updated as appropriate based on historical unit performance and projected changes or upgrades to plant equipment.

Q. Are you providing the outage factors projected for the period January through December 2026?

A. Yes. This data is shown on page 2 of Exhibit MVC-2.

Q. How were the outage factors for this period developed?

A. The unplanned outage factors were developed using the actual historical full and partial outage event data for each of the units. The historical unplanned outage factor of each generating unit was adjusted, as necessary, to eliminate non-recurring events and recognize the effect of planned outages to arrive at the projected factor for the period January through December 2026.

Q. Please describe the significant planned outages for the January through December 2026 period.

A. Planned outages at FPL's nuclear units are the most significant in relation to fuel cost recovery. St. Lucie Unit 2 is scheduled to be out of service from April 18, 2026 until May

1 30, 2026, or 42 days during the period. Turkey Point Unit 3 is scheduled to be out of
2 service from January 31, 2026 until April 16, 2026, or 75 days during the period.

3 **Q. Please identify any changes to FPL's generation capacity projected to take place**
4 **during the January through December 2026 period.**

5 A. As shown in FPL's 2025 Ten Year Power Plant Site Plan (Schedule 8, page 163), FPL
6 projects a net increase in its 2026 summer firm capacity of 1,435 MW. This increase is
7 attributable to the addition of 114 MW of solar generation and 1,346 MW of battery
8 storage. The additions are off-set by solar degradation (12 MW) and the retirement of
9 gas-fired generation (12 MW).

10

11

WHOLESALE (OFF-SYSTEM) POWER AND

12

PURCHASED POWER TRANSACTIONS

13 **Q. Are you providing the projected wholesale (off-system) power sales and purchased**
14 **power transactions forecasted for January through December 2026?**

15 A. Yes. This data is shown on Schedules E6, E7, E8, and E9 of Exhibit AM-5 of this filing.

16

17 **Q. In what types of wholesale (off-system) power transactions does FPL engage?**

18 A. FPL purchases FERC-mandated wholesale energy from Qualifying Facilities.
19 Additionally, FPL engages in structured Power Purchase Agreements ("PPA") and
20 shorter term, opportunistic economy power sales and purchases, benefiting FPL's
21 customers. Power purchases and sales are executed under specific tariffs that allow FPL
22 to transact with a given entity. Although FPL primarily transacts on a short-term basis
23 (hourly and daily transactions), FPL continuously searches for all opportunities to lower

1 fuel costs through purchasing and selling wholesale power, regardless of the duration of
2 the transaction.

3 **Q. Please describe the method used to forecast wholesale (off-system) economy power**
4 **purchases and sales.**

5 A. Wholesale (off-system) economy power purchases and sales are projected based upon
6 estimated generation costs, generation availability, fuel availability, expected market
7 conditions and historical data.

8 **Q. What are the forecasted amounts and costs of wholesale (off-system) economy power**
9 **sales?**

10 A. FPL has projected 2,859,837 MWh of wholesale (off-system) economy power sales for
11 the period of January through December 2026. The projected fuel cost related to these
12 sales is \$93,820,551. The projected transaction revenue from these sales is \$130,431,318.
13 After considering the transmission costs and capacity revenues, the projected gain is
14 \$30,340,852.

15 **Q. In what schedule are the fuel costs for wholesale (off-system) economy power sales**
16 **transactions reported?**

17 A. Schedule E6 of Exhibit AM-5 provides the total MWh of energy, total dollars for fuel
18 adjustment, total cost and total gain for wholesale (off-system) economy power sales.

19 **Q. What are the forecasted amounts and costs of wholesale (off-system) economy power**
20 **purchases for the January to December 2026 period?**

21 A. The costs of these economy purchases are shown on Schedule E9 of Exhibit AM-5.
22 For the period, FPL projects it will purchase a total of 137,820 MWh at a cost of
23 \$7,925,470. If FPL generated this energy, FPL estimates that it would cost

1 \$10,202,830. Therefore, these economy purchases are projected to result in savings of
2 \$2,277,360.

3 **Q. Does FPL have additional agreements for the purchase of electric power and**
4 **energy that are included in your projections?**

5 A. Yes. FPL purchases energy under two contracts with the Solid Waste Authority of
6 Palm Beach County (“SWA”) and under two wind energy purchase agreements
7 (“Kingfisher I” and “Kingfisher II”) with Morgan Stanley Capital Group. FPL has
8 extended a PPA with Southern Company for output from Santa Rosa Energy Center
9 Combined Cycle Plant (“Santa Rosa PPA”) for 230 MW of capacity and energy, in
10 order to supplement FPL’s winter reserves, while providing fuel savings. The Santa
11 Rosa PPA extension runs from January 1, 2026 through February 28, 2026. In addition,
12 FPL contracts to purchase and sell nuclear energy under the St. Lucie Plant Nuclear
13 Reliability Exchange Agreements with Orlando Utilities Commission and Florida
14 Municipal Power Agency. Lastly, FPL purchases energy and capacity from Qualifying
15 Facilities and “as-available” energy from a number of cogeneration and small power
16 production facilities under existing tariffs and contracts, including solar energy
17 purchases under agreements with three solar facilities located in Northwest Florida.

18 **Q. Please provide the projected energy costs to be recovered through the FCR Clause**
19 **for the power purchases referred to above during the January through December**
20 **2026 period.**

21 A. Energy purchases under the SWA agreements are projected to be 807,962 MWh for the
22 period at an energy cost of \$36,866,954. FPL projects to purchase 1,031,280 MWh at
23 an energy cost of \$57,017,979 from Kingfisher I and Kingfisher II combined.

1 Additionally, FPL projects to purchase 193,103 MWh at an energy cost of \$7,910,200
2 under the Santa Rosa PPA. FPL's cost for energy purchases under the St. Lucie Plant
3 Reliability Exchange Agreements is a function of the operation of St. Lucie Unit 2 and
4 the fuel costs to the owners. For the period, FPL projects purchases of 545,442 MWh
5 at an energy cost of \$2,642,475. These projections are shown on Schedule E7 of
6 Exhibit AM-5.

7
8 In addition, as shown on Schedule E8 of Exhibit AM-5, FPL projects that purchases
9 from Qualifying Facilities for the period will provide 568,031 MWh at a cost of
10 \$29,363,628.

11 **Q. How does FPL develop the projected energy costs related to purchases from**
12 **Qualifying Facilities?**

13 A. For those contracts that entitle FPL to purchase "as-available" energy at FPL's avoided
14 energy cost, FPL used its fuel price forecasts as inputs to the GenTrader model to
15 project the avoided energy cost that is used to set the price of these energy purchases
16 each month. For those contracts that are not based on FPL's avoided energy cost (firm
17 capacity and energy and "as-available" energy), the applicable Unit Energy Cost
18 mechanisms prescribed in the contracts are used to project monthly energy costs.

19 **Q. What are the forecasted amounts and cost of energy being sold under the St. Lucie**
20 **Plant Reliability Exchange Agreement?**

21 A. FPL projects to sell 629,806 MWh of energy at a cost of \$3,588,921. These projections
22 are shown on Schedule E6 of Exhibit AM-5.

23

1 **HEDGING/ RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN**

2 **Q. Has FPL filed a Hedging Activity Final True-Up Report for 2024, consistent with**
3 **the Hedging Order Clarification Guidelines, as required by Order No. PSC-08-**
4 **0667-PAA-EI issued on October 8, 2008?**

5 A. No. Pursuant to Paragraph 27 of the 2021 Rate Settlement, FPL's fuel hedging program
6 was under a moratorium. Therefore, FPL had no hedging activity to report for 2024.

7 **Q. Has FPL filed a comprehensive Risk Management Plan for 2026, consistent with**
8 **the Hedging Order Clarification Guidelines as required by Order No. PSC-08-**
9 **0667-PAA-EI issued on October 8, 2008?**

10 A. Yes. On September 2, 2025, FPL filed a revised comprehensive Risk Management
11 Plan for 2026.

12
13 **THE ASSET OPTIMIZATION PROGRAM**

14 **Q. Has FPL included a projection of the customer benefits it expects to achieve under**
15 **the Asset Optimization Program in 2026?**

16 A. Yes. FPL has included projections for savings on wholesale power purchases
17 (Schedule E9), projections for gains on wholesale power sales (Schedule E6), and
18 projections for other types of asset optimization measures (Schedule E2) for 2026.

19 **Q. Has FPL included in its 2026 FCR factors projections of the Incremental**
20 **Optimization Costs that it will incur under the Asset Optimization Program?**

21 A. Yes. FPL has included in its 2026 FCR factors, Incremental Optimization Costs from two
22 categories: (i) incremental personnel, software and hardware costs associated with

1 managing the various asset optimization activities, and (ii) variable power plant O&M
2 (“VOM”) costs associated with wholesale economy sales and purchases.

3 **Q. Have you made any changes in incremental personnel dedicated to the Asset**
4 **Optimization Program?**

5 A. FPL intends to dedicate an additional three and a half personnel to the Program to optimize
6 natural gas.

7 **Q. Please describe the costs that are included in FPL’s projections for incremental**
8 **personnel, software, and hardware expenses.**

9 A. FPL projects to incur incremental expenses of \$2,354,000 in 2026 for the salaries and
10 expenses related to the eight employees that will support the Asset Optimization Program.

11 **Q. Please describe the costs that are included in FPL’s projections for VOM**
12 **expenses.**

13 A. FPL has included for recovery in its 2026 FCR factors VOM expenses that reflect the
14 netting of economy sales and purchases. As shown on Schedules E6 and E9 of Exhibit
15 AM-5, FPL projects to sell 2,859,837 MWh and purchase 137,820 MWh of economy
16 power. The 2021 Rate Settlement prescribes a VOM rate of \$0.48/MWh. Applying
17 that rate, FPL projects to incur VOM expenses of \$1,372,722 associated with its
18 economy sales and to avoid \$66,154 with its economy purchases. FPL has included for
19 recovery the net of these two figures, \$1,306,568 (Schedule E2, sum of line nos. 14 and
20 15), in its 2026 FCR factors.

21 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

22 A. Yes.

Florida Power & Light Company
Projected Dispatch Costs and Projected Availability of Natural Gas
January 2026 Through December 2026

<u>Light Oil</u>	<u>January</u>	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>April</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>August</u>	<u>September</u>	<u>October</u>	<u>November</u>	<u>December</u>
Ultra-Low Sulfur Distillate (\$/Bbl)	103.48	103.09	102.32	101.30	100.63	100.15	100.17	100.26	100.42	100.57	100.54	100.40
Ultra-Low Sulfur Distillate (\$/MMBtu)	17.75	17.68	17.55	17.37	17.26	17.18	17.18	17.20	17.22	17.25	17.25	17.22
<u>Coal</u>	<u>January</u>	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>April</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>August</u>	<u>September</u>	<u>October</u>	<u>November</u>	<u>December</u>
Scherer (\$/MMBtu)	3.14	3.14	3.14	3.14	3.14	3.14	3.16	3.16	3.16	3.17	3.17	3.17
<u>Natural Gas Dispatch Price</u>	<u>January</u>	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>April</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>August</u>	<u>September</u>	<u>October</u>	<u>November</u>	<u>December</u>
Firm FGT (\$/MMBtu)	4.74	4.46	3.54	3.49	3.59	3.85	4.19	4.34	4.20	4.13	4.37	4.93
Firm Gulfstream (\$/MMBtu)	4.90	4.63	3.95	3.48	3.55	3.71	3.89	4.02	3.89	3.90	4.34	4.98
Firm Sabal Trail/FSC (\$/MMBtu)	5.38	5.09	4.24	4.04	4.25	4.52	4.99	5.05	4.79	4.52	4.69	5.19
Firm Gulf South (\$/MMBtu)	4.53	4.26	3.65	3.38	3.43	3.59	3.75	3.84	3.72	3.75	3.98	4.72
<u>Natural Gas Transportation</u>	<u>January</u>	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>April</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>August</u>	<u>September</u>	<u>October</u>	<u>November</u>	<u>December</u>
Delivery Transportation												
Firm FGT (MMBtu/Day)	1,237,000	1,237,000	1,237,000	1,326,000	1,361,000	1,361,000	1,361,000	1,361,000	1,361,000	1,326,000	1,237,000	1,237,000
Firm FGT Western Division (MMBtu/Day)	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000
Firm Gulfstream (MMBtu/Day)	695,000	695,000	695,000	695,000	695,000	695,000	695,000	695,000	695,000	695,000	695,000	695,000
Firm Sabal Trail/FSC (MMBtu/Day)	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000
Firm Gulf South (MMBtu/Day)	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000
Total Firm Delivery Availability (MMBtu/Day)	2,712,000	2,712,000	2,712,000	2,801,000	2,836,000	2,836,000	2,836,000	2,836,000	2,836,000	2,801,000	2,712,000	2,712,000
Upstream Transportation												
Firm SESH (MMBtu/Day)	325,000	325,000	325,000	325,000	325,000	325,000	325,000	325,000	325,000	325,000	325,000	325,000
Firm Transco (MMBtu/Day)	142,977	142,977	142,977	121,500	121,500	121,500	121,500	121,500	121,500	121,500	121,500	121,500
Firm Gulf South (MMBtu/Day)	200,000	200,000	200,000	345,000	345,000	345,000	345,000	345,000	345,000	345,000	200,000	200,000
Firm Gulf South/Destin (MMBtu/Day)	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000
Firm MEP/Destin (MMBtu/Day)	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	0	0
Firm Trunkline/FGT (MMBtu/Day)	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000
Total Upstream Availability (MMBtu/Day)	1,047,977	1,047,977	1,047,977	1,171,500	1,171,500	1,171,500	1,171,500	1,171,500	1,171,500	1,171,500	951,500	951,500

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
PROJECTED UNIT AVAILABILITIES & OUTAGE SCHEDULES
PERIOD OF: JANUARY 2026 THROUGH DECEMBER 2026

Plant/Unit	Forced Outage Factor (%)	Maintenance Outage Factor (%)	Planned Outage Factor (%)	Overhaul Date	Overhaul Date	Overhaul Date	Overhaul Date	Overhaul Date
Cape Canaveral 3	1.1	5.3	19.5	09/15/26 - 11/27/26	09/15/26 - 11/23/26			
Dania Beach 7	0.9	10.5	12.8	05/12/26 - 06/11/26	05/12/26 - 06/11/26	12/1/26 - 12/11/26	5/11/26 - 6/13/26	12/3/26 - 12/13/26
Fort Myers 2	0.7	5.1	0.0	NONE				
Fort Myers 3A	0.8	2.6	6.0	10/29/26 - 11/20/26				
Fort Myers 3B	0.8	2.6	6.0	11/21/26 - 12/13/26				
Fort Myers 3C	0.8	2.6	0.0	NONE				
Fort Myers 3D	0.7	2.3	0.0	NONE				
Ft. Myers GTs	2.5	2.6	0.0	NONE				
GCEC 4	0.0	0.0	8.2	10/1/26 - 10/31/26				
GCEC 5	4.3	4.9	8.2	10/25/26 - 11/24/26				
GCEC 6	6.0	10.5	15.3	03/31/26 - 05/26/26				
GCEC 7	6.2	9.9	0.0	NONE				
GCEC 8A	0.4	1.4	0.0	NONE				
GCEC 8B	0.4	1.4	0.0	NONE				
GCEC 8C	0.4	1.4	0.0	NONE				
GCEC 8D	0.4	1.4	0.0	NONE				
Lauderdale 6A	0.9	2.4	6.3	10/09/26 - 11/01/26				
Lauderdale 6B	0.9	2.4	0.0	NONE				
Lauderdale 6C	0.9	2.4	0.0	NONE				
Lauderdale 6D	0.9	2.4	6.0	03/09/26 - 03/31/26				
Lauderdale 6E	0.9	2.4	0.0	NONE				
Lauderdale GTs	2.3	0.2	0.0	NONE				
Manatee 3	0.4	5.2	0.0	NONE				
Martin 3	1.0	4.6	10.1	05/11/26 - 06/17/26	05/11/26 - 06/17/26	05/11/26 - 06/17/26		
Martin 4	1.2	5.6	10.1	09/15/26 - 10/22/26				
Martin 8	0.6	5.4	0.0	NONE				
Okeechobee 1	1.6	12.5	20.8	02/16/26 - 03/22/26	02/16/26 - 03/22/26	10/25/26 - 12/15/26	02/15/26 - 04/07/26	02/16/26 - 03/22/26
Port Everglades 5	0.7	4.5	0.0	NONE				
Riviera 5	1.0	5.0	20.5	09/29/26 - 12/13/26	09/29/26 - 12/13/26	09/29/26 - 12/13/26	09/29/26 - 12/13/26	
Sanford 4	0.3	4.3	9.3	11/10/26 - 12/14/26	11/10/26 - 12/14/26	11/10/26 - 12/14/26	11/10/26 - 12/14/26	11/10/26 - 12/14/26
Sanford 5	0.3	3.3	0.0	NONE				
Scherer 3	0.4	2.1	0.0	NONE				
Smith 3	0.7	5.1	7.4	03/07/26 - 03/30/26	10/15/26 - 10/19/26	03/07/26 - 03/30/26	10/20/26 - 10/24/26	03/07/26 - 03/30/26
St. Lucie 1	1.3	1.3	0.0	NONE				
St. Lucie 2	1.3	1.3	11.5	04/18/26 - 05/30/26				
Turkey Point 3	1.3	1.3	20.3	01/31/26 - 04/16/26				
Turkey Point 4	1.1	1.1	0.0	NONE				
Turkey Point 5	0.6	5.1	0.0	NONE				
West County 1	0.6	6.8	13.2	03/23/26 - 05/10/26	03/23/26 - 05/10/26	03/23/26 - 05/10/26	03/23/26 - 05/10/26	
West County 2	0.5	4.2	5.8	03/21/26 - 04/23/26	02/15/26 - 03/20/26			
West County 3	0.6	6.2	6.9	02/15/26 - 04/02/26	02/17/26 - 03/22/26			