

**Nickalus Holmes**

---

**From:** Nickalus Holmes on behalf of Records Clerk  
**Sent:** Friday, December 5, 2025 10:01 AM  
**To:** 'Robert Trento'  
**Cc:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** RE: Can Trump's EPA Break the Ethanol Habit? WSJ- Can only happen when Gov HELPS wrong things

Good Morning

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20250000-OT, and forwarding them to the Office of Consumer Assistance.

**From:** Robert Trento <btrento60@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, December 5, 2025 6:30 AM  
**To:** Savannah Kelly <Savannah.KellyJefferson@eog.myflorida.com>; Keri Pitzer <Pitzer.keri@flsenate.gov>; Rep Drew Clark Montez <drewmontezclark@gmail.com>; Noah Brown <Noah.brown@myfloridahouse.gov>; Adam.Botana@flhouse.gov; Chris.Hall@collier.gov; Michelle Salzman <Michelle.Salzman@myfloridahouse.gov>; AnnaGrace Michael <annaGrace.Michael@myfloridahouse.gov>; Commissioner Rick LoCastro <Rick.LoCastro@colliercountyfl.gov>; Dan Perez <daniel.perez@myfloridahouse.gov>; Ryan Chamberlin <ryan.chamberlin@flhouse.gov>; Jason Weida <jason.weida@eog.myflorida.com>; Alex Andrade <Alex.Andrade@myfloridahouse.gov>; Samantha Sullivan <Samantha.sullivan@myfloridahouse.gov>; Yvette Benarroch <Alsmarcoyvette@gmail.com>; .jonathan Martin <martin.jonathan.web@flsenate.gov>; Patti Maney <patti.maney@myfloridahouse.gov>; Turner Mitchell <turner.mitchell@myfloridahouse.gov>; Amamaria Rodrigues <rodriguez.anamaria.web@flsenate.gov>; Ron.DeSantis@eog.myflorida.com; Tracy Caruso <Votetracycaruso@gmail.com>; Don Gaetz <Gaetz.don.web@flsenate.gov>; Ian Thomson <Ian.Thomson@myfloridahouse.gov>; Peter Cuderman <peter.cuderman@eog.myflorida.com>; Vanessa.Oliver@flhouse.gov; Tiffany.Esposito@flhouse.gov; Lauren.Melo@flhouse.gov; Shane Abbott <shane.abbott@myfloridahouse.gov>; Nathan Boyles <nathan.boyles@myfloridahouse.gov>; Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>; Jenna.Persons@flhouse.gov; Leda Kelly <leda.kelly@laspbs.state.fl.us>  
**Subject:** Can Trump's EPA Break the Ethanol Habit? WSJ- Can only happen when Gov HELPS wrong things

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

***FYI:*** Heritage Foundation explains, Crude below \$60 barrel, pump prices remain high? Only happen when out of control Gov, helps ☐!!!! *FL planting "CCP Solar Farms" on tens of thousands of acres of Farm land producing most expensive energy POSSIBLE!!! EPA forces Refineries to buy more Ethanol than they can blend into Gasoline!!!!* ☐

- ***1972 Carter-Renewable Fuel Standard "RFS" mixing corn Ethanol in Gasoline!!!!***
- ***Today RFS \$34Bil industry serving special interests increasing cost of Transportation!!!!***
- ***Refineries Max 13.9 Bil Gal of Ethanol Annually EPA FORCES purchase of 15 Bil gallons? ☹***
- ***What do you do with 1.1 Bil gallons of ethanol you can blend, who pays?????*** ☐

**PS:** Much worse than artificially high fuel prices, subsidies to Farmers who Grow corn for ethanol, harming the environment, damages engines. (\$38Tril Gov Debt not easy to create□□□!!!)

- Case of Congress not willing to put interests of America above SPECIAL INTERESTS☹!!!

# Can Trump's EPA Break the Ethanol Habit?

## WSJ

By Mario Loyola And Derrick Morgan

Gasoline prices are down, but the Environmental Protection Agency is about to push them up. President Trump is committed to affordable energy, but he is also under intense pressure from the corn-state ethanol lobby.

Ethanol in the U.S. is a \$34 billion industry created by a hidden federal subsidy: the Renewable Fuel Standard, or RFS, a mandate that oil refineries blend a certain total amount of ethanol into the U.S. gasoline supply. The EPA has set the level far higher than the market can absorb. That pushes refineries near bankruptcy and compels them to plead for exemptions, which anger the ethanol lobby.

The EPA proposes to make refiners take the hit for the exempted backlog of recent years in addition to the excessive mandates for 2026-27. That means higher prices, damage to car engines, and fewer good blue-collar jobs.

The RFS is a relic of the 1970s oil shocks. President Jimmy Carter warned of “catastrophe” and lavished subsidies on “gasohol.” Oil scarcity proved fleeting, but corn-state interests had discovered an entitlement, and in 2005 Congress discovered a new rationale: climate change. Congress

set aggressive RFS targets, rising to 15 billion gallons of ethanol as U.S. gasoline consumption was projected to reach 150 billion gallons. The idea was to mandate as much as car engines could safely absorb: an ethanol content of about 10%. Thus E10 was born.

Contrary to forecasts, gasoline consumption never reached 150 billion gallons. This year’s projection is 139 billion, implying a maximum feasible ethanol volume of 13.9 billion gallons. Yet the EPA insists that refineries buy at least 15 billion gallons of ethanol. It also demands that refineries absorb the cost of excess amounts from prior years.

The EPA’s chief role under the RFS isn’t environmental protection but management

*Pressure from corn states means higher gasoline prices.*

of special-interest grievances. The agency’s latest proposal, to reassign retroactively years of exempted obligations, is legally dubious. The EPA openly admits its action

will raise fuel costs—the only one of several statutory factors that it analyzed—implicitly conceding that the statutory criteria no longer guide anything.

The *ethanol mandate is a deeply flawed policy. It does more harm than good for the environment, with an area the size of Michigan devoted to corn ethanol instead of something beneficial like natural habitat or food production— raising the prices of fuel and food as a result. If Congress could put the national interest above powerful special interests, it would repeal the RFS. That is unlikely to happen anytime soon, but there is an alternative.*

Under its post-2022 authority, the EPA can lawfully lower RFS volumes annually based on factors like infrastructure constraints and economic effects. The EPA should use this authority to set a glide path that steadily reduces mandated volumes to no higher than the “blend wall” of E10 at whatever level of gasoline consumption is forecast for the next couple of years.

Given the enormous infrastructure that already sustains ethanol production, it would be competitive at close to E10 even without the RFS subsidy. But producers should be responding to price signals, not to the decrees of an agency bent on enriching them at everyone else’s expense.

*Mr. Loyola is a senior fellow and Mr. Morgan executive vice president at the Heritage Foundation.*