

MEMORANDUM

December 19, 1996

RECEIVED
DEC 19 1996
10:10
FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

TO: DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING
FROM: DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CULPEPPER)
RE: DOCKET NO. 950699-TL - RESOLUTION BY CITY OF HAINES CITY
REQUESTING EXTENDED AREA SERVICE (EAS) FROM HAINES CITY
EXCHANGE TO ALL EXCHANGES WITHIN POLK COUNTY

1549-PCD

Attached is an ORDER DETERMINING ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED AT HEARING to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in Order - 3)

BC/anr
Attachment
cc: Division of Communications
I:\ 95069901.BC

210 KAR.

MUST GO TODAY

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Resolution by City) DOCKET NO. 950699-TL
Commission of Haines City) ORDER NO. PSC-96-1549-PCO-TL
Requesting Extended Area Service) ISSUED: December 19, 1996
(EAS) from Haines City Exchange)
to All Exchanges within Polk)
County.)
_____)

ORDER DETERMINING ISSUES
TO BE RESOLVED AT HEARING

On April 6, 1995, the Haines City Commission passed Resolution No. 627 asking the Commission to expand the local calling scope of the Haines City Exchange to include all of Polk County. This docket was established on June 20, 1995, to consider the City's request.

The prehearing conference has been scheduled for March 31, 1997, and the customer and technical hearing is set to be held April 22, 1997, in Haines City. In preparation for the hearing, Commission staff conducted an Issue Identification meeting on December 10, 1996. All of the parties to this docket participated in the meeting.

At the Issue Identification meeting, the parties and staff reached an agreement that the following issues should be addressed in this docket:

1. Is there a sufficient community of interest to justify implementing EAS, as currently defined in the Commission rules, or implementing ECS, or an alternative toll proposal on any of the following routes:

Haines City/Lakeland**
Haines City/Polk City
Haines City/Bartow*
Haines City/Mulberry
Haines City/Frostproof
Haines City/Indian Lakes
Haines City/Fort Meade

- * County seat of Polk County
- * State and Federal offices serving the area

2. What other community of interest factors should be considered in determining if either EAS, ECS, or an alternative toll plan should be implemented?

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

13482 DEC 1996

TELEPHONE REPORTING

3. If a sufficient community of interest is found on any of these routes, what is the economic impact of each plan on the customer and the company (summarize in chart form and discuss in detail)?
 - A) EAS with 25/25 plan and regrouping
 - B) Alternative toll plan
 - C) ECS; and
 - D) Other (specify)
4. Should subscribers be required to pay an additive as a prerequisite to implementation of EAS? If so, how much of a payment is required and how long should it last?
5. If a sufficient community of interest is found, what are the appropriate rates and charges for the plan to be implemented on these routes or route?

I find that these issues are appropriate; therefore, the testimony filed in this docket shall address the issues set forth above.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED that the testimony filed in this docket shall address the issues identified in the body of this Order.

By ORDER of Commissioner J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer, this 19th day of December, 1996.



J. TERRY DEASON, Commissioner and
Prehearing Officer

(S E A L)

BC

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.