

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| | |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------|
| IN RE: Amendment of Rule 25-17.008,) | DOCKET NO. 891324-EU |
| F.A.C., pertaining to Conservation) | |
| and Self Service Wheeling Cost) | ORDER NO. 23647 |
| Effectiveness Data Reporting Format.) | ISSUED: 10-22-90 |

NOTICE OF RULEMAKING

NOTICE is hereby given that the Commission, pursuant to section 120.54, Florida Statutes, has initiated rulemaking to amend Rule 25-17.008, F.A.C., relating to Conservation and Self Service Wheeling Cost Effectiveness Data Reporting Format.

The attached Notice of Rulemaking will appear in the October 26, 1990, edition of the Florida Administrative Weekly. If requested, a hearing will be held at the following time and place:

9:30 a.m., Friday January 4, 1991
 Room 106, Fletcher Building
 101 East Gaines Street
 Tallahassee, Florida

Written requests for hearing and written comments or suggestions on the rule must be received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, Florida Public Service Commission, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, FL 32399, no later than November 16, 1990.

By Direction of the Florida Public Service Commission, this
22nd day of October, 1990.


 STEVE TRIBBLE Director
 Division of Records & Reporting

(S E A L)

CBM

0066

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
 09390 OCT 22 1990
 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 2

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Division of Appeals

DOCKET NO. 891324-EU

RULE TITLE:

RULE NO.:

Conservation Cost Effectiveness

25-17.008

Data Reporting Format

PURPOSE AND EFFECT: The revision extends the applicability of the rule to include self-service wheeling proposals and places guidance in a manual incorporated by reference.

SUMMARY: Currently, Rule 25-17.008, Conservation Cost Effectiveness Data Reporting Format, contains the data reporting formats for cost effectiveness tests. The proposed revisions would establish minimum filing requirements and place data reporting formats for cost effectiveness tests into a manual referenced by the rule, "Florida Public Service Commission Cost Effectiveness Manual for Demand Side Management Programs and Self Service Wheeling Proposals." The proposed manual specifies four cost effectiveness components: (1) total resource impact; (2) rate impact; (3) utility impact; and (4) participant impact.

Self service wheeling proposals are explicitly included in the scope of the rule under the proposed changes which would standardize the tests for these proposals.

There are two proposed changes to the methodology contained in the referenced manual. First, the manual has avoided capacity benefits for conservation programs calculated on a year-by-year

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 3

value-of-deferral method rather than a full revenue-requirement method. This would put analysis of conservation programs and cogeneration projects on the same basis. Second, the Total Resource Cost Test is the primary method in determining the cost effectiveness of a conservation program. However, it cannot be used in isolation. The results of the other tests must also be considered.

RULEMAKING AUTHORITY: 366.05(1), F.S.

LAW IMPLEMENTED: 366.082, 366.051, F.S.

SUMMARY OF THE ESTIMATE OF ECONOMIC IMPACT OF THIS RULE:

The proposed revisions should not significantly increase Commission costs. Electric utilities do estimate a cost to their operation. Computer software modifications, if necessary, were the largest cost item reported by investor-owned utilities. Although the FPSC supplies a Lotus 1-2-3 spreadsheet to assist in reporting cost-effectiveness test data, some companies use different models and have their own software. Investor-owned utilities report varying estimates on "paperwork" costs for setting up a spreadsheet program with an average of several thousand dollars. Other costs would add to that in training, etc. The economic impact statement estimates a total cost of \$32,500 could be incurred by reporting utilities if software had to be modified or developed.

There was no significant impact reported for including self-service wheeling proposals under the rule amendment. The proposal should benefit those companies proposing self-service wheeling by

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 4

providing cost-effectiveness guidelines and establishing a consistent reporting format.

Some qualifying facilities may be small businesses and the proposal to use a year-by-year VOD (value of deferral) method rather than using full revenue requirements is intended to put analyses of QF projects on a more level playing field with analyses of other conservation projects.

There should not be any significant increases or decreases in employment from the rule changes.

A workshop was held by the Commission soliciting suggestions, and data requests were sent to companies to determine their impacts. Standard microeconomics analysis was used to assess the impacts on competition and employment.

WRITTEN COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS ON THE PROPOSED RULE MAY BE SUBMITTED TO THE FPSC, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING, WITHIN 21 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE FOR INCLUSION IN THE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING. IF REQUESTED WITHIN 21 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE, A HEARING WILL BE HELD AT THE DATE AND PLACE SHOWN BELOW:
TIME AND DATE: 9:30 A.M., Friday, January 4, 1991

PLACE: Room 106, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida.

THE PERSON TO BE CONTACTED REGARDING THIS RULE AND THE ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT IS: Director of Appeals, Florida Public Service Commission, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399

THE FULL TEXT OF THE RULE IS:

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 5

(Substantial rewording of Rule 25-17.008. See Florida Administrative Code for present text).

25-17.008 Conservation and Self Service Wheeling Cost Effectiveness Data Reporting Format.

(1) This rule applies to all electric utilities, as defined by Section 366.82, F.S., whenever an evaluation of the cost effectiveness of an existing, new or modified demand side conservation program is required by the Commission and to all public utilities, as defined by Section 366.051, F.S., whenever an evaluation of the cost effectiveness of a self service wheeling proposal is required by the Commission. For the purpose of this rule, self service wheeling means transmission or distribution service provided by an electric utility to enable a retail customer to transmit electrical power generated by the customer at one location to the customer's facilities at another location.

(2) The purpose of this rule is to establish minimum filing requirements for reporting cost effectiveness data for any demand side conservation program proposed by an electric utility pursuant to Rule 25-17.002 and for any self service wheeling proposal made by a qualifying facility or public utility pursuant to Rule 25-17.0882.

(3) For the purpose of this rule, the Commission adopts and incorporates by reference the publication "Florida Public Service Commission Cost Effectiveness Manual For Demand Side Management

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 6

Programs and Self Service Wheeling Proposals" dated 5, May 15, 1990.

(4) Nothing in this rule shall be construed as prohibiting any party from providing additional data proposing additional formats for reporting cost effectiveness data.

Specific Authority: 366.05(1), F.S.

Law Implemented: 366.82(1)-(4), 366.051, F.S.

History: New 11/28/82, formerly 25-17.08, Amended

NAME OF PERSON ORIGINATING PROPOSED RULE: Roland Floyd, Division of Electric and Gas

NAME OF SUPERVISOR OR PERSON WHO APPROVED THE PROPOSED RULES: Florida Public Service Commission

DATE PROPOSED RULES APPROVED: October 16, 1990

If any person decides to appeal any decision of the Commission with respect to any matter considered at the rulemaking hearing, if held, a record of the hearing is necessary. The appellant must ensure that a verbatim record, including testimony and evidence forming the basis of the appeal is made. The Commission usually makes a verbatim record of rulemaking hearings.

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 7

COST EFFECTIVENESS MANUAL
FOR
DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS
AND
SELF SERVICE WHEELING PROPOSALS

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA

REVISION 6, SEPTEMBER 4, 1990

ORDER NO. 23647
 DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
 PAGE 8

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------|
| SECTION I. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| SECTION II. CONSERVATION AND DIRECT LOAD CONTROL | 2 |
| A. Total Resource Cost Test | 2 |
| B. Participants Test | 5 |
| C. Rate Impact Test | 7 |
| D. Utility Cost Test | 10 |
| SECTION III. SELF-SERVICE WHEELING | 12 |
| SECTION IV. SAMPLE FPSC COST EFFECTIVENESS FORMS | 15 |
| PSC FORM CE 1.1 Input -- Part 1 | 15 |
| PSC FORM CE 1.1A K Factor Calculation | 21 |
| PSC FORM CE 1.1B AFUDC And In-Service Cost | 23 |
| PSC FORM CE 1.2 Input -- Part 2 | 25 |
| PSC FORM CE 2.1 Avoided Gen Unit Benefits | 27 |
| PSC FORM CE 2.2 Avoided T&D And Fuel Savings | 29 |
| PSC FORM CE 2.3 Total Resource Cost Test | 30 |
| PSC FORM CE 2.4 Participants' Test | 32 |
| PSC FORM CE 2.5 Rate Impact Test | 34 |
| PSC FORM CE 2.6 Utility Cost Test | 36 |
| PSC FORM CE 3.1 Self-Service Wheeling-Input, Part 1 | 38 |
| PSC FORM CE 3.2 Self-Service Wheeling-Input, Part 2 | 43 |
| PSC FORM CE 3.3 Self-Service Wheeling-Output | 45 |

SECTION I. INTRODUCTION

This manual describes the minimum data requirements for the cost-effectiveness analyses used by the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) to evaluate utility proposed conservation programs, direct load control programs, and self-service wheeling proposals. The use of this manual is authorized by FPSC Rule 25-17.008, F.A.C.

Chapter 366.82, Florida Statutes, requires the FPSC to review and approve cost effective utility conservation programs. In addition, Chapter 366.051, Florida Statutes, requires public utilities to provide wheeling for self-service customers if such wheeling is not likely to result in higher cost electric service to the utility's general body of retail and wholesale customers or adversely affect the adequacy or reliability of electric service to all customers. FPSC Rule 25-17.008 and this manual were adopted as part of the implementation of these Statutes.

The Total Resource Cost test described in this manual is considered to be the primary basis for determining the cost effectiveness of a conservation program since it is designed to take into account total costs and benefits to the utility and its ratepayers as a whole. It is also designed to account for externalities where these can be quantified. However, the Total Resource Cost test is not to be used in isolation of the other three tests described in this manual. These other tests provide useful information to be weighed by the Commission when making decisions regarding the adoption of programs. It is emphasized that these tests simply provide a uniform format for reporting cost effectiveness data whenever an evaluation of an existing, new, or modified conservation program or self-service wheeling proposal is required by the FPSC.

Figure 1 is a pictorial comparison of the four basic types of cost effectiveness analyses set forth in this manual. These are the Participants Test, the Total Resource Cost Test, the Rate Impact Test, and the Utility Cost Test. Only very broad categories of costs and benefits are depicted so that the conceptual differences may be seen at a glance.

The delineation of the various ways of expressing test results is not meant to discourage the continued development of additional variations for expressing cost-effectiveness.

COMPARISON OF THE FOUR MAJOR COST EFFECTIVENESS TESTS

| | <i>RATE IMPACT</i> | <i>TOTAL RESOURCE</i> | <i>UTILITY</i> | <i>PARTICIPANT</i> |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>BENEFITS</i> | Revenue Gain | Avoided Appliance Costs | | Bill Reductions and Incentives |
| | Avoided Supply Costs | Avoided Supply Costs | Avoided Supply Costs | Avoided Appliance Costs |
| <hr/> | | | | |
| <i>COSTS</i> | Increased Supply Costs | Increased Supply Costs | Increased Supply Costs | Equipment Costs and O & M Costs |
| | Utility Program Costs | Utility Program Costs | Utility Program Costs | |
| | Incentives | Participant Costs | Incentives | |
| | Revenue Loss | | | |
| | | | | |

Figure 1

SECTION II. CONSERVATION AND DIRECT LOAD CONTROL

This Section describes the cost effectiveness tests that are required for conservation and direct load control programs. Four separate tests are defined. These are: the Total Resource Cost Test; the Participants Test; the Rate Impact Test; and the Utility Cost Test.

The following information is provided for each test: (1) a definition; (2) the components of the benefits; (3) the components of the costs; (4) the formulas to be used to express the results in acceptable ways; and (5) the reporting format.

TOTAL RESOURCE COST TEST

DEFINITION:

The Total Resource Cost Test measures the net costs of a demand-side management program as a resource option based on the total costs of the program, including both the participants' and the utility's costs. This test may be turned into a Societal Test by excluding tax credit benefits, by including costs and benefits of externalities, and by using a societal discount rate, assuming that the costs and benefits of externalities are quantifiable.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF BENEFITS:

The benefits are the avoided supply costs, including avoided generation, transmission, and distribution costs. The avoided supply costs should be calculated using net savings, i.e., savings net of changes in energy use that would have happened in the absence of the program. Benefits include avoided supply costs for energy-using equipment not chosen by the participant.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF COSTS:

The costs are the program costs incurred by the utility and any increased supply costs. All equipment costs, installation, operation and maintenance, and administration costs, no matter who pays for them, are included in this test.

FORMULAS:

$$B_{npv} = \text{Sum of } (B_t / D^{t-1}) \text{ for } t = 1 \text{ to } n$$

$$C_{npv} = \text{Sum of } (C_t / D^{t-1}) \text{ for } t = 1 \text{ to } n$$

where

- B_{npv} is the net present value of program benefits
- C_{npv} is the net present value of program costs
- B_t are the total program benefits for year t
- C_t are the total program costs for year t
- D is 1 + the discount rate for the utility
- n is the life of the program

B_t is further defined as follows:

$$B_t = AG_t + AT_t + AD_t + FS_t + TC_t + OB_t$$

where

- AG_t are the avoided generation benefits
- AT_t are the avoided transmission benefits
- AD_t are the avoided distribution benefits
- FS_t are the fuel savings from decreased sales
- TC_t are any tax credits
- OB_t are any other quantifiable benefits

AG_t is further defined as follows:

$$AG_t = AC_t + AO_t + AF_t - RD_t$$

where

- AC_t are avoided unit capacity costs
- AO_t are avoided unit O&M costs
- AF_t are avoided unit fuel costs
- RF_t are replacement fuel costs

AC_t is further defined as follows:

- $AC_t = 0$ before the in-service year
- $AC_t = K \cdot CC \cdot (1-R) / (1-R^N)$ for the in-service year
- $AC_t = AC_{t-1} \cdot (1+E_p)$ after the in-service year

where

- N is the economic life of the avoided generating unit
- K is the present value of carrying charges for one dollar of investment over N years
- CC is the avoided in-service-year capacity costs including AFUDC
- E_p is the plant cost escalation rate
- $R = (1+E_p) / D$

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 13

AT_t and AD_t , avoided transmission plant and avoided distribution plant, are defined similarly to AC_t . The in-service year, the economic life, K factor, and plant escalation rate for transmission and distribution plant may differ from that of the avoided generating unit.

C_t is further defined as follows:

$$C_t = IS_t + UC_t + PC_t + OC_t$$

where

IS_t are any increased supply costs
 UC_t are utility program costs
 PC_t are participant program costs
 OC_t are other quantifiable costs

If $B_{npv} > C_{npv}$ the program is cost effective.

REPORTING FORMAT:

Input: PSC Forms CE 1.1, 1.1A, 1.1B, 1.2

Output: PSC Forms CE 2.1, 2.2, 2.3

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 14

PARTICIPANTS TEST

DEFINITION:

The Participants Test measures the impact of the program on the participating customers.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF BENEFITS:

The benefits include the reductions in the customers' bills, incentives paid by the utility or other third party, and any tax credits received.

For fuel substitution programs, benefits include the avoided capital and operating costs of the equipment not chosen. For load building programs, benefits include any increases in productivity or services attributable to the load building program.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF COSTS:

The costs include increases in the customers' bills, equipment and materials purchased, ongoing operation and maintenance costs and any equipment removal costs.

FORMULAS:

$$B_{npv} = \text{Sum of } (B_t / D^{t-1}) \text{ for } t = 1 \text{ to } n$$

$$C_{npv} = \text{Sum of } (C_t / D^{t-1}) \text{ for } t = 1 \text{ to } n$$

where

B_{npv} is the net present value of program benefits
 C_{npv} is the net present value of program costs
 B_t are the total program benefits for year t
 C_t are the total program costs for year t
 D is 1 + the discount rate for part. customers
 n is the life of the program

B_t is further defined as follows:

$$B_t = BS_t + TC_t + UR_t + OB_t$$

where

BS_t are savings in customer bills
 TC_t are any tax credits
 UR_t are utility rebates or incentives
 OB_t are any other quantifiable benefits

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 15

C_t is further defined as follows:

$$C_t = EC_t + CM_t + OC_t$$

where

EC_t are customer equipment costs
 CM_t are customer O&M costs
 OC_t are other quantifiable costs

If $B_{npv} > C_{npv}$ the program is cost effective.

REPORTING FORMAT:

Input: PSC Forms CE 1.1, 1.2

Output: PSC Forms CE 2.4

RATE IMPACT TEST

DEFINITION:

The Rate Impact Test is an indirect measure of the impact on customer rates caused by the program. Rates will go down more than they otherwise would have if the change in utility revenues minus the change in utility costs is positive. Rates will go up more than they otherwise would have if the change in utility revenues minus the change in utility costs is negative.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF BENEFITS:

The benefits are the avoided supply costs, including avoided generation, transmission, and distribution costs. The benefits also include any increased revenues generated by the program.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF COSTS:

The costs include the program costs incurred by the utility, the incentives paid to participants, and increased supply costs. The costs also include any decrease in revenues caused by the program.

FORMULAS:

$$B_{npv} = \text{Sum of } (B_t / D^{t-1}) \text{ for } t = 1 \text{ to } n$$

$$C_{npv} = \text{Sum of } (C_t / D^{t-1}) \text{ for } t = 1 \text{ to } n$$

where

- B_{npv} is the net present value of program benefits
- C_{npv} is the net present value of program costs
- B_t are the total program benefits for year t
- C_t are the total program costs for year t
- D is 1 + the discount rate for the utility
- n is the life of the program

B_t is further defined as follows:

$$B_t = AG_t + AT_t + AD_t + FS_t + IR_t + OB_t$$

where

- AG_t are the avoided generation benefits
- AT_t are the avoided transmission benefits
- AD_t are the avoided distribution benefits
- FS_t are the fuel savings from decreased sales
- IR_t are any increased revenues

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 17

OB_t are any other quantifiable benefits

AG_t is further defined as follows:

$$AG_t = AC_t + AO_t + AF_t - RF_t$$

where

AC_t are avoided unit capacity costs

AO_t are avoided unit O&M costs

AF_t are avoided unit fuel costs

RF_t are replacement fuel costs

AC_t is further defined as follows:

$AC_t = 0$ before the in-service year

$AC_t = K \cdot CC \cdot (1-R)/(1-R^N)$ for the in-service year

$AC_t = AC_{t-1} \cdot (1+E_p)$ after the in-service year

where

N is the economic life of the avoided generating unit

K is the present value of carrying charges for one dollar of investment over N years

CC is the avoided in-service-year capacity costs including AFUDC

E_p is the plant escalation rate

$R = (1+E_p)/D$

AT_t and AD_t , avoided transmission plant and avoided distribution plant, are defined similarly to AC_t . The in-service year, the economic life, K factor, and plant escalation rate for transmission and distribution plant may differ from that of the avoided generating unit.

C_t is further defined as follows:

$$C_t = IS_t + LR_t + UC_t + UR_t + OC_t$$

where

IS_t are any increased supply costs

LR_t are lost revenues from reduced sales

UC_t are utility program costs

UR_t are utility rebates/incentives for participants.

OC_t are other quantifiable costs

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 18

If $B_{npv} > C_{npv}$ the program is cost effective.

REPORTING FORMAT:

Input: PSC Forms CE 1.1, 1.1A, 1.1B, 1.2

Output: PSC Forms CE 2.1, 2.2, 2.5

UTILITY COST TEST

DEFINITION:

The Utility Cost Test measures the net costs of a demand-side program based on the costs incurred by the utility, including incentive costs and excluding any net costs incurred by the participant.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF BENEFITS:

The benefits are the avoided supply costs, including avoided generation, transmission, and distribution costs. The avoided supply costs should be calculated using net savings, i.e., savings net of changes in energy use that would have happened in the absence of the program.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF COSTS:

The costs are the program costs incurred by the utility, the incentives paid to the customers and any increased supply costs

FORMULAS:

$$B_{npv} = \text{Sum of } (B_t / D^{t-1}) \text{ for } t = 1 \text{ to } n$$

$$C_{npv} = \text{Sum of } (C_t / D^{t-1}) \text{ for } t = 1 \text{ to } n$$

where

B_{npv} is the net present value of program benefits
 C_{npv} is the net present value of program costs
 B_t are the total program benefits for year t
 C_t are the total program costs for year t
 D is $1 +$ the discount rate for the utility
 n is the life of the program

B_t is further defined as follows:

$$B_t = AG_t + AT_t + AD_t + FS_t + OB_t$$

where

AG_t are the avoided generation benefits
 AT_t are the avoided transmission benefits
 AD_t are the avoided distribution benefits
 FS_t are the fuel savings from decreased sales
 OB_t are any other quantifiable benefits

AG_t is further defined as follows:

$$AG_t = AC_t + AO_t + AF_t - RF_t$$

where

AC_t are avoided unit capacity costs
 AO_t are avoided unit O&M costs
 AF_t are avoided unit fuel costs
 RF_t are replacement fuel costs
 AC_t is further defined as follows:

$$AC_t = 0 \text{ before the in-service year}$$
$$AC_t = K*CC*(1-R)/(1-R^N) \text{ for the in-service year}$$
$$AC_t = AC_{t-1}*(1+E_p) \text{ after the in-service year}$$

where

N is the economic life of the avoided generating unit
 K is the present value of carrying charges for one dollar of investment over N years
 CC is the avoided in-service-year capacity costs including AFUDC
 E_p is the plant escalation rate
 $R = (1+E_p)/D$

AT_t and AD_t , avoided transmission plant and avoided distribution plant, are defined similarly to AC_t . The in-service year, the economic life, K factor, and plant escalation rate for transmission and distribution plant may differ from that of the avoided generating unit.

C_t is further defined as follows:

$$C_t = IS_t + UC_t + UR_t + OC_t$$

where

IS_t are any increased supply costs
 UC_t are utility program costs
 UR_t are utility rebates/incentives for part.
 OC_t are other quantifiable costs

If $B_{npv} \geq C_{npv}$ the program is cost effective.

REPORTING FORMAT:

Input: PSC Forms CE 1.1, 1.1A, 1.1B, 1.2
Output: PSC Forms CE 2.1, 2.2, 2.6

ORDER NO. 23647
 DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
 PAGE 21

SECTION III. SELF-SERVICE WHEELING

This Section describes the prescribed cost effectiveness test for self-service wheeling proposals. A self-service wheeling proposal is one where a utility retail customer proposes to generate power at one of its locations and have it delivered to another of its locations through the utility's transmission or distribution system. Chapter 366.051, Florida Statutes, requires public utilities to provide wheeling for self-service customers if such wheeling is not likely to result in higher cost electric service to the utility's general body of retail and wholesale customers. Therefore, the test used here is similar to the Rate Impact Test used for conservation and load control programs. The reason for a separate section is that there are costs and benefits unique to cogeneration facilities, such as supplemental and standby purchases.

RATE IMPACT TEST FOR SELF-SERVICE WHEELING

DEFINITION:

The Rate Impact Test for Self-Service Wheeling is an indirect measure of the impact on customer rates caused by the wheeling proposal. Rates will go down more than they otherwise would have if the change in utility revenues minus the change in utility costs is positive. Rates will go up more than they otherwise would have if the change in utility revenues minus the change in utility costs is negative.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF BENEFITS:

The benefits include avoided generation, transmission, and distribution costs, and any increased revenues, such as wheeling revenues and increased standby revenues, generated by the proposed project.

GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF COSTS:

The costs include any decrease in revenues caused by the program and any increased supply costs. When marginal fuel cost is less than average fuel cost, the decrease in sales will cause an increase in average fuel cost that must be borne by the remaining customers. Costs also include loss of fixed plant costs collected through demand or non-fuel energy charges.

FORMULAS:

$$B_{npv} = \text{Sum of } (B_t / D^{t-1}) \text{ for } t = 1 \text{ to } n$$

$$C_{npv} = \text{Sum of } (C_t / D^{t-1}) \text{ for } t = 1 \text{ to } n$$

where

- B_{npv} is the net present value of benefits
- C_{npv} is the net present value of costs
- B_t are the total benefits for year t
- C_t are the total costs for year t
- D is 1 + the discount rate for the utility
- n is the life of the program

B_t is further defined as follows:

$$B_t = AG_t + AT_t + AD_t + IR_t + FS_t + OB_t$$

where

- AG_t are the avoided generation benefits
- AT_t are the avoided transmission benefits
- AD_t are the avoided distribution benefits
- IR_t are the increased revenues
- FS_t are the net fuel savings
- OB_t are any other quantifiable benefits

AG_t is further defined as follows:

$$AG_t = AC_t + AO_t + AF_t - RF_t$$

where

- AC_t are avoided unit capacity costs
- AO_t are avoided unit O&M costs
- AF_t are avoided unit fuel costs
- RF_t are replacement fuel costs

AC_t is further defined as follows:

- $AC_t = 0$ before the in-service year
- $AC_t = K \cdot CC \cdot (1-R) / (1-R^N)$ for the in-service year
- $AC_t = AC_{t-1} \cdot (1+E_p)$ after the in-service year

where

- N is the tax life of the avoided generating unit
- K is the present value of carrying charges for one dollar of investment over N years
- CC is the avoided in-service-year capacity costs including AFUDC
- E_p is the plant escalation rate
- $R = (1+E_p)/D$

AT_t and AD_t , avoided transmission plant and avoided distribution plant, are defined similarly to AC_t . The in-service year, the economic life, K factor, and plant escalation rate for transmission and distribution plant may differ from that of the avoided generating unit.

C_t is further defined as follows:

$$C_t = FC_t + LR_t + OC_t$$

where

FC_t are net increase in fuel costs
 LR_t are lost revenues from reduced sales
 OC_t are other quantifiable costs

If $B_{npv} > C_{npv}$ the program is cost effective.

REPORTING FORMAT:

Input: PSC Forms CE 1.1, 1.1A, 1.1B, 1.2

Output: PSC Forms CE 3.1

SECTION IV. FPSC COST EFFECTIVENESS FORMS

This Section contains the forms to be used in conjunction with the tests discussed in the previous sections of this manual. The following list contains the FPSC Form designation, the name of the FPSC Form, and a brief description of each form. This is followed by sample forms to be used, showing column headings and other pertinent information.

PSC FORM CE 1.1 Input Data — Part 1

This form, along with PSC FORM CE 1.2, specifies the input data to be used in the cost-effectiveness test for conservation and direct load control programs. Each element on the form is defined below:

I.(1) Generator KW Reduction Per Customer

This input is developed by taking into account such factors as reliability, line losses and customer diversity. A crude, but acceptable, method of calculating the KW reduction is to use the following formula:

$$\text{KW Red} = [DS_w(\text{WLLOLP}) + DS_s(\text{SLOLP})] / [(1-\text{FOR})(1-\text{DL})]$$

where

DS_w is the demand saving at winter peak
 DS_s is the demand saving at summer peak
 WLLOLP is the winter seasonal LOLP
 SLOLP is the summer seasonal LOLP
 FOR is the forced outage rate
 DL is the kw line loss factor

and

$$\text{WLLOLP} + \text{SLOLP} = 1$$

I.(2) KW Line Loss Percentage

This is the percentage reduction in KW from the generator to the customer.

I.(3) Generation KWH Reduction Per Customer

This is the annual KWH reduction given by the following formula:

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 25

$$\text{KWH Red} = \text{KWH}_m / (1 - \text{EL})$$

where

KWH_m is the KWH reduction at the customer's meter
 EL is the energy line loss factor to account for losses from the generator to the customer location

I.(4) KWH Line Loss Percentage

This is the percentage reduction in KWH from the generator to the customer.

I.(5) Group Line Loss Multiplier

This is a factor used to take into account the fact that various groups of customers receive service at different voltage levels. It is used to adjust the fuel cost calculation for participating customers.

II.(1) Study Period for the Conservation Program

This is the economic life of the conservation program, and will generally be less than or equal to the life of the unit to be avoided.

II.(2) Generator Economic Life

This is the economic life of the avoided generating unit.

II.(3) Transmission and Distribution Economic Life

This is the economic life of the avoided transmission and distribution facilities.

II.(4) K Factor for Generation

This is the present value of carrying charges for a \$1 investment over the life of the generating unit. PSC FORM CE 1.1A must be filed showing in detail the calculation of this factor.

II.(5) K Factor for Transmission and Distribution

This is the present value of carrying charges for a \$1 investment over the life of the avoided transmission and distribution facilities. PSC FORM CE 1.1A must be filed showing in detail the calculation of this factor.

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 26

III.(1) Utility Nonrecurring Cost per Customer

This represents nonrecurring costs in the base year that would be incurred by the utility, such as a one-time customer rebate.

III.(2) Utility Recurring Cost per Customer

This represents recurring costs in the base year that would be incurred by the utility, such as O&M costs associated with the installed equipment.

III.(3) Utility Cost Escalation Rate

This rate is used to escalate the costs identified in III.(2). Normally, this rate would be close to the rate at which the Consumer Price Index is projected to increase.

NOTE: As an alternative, annual program costs may be specified for each year on the appropriate FORM, but detailed documentation must be attached to show how these costs were computed.

III.(4) Customer Equipment Cost

This is the base year cost for equipment incurred by each customer when the program is selected.

III.(5) Customer Equipment Cost Escalation Rate

This rate is used to escalate the costs identified in III.(4). Normally, this rate would be close to the rate at which the Consumer Price Index is projected to increase.

NOTE: As an alternative, annual customer equipment costs may be specified for each year on the appropriate FORM, but detailed documentation must be attached to show how these costs were computed.

III.(6) Customer O&M Cost

This is the base year cost for O&M incurred by each participating customer.

III.(7) Customer O&M Cost Escalation Rate

This rate is used to escalate the costs identified in III(6). Normally, this rate would be close to the rate at which the Consumer Price Index is projected to increase.

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 27

NOTE: As an alternative, annual O&M costs may be specified for each year on the appropriate FORM, but detailed documentation must be attached to show how these costs were computed.

IV.(1) Base Year

This is the reference year for the present worth analyses and the first year for recording costs and benefits of the program.

IV.(2) In-Service Year for Avoided Generator Unit

This is the in-service year of the generating unit to be avoided or deferred by the conservation program.

IV.(3) In-Service Year for Avoided T&D

This is the in-service year of the transmission and distribution facilities to be avoided or deferred by the conservation program.

IV.(4) Base Year Avoided Generating Unit Cost

This is the base year cost in dollars per kilowatt of the generating unit to be avoided or deferred by the conservation program. PSC FORM CE 1.1B must be filed showing in detail the calculation of the installed cost of the unit in the in-service year, including AFUDC.

IV.(5) Base Year Avoided Transmission Cost

This is the base year cost in dollars per kilowatt of the transmission facilities to be avoided or deferred by the conservation program. PSC FORM CE 1.1B must be filed showing in detail the calculation of the installed cost of the facilities in the in-service year, including AFUDC.

IV.(6) Base Year Avoided Distribution Cost

This is the base year cost in dollars per kilowatt of the distribution facilities to be avoided or deferred by the conservation program. PSC FORM CE 1.1B must be filed showing in detail the calculation of the installed cost of the facilities in the in-service year, including AFUDC.

IV.(7) Gen. Tran. and Dist Cost Escalation Rate

This is the escalation rate to be used in escalating the costs in IV.(4) through IV.(6).

IV.(8) Generator Fixed O&M Costs

This is the annual fixed O&M costs for the generating unit to be avoided or deferred, stated in \$/KW/Year.

IV.(9) Generator Fixed O&M Cost Escalation Rate

This is the escalation rate to be used in escalating the costs in IV.(8).

IV.(10) Transmission Fixed O&M Costs

This is the annual fixed O&M costs for the transmission facilities to be avoided or deferred, stated in \$/KW/Year.

IV.(11) Distribution Fixed O&M Costs

This is the annual fixed O&M costs for the distribution facilities to be avoided or deferred, stated in \$/KW/Year.

IV.(12) Trans and Distr Fixed O&M Cost Escalation Rate

This is the escalation rate to be used in escalating the costs in IV.(10) and IV.(11).

IV.(13) Avoided Generating Unit Variable O&M Costs

This is the base year variable O&M costs for the generating unit to be avoided or deferred, stated in cents/KWH.

IV.(14) Generator Variable O&M Cost Escalation Rate

This is the escalation rate to be used in escalating the costs in IV.(13).

IV.(15) Generator Capacity Factor

This is the projected capacity factor of the generating unit to be avoided or deferred.

IV.(16) Avoided Generating Unit Fuel Cost

This is the base year fuel costs for the generating unit to be avoided or deferred, stated in cents/KWH.

IV.(17) Avoided Generating Unit Fuel Cost Escalation Rate

This is the escalation rate to be used in escalating the costs in IV.(16).

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 29

V. (1) Non Fuel Cost in Customer Bill

This is the base year non fuel charge in the participating customer's bill in cents per KWH.

V. (2) Non Fuel Cost Escalation Rate

This is the escalation rate to be used in escalating the costs in V.(1).

V. (3) Demand Charge in Customer Bill

This is the base year demand charge in the participating customer's bill in \$/KW/Month. This would be zero for residential customers.

V. (4) Demand Charge Escalation Rate

This is the escalation rate to be used in escalating the costs in V.(3).

I. PROGRAM DEMAND SAVINGS AND LINE LOSSES

| | |
|------------------------------------------------|---------|
| (1) GENERATOR KW REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER..... | 1.69 KW |
| (2) KW LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE..... | 8 % |
| (3) GENERATION KWH REDUCTION PER CUSTOMER..... | 250 KWH |
| (4) KWH LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE..... | 6 % |
| (5) GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER..... | 0.98000 |

II. ECONOMIC LIFE AND K FACTORS

| | |
|------------------------------------------------|---------|
| (1) STUDY PERIOD FOR CONSERVATION PROGRAM..... | 15 YRS |
| (2) GENERATOR ECONOMIC LIFE..... | 30 YRS |
| (3) T & D ECONOMIC LIFE..... | 40 YRS |
| (4) K FACTOR FOR GENERATION..... | 1.54281 |
| (5) K FACTOR FOR T & D..... | 1.70712 |

III. UTILITY AND CUSTOMER COSTS

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------|
| (1) UTILITY NONRECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER..... | \$1,159 |
| (2) UTILITY RECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER..... | \$0 |
| (3) UTILITY COST ESCALATION RATE..... | 5.0 % |
| (4) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COST..... | \$0 |
| (5) CUSTOMER EQUIPMENT COST ESCALATION RATE..... | 5.2 % |
| (6) CUSTOMER O&M COST..... | \$0 |
| (7) CUSTOMER O&M COST ESCALATION RATE..... | 5.1 % |

IV. AVOIDED GENERATOR AND T&D COSTS

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| (1) BASE YEAR..... | 1990 |
| (2) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT..... | 1995 |
| (3) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED T&D..... | 1995 |
| (4) BASE YEAR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT COST..... | 400 \$/KW |
| (5) BASE YEAR AVOIDED TRANSMISSION COST..... | 133 \$/KW |
| (6) BASE YEAR AVOIDED DISTRIBUTION COST..... | 136 \$/KW |
| (7) GEN, TRANS and DIST COST ESCALATION RATE..... | 5.2 % |
| (8) GENERATOR FIXED O&M COSTS..... | 2.45 \$/KW/YR |
| (9) GENERATOR FIXED O&M COST ESCALATION RATE..... | 6.1 % |
| (10) TRANSMISSION FIXED O&M COSTS..... | 1.34 \$/KW/YR |
| (11) DISTRIBUTION FIXED O&M COSTS..... | 1.94 \$/KW/YR |
| (12) T&D FIXED O&M COST ESCALATION RATE..... | 6.0 % |
| (13) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O&M COSTS..... | 0.8450 Cents/KWH |
| (14) GENERATOR VARIABLE O&M COST ESCALATION RATE..... | 6.0 % |
| (15) GENERATOR CAPACITY FACTOR..... | 20 % |
| (16) AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT FUEL COST..... | 5.044 Cents/KWH |
| (17) AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL COST ESCALATION RATE..... | 5.2 % |

IV. NON-FUEL ENERGY AND DEMAND CHARGES

| | |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------|
| (1) NON-FUEL COST IN CUSTOMER BILL..... | 1.0371 Cents/KWH |
| (2) NON-FUEL COST ESCALATION RATE..... | 4.0 % |
| (3) DEMAND CHARGE IN CUSTOMER BILL..... | 5.45 \$/KW/MNTH |
| (4) DEMAND CHARGE ESCALATION RATE..... | 4.0 % |

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 31

PSC FORM CE 1.1A Calculation of K Factor

This form specifies the data to be used when calculating the K Factor for the avoided generating unit and also for avoided transmission and distribution plant, if applicable. Each element on the form is defined below:

Col (1) Year

The years begin with the in-service year of the avoided unit (or avoided transmission and distribution plant) and extend through the life of the unit (or other avoided plant).

Col (2) Mid-Year Rate Base

This column contains, for each year, the value of the avoided investment at mid year. This is calculated by averaging the beginning-of-year and end-of-year rate bases. The end-of-year rate base is calculated by subtracting straight-line depreciation (Column 9) and deferred taxes (Column 7) from beginning-of-year rate base. See PSC Form CE 1.1A, Page 2 of 2 for this calculation. The beginning-of-year rate base is the in-service cost of the plant calculated on PSC FORM CE 1.1B.

Col (3) Debt

This column contains, for each year, the cost of debt associated with the investment given in Column (2).

Col (4) Preferred Stock

This column contains, for each year, the after-tax cost of preferred stock associated with the investment given in Column (2).

Col (5) Common Equity

This column contains, for each year, the after-tax cost of common equity associated with the investment given in Column (2).

Col (6) Taxes

This column contains, for each year, the taxes associated with the before-tax cost of preferred and common stock.

Col (7) Other Taxes & Insurance

This column contains all taxes and insurance not contained in Column (6).

Col (8) Depreciation

This column contains, for each year, the depreciation costs associated with the in-service cost of the avoided plant.

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 32

Col (9) Deferred Taxes

This column contains the deferred taxes for each year. The tax depreciation schedule is given as Page 2 of 2 of PSC FORM CE 1.1A.

Col (10) Total Fixed Charges

This column contains, for each year, the sum of column (3) through column (8).

Col (11) Present Worth Fixed Charges

This column is the present value of the corresponding numbers in the previous column, using the in-service year as the reference year.

Col (12) Cumulative Present Worth Fixed Charges

This column is the year by year accumulation of the numbers in the previous column.

As indicated in the example, this form must also contain the in-service cost of the plant, the book life of the plant, the capital structure, the effective tax rate, and the discount rate used to calculate present worth dollars.

CALCULATION OF K FACTOR
1995 COAL UNIT

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 33

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
|---------------|----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| CALENDAR YEAR | MID-YEAR RATE-BASE (\$000) | DEBT (\$000) | PREFERRED STOCK (\$000) | COMMON EQUITY (\$000) | INCOME TAXES (\$000) | OTHER TAXES & INSURANCE (\$000) | DEPREC. (\$000) | DEFERRED TAXES (\$000) | TOTAL FIXED CHARGES (\$000) | PRESENT WORTH FIXED CHARGES (\$000) | CUMULATIVE PW FIXED CHARGES (\$000) |
| 1995 | 787,297 | 34,295 | 6,235 | 52,544 | 35,464 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 1,257 | 167,267 | 167,267 | 167,267 |
| 1996 | 754,100 | 32,849 | 5,972 | 50,329 | 33,968 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 11,721 | 161,847 | 146,893 | 314,160 |
| 1997 | 716,486 | 31,210 | 5,675 | 47,818 | 32,274 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 10,092 | 155,706 | 128,262 | 442,422 |
| 1998 | 680,439 | 29,640 | 5,389 | 45,413 | 30,650 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 8,585 | 149,820 | 112,012 | 554,433 |
| 1999 | 645,855 | 28,133 | 5,115 | 43,104 | 29,093 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 7,167 | 144,174 | 97,831 | 652,264 |
| 2000 | 612,628 | 26,686 | 4,852 | 40,897 | 27,596 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 5,871 | 138,749 | 85,451 | 737,715 |
| 2001 | 580,637 | 25,293 | 4,599 | 38,752 | 26,155 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 4,694 | 133,526 | 74,636 | 812,351 |
| 2002 | 549,792 | 23,949 | 4,354 | 36,693 | 24,765 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 3,579 | 128,490 | 65,185 | 877,537 |
| 2003 | 519,595 | 22,634 | 4,115 | 34,678 | 23,405 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 3,398 | 123,560 | 56,893 | 934,430 |
| 2004 | 489,488 | 21,322 | 3,877 | 32,668 | 22,049 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 3,398 | 118,645 | 49,582 | 984,012 |
| 2005 | 459,382 | 20,011 | 3,638 | 30,659 | 20,693 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 3,398 | 113,730 | 43,137 | 1,027,148 |
| 2006 | 429,275 | 18,699 | 3,400 | 28,650 | 19,337 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 3,398 | 108,814 | 37,459 | 1,064,607 |
| 2007 | 399,169 | 17,388 | 3,161 | 26,641 | 17,981 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 3,398 | 103,899 | 32,462 | 1,097,069 |
| 2008 | 369,062 | 16,076 | 2,923 | 24,631 | 16,624 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 3,398 | 98,984 | 28,069 | 1,125,138 |
| 2009 | 338,956 | 14,765 | 2,685 | 22,622 | 15,268 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 3,398 | 94,068 | 24,211 | 1,149,349 |
| 2010 | 308,849 | 13,453 | 2,446 | 20,613 | 13,912 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 3,398 | 89,153 | 20,825 | 1,170,174 |
| 2011 | 278,743 | 12,142 | 2,208 | 18,603 | 12,556 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 3,398 | 84,238 | 17,859 | 1,188,033 |
| 2012 | 248,637 | 10,831 | 1,969 | 16,594 | 11,200 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 3,398 | 79,322 | 15,263 | 1,203,297 |
| 2013 | 218,530 | 9,519 | 1,731 | 14,585 | 9,844 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 3,398 | 74,407 | 12,995 | 1,216,291 |
| 2014 | 188,424 | 8,208 | 1,492 | 12,575 | 8,488 | 12,019 | 26,709 | 3,398 | 69,492 | 11,015 | 1,227,306 |
| 2015 | 161,649 | 7,041 | 1,280 | 10,788 | 7,281 | 12,019 | 26,709 | (3,267) | 65,120 | 9,368 | 1,236,674 |
| 2016 | 141,598 | 6,168 | 1,121 | 9,450 | 6,378 | 12,019 | 26,709 | (10,052) | 61,847 | 8,075 | 1,244,750 |
| 2017 | 124,940 | 5,442 | 990 | 8,338 | 5,628 | 12,019 | 26,709 | (10,052) | 59,127 | 7,007 | 1,251,756 |
| 2018 | 108,281 | 4,717 | 858 | 7,227 | 4,878 | 12,019 | 26,709 | (10,052) | 56,407 | 6,067 | 1,257,823 |
| 2019 | 91,622 | 3,991 | 726 | 6,115 | 4,127 | 12,019 | 26,709 | (10,052) | 53,687 | 5,241 | 1,263,064 |
| 2020 | 74,964 | 3,265 | 594 | 5,003 | 3,377 | 12,019 | 26,709 | (10,052) | 50,967 | 4,516 | 1,267,580 |
| 2021 | 58,305 | 2,540 | 462 | 3,891 | 2,626 | 12,019 | 26,709 | (10,052) | 48,248 | 3,880 | 1,271,460 |
| 2022 | 41,647 | 1,814 | 330 | 2,779 | 1,876 | 12,019 | 26,709 | (10,052) | 45,528 | 3,323 | 1,274,782 |
| 2023 | 24,988 | 1,088 | 198 | 1,668 | 1,126 | 12,019 | 26,709 | (10,052) | 42,808 | 2,836 | 1,277,618 |
| 2024 | 8,329 | 363 | 66 | 556 | 375 | 12,019 | 26,709 | (10,052) | 40,088 | 2,410 | 1,280,028 |

Capital Structure

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| IN-SERVICE COST (\$000) | 801280 | ----- | | |
| IN-SERVICE YEAR | 1995 | Source | Weight | Cost |
| BOOK LIFE (YRS) | 30 | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| EFF. TAX RATE | 0.3763 | DEBT | 0.44 | 0.099 |
| DISCOUNT RATE | 0.1018 | P/S | 0.09 | 0.088 |
| OTAX & INS RATE | 0.015 | C/S | 0.47 | 0.142 |

K-FACTOR = CPWFC / IN-SVC COST = 1280028 / 801280 = 1.59748

DEFERRED TAX AND MID-YEAR RATE BASE CALCULATION

| YEAR | TAX DEPRECIATION SCHEDULE | TAX DEPRECIATION (\$000) | DEFERRED TAX (\$000) | END OF YEAR NET PLANT IN SERVICE (\$000) | BEGINNING YEAR RATE BASE (\$000) | ENDING OF YEAR RATE BASE (\$000) | MID-YEAR RATE-BASE (\$000) |
|------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | 0.0375 | 30,048 | 1,256 | 774,571 | 801,280 | 773,314 | 787,297 |
| 2 | 0.0722 | 57,852 | 11,719 | 747,861 | 773,314 | 734,886 | 754,100 |
| 3 | 0.0668 | 53,526 | 10,091 | 721,152 | 734,886 | 698,086 | 716,486 |
| 4 | 0.0618 | 49,519 | 8,583 | 694,443 | 698,086 | 662,793 | 680,439 |
| 5 | 0.0571 | 45,753 | 7,166 | 667,733 | 662,793 | 628,917 | 645,855 |
| 6 | 0.0528 | 42,308 | 5,870 | 641,024 | 628,917 | 596,338 | 612,628 |
| 7 | 0.0489 | 39,183 | 4,694 | 614,315 | 596,338 | 564,935 | 580,637 |
| 8 | 0.0452 | 36,218 | 3,578 | 587,605 | 564,935 | 534,648 | 549,792 |
| 9 | 0.0446 | 35,737 | 3,397 | 560,896 | 534,648 | 504,542 | 519,595 |
| 10 | 0.0446 | 35,737 | 3,397 | 534,187 | 504,542 | 474,435 | 489,488 |
| 11 | 0.0446 | 35,737 | 3,397 | 507,477 | 474,435 | 444,329 | 459,382 |
| 12 | 0.0446 | 35,737 | 3,397 | 480,768 | 444,329 | 414,222 | 429,275 |
| 13 | 0.0446 | 35,737 | 3,397 | 454,059 | 414,222 | 384,116 | 399,169 |
| 14 | 0.0446 | 35,737 | 3,397 | 427,349 | 384,116 | 354,009 | 369,062 |
| 15 | 0.0446 | 35,737 | 3,397 | 400,640 | 354,009 | 323,903 | 338,956 |
| 16 | 0.0446 | 35,737 | 3,397 | 373,931 | 323,903 | 293,796 | 308,849 |
| 17 | 0.0446 | 35,737 | 3,397 | 347,221 | 293,796 | 263,690 | 278,743 |
| 18 | 0.0446 | 35,737 | 3,397 | 320,512 | 263,690 | 233,583 | 248,637 |
| 19 | 0.0446 | 35,737 | 3,397 | 293,803 | 233,583 | 203,477 | 218,530 |
| 20 | 0.0446 | 35,737 | 3,397 | 267,093 | 203,477 | 173,370 | 188,424 |
| 21 | 0.0225 | 18,029 | (3,266) | 240,384 | 173,370 | 149,928 | 161,649 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | (10,051) | 213,675 | 149,928 | 133,269 | 141,598 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | (10,051) | 186,965 | 133,269 | 116,610 | 124,940 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | (10,051) | 160,256 | 116,610 | 99,952 | 108,281 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | (10,051) | 133,547 | 99,952 | 83,293 | 91,622 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | (10,051) | 106,837 | 83,293 | 66,634 | 74,964 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | (10,051) | 80,128 | 66,634 | 49,976 | 58,305 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | (10,051) | 53,419 | 49,976 | 33,317 | 41,647 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | (10,051) | 26,709 | 33,317 | 16,659 | 24,988 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | (10,051) | (0) | 16,659 | (0) | 8,329 |

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 35

PSC FORM CE 1.1B Calculation of AFUDC and In-Service Cost of Plant

This form specifies the data to be used when calculating AFUDC and the in-service cost of plant (generating unit or transmission and distribution plant). Each element on the form is defined below:

Col (1) Year

The years begin with the first year of construction for the avoided unit (or avoided transmission and distribution plant) and extend to the in-service year.

Col (2) Years Prior to In-Service Year

This column contains the number of years prior to the in-service year of the plant corresponding to each year in Column (1).

Col (3) Plant Escalation Rate

This column contains the plant escalation rate corresponding to each year in Column (1).

Col (4) Cumulative Escalation Rate

This column contains the cumulative escalation rate corresponding to each year in Column (3).

Col (5) Percent Expenditure

This column contains, for each year of construction, the percentage of the plant to be constructed. The sum of the percentages in this column should equal 100.

Col (6) Annual Spending

This column contains the year-end spending, in dollars per kilowatt, for each year of construction.

Col (7) Cumulative Average Spending

This column contains the cumulative average spending for each year of construction.

Col (8) Cumulative Spending with AFUDC

This column contains, for each year, the cumulative average spending for that year (from Column 7) plus the AFUDC that has accumulated through the previous year.

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 36

Col (9) Yearly AFUDC

This column contains the AFUDC applicable for each year.

Col (10) Incremental Year-End Book Value

This column contains the incremental value added to the plant each year.

Col (11) Cumulative Year-End Book Value

This column contains, for each year, the cumulative year-end book value for the plant. The final figure in this column represents the in-service year cost.

As indicated in the example, this form must also contain the in-service cost of the plant (in dollars per kilowatt), the base year construction cost (\$/KW), and the AFUDC rate.

FORM1_1B.WK1

CALCULATION OF AFUDC AND IN-SERVICE COST OF PLANT
 PLANT: 1995 COAL UNIT (1989 APH)

PSC FORM CE 1.1B

PAGE 1 OF 1

05/11/90

ORDER NO. 23647
 DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
 PAGE 37

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
|------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| YEAR | NO. YEARS BEFORE IN-SERVICE | PLANT ESCALATION RATE | CUMULATIVE ESCALATION FACTOR | YEARLY EXPENDITURE (%) | ANNUAL SPENDING (\$/KW) | CUMULATIVE AVERAGE SPENDING (\$/KW) | CUMULATIVE SPENDING WITH AFUDC (\$/KW) | YEARLY TOTAL AFUDC (\$/KW) | INCREMENTAL YEAR-END BOOK VALUE (\$/KW) | CUMULATIVE YEAR-END BOOK VALUE (\$/KW) |
| 1986 | -9 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1987 | -8 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1988 | -7 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.01 | 10.23 | 5.12 | 5.12 | 0.605 | 10.83 | 10.83 |
| 1989 | -6 | 0.040 | 1.040 | 0.01 | 10.64 | 15.55 | 16.15 | 1.909 | 12.55 | 23.38 |
| 1990 | -5 | 0.044 | 1.086 | 0.02 | 22.21 | 31.98 | 34.49 | 4.077 | 26.29 | 49.67 |
| 1991 | -4 | 0.048 | 1.138 | 0.20 | 232.81 | 159.49 | 166.08 | 19.631 | 252.44 | 302.11 |
| 1992 | -3 | 0.051 | 1.196 | 0.35 | 428.19 | 489.99 | 516.21 | 61.016 | 489.21 | 791.33 |
| 1993 | -2 | 0.055 | 1.262 | 0.25 | 322.68 | 865.43 | 952.66 | 112.605 | 435.28 | 1,226.61 |
| 1994 | -1 | 0.056 | 1.332 | 0.16 | 218.08 | 1,135.80 | 1,335.64 | 157.873 | 375.95 | 1,602.56 |
| 1995 | 0 | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | | | 0.000 | 0.00 | |
| | | | | 1.00 | 1,244.84 | | | 357.72 | 1,602.56 | |

IN-SERVICE YEAR = 1995

PLANT COST (1988 \$) = 1023

AFUDC RATE = 0.1182

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 38

PSC FORM CE 1.2 Input Data -- Part 2

This form, along with PSC FORM CE 1.1 specifies the input data to be used in the cost-effectiveness test for conservation and direct load control programs. Each element on the form is defined below:

Col (1) Year

The years begin with the Base Year and extend through the life of the conservation program.

Col (2) Cumulative Total Participating Customers

This column contains, for each year, the cumulative total participating customers without regard as to whether they would have adopted the conservation measure in the absence of a utility sponsored program.

Col (3) Adjusted Cumulative Total Participating Customers

This column contains, for each year, the cumulative total participating customers adjusted for the fact that some customers would have adopted the conservation measure in the absence of a utility sponsored program.

Col (4) Utility Average System Fuel Cost

This column contains, for each year, the annual average system fuel cost, including costs of purchases and sales.

Col (5) Avoided Marginal Fuel Cost

This column contains, for each year, the annual average avoided fuel costs in cents per KWH. These costs should reflect the fact that conservation programs have different impacts on the system, depending on the hour of the day. If the program reduces consumption on peak, the marginal fuel costs may be significantly higher than the average fuel costs, resulting in savings to all customers.

Col (6) Increased Marginal Fuel Cost

This column contains, for each year, the annual average increased fuel costs in cents per KWH. These costs reflect the fact that some conservation programs increase energy use during certain hours.

Col (7) Replacement Fuel Cost of Avoided Generating Unit

This column contains, for each year, the annual average replacement fuel costs in cents per KWH. This is the system fuel cost if the

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 39

utility had built the unit to be avoided. If the avoided unit would have lowered system fuel costs, then these costs act as an offset to the savings gained by not building the unit. On the other hand, if the avoided unit would have raised system fuel costs, there are additional savings to be achieved by avoiding the unit.

Col (8) Program KW Effectiveness Factor

This column contains, for each year, a factor that represents the degradation or improvement of the demand savings over time. Complete documentation must be supplied if a factor other than 1 is used.

Col (9) Program KWH Effectiveness Factor

This column contains, for each year, a factor that represents the degradation or improvement of the energy savings over time. Complete documentation must be supplied if a factor other than 1 is used.

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
|------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| YEAR | CUMULATIVE TOTAL PARTICIPATING CUSTOMERS | ADJUSTED CUMULATIVE PARTICIPATING CUSTOMERS | UTILITY AVERAGE SYSTEM FUEL COST (C/KWH) | AVOIDED MARGINAL FUEL COST (C/KWH) | INCREASED MARGINAL FUEL COST (C/KWH) | REPLACEMENT FUEL COST (C/KWH) | PROGRAM KW EFFECTIVENESS FACTOR | PROGRAM KWH EFFECTIVENESS FACTOR |
| 1990 | 500 | 400 | 2.27 | 3.60 | 2.38 | 5.04 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1991 | 1,000 | 800 | 2.25 | 3.51 | 2.36 | 5.13 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1992 | 1,500 | 800 | 2.47 | 3.49 | 2.59 | 5.30 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1993 | 1,500 | 800 | 2.72 | 3.50 | 2.86 | 6.22 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1994 | 1,500 | 800 | 3.11 | 3.93 | 3.27 | 6.56 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1995 | 1,500 | 800 | 3.11 | 3.90 | 3.27 | 6.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1996 | 1,500 | 800 | 3.43 | 4.32 | 3.60 | 7.40 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1997 | 1,500 | 800 | 3.56 | 4.57 | 3.74 | 7.82 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1998 | 1,500 | 800 | 3.89 | 4.94 | 4.08 | 8.32 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1999 | 1,500 | 800 | 4.04 | 5.16 | 4.24 | 8.58 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2000 | 1,500 | 800 | 4.38 | 5.45 | 4.60 | 9.03 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2001 | 1,500 | 800 | 4.55 | 5.81 | 4.78 | 9.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2002 | 1,500 | 800 | 4.94 | 6.09 | 5.19 | 10.01 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2003 | 1,500 | 800 | 5.13 | 6.45 | 5.39 | 10.53 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2004 | 1,500 | 800 | 5.56 | 6.73 | 5.84 | 11.11 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2005 | 1,500 | 800 | 5.77 | 7.09 | 6.06 | 11.67 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2006 | 1,500 | 800 | 6.24 | 7.45 | 6.55 | 12.30 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2007 | 1,500 | 800 | 6.47 | 7.83 | 6.79 | 12.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2008 | 1,500 | 800 | 6.83 | 7.68 | 7.17 | 11.52 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2009 | 1,500 | 800 | 7.21 | 7.94 | 7.57 | 11.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2010 | 1,500 | 800 | 7.20 | 8.19 | 7.56 | 12.29 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 41

PSC FORM CE 2.1 Avoided Generating Unit Benefits

This form is used to report the avoided generating unit benefits of a conservation program. Each item to be reported is listed below:

Col (1) Year

The years begin with the base year of analysis and extend through the life of the program. Normally, benefits on this form will be zero until the in-service year of the avoided unit. Also, benefits will only accrue for the life of the conservation program.

Col (2) Avoided Generating Unit Capacity Cost

This column contains the avoided generating unit benefits as previously defined in Section II. These are value of deferral benefits that extend from the in-service year of the avoided unit through the life of the conservation program or the life of the avoided unit, whichever comes first.

Col (3) Avoided Generating Unit Fixed O&M

This column contains the avoided generating unit fixed O&M costs. This may be calculated by taking the dollars per kilowatt per year as reported on PSC FORM CE 1.1 times the kilowatts saved, with costs escalated appropriately.

Col (4) Avoided Generating Unit Variable O&M

This column contains the avoided generating unit variable O&M costs. This may be calculated by taking the dollars per kilowatt-hour reported on PSC FORM CE 1.1 times the kilowatts saved times the capacity factor times 8760, with costs escalated appropriately.

Col (5) Avoided Generating Unit Fuel Costs

This column contains the annual fuel costs for the avoided generating unit. This may be calculated by taking the fuel cost reported on PSC FORM CE 1.1 times the kilowatts saved times the capacity factor times 8760, with fuel costs escalated appropriately.

Col (6) Replacement Fuel Costs

This column contains the replacement fuel costs that occur because the avoided generating unit was not built. These costs may be calculated by multiplying the annual kwh generation of the avoided unit by the replacement fuel costs shown on PSC FORM CE 1.2. (The net fuel savings of the avoided plant would be calculated by

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 42

subtracting this column from column 5). For a base loaded avoided unit, the net fuel savings might be large. At the other extreme, the net fuel savings for a peaker might be very small or slightly negative.

Col (7) Avoided Generating Unit Benefits

This column is the sum of columns (2) through (5) minus column (6).

This form also contains totals for each column and the cumulative net present value for each column.

FORM 2.1 WK1
813..749

AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT BENEFITS

PSC FORM CE 2.1
PAGE 1 OF 1
05/08/90ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 43

| (1) Year | (2) Avoided Gen Unit Capacity Cost \$(000) | (3) Avoided Gen Unit Fixed O&M \$(000) | (4) Avoided Gen Unit Variable O&M \$(000) | (5) Avoided Gen Unit Fuel Cost \$(000) | (6) Replacement Fuel Cost \$(000) | (7) Avoided Gen Unit Benefits \$(000) |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 353 | 87 | 109 | 318 | 356 | 510 |
| 1996 | 369 | 92 | 115 | 335 | 368 | 543 |
| 1997 | 387 | 98 | 122 | 352 | 380 | 579 |
| 1998 | 406 | 104 | 129 | 370 | 393 | 616 |
| 1999 | 425 | 110 | 137 | 390 | 406 | 656 |
| 2000 | 446 | 116 | 145 | 410 | 419 | 698 |
| 2001 | 467 | 123 | 154 | 431 | 433 | 742 |
| 2002 | 489 | 131 | 163 | 454 | 448 | 790 |
| 2003 | 513 | 139 | 173 | 477 | 463 | 839 |
| 2004 | 538 | 147 | 183 | 502 | 478 | 892 |
| 2005 | 563 | 156 | 194 | 528 | 494 | 948 |
| 2006 | 590 | 165 | 206 | 556 | 510 | 1,007 |
| 2007 | 619 | 175 | 218 | 585 | 527 | 1,070 |
| 2008 | 648 | 186 | 232 | 615 | 544 | 1,136 |
| 2009 | 680 | 197 | 245 | 647 | 562 | 1,206 |
| 2010 | 712 | 208 | 260 | 681 | 581 | 1,280 |
| Nominal: | 8,206 | 2,233 | 2,787 | 7,651 | 7,363 | 13,514 |
| NPV: | 2,011 | 535 | 667 | 1,861 | 1,858 | 3,216 |

ORDER NO. 23647
 DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
 PAGE 44

PSC FORM CE 2.2 Avoided T&D, Program Fuel Savings, and Other Benefits

This form is used to report the avoided transmission benefits, avoided distribution benefits, program fuel savings, and other benefits of a conservation program. Each item to be reported is listed below:

Col (1) Year

The years begin with the base year of analysis and extend through the life of the program.

Col (2) Avoided Transmission Capacity Cost

This column contains the avoided transmission capacity benefits as previously defined in Section II. These are value of deferral benefits that extend from the in-service year of the avoided transmission plant through the life of the conservation program or the life of the avoided generating unit, whichever comes first.

Col (3) Avoided Transmission Fixed O&M Cost

This column contains the avoided generating unit fixed O&M costs. This may be calculated by taking the dollars per kilowatt per year as reported on PSC FORM CE 1.1 times the kilowatts saved, with costs escalated appropriately.

Col (4) Total Avoided Transmission Cost

This is the sum of columns (2) and (3).

Col (5) Avoided Distribution Capacity Cost

This column is analogous to Column (2).

Col (6) Avoided Distribution Fixed O&M Cost

This column is analogous to Column (3).

Col (7) Total Avoided Distribution Costs

This is the sum of columns (5) and (6).

Col (8) Program Fuel Savings

This column contains the fuel savings generated by the conservation program. This is the product of the kwh saved per customer, the number of participating customers, and the appropriate marginal fuel cost.

FORM2_2.WK1
a13..049

AVOIDED T&D AND PROGRAM FUEL SAVINGS

PSC FORM CE 2.2
PAGE 1 OF 1
05/08/90

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 45

| (1) Year | (2) Avoided Transmission Capacity Cost \$(000) | (3) Avoided Transmission O&M Cost \$(000) | (4) Total Avoided Transmission Cost \$(000) | (5) Avoided Distribution Capacity Cost \$(000) | (6) Avoided Distribution O&M Cost \$(000) | (7) Total Avoided Distribution Cost \$(000) | (8) Program Fuel Savings \$(000) |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 1988 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1989 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1991 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 1993 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 1994 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 1995 | 31 | 4 | 35 | 32 | 6 | 37 | 10 |
| 1996 | 33 | 4 | 37 | 34 | 6 | 40 | 11 |
| 1997 | 34 | 4 | 38 | 36 | 6 | 42 | 12 |
| 1998 | 36 | 5 | 40 | 38 | 7 | 45 | 12 |
| 1999 | 37 | 5 | 42 | 40 | 7 | 47 | 13 |
| 2000 | 39 | 5 | 44 | 42 | 8 | 50 | 14 |
| 2001 | 41 | 6 | 47 | 45 | 8 | 53 | 15 |
| 2002 | 43 | 6 | 49 | 48 | 9 | 56 | 15 |
| 2003 | 45 | 6 | 51 | 51 | 9 | 60 | 16 |
| 2004 | 47 | 7 | 54 | 54 | 10 | 63 | 17 |
| 2005 | 50 | 7 | 57 | 57 | 10 | 67 | 18 |
| 2006 | 52 | 7 | 59 | 60 | 11 | 71 | 20 |
| 2007 | 54 | 8 | 62 | 64 | 11 | 75 | 21 |
| 2008 | 57 | 8 | 65 | 68 | 12 | 80 | 22 |
| 2009 | 60 | 9 | 69 | 72 | 13 | 85 | 23 |
| 2010 | 63 | 9 | 72 | 76 | 14 | 90 | 25 |
| Nominal: | 722 | 101 | 823 | 815 | 146 | 960 | 286 |
| NPV: | 177 | 24 | 201 | 195 | 35 | 230 | 77 |

ORDER NO. 23647
 DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
 PAGE 46

PSC FORM CE 2.3 Total Resource Cost Test

This form is used for the Total Resources Cost Test. Each item to be reported is listed below:

Col (1) Year

The years begin with the base year of analysis and extend through the life of the program.

Col (2) Increased Supply Costs

This column contains any increased supply costs associated with the program. This includes both energy and capacity supply costs as well as costs for alternate fuels.

Col (3) Utility Program Costs

This column contains the costs of the program incurred by the utility, including equipment costs, administrative costs and rebates.

Col (4) Participant Program Costs

This column is the same as column (10), PSC FORM CE 2.7.

Col (5) Other Costs

This column contains other quantifiable costs attributable to the program, including environmental and other external costs.

Col (6) Total Costs

This column is the sum of the costs in columns (2) through (5).

Col (7) Avoided Generating Unit Benefits

This column is the same as column (7) on PSC FORM 2.1.

Col (8) Avoided Transmission Plant Benefits

This column is the same as column (4) on PSC FORM CE 2.2.

Col (9) Avoided Distribution Plant Benefits

This column is the same as column (7) on PSC FORM CE 2.2.

Col (10) Program Fuel Savings

This column is the same as column (8) on PSC FORM CE 2.2.

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 47

Col (11) Other Benefits

This column contains any other quantifiable benefits. Complete documentation must be provided to support the figures in this column.

Col (12) Total Benefits

This column is the total of columns (7) through (11).

TOTAL RESOURCE COST TEST

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU

| (1) Year | (2) Increased Supply Costs \$(000) | (3) Utility Program Costs \$(000) | (4) Participant Program Costs \$(000) | (5) Other Costs \$(000) | (6) Total Costs \$(000) | (7) Avoided Gen Unit Benefits \$(000) | (8) Avoided T&D Benefits \$(000) | (9) Program Fuel Savings \$(000) | (10) Other Benefits \$(000) | (11) Total Benefits \$(000) | (12) Net Benefits \$(000) | (13) Cumulative Discounted Net Benefits \$(000) |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1988 | 0 | 2576 | 1380 | 225 | 4,181 | 345 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 345 | (3,836) | (3,836) |
| 1989 | 0 | 2737 | 1490 | 225 | 4,452 | 733 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 733 | (3,719) | (7,210) |
| 1990 | 0 | 2907 | 1609 | 225 | 4,741 | 1,171 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1172 | (3,569) | (10,149) |
| 1991 | 0 | 3,087 | 1,736 | 225 | 5,048 | 1,661 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1664 | (3,384) | (12,677) |
| 1992 | 0 | 3,276 | 1,872 | 225 | 5,373 | 2,210 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2214 | (3,159) | (14,818) |
| 1993 | 0 | 3,475 | 2,019 | 225 | 5,719 | 2,700 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2706 | (3,013) | (16,671) |
| 1994 | 0 | 3,550 | 2,250 | 225 | 6,025 | 3,250 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3258 | (2,767) | (18,215) |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 17,694 | 72 | 10 | 0 | 17776 | 17,551 | (9,328) |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 17,809 | 77 | 11 | 0 | 17897 | 17,672 | (1,209) |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 17,968 | 80 | 12 | 0 | 18060 | 17,835 | 6,226 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 18,175 | 85 | 12 | 0 | 18272 | 18,047 | 13,053 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 18,431 | 89 | 13 | 0 | 18533 | 18,308 | 19,336 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 18,742 | 94 | 14 | 0 | 18850 | 18,625 | 25,136 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 19,112 | 100 | 15 | 0 | 19227 | 19,002 | 30,506 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 19,544 | 105 | 15 | 0 | 19664 | 19,439 | 35,490 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 20,043 | 111 | 16 | 0 | 20170 | 19,945 | 40,130 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 20,500 | 117 | 17 | 0 | 20634 | 20,409 | 44,438 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 20,900 | 124 | 189 | 0 | 21213 | 20,988 | 48,458 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 21,300 | 130 | 20 | 0 | 21450 | 21,225 | 52,146 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 21,700 | 137 | 21 | 0 | 21858 | 21,633 | 55,558 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 22,100 | 145 | 22 | 0 | 22267 | 22,042 | 58,712 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 22,400 | 154 | 23 | 0 | 22577 | 22,352 | 61,613 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 22,800 | 162 | 25 | 0 | 22987 | 22,762 | 64,295 |
| Nominal: | 0 | 21,608 | 12,356 | 5,175 | 39,139 | 331,288 | 1,782 | 457 | 0 | 333,527 | 294,388 | |
| NPV: | 0 | 16,098 | 9,120 | 2,169 | 27,387 | 91,141 | 431 | 110 | 0 | 91,681 | 64,295 | |

Discount Rate: 10.21%

Benefit/Cost Ratio: Col (11) / Col (6): 3.35

- 31a -

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 49
PSC FORM CE 2.4 Participant Costs and Benefits

This form is used to report the costs and benefits for the participating customers. Each item to be reported is listed below:

Col (1) Year

The years begin with the base year of analysis and extend through the life of the program.

Col (2) Savings in Participants' Bills

This column contains the savings in customer bills brought about by the reduction in kwh usage.

Col (3) Tax Credits

This column contains any tax credits received by the participant.

Col (4) Utility Rebates

This column contains any utility rebates to participating customers.

Col (5) Other Benefits

This column contains other quantifiable benefits to the participant attributable to the program. Complete documentation must be provided to support the figures in this column.

Col (6) Total Benefits

This column is the sum of the costs in columns (2) through (5).

Col (7) Customer Equipment Costs

This column contains equipment costs borne by the participating customer.

Col (8) Customer O&M Costs

This column contains O&M costs borne by the participant.

Col (9) Other Costs

This column contains other quantifiable costs borne by the participant. Complete documentation must be provided to support the figures in this column.

Col (10) Total Costs

This column is the total of columns (7) through (9).

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 50

Col (11) Net Benefits

The numbers in this column are calculated by subtracting column (9) from column (6).

Col (12) Cumulative Discounted Net Benefits

This column contains the cumulative discounted net benefits of the program. The figures in this column are obtained by discounting the figures in column (11) and accumulating them year by year.

This form also contains the in-service year of the avoided generating unit and the appropriate customer discount rate.

PARTICIPANT COSTS AND BENEFITS

| (1) Year | (2) Savings in Participants' Bills \$(000) | (3) Tax Credits \$(000) | (4) Utility Rebates \$(000) | (5) Other Benefits \$(000) | (6) Total Benefits \$(000) | (7) Customer Equipment Costs \$(000) | (8) Customer O&M Costs \$(000) | (9) Other Costs \$(000) | (9) Total Costs \$(000) | (10) Net Benefits \$(000) | (11) Cumulative Discounted Net Benefits \$(000) |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1988 | 673 | 0 | 1955 | 0 | 2,628 | 1,380 | 0 | 0 | 1,380 | 1,248 | 1,248 |
| 1989 | 1,456 | 0 | 1998 | 0 | 3,454 | 1,490 | 0 | 0 | 1,490 | 1,964 | 3,030 |
| 1990 | 2,362 | 0 | 2040 | 0 | 4,402 | 1,609 | 0 | 0 | 1,609 | 2,793 | 5,330 |
| 1991 | 3,405 | 0 | 2,083 | 0 | 5,488 | 1,736 | 0 | 0 | 1,736 | 3,752 | 8,132 |
| 1992 | 4,602 | 0 | 2,125 | 0 | 6,727 | 1,872 | 0 | 0 | 1,872 | 4,855 | 11,423 |
| 1993 | 5,971 | 0 | 2,168 | 0 | 8,139 | 2,019 | 0 | 0 | 2,019 | 6,120 | 15,187 |
| 1994 | 6,389 | 0 | 2,220 | 0 | 8,609 | 2,170 | 0 | 0 | 2,170 | 6,439 | 18,780 |
| 1995 | 6,836 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,836 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,836 | 22,242 |
| 1996 | 7,315 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,315 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,315 | 25,603 |
| 1997 | 7,827 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,827 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,827 | 28,866 |
| 1998 | 8,375 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,375 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,375 | 32,034 |
| 1999 | 8,961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,961 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,961 | 35,109 |
| 2000 | 9,588 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,588 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,588 | 38,095 |
| 2001 | 10,260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,260 | 40,994 |
| 2002 | 10,978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,978 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,978 | 43,809 |
| 2003 | 11,746 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,746 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,746 | 46,541 |
| 2004 | 12,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,400 | 49,159 |
| 2005 | 13,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,100 | 51,668 |
| 2006 | 13,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,900 | 54,084 |
| 2007 | 14,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,700 | 56,402 |
| 2008 | 15,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,400 | 58,605 |
| 2009 | 16,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,100 | 60,695 |
| 2010 | 16,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,800 | 62,674 |
| Nominal: | 209,144 | 0 | 14,589 | 0 | 223,733 | 12,276 | 0 | 0 | 12,276 | 211,457 | |
| NPV: | 60,733 | 0 | 11,016 | 0 | 71,749 | 9,075 | 0 | 0 | 9,075 | 62,674 | |

In Service Year of Gen Unit: 1995
.....
Discount Rate: 10.21%
.....

- 33a -

ORDER NO. 23647
 DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
 PAGE 52

PSC FORM CE 2.5 Rate Impact Test

This form is used to report the costs and benefits from the standpoint of the impact on customer rates. If costs exceed benefits, rates would be higher than they otherwise would be if the program is implemented. Each item to be reported is listed below:

Col (1) Year

The years begin with the base year of analysis and extend through the life of the program.

Col (2) Increased Supply Costs

This column is identical to column (2), PSC FORM CE 2.3.

Col (3) Utility Program Costs

This column is identical to column (3), PSC FORM CE 2.3.

Col (4) Incentives

This column contains any utility incentives paid to the participating customers.

Col (5) Revenue Losses

This column contains any revenue losses for periods where the load has been decreased.

Col (6) Other Costs

This column contains any other quantifiable costs attributable to the program. Complete documentation must be provided to support the figures in this column.

Col (7) Total Costs

This column is the sum of columns (2) through (6).

Col (8) Avoided Gen Unit & Fuel Benefits

This column is the sum of columns (4) and (5), PSC FORM CE 2.1.

Col (9) Avoided T&D Benefits

This column is identical to column (8), PSC FORM CE 2.3.

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 53

Col (10) Revenue Gains

This column contains any revenue losses for periods where the load has been increased.

Col (11) Other Benefits

This column contains other quantifiable benefits. Complete documentation must be provided for the numbers in this column.

Col (12) Total Benefits

This column is the sum of columns (8) through (11).

Col (13) Net Benefits

This column is calculated by subtracting column (7) from column (12).

Col (14) Cumulative Discounted Net Benefits

This column is the accumulation of the figures in column (13), discounted by the appropriate discount rate.

This form also contains the discount rate and the benefit/cost ratio.

RATE IMPACT TEST

| (1) Year | (2) Increased Supply Costs \$(000) | (3) Utility Program Costs \$(000) | (4) Incentives \$(000) | (5) Revenue Losses \$(000) | (6) Other Costs \$(000) | (7) Total Costs \$(000) | (8) Avoided Gen Unit & Fuel Benefits \$(000) | (9) Avoided T&D Benefits \$(000) | (10) Revenue Gains \$(000) | (11) Other Benefits \$(000) | (12) Total Benefits \$(000) | (13) Net Benefits \$(000) | (14) Cumulative Discounted Net Benefits \$(000) |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1988 | 0 | 2576 | 1380 | 673 | 0 | 4,629 | 345 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 345 | (4,284) | (4,284) |
| 1989 | 0 | 2737 | 1490 | 1456 | 0 | 5,683 | 733 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 733 | (4,950) | (8,775) |
| 1990 | 0 | 2907 | 1609 | 2362 | 0 | 6,878 | 1173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1173 | (5,705) | (13,472) |
| 1991 | 0 | 3,087 | 1,736 | 3405 | 0 | 8,228 | 1667 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1667 | (6,561) | (18,374) |
| 1992 | 0 | 3,276 | 1,872 | 4602 | 0 | 9,750 | 2218 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2218 | (7,532) | (23,479) |
| 1993 | 0 | 3,475 | 2,019 | 5971 | 0 | 11,465 | 2712 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2712 | (8,753) | (28,862) |
| 1994 | 0 | 3,550 | 2,250 | 6389 | 0 | 12,189 | 3266 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3266 | (8,923) | (33,842) |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6836 | 0 | 6,836 | 17714 | 72 | 72 | 0 | 17858 | 11,022 | (28,261) |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7315 | 0 | 7,315 | 17831 | 77 | 77 | 0 | 17985 | 10,670 | (23,359) |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7827 | 0 | 7,827 | 17992 | 80 | 80 | 0 | 18152 | 10,325 | (19,054) |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8375 | 0 | 8,375 | 18199 | 85 | 85 | 0 | 18369 | 9,994 | (15,274) |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8961 | 0 | 8,961 | 18457 | 89 | 89 | 0 | 18635 | 9,674 | (11,954) |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9588 | 0 | 9,588 | 18770 | 94 | 94 | 0 | 18958 | 9,370 | (9,036) |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10260 | 0 | 10,260 | 19142 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 19342 | 9,082 | (6,469) |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10978 | 0 | 10,978 | 19574 | 105 | 105 | 0 | 19784 | 8,806 | (4,212) |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11746 | 0 | 11,746 | 20075 | 111 | 111 | 0 | 20297 | 8,551 | (2,222) |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12400 | 0 | 12,400 | 20534 | 117 | 117 | 0 | 20768 | 8,368 | (456) |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13100 | 0 | 13,100 | 21278 | 124 | 124 | 0 | 21526 | 8,426 | 1,158 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13900 | 0 | 13,900 | 21340 | 130 | 130 | 0 | 21600 | 7,700 | 2,496 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14700 | 0 | 14,700 | 21742 | 137 | 137 | 0 | 22016 | 7,316 | 3,650 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15400 | 0 | 15,400 | 22144 | 145 | 145 | 0 | 22434 | 7,034 | 4,656 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16100 | 0 | 16,100 | 22446 | 154 | 154 | 0 | 22754 | 6,654 | 5,520 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16800 | 0 | 16,800 | 22850 | 162 | 162 | 0 | 23174 | 6,374 | 6,271 |
| Nominal: | 0 | 21,608 | 12,356 | 209,144 | 0 | 243,108 | 332,202 | 1,782 | 1,782 | 0 | 335,766 | 92,658 | |
| NPV: | 0 | 16,098 | 9,120 | 60,733 | 0 | 85,951 | 91,361 | 431 | 431 | 0 | 92,222 | 6,271 | |

Discount Rate: 10.21%

Benefit/Cost Ratio: Col (12) / Col (7): 1.07

35a

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 54

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 55

PSC FORM CE 2.6 Utility Cost Test

This form is used to report the costs and benefits from the standpoint of the impact on the utility. Each item to be reported is listed below:

Col (1) Year

The years begin with the base year of analysis and extend through the life of the program.

Col (2) Increased Supply Costs

This column is identical to column (2), PSC FORM CE 2.3.

Col (3) Utility Program Costs

This column is identical to column (3), PSC FORM CE 2.3.

Col (4) Incentives

This column contains any utility incentives paid to the participating customers.

Col (5) Other Costs

This column contains any other quantifiable costs attributable to the program. Complete documentation must be provided to support the figures in this column.

Col (6) Total Costs

This column is the sum of columns (2) through (5).

Col (7) Avoided Gen Unit Benefits

This column is identical to column (4), PSC FORM CE 2.1.

Col (8) Avoided T&D Benefits

This column is identical to column (8), PSC FORM CE 2.3.

Col (9) Program Fuel Savings

This column is identical to column (8), PSC FORM CE 2.2.

Col (10) Other Benefits

This column contains other quantifiable benefits. Complete documentation must be provided for the numbers in this column.

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 56

Col (11) Total Benefits

This column is the sum of columns (7) through (10).

Col (12) Net Benefits

This column is calculated by subtracting column (6) from column (11).

Col (13) Cumulative Discounted Net Benefits

This column is the accumulation of the figures in column (12), discounted by the appropriate discount rate.

This form also contains the discount rate and the benefit/cost ratio.

UTILITY COST TEST

ORDER NO. 23647
POCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 57

| (1) Year | (2) Increased Supply Costs \$(000) | (3) Utility Program Costs \$(000) | (4) Incentives \$(000) | (5) Other Costs \$(000) | (6) Total Costs \$(000) | (7) Avoided Gen Unit Benefits \$(000) | (8) Avoided T&D Benefits \$(000) | (9) Program Fuel Savings \$(000) | (10) Other Benefits \$(000) | (11) Total Benefits \$(000) | (12) Net Benefits \$(000) | (13) Cumulative Discounted Net Benefits \$(000) |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1988 | 0 | 2576 | 0 | 225 | 2,801 | 345 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 345 | (2,456) | (2,456) |
| 1989 | 0 | 2737 | 0 | 225 | 2,962 | 733 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 733 | (2,229) | (4,479) |
| 1990 | 0 | 2907 | 0 | 225 | 3,132 | 1,171 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1172 | (1,960) | (6,092) |
| 1991 | 0 | 3,087 | 0 | 225 | 3,312 | 1,661 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1664 | (1,648) | (7,323) |
| 1992 | 0 | 3,276 | 0 | 225 | 3,501 | 2,210 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2214 | (1,287) | (8,196) |
| 1993 | 0 | 3,475 | 0 | 225 | 3,700 | 2,700 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2706 | (994) | (8,807) |
| 1994 | 0 | 3,550 | 0 | 225 | 3,775 | 3,250 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3258 | (517) | (9,095) |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 17,694 | 72 | 10 | 0 | 17776 | 17,551 | (208) |
| 1996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 17,809 | 77 | 11 | 0 | 17897 | 17,672 | 7,911 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 17,968 | 80 | 12 | 0 | 18060 | 17,835 | 15,346 |
| 1998 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 18,175 | 85 | 12 | 0 | 18272 | 18,047 | 22,172 |
| 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 18,431 | 89 | 13 | 0 | 18533 | 18,308 | 28,456 |
| 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 18,742 | 94 | 14 | 0 | 18850 | 18,625 | 34,256 |
| 2001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 19,112 | 100 | 15 | 0 | 19227 | 19,002 | 39,626 |
| 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 19,544 | 105 | 15 | 0 | 19664 | 19,439 | 44,610 |
| 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 20,043 | 111 | 16 | 0 | 20170 | 19,945 | 49,250 |
| 2004 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 20,500 | 117 | 17 | 0 | 20634 | 20,409 | 53,558 |
| 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 20,900 | 124 | 189 | 0 | 21213 | 20,988 | 57,578 |
| 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 21,300 | 130 | 20 | 0 | 21450 | 21,225 | 61,266 |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 21,700 | 137 | 21 | 0 | 21858 | 21,633 | 64,678 |
| 2008 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 22,100 | 145 | 22 | 0 | 22267 | 22,042 | 67,831 |
| 2009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 22,400 | 154 | 23 | 0 | 22577 | 22,352 | 70,733 |
| 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 22,800 | 162 | 25 | 0 | 22987 | 22,762 | 73,414 |
| Nominal: | 0 | 21,608 | 0 | 5,175 | 26,783 | 331,288 | 1,782 | 457 | 0 | 333,527 | 306,744 | |
| NPV: | 0 | 16,098 | 0 | 2,169 | 18,267 | 91,141 | 431 | 110 | 0 | 91,681 | 73,414 | |

Discount Rate: 10.21%

Benefit/Cost Ratio: Col (11) / Col (6): 5.02

- 37a -

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 58

PSC FORM CE 3.1 Input Data, Self-Service Wheeling -- Part 1

This form, along with PSC FORM CE 3.2, specifies the input data to be used for self-service wheeling proposals. Each element on the form is defined below:

I.(1) Generator KW Reduction

This input is calculated by taking into account such factors as reliability, line losses and customer diversity.

I.(2) KW Line Loss Percentage

This is the percentage reduction in KW from the generator to the customer.

I.(3) KWH Line Loss Percentage

This is the percentage reduction in KWH from the generator to the customer.

I.(4) Group Line Loss Multiplier

This is a factor used to take into account the fact that various groups of customers receive service at different voltage levels.

II.(1) Study Period for the Proposal

This is the number of years in the analysis and will generally be less than or equal to the life of the avoided unit.

II.(2) Generator Economic Life

This is the economic life of the avoided generating unit.

II.(3) T&D Economic Life

This is the economic life of the avoided transmission and distribution facilities.

II.(4) K Factor for Generation

This is the present value of carrying charges for a \$1 investment over the life of the avoided generating unit. PSC FORM CE 1.1A must be filed showing in detail the calculation of this factor.

II.(5) K Factor for T&D

This is the present value of carrying charges for a \$1 investment over the life of the avoided transmission and distribution facilities. PSC FORM CE 1.1A must be filed showing in detail the calculation of this factor.

III.(1) Capacity at Meter

The amount of capacity that the QF will sell to the utility.

III.(2) Energy at Meter

This value is the product of the capacity at the meter, the annual capacity factor and the number of hours in a year.

III.(3) QF Generation Capacity Factor

The annual capacity factor of the QF at the meter.

III.(4) Supplemental Billing KW Reduction

The reduction in billing demand for supplemental purchases because the QF will serve load with its own generation.

III.(5) Supplemental MWH Reduction at Meter

The reduction in energy for supplemental purchases as a result of self-service wheeling.

III.(6) Self-Service Wheeling Charge

The charge for self-service wheeling.

III.(7) Wheeling Escalation Rate

The annual rate of escalation that applies to III.(6).

III.(8) Standby Billing KW Increase

The increase in billing demand for standby purchases as a result of self-service wheeling.

III.(9) Standby MWH Increase at Meter

The increase in billing energy for standby purchases as a result of self-service wheeling.

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 60

IV.(1) Utility Non-Recurring Cost

This represents non-recurring costs in the base year of the analysis.

IV.(2) Utility Recurring Costs

These are the recurring administrative costs of the utility as a result of the self-service wheeling proposal.

IV.(3) Utility Cost Escalation Rate

This rate is used to escalate the costs in IV.(2).

V.(1) Base Year

This is the reference year for the present worth analyses and the first year for recording costs and benefits of the proposal.

V.(2) In-Service Year of Avoided Gen Unit

This is the in-service year of the generating unit to be avoided by the self-service wheeling project.

V.(3) In-Service Year for Avoided T&D

This is the in-service year of the transmission and distribution facilities to be avoided by the self-service wheeling project.

V.(4) Base Year Avoided Gen Unit Cost

This is the base year cost in dollars per kilowatt of the generating unit to be avoided or deferred by the project. PSC FORM CE 1.1B must be filed showing in detail the calculation of the installed cost of the unit in the in-service year, including AFUDC.

V.(5) Base Year Avoided Transmission Cost

This is the base year cost in dollars per kilowatt of the transmission facilities to be avoided or deferred by the project. PSC FORM CE 1.1B must be filed showing in detail the calculation of the installed cost of the unit in the in-service year, including AFUDC.

V.(6) Base Year Avoided Distribution Cost

This is the base year cost in dollars per kilowatt of the distribution facilities to be avoided or deferred by the project. PSC FORM CE 1.1B must be filed showing in detail the calculation of the installed cost of the unit in the in-service year, including AFUDC.

- V.(7) Gen. Trans. Dist Cost Escalation Rate
This rate is used to escalate the costs in V.(4), V.(5) and V.(6).
- V.(8) Generator Fixed O&M Costs
This is the annual fixed O&M costs for the generating unit to be avoided or deferred, stated in \$/KW/Year.
- V.(9) Generator Fixed O&M Cost Escalation Rate
This is the escalation rate to be used in escalating the costs in V.(8).
- V.(10) Transmission Fixed O&M Costs
This is the annual fixed O&M costs for the transmission facilities to be avoided or deferred, stated in \$/KW/Year.
- V.(11) Distribution Fixed O&M Costs
This is the annual fixed O&M costs for the distribution facilities to be avoided or deferred, stated in \$/KW/Year.
- V.(12) Trans and Distr Fixed O&M Cost Escalation Rate
This is the escalation rate to be used in escalating the costs in V.(10) and V.(11).
- V.(13) Avoided Generating Unit Variable O&M Costs
This is the base year variable O&M costs for the generating unit to be avoided or deferred, stated in cents/KWH.
- V.(14) Generator Variable O&M Cost Escalation Rate
This is the escalation rate to be used in escalating the costs in V.(13).
- V.(15) Generator Capacity Factor
This is the projected capacity factor of the generating unit to be avoided or deferred.
- V.(16) Avoided Generating Unit Fuel Cost
This is the base year fuel costs for the generating unit to be avoided or deferred, stated in cents/KWH.

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 62

- V.(17) Avoided Generating Unit Fuel Cost Escalation Rate
The rate of escalation that the cost in V.(16) would be escalated each year.
- VI.(1) Supplemental Service Rate, Non-Fuel
The non-fuel energy charge in the QF's bill for supplemental service.
- VI.(2) Supplemental Service Rate, Demand
The demand charge in the QF's bill for supplemental service.
- VI.(3) Supplemental Service Escalation Rate
The annual rate of escalation that applies to items VI.(1) and VI.(2).
- VI.(4) Standby Rate, Non-Fuel
The non-fuel energy charge in the QF's bill for standby service.
- VI.(5) Standby Rate, Demand
The demand charge in the QF's bill for standby service.
- VI.(6) Standby Escalation Rate
The annual rate of escalation that applies to items VI.(4) and VI.(5).

I. PROGRAM DEMAND SAVINGS AND LINE LOSSES

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| (1) GENERATOR KW REDUCTION..... | 938.00 KW |
| (2) KW LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE..... | 8 % |
| (3) KWH LINE LOSS PERCENTAGE..... | 6 % |
| (4) GROUP LINE LOSS MULTIPLIER..... | 0.98000 |

II. ECONOMIC LIFE AND K FACTORS

| | |
|------------------------------------|---------|
| (1) STUDY PERIOD FOR PROPOSAL..... | 15 YRS |
| (2) GENERATOR ECONOMIC LIFE..... | 30 YRS |
| (3) T & D ECONOMIC LIFE..... | 40 YRS |
| (4) K FACTOR FOR GENERATION..... | 1.54281 |
| (5) K FACTOR FOR T & D..... | 1.70712 |

III. UTILITY AND OF PURCHASES

| | |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| (1) CAPACITY AT METER..... | 1,000 KW |
| (2) ENERGY AT METER..... | 6,132.00 MWH/YR |
| (3) OF GENERATION CAPACITY FACTOR..... | 70.00 % |
| (4) SUPPLEMENTAL BILLING KW REDUCTION..... | 0.00 KW |
| (5) SUPPLEMENTAL MWH REDUCTION AT METER..... | 0.00 MWH/YR |
| (6) SELF-SERVICE WHEELING CHARGE..... | 0 \$/YR |
| (7) WHEELING ESCALATION RATE..... | 5.40 % |
| (8) STANDBY BILLING KW INCREASE..... | 0.00 KW |
| (9) STANDBY MWH INCREASE AT METER..... | 0.00 MWH/YR |

IV. UTILITY AND CUSTOMER COSTS

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------|
| (1) UTILITY NONRECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER..... | \$1,159 |
| (2) UTILITY RECURRING COST PER CUSTOMER..... | \$0 |
| (3) UTILITY COST ESCALATION RATE..... | 5.0 % |

V. AVOIDED GENERATOR AND T&D COSTS

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| (1) BASE YEAR..... | 1990 |
| (2) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT..... | 1995 |
| (3) IN-SERVICE YEAR FOR AVOIDED T&D..... | 1995 |
| (4) BASE YEAR AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT COST..... | 400 \$/KW |
| (5) BASE YEAR AVOIDED TRANSMISSION COST..... | 133 \$/KW |
| (6) BASE YEAR AVOIDED DISTRIBUTION COST..... | 136 \$/KW |
| (7) GEN, TRANS and DIST COST ESCALATION RATE..... | 5.2 % |
| (8) GENERATOR FIXED O&M COSTS..... | 2.45 \$/KW/YR |
| (9) GENERATOR FIXED O&M COST ESCALATION RATE..... | 6.1 % |
| (10) TRANSMISSION FIXED O&M COSTS..... | 1.34 \$/KW/YR |
| (11) DISTRIBUTION FIXED O&M COSTS..... | 1.94 \$/KW/YR |
| (12) T&D FIXED O&M COST ESCALATION RATE..... | 6.0 % |
| (13) AVOIDED GEN UNIT VARIABLE O&M COSTS..... | 0.8450 Cents/KWH |
| (14) GENERATOR VARIABLE O&M COST ESCALATION RATE..... | 6.0 % |
| (15) GENERATOR CAPACITY FACTOR..... | 20 % |
| (16) AVOIDED GENERATING UNIT FUEL COST..... | 5.044 Cents/KWH |
| (17) AVOIDED GEN UNIT FUEL COST ESCALATION RATE..... | 5.2 % |

VI. UTILITY RATE DATA

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| (1) SUPPLEMENTAL SERVICE RATE, NON-FUEL..... | 0.869 Cents/KWH |
| (2) SUPPLEMENTAL SERVICE RATE, DEMAND..... | 1.09 \$/KW/MNTH |
| (3) SUPPLEMENTAL SERVICE ESCALATION RATE..... | 4.60 % |
| (4) STANDBY RATE, NON-FUEL..... | 0.56 Cents/KWH |
| (5) STANDBY RATE, DEMAND..... | 2.31 \$/KW/MNTH |
| (6) STANDBY ESCALATION RATE..... | 4.60 % |

42a

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 64

PSC FORM CE 3.2 Input Data, Self-Service Wheeling -- Part 2

This form, along with PSC FORM CE 3.1, specifies the input data to be used for self-service wheeling proposals. Each element on the form is defined below:

Col (1) Year

The years begin with the base year and extend through the life of the proposal.

Col (2) Utility Average System Fuel Cost

This is the utility's annual system fuel cost approved by the FPSC that includes fuel, purchases and sales.

Col (3) Utility Purchase Marginal Fuel Cost

This is the marginal fuel cost reduction caused by purchases of QF energy by the utility.

Col (4) QF Supplemental Marginal Fuel Cost

This is the marginal fuel cost reduction caused by the reduction in supplemental purchases by a QF that serves its own load.

Col (5) QF Standby Marginal Fuel Cost

This is the marginal fuel cost increase caused by the increase in standby purchases by the QF.

Col (6) Replacement Fuel Cost

This column contains, for each year, the annual average replacement fuel costs in cents per kwh. This is the system fuel cost if the utility had built the unit to be avoided. If the avoided unit would have lowered system fuel costs, then these costs act as an offset to the savings gained by not building the unit. On the other hand, if the avoided unit would have raised system fuel costs, there are additional savings to be achieved by avoiding the unit.

Col (7) QF Capacity Payments

These are the capacity payments in dollars per kilowatt per month based on other inputs.

Col (8) QF Energy Payments

These are the energy payments in dollars per megawatt-hour based on other inputs.

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 65

Col (9) QF Effectiveness Factor -- KW

This is a factor that is normally 1.00, but may be reduced or increased to simulate degradation or improvement on KW.

Col(10) QF Effectiveness Factor -- KWH

This is a factor that is normally 1.00, but may be reduced or increased to simulate degradation or improvement on KWH.

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
|------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| YEAR | Utility Avg System Fuel Adj Cost (c/KWH) | Utility Purchase Marginal Fuel Cost (c/KWH) | Supplemental QF Marginal Fuel Cost (c/KWH) | Standby Purch QF Marginal Fuel Cost (c/KWH) | Replacement Fuel Cost (c/KWH) | QF Capacity Payments (\$/KWH/MO) | QF Energy Payments (\$/MWH) | QF Effectiveness Factor -- KW -- | QF Effectiveness Factor -- KWH -- |
| 1990 | 2.27 | 2.98 | 2.98 | 2.98 | 5.04 | 0.00 | 30.41 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1991 | 2.25 | 3.38 | 3.38 | 3.38 | 4.58 | 0.00 | 34.36 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1992 | 2.47 | 3.69 | 3.69 | 3.69 | 4.77 | 0.00 | 37.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1993 | 2.72 | 3.66 | 3.66 | 3.66 | 5.31 | 7.48 | 31.01 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1994 | 3.11 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 5.56 | 7.89 | 33.83 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1995 | 3.11 | 4.51 | 4.51 | 4.51 | 5.76 | 8.31 | 36.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1996 | 3.43 | 5.20 | 5.20 | 5.20 | 6.14 | 8.75 | 40.27 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1997 | 3.56 | 5.20 | 5.20 | 5.20 | 6.59 | 9.23 | 43.93 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1998 | 3.89 | 5.65 | 5.65 | 5.65 | 6.98 | 9.73 | 47.93 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 1999 | 4.04 | 5.77 | 5.77 | 5.77 | 7.34 | 10.25 | 52.29 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2000 | 4.38 | 6.28 | 6.28 | 6.28 | 7.88 | 10.80 | 57.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2001 | 4.55 | 6.60 | 6.60 | 6.60 | 8.31 | 11.39 | 62.24 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2002 | 4.94 | 7.07 | 7.07 | 7.07 | 8.69 | 12.00 | 67.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2003 | 5.13 | 7.41 | 7.41 | 7.41 | 9.18 | 12.64 | 74.09 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2004 | 5.56 | 7.95 | 7.95 | 7.95 | 9.69 | 13.33 | 80.83 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2005 | 5.77 | 8.41 | 8.41 | 8.41 | 10.04 | 14.04 | 88.19 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2006 | 6.24 | 9.03 | 9.03 | 9.03 | 10.56 | 14.80 | 96.21 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2007 | 6.47 | 9.47 | 9.47 | 9.47 | 10.95 | 15.59 | 104.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2008 | 6.83 | 9.43 | 9.43 | 9.43 | 9.56 | 16.44 | 114.52 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2009 | 7.21 | 9.79 | 9.79 | 9.79 | 10.09 | 17.31 | 124.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 2010 | 7.20 | 10.16 | 10.16 | 10.16 | 10.08 | 18.35 | 136.31 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 67

PSC FORM CE 3.3 Self Service Wheeling Cost Effectiveness Test

This form is used to report the costs and benefits of a self-service wheeling proposal. Each item to be reported is listed below:

Col (1) Year

The years begin with the base year of analysis and extend through the life of the program.

Col (2) Increased Fuel Costs

This column is used to report any increases in fuel costs attributable to the self-service wheeling proposal.

Col (3) Revenue Losses

This column is used to report any revenue losses resulting from the proposal.

Col (4) Other Costs

This column contains any other quantifiable costs. Complete documentation must be provided to support the numbers in this column.

Col (5) Total Costs

This column is the sum of columns (2) through (4).

Col (6) Avoided Gen Unit and Fuel Benefits

This column is the sum of columns (4) and (5), PSC FORM CE 2.1.

Col (7) Avoided T&D Benefits

This column is the sum of columns (4) and (7), PSC FORM CE 2.2.

Col (8) Revenue Gains

This column contains any revenue gains, such as wheeling revenues, resulting from the proposal.

Col (9) Other Benefits

This column contains other quantifiable benefits. Complete documentation must be provided for the numbers in this column.

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 68

Col (10) Total Benefits

This column is the sum of columns (7) through (10).

Col (11) Net Benefits

This column is calculated by subtracting column (6) from column (11).

Col (12) Cumulative Discounted Net Benefits

This column is the accumulation of the figures in column (12), discounted by the appropriate discount rate.

This form also contains the discount rate and the benefit/cost ratio.

SELF-SERVICE WHEELING

ORDER NO. 23647
DOCKET NO. 891324-EU
PAGE 69

| (1) Year | (2) Increased Fuel Costs \$(000) | (3) Revenue Losses \$(000) | (4) Other Costs \$(000) | (5) Total Costs \$(000) | (6) Avoided Gen Unit & Fuel Benefits \$(000) | (7) Avoided T&D Benefits \$(000) | (8) Revenue Gains \$(000) | (9) Other Benefits \$(000) | (10) Total Benefits \$(000) | (11) Net Benefits \$(000) | (12) Cumulative Discounted Net Benefits \$(000) |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| 1988 | 0 | 673 | 0 | 673 | 345 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 345 | (328) | (328) |
| 1989 | 0 | 1456 | 0 | 1,456 | 733 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 733 | (723) | (984) |
| 1990 | 0 | 2362 | 0 | 2,362 | 1173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1173 | (1,189) | (1,963) |
| 1991 | 0 | 3405 | 0 | 3,405 | 1667 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1667 | (1,738) | (3,261) |
| 1992 | 0 | 4602 | 0 | 4,602 | 2218 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2218 | (2,384) | (4,877) |
| 1993 | 0 | 5971 | 0 | 5,971 | 2712 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2712 | (3,259) | (6,882) |
| 1994 | 0 | 6389 | 0 | 6,389 | 3266 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3266 | (3,123) | (8,624) |
| 1995 | 0 | 6836 | 0 | 6,836 | 17714 | 72 | 72 | 0 | 17858 | 11,022 | (3,043) |
| 1996 | 0 | 7315 | 0 | 7,315 | 17831 | 77 | 77 | 0 | 17985 | 10,670 | 1,859 |
| 1997 | 0 | 7827 | 0 | 7,827 | 17992 | 80 | 80 | 0 | 18152 | 10,325 | 6,163 |
| 1998 | 0 | 8375 | 0 | 8,375 | 18199 | 85 | 85 | 0 | 18369 | 9,994 | 9,944 |
| 1999 | 0 | 8961 | 0 | 8,961 | 18457 | 89 | 89 | 0 | 18635 | 9,674 | 13,264 |
| 2000 | 0 | 9588 | 0 | 9,588 | 18770 | 94 | 94 | 0 | 18958 | 9,370 | 16,182 |
| 2001 | 0 | 10260 | 0 | 10,260 | 19142 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 19342 | 9,082 | 18,748 |
| 2002 | 0 | 10978 | 0 | 10,978 | 19574 | 105 | 105 | 0 | 19784 | 8,806 | 21,006 |
| 2003 | 0 | 11746 | 0 | 11,746 | 20075 | 111 | 111 | 0 | 20297 | 8,551 | 22,995 |
| 2004 | 0 | 12400 | 0 | 12,400 | 20534 | 117 | 117 | 0 | 20768 | 8,368 | 24,762 |
| 2005 | 0 | 13100 | 0 | 13,100 | 21278 | 124 | 124 | 0 | 21526 | 8,426 | 26,375 |
| 2006 | 0 | 13900 | 0 | 13,900 | 21340 | 130 | 130 | 0 | 21600 | 7,700 | 27,714 |
| 2007 | 0 | 14700 | 0 | 14,700 | 21742 | 137 | 137 | 0 | 22016 | 7,316 | 28,867 |
| 2008 | 0 | 15400 | 0 | 15,400 | 22144 | 145 | 145 | 0 | 22434 | 7,034 | 29,874 |
| 2009 | 0 | 16100 | 0 | 16,100 | 22446 | 154 | 154 | 0 | 22754 | 6,654 | 30,738 |
| 2010 | 0 | 16800 | 0 | 16,800 | 22850 | 162 | 162 | 0 | 23174 | 6,374 | 31,488 |
| Nominal: | 0 | 209,144 | 0 | 209,144 | 332,202 | 1,782 | 1,782 | 0 | 335,766 | 126,622 | |
| NPV: | 0 | 60,733 | 0 | 60,733 | 91,361 | 431 | 431 | 0 | 92,222 | 31,488 | |
| Discount Rate: | 10.21% | | | | | | | | | | |
| Benefit/Cost Ratio: | 1.52 | | | | | | | | | | |