BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application for a rate increase)
in Citrus, Martin, Marion and Charlotte/)
Lee County by SOUTHERN STATES UTILITIES,)
INC.; in Collier County by MARCO ISLAND)
UTILITIES (DELTONA) and MARCO SHORES)
UTILITIES (DELTONA); in Marion County by)
MARION OAKS UTILITIES (UNITED FLORIDA);)
and in Washington County by SUNNY HILLS)
UTILITIES (UNITED FLORIDA))

DOCKET NO. 900329-WS ORDER NO. 23315 ISSUED: 8-7-90

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE DIRECT TESTIMONY

)

On July 13, 1990, Southern States Utilities, Inc., Deltona Utilities, Inc., and United Florida Utilities Corporation (Applicants) filed engineering and accounting schedules designed to meet the minimum filing requirements (MFRs) for increased rates in Charlotte/Lee, Citrus, Collier, Marion, Martin, and Washington Counties. Applicants had previously been directed, by Order No. 23108, issued June 25, 1990, to file their direct testimony in this proceeding on or before August 3, 1990.

On July 27, 1990, Applicants filed a motion for extension of time to file their direct testimony. In their motion, Applicants stated that they had been informed by the Staff of this Commission (Staff), that there were deficiencies in their MFRs that needed to be remedied before their applications could be deemed complete. Indeed, a list of deficiencies was sent to Applicants by letter dated August 1, 1990.

In their motion, Applicants stated that they wished to file testimony consistent with their corrected MFRs. Accordingly, they requested that they be allowed to file their direct testimony concurrent with the filing of their revised MFRs. Applicants further stated that they had discussed their motion with the Office of Public Counsel and Staff and that both had orally represented that they would not oppose Applicants' request.

Upon consideration, it does not appear unreasonable for Applicants' to want to file testimony that is descriptive of

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07096 AUG -7 1990

PSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. 23315 DOCKET NO. 900329-WS PAGE 2

their corrected MFRs. Applicants shall, therefore, file their direct testimony at the time they file their corrected MFRs.

It is, accordingly,

ORDERED by Commissioner Thomas M. Beard that the motion for extension of time to file direct testimony filed by Southern States Utilities, Inc., Deltona Utilities, Inc., and United Florida Utilities Corporation is hereby granted. It is further

ORDERED that Southern States Utilities, Inc., Deltona Utilities, Inc., and United Florida Utilities Corporation shall prefile their direct testimony no later than the date that they file their corrected MFRs.

By ORDER of Commissioner Thomas M. Beard, this _7th day of _______, 1990___.

COMMISSIONER THOMAS M. BEARD Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

RJP

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought. ORDER NO. 23315 DOCKET NO. 900329-WS PAGE 3

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: 1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; 2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or 3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or sewer utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or Code. intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.