

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition of Competitive Carriers for Commission action to support local competition in BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s service territory.

DOCKET NO. 981834-TP

In re: Consideration of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s entry into interLATA services pursuant to Section 271 of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.

DOCKET NO. 960786-TL
ORDER NO. PSC-00-0563-PAA-TP
ISSUED: March 20, 2000

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

JOE GARCIA, Chairman
J. TERRY DEASON
SUSAN F. CLARK
E. LEON JACOBS, JR.
LILA A. JABER

NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION
ORDER APPROVING RETAIL ANALOGS, BENCHMARKS AND
STATISTICAL METHODOLOGY

BY THE COMMISSION:

NOTICE is hereby given by the Florida Public Service Commission that the action discussed herein is preliminary in nature and will become final unless a person whose interests are substantially affected files a petition for a formal proceeding, pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code.

BACKGROUND

On December 10, 1998, the Florida Competitive Carriers Association (FCCA), the Telecommunications Resellers, Inc. (TRA), AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. (AT&T), MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC (MCImetro), WorldCom

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03517 MAR 20 8

FPCO-RECORDS/REPORTING

Technologies, Inc. (WorldCom), the Competitive Telecommunications Association (Comptel), MGC Communications, Inc. (MGC), and Intermedia Communications Inc. (Intermedia) (collectively, "Competitive Carriers") filed their Petition of Competitive Carriers for Commission Action to Support Local Competition in BellSouth's Service Territory.

On December 30, 1998, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth) filed a Motion to Dismiss the Petition of the Competitive Carriers for Commission Action to Support Local Competition in BellSouth's Service Territory. BellSouth requested that we dismiss the Competitive Carriers' Petition with prejudice. On January 11, 1999, the Competitive Carriers filed their Response in Opposition to BellSouth's Motion to Dismiss.

By Order No. PSC-99-0769-FOF-TP, issued April 21, 1999, we denied BellSouth's Motion to Dismiss. In addition, we denied the Competitive Carriers' request to initiate a rulemaking proceeding to establish expedited dispute resolution procedures for resolving interconnection agreement disputes. We also directed our staff to provide more specific information and rationale for its recommendation on the remainder of the Competitive Carriers Petition.

By Order No. PSC-99-1078-FOF-TP, issued May 26, 1999, we granted, in part, and denied, in part, the petition of the Florida Competitive Carriers Association to support local competition in BellSouth's service territory. Specifically, we established a formal administrative hearing process to address unbundled network elements (UNE) pricing, including UNE combinations and deaveraged pricing of unbundled loops. We also ordered that Commissioner and staff workshops on Operations Support Systems (OSS) be conducted concomitantly in an effort to resolve OSS operational issues. We indicated that the request for third-party testing of OSS was to be addressed in these workshops. These workshops were held on May 5-6, 1999. We also ordered a formal administrative hearing to address collocation and access to loop issues, as well as costing and pricing issues.

On May 28, 1999, FCCA and AT&T filed a Motion for Independent Third-Party Testing of BellSouth's OSS. BellSouth filed its Response to this Motion by the FCCA and AT&T on June 16, 1999.

That same day, FCCA and AT&T filed a Supplement to the Motion for Third-Party Testing. On June 17, 1999, ACI Corp. (ACI) filed a Motion to Expand the Scope of Independent Third-Party Testing. On June 28, 1999, BellSouth responded to the Supplement filed by FCCA and AT&T. On June 29, 1999, BellSouth responded to ACI's Motion to Expand the Scope of Independent Third-Party Testing. By Order No. PSC-99-1568-PAA-TP, issued August 8, 1999, we denied the motion. Upon our own motion, we approved our staff's recommendation to proceed with Phase I of third-party testing of BellSouth's OSS. Phase I of third-party testing required a third party, in this case KPMG, to develop a Master Test Plan (MTP) that would identify the specific testing activities necessary to demonstrate non-discriminatory access and parity of BellSouth's systems and processes.

By Order No. PSC-00-0104-PAA-TP, issued January 11, 2000, we approved the KPMG MTP and initiated Phase II of third-party testing of BellSouth's OSS. In order to initiate testing, we must approve interim performance metrics to be used during the course of testing to assess the level of service BellSouth is providing to ALECs. There are three components to the development of performance metrics. The first component is the performance metrics themselves and the calculations. The second component is retail analogs and performance target benchmarks. The third component is the statistical methodology to be used in analysis of test results. By Order No. PSC-00-0260-PAA-TP, issued February 8, 2000, we approved the interim performance metrics and their calculations. This Order addresses the retail analogs and benchmarks and the statistical methodology that should be used during the OSS third-party testing. Once interim performance metrics standards are established, KPMG can begin to define the process for capturing the required measurement data.

KPMG RETAIL ANALOGS AND BENCHMARKS

Performance standards, also known as retail analogs and benchmarks, are the yardstick by which the existence of nondiscrimination or parity will be determined during the OSS third-party testing. During the development of the master test plan, several ALECs filed comments regarding the adequacy and completeness of the performance metrics and standards proposed by BellSouth. In response, our staff initiated a process for

obtaining input regarding the metrics to be used for the purposes of testing. An Interim Performance Metrics Work Group, comprised of representatives of Commission staff, BellSouth, and the ALEC community, was established. This work group participated in three workshops and had four opportunities for comment regarding the retail analogs and benchmarks. Workshops were held on December 1 and 17, 1999; and January 28, 2000. The resulting retail analogs and benchmarks are shown in Attachment I which, by reference, is incorporated herein.

In establishing these retail analogs and benchmarks, KPMG has opted for retail analogs whenever possible to allow parity determinations to be made against actual BellSouth performance. In those cases where no retail analogs were available, benchmarks were developed based on input from BellSouth and the ALECs. We have reviewed BellSouth's historical performance and benchmarks that were established in other jurisdictions. We find that the approved benchmarks represent reasonable OSS performance standards that will afford ALECs a meaningful opportunity to compete in the local exchange market. The approved retail analogs and benchmarks address the products and services BellSouth currently provides to ALECs. During the duration of the test, additional products may become available. In that event, we will consider any necessary changes or revisions to the performance measures or standards.

We find that the interim retail analogs and benchmarks used during testing can serve as the starting point for developing permanent analogs and benchmarks once testing proves whether or not the standards are adequate. Based on the foregoing, the retail analogs and benchmarks developed by KPMG, as set forth in Attachment I, are hereby approved.

KPMG STATISTICAL METHODOLOGY

KPMG's proposed statistical methodology is based upon the joint efforts of BellSouth and ALEC statisticians. The methodology has evolved from work begun in other jurisdictions and incorporates two important safeguards which help ensure that the Florida tests yield a fair evaluation of the performance of BellSouth's OSS systems. These safeguards are based upon the comments and suggestions received at the staff workshop held on January 28, 2000. In order to include these safeguards in the statistical

methodology already approved in Order No. PSC-00-0104-PAA-TP, Appendix C of the Master Test Plan shall be amended as shown in Attachment II which, by reference, is incorporated herein.

The first safeguard to be included in KPMG's statistical methodology takes into consideration, and attempts to balance, the business risks both BellSouth and the ALECs could be exposed to due to the sampling process. This safeguard protects ALECs from the risk that the tests show that parity exists when in fact it does not exist. Conversely, it also protects BellSouth from the risk that the test shows that parity does not exist when, in fact, it does. While the risk of reaching an incorrect conclusion cannot be eliminated entirely in a sampling environment, the statistical methodology proposed by KPMG strives to equalize and minimize the likelihood of either erroneous conclusion.

The second safeguard incorporated into KPMG's proposed methodology is the inclusion of a method to detect the presence of measurement bias which could occur during testing. This bias could arise if KPMG's test transactions are handled differently in BellSouth's OSS systems than the transactions originating from the general ALEC community. KPMG's proposed methodology includes a statistical comparison of the aggregate ALEC data to the retail analogs and benchmarks as well as a comparison of their own test results to the retail analogs and benchmarks. This dual comparison of the performance provided by BellSouth to both KPMG and the general ALEC community provides a basis to detect if the KPMG test results are representative of the experiences of the ALEC community as a whole.

KPMG's proposed statistical methodology will provide a fair and balanced evaluation of the performance of BellSouth's OSS systems. Based on the foregoing, the statistical methodology developed by KPMG, as set forth in Attachment II, is hereby approved. These dockets shall remain open to address the issues raised in FCCA's Petition for Commission Action to Support Local Competition in BellSouth's Service Territory and BellSouth's compliance with Section 271.

Therefore, it is

ORDER NO. PSC-00-0563-PAA-TP
DOCKETS NOS. 981834-TP, 960786-TL
PAGE 6

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the retail analogs and benchmarks developed by KPMG, as set forth in Attachment I which, by reference, is incorporated in the body of this Order, are hereby approved. It is further

ORDERED that the statistical methodology developed by KPMG, as set forth in Attachment II which, by reference, is incorporated in the body of this Order, is hereby approved. It is further

ORDERED that the provisions of this Order, issued as proposed agency action, shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order unless an appropriate petition, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code, is received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on the date set forth in the "Notice of Further Proceedings" attached hereto. It is further

ORDERED that in the event this Order becomes final, these dockets shall remain open.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 20th day of March, 2000.

BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director
Division of Records and Reporting

By: Kay Flynn
Kay Flynn, Chief
Bureau of Records

(S E A L)

TV

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing that is available under Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

The action proposed herein is preliminary in nature. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed by this order may file a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on April 10, 2000.

In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

**Florida OSS Third Party Testing
Performance Metric Analogs and Benchmarks
For BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.**

ORDER NO. PSC-00-0563-PAA-TP
DOCKETS NOS. 981834-TP, 960786-TL
PAGE 8

ATTACHMENT I
(PAGE 1 OF 11)

Category	Measures And Sub-Metrics	Retail Analogue	Benchmark
Pre-Ordering	Average Response Time – Telephone Number Availability and Reservation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Average Response Time – Cust. Serv. Record • Average Response Time – Due Date Avail • Average Response Time – Address Validation • Average Response Time – Prod. & Serv. Avail • Average Response Time – Telephone Number Availability and Reservation 	Parity with retail Parity with retail Parity with retail Parity with retail Parity with retail	
	OSS Interface Availability		99.5%*
Ordering	Percent Flow-Through Service Request <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residence • Business • UNE • Special 		95% TBA TBA TBA
	Percent Rejected Service Request	Diagnostic*	Diagnostic*
	Reject Interval <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mechanized • Non-Mechanized and Partially Mechanized 		97% <= 1 hr 85% < 24 hrs
	Firm Order Confirmation Timeliness <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mechanized • Non-Mechanized and Partially Mechanized 		95% <= 3 hrs 85% < 36 hrs
	Speed of Answer in Ordering Center	Parity with retail *	
Provisioning	Mean Held Order Interval		
	• Resale Residence	Parity with retail*	
	• Resale Business	Parity with retail*	
	• Resale Design	Parity with retail*	
	• Resale PBX	Parity with retail*	
	• Resale Centrex	Parity with retail*	
	• Resale IDSN	Parity with retail*	
	• UNE Loop and Port Combos	Retail Residence and Business	

**Florida OSS Third Party Testing
Performance Metric Analogs and Benchmarks
For BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.**

ORDER NO. PSC-00-0563-PAA-TP
DOCKETS NOS. 981834-TP, 960786-TL
PAGE 9

Category	Measures And Sub-Metrics	Retail Analogue	Benchmark
----------	--------------------------	-----------------	-----------

Provisioning Continued	• UNE 2w Loop with NP – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business		
	• UNE 2w Loop without NP – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business		
	• UNE Loop Other with NP Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business		
	• UNE Loop Other without NP Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business		
	• UNE Other Non Design	Retail Residence and Business		
	• UNE 2w Loop with NP – Design	Retail Residence and Business		
	• UNE 2w Loop without NP – Design	Retail Residence and Business		
	• UNE Loop Other with NP – Design	Retail Design		
	• UNE Loop Other without NP – Design	Retail Design		
	• UNE Other Design	Retail Design		
	• Local Interconnection Trunks	Parity with retail		
	• Switching	Retail POTS		
	• Local Transport	Retail DS1 or DS3 as appropriate		
	Average Jeopardy Notice Interval (Mechanized)			
	• Resale Residence		95% >=48 Hrs.	
	• Resale Business		95% >=48 Hrs.	
	• Resale Design		95% >=48 Hrs.	
	• Resale PBX		95% >=48 Hrs.	
	• Resale Centrex		95% >=48 Hrs.	
	• Resale IDSN		95% >=48 Hrs.	
	• UNE Loop and Port Combos		95% >=48 Hrs.	
	• UNE 2w Loop with NP – Non-Design		95% >=48 Hrs.	
	• UNE 2w Loop without NP – Non-Design		95% >=48 Hrs.	
	• UNE Loop Other with NP Non-Design		95% >=48 Hrs.	
	• UNE Loop Other without NP Non-Design		95% >=48 Hrs.	
	• UNE Other Non Design		95% >=48 Hrs.	
	• UNE 2w Loop with NP – Design		95% >=48 Hrs.	
	• UNE 2w Loop without NP – Design		95% >=48 Hrs.	
• UNE Loop Other with NP – Design		95% >=48 Hrs.		
• UNE Loop Other without NP – Design		95% >=48 Hrs.		

ATTACHMENT I
(PAGE 2 OF 11)

**Florida OSS Third Party Testing
Performance Metric Analogs and Benchmarks
For BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.**

ORDER NO. PSC-00-0563-PAA-TP
DOCKETS NOS. 981834-TP, 960786-TL
PAGE 10

Category	Measures And Sub-Metrics	Retail Analogue	Benchmark
----------	--------------------------	-----------------	-----------

Provisioning Continued	• UNE Other Design		95% >=48 Hrs.
	• Local Interconnection Trunks		95% >=48 Hrs.
	• Switching	Retail POTS	
	• Local Transport	Retail DS1, or DS3 as appropriate	
	% of Orders Given Jeopardy Notice (Mechanized)		
	• Resale Residence	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Business	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Design	Parity with retail	
	• Resale PBX	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Centrex	Parity with retail	
	• Resale IDSN	Parity with retail	
	• UNE Loop and Port Combos	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop with NP – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop without NP – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other with NP Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other without NP Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Other Non Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop with NP – Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop without NP – Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other with NP – Design	Retail Design	
	• UNE Loop Other without NP – Design	Retail Design	
	• UNE Other Design	Retail Design	
	• Local Interconnection Trunks	Parity with retail	
	• Switching	Retail POTS	
	• Local Transport	Retail DS1, or DS3 as appropriate	
	Percent Missed Installation Appointments		
• Resale Residence	Parity with retail		
• Resale Business	Parity with retail		
• Resale Design	Parity with retail		
• Resale PBX	Parity with retail		

ATTACHMENT I
(PAGE 3 OF 11)

**Florida OSS Third Party Testing
Performance Metric Analogs and Benchmarks
For BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.**

ORDER NO. PSC-00-0563-PAA-TP
DOCKETS NOS. 981834-TP, 960786-TL
PAGE 11

Category	Measures And Sub-Metrics	Retail Analogue	Benchmark
----------	--------------------------	-----------------	-----------

Provisioning Continued	• Resale Centrex	Parity with retail	
	• Resale IDSN	Parity with retail	
	• UNE Loop and Port Combos	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop with NP – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop without NP – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other with NP Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other without NP Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Other Non Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop with NP – Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop without NP – Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other with NP – Design	Retail Design	
	• UNE Loop Other without NP – Design	Retail Design	
	• UNE Other Design	Retail Design	
	• Local Interconnection Trunks	Parity with retail	
	• Switching	Retail POTS	
	• Local Transport	Retail DS1, or DS3 as appropriate	
	Order Completion Interval		
	• Resale Residence	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Business	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Design	Parity with retail	
	• Resale PBX	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Centrex	Parity with retail	
	• Resale IDSN	Parity with retail	
	• UNE Loop and Port Combos	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop with NP – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop without NP – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other with NP Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other without NP Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Other Non Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop with NP – Design	Retail Residence and Business	

ATTACHMENT I
(PAGE 4 OF 11)

**Florida OSS Third Party Testing
Performance Metric Analogs and Benchmarks
For BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.**

ORDER NO. PSC-00-0563-PAA-TP
DOCKETS NOS. 981834-TP, 960786-TL
PAGE 12

Category	Measures And Sub-Metrics	Retail Analogue	Benchmark
----------	--------------------------	-----------------	-----------

Provisioning Continued	• UNE 2w Loop without NP – Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other with NP – Design	Retail Design	
	• UNE Loop Other without NP – Design	Retail Design	
	• UNE Other Design	Retail Design	
	• Local Interconnection Trunks	Parity with retail	
	• Switching	Retail POTS	
	• Local Transport	Retail DS1, or DS3 as appropriate	
	Average Completion Notice Interval (Mechanized)		
	• Resale Residence	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Business	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Design	Parity with retail	
	• Resale PBX	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Centrex	Parity with retail	
	• Resale IDSN	Parity with retail	
	• UNE Loop and Port Combos	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop with NP – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop without NP – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other with NP Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other without NP Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Other Non Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop with NP – Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop without NP – Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other with NP – Design	Retail Design	
	• UNE Loop Other without NP – Design	Retail Design	
	• UNE Other Design	Retail Design	
	• Local Interconnection Trunks	Parity with retail	
	• Switching	Retail POTS	
	• Local Transport	Retail DS1, or DS3 as appropriate	
	Percent Provisioning Troubles within 30 Days		
	• Resale Residence	Parity with retail	

ATTACHMENT I
(PAGE 5 OF 11)

**Florida OSS Third Party Testing
Performance Metric Analogs and Benchmarks
For BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.**

ORDER NO. PSC-00-0563-PAA-TP
DOCKETS NOS. 981834-TP, 960786-TL
PAGE 13

Category	Measures And Sub-Metrics	Retail Analogue	Benchmark
Provisioning Continued	• Resale Business	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Design	Parity with retail	
	• Resale PBX	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Centrex	Parity with retail	
	• Resale IDSN	Parity with retail	
	• UNE Loop and Port Combos	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop with NP – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop without NP – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other with NP Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other without NP Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Other Non Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop with NP – Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop without NP – Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other with NP – Design	Retail Design	
	• UNE Loop Other without NP – Design	Retail Design	
	• UNE Other Design	Retail Design	
	• Local Interconnection Trunks	Parity with retail	
• Switching	Retail POTS		
• Local Transport	Retail DS1, or DS3 as appropriate		
Total Service Order Cycle Time	Diagnostic	Diagnostic	
Maintenance	Customer Trouble Report Rate		
	• Resale Residence	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Business	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Design	Parity with retail	
	• Resale PBX	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Centrex	Parity with retail	
	• Resale IDSN	Parity with retail	
	• UNE Loop and Port Combos	Retail Residence and Business	
• UNE 2w Loop – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business		

ATTACHMENT I
(PAGE 6 OF 11)

**Florida OSS Third Party Testing
Performance Metric Analogs and Benchmarks
For BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.**

ORDER NO. PSC-00-0563-PAA-TP
DOCKETS NOS. 981834-TP, 960786-TL
PAGE 14

ATTACHMENT I
(PAGE 7 OF 11)

Category	Measures And Sub-Metrics	Retail Analogue	Benchmark
----------	--------------------------	-----------------	-----------

Maintenance Continued	• UNE Loop Other – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Other Non Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop – Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other – Design	Retail Design	
	• UNE Other Design	Retail Design	
	• Local Interconnection Trunks	Parity with retail	
	• Switching	Retail POTS	
	• Local Transport	Retail DS1, or DS3 as appropriate	
	Customer Trouble Report Rate		
	• Resale Residence	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Business	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Design	Parity with retail	
	• Resale PBX	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Centrex	Parity with retail	
	• Resale IDSN	Parity with retail	
	• UNE Loop and Port Combos	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Other Non Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop – Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other – Design	Retail Design	
	• UNE Other Design	Retail Design	
	• Local Interconnection Trunks	Parity with retail	
	• Switching	Retail POTS	
	• Local Transport	Retail DS1, or DS3 as appropriate	
	Maintenance Average Duration		
	• Resale Residence	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Business	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Design	Parity with retail	
	• Resale PBX	Parity with retail	

**Florida OSS Third Party Testing
Performance Metric Analogs and Benchmarks
For BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.**

Category	Measures And Sub-Metrics	Retail Analogue	Benchmark
----------	--------------------------	-----------------	-----------

Maintenance Continued	• Resale Centrex	Parity with retail	
	• Resale IDSN	Parity with retail	
	• UNE Loop and Port Combos	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Other Non Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop – Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other – Design	Retail Design	
	• UNE Other Design	Retail Design	
	• Local Interconnection Trunks	Parity with retail	
	• Switching	Retail POTS	
	• Local Transport	Retail DS1, or DS3 as appropriate	
	Percent Repeat Troubles within 30 Days		
	• Resale Residence	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Business	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Design	Parity with retail	
	• Resale PBX	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Centrex	Parity with retail	
	• Resale IDSN	Parity with retail	
	• UNE Loop and Port Combos	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Other Non Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop – Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other – Design	Retail Design	
	• UNE Other Design	Retail Design	
	• Local Interconnection Trunks	Parity with retail	
	• Switching	Retail POTS	
	• Local Transport	Retail DS1, or DS3 as appropriate	

ORDER NO. PSC-00-0563-PAA-TP
DOCKETS NOS. 981834-TP, 960786-TL
PAGE 15

ATTACHMENT I
(PAGE 8 OF 11)

**Florida OSS Third Party Testing
Performance Metric Analogs and Benchmarks
For BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.**

ORDER NO. PSC-00-0563-PAA-TP
DOCKETS NOS. 981834-TP, 960786-TL
PAGE 16

ATTACHMENT I
(PAGE 9 OF 11)

Category	Measures And Sub-Metrics	Retail Analogue	Benchmark
----------	--------------------------	-----------------	-----------

Maintenance Continued	Out of Service > 24hrs		
	• Resale Residence	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Business	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Design	Parity with retail	
	• Resale PBX	Parity with retail	
	• Resale Centrex	Parity with retail	
	• Resale IDSN	Parity with retail	
	• UNE Loop and Port Combos	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other – Non-Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Other Non Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE 2w Loop – Design	Retail Residence and Business	
	• UNE Loop Other – Design	Retail Design	
	• UNE Other Design	Retail Design	
	• Local Interconnection Trunks	Parity with retail	
	• Switching	Retail POTS	
	• Local Transport	Retail DS1, or DS3 as appropriate	
	OSS Interface Availability		
	• All systems except ECTA	Parity with retail*	
	• ECTA		99.5%*
OSS Response Interval and %			
• TAFI (Front End)	Parity with retail*		
• CRIS, DLETH, DLR, OSPCM, LMOS, LMOSUP, MARCH, Predictor, SOCS, LNP (Parity by Design)	Parity by Design*		
Average Answer Time – Repair Center	Parity with retail		
Billing			
Invoice Accuracy	Parity with retail*		
Mean Time To Deliver Invoices	Parity with retail*		
Usage Data Delivery Accuracy	Parity with retail		

**Florida OSS Third Party Testing
Performance Metric Analogs and Benchmarks
For BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.**

ORDER NO. PSC-00-0563-PAA-TP
DOCKETS NOS. 981834-TP, 960786-TL
PAGE 17

Category	Measures And Sub-Metrics	Retail Analogue	Benchmark
Billing Continued	Usage Data Delivery Completeness	Parity with retail	
	Usage Data Delivery Timeliness	Parity with retail	
	Mean Time to Deliver Usage	Parity with retail	
Operator Services (Toll)	Average Speed to Answer	Parity by Design	
	% Answered in "X" Seconds	Parity by Design	
Directory Assistance	Average Speed to Answer	Parity by Design	
	% Answered in "X" Seconds	Parity by Design	
E911	Timeliness	Parity by Design	
	Accuracy	Parity by Design	
	Mean Interval	Parity by Design	
Trunk Group Performance (Blockage)	Trunk Group Service Report (Percent Trunk Blockage) Any 2 hour period in 24 hours where CLEC blockage exceeds BST blockage by more than 0.5% = a miss using trunk groups 1, 3, 4, 5, 10, 16 for CLECs and 9 for BST.	Parity with retail	
	Trunk Group Service Report (Percent Trunk Blockage)	Parity with retail	
LNR	Average Disconnect Timeliness Interval		95% < 15 min
	Percent Missed Installation Appointments	Retail Residence and Business	
	FOC (Mechanized)		95% <= 3 hrs
	Non-Mechanized and Partially Mechanized		85% < 36 hrs
	% Reject Service Request	Diagnostic	Diagnostic
	Average Reject Interval (Mechanized) Non-Mechanized and Partially Mechanized		97% <= 1 hr 85% < 24hrs
TSOC	Diagnostic	Diagnostic	

ATTACHMENT I
(PAGE 10 OF 11)

**Florida OSS Third Party Testing
Performance Metric Analogs and Benchmarks
For BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.**

Category	Measures And Sub-Metrics	Retail Analogue	Benchmark
	% Flow Through		95%
Customer Coordinated Conversions	Coordinated Customer Conversions – UNE Loop		95% < 15 min*
	Coordinated Customer Conversions – LNP		95% < 15 min*
Collocation	Average Response Time	This is being addressed in FPSC Docket 980800	
	Average Arrangement Time	This is being addressed in FPSC Docket 980800	
	% of Due Dates Missed		90% ≤ Commit Date

* Denotes a retail analog or benchmark that has previously been approved by the Florida Public Service Commission.

TBA – Denotes a benchmark that will be established following further analysis by KPMG.

ORDER NO. PSC-00-0563-PAA-TP
DOCKETS NOS. 981834-TP, 960786-TL
PAGE 18

ATTACHMENT I
(PAGE 11 OF 11)

Appendix C: Statistical Approach

A. Overview

This test will rely on standard statistical methods to evaluate BST performance. Each test will define the data population to be observed, the measurements to be taken, and the statistical tests to be used. Data will be normalized, tabulated, and archived in a way that allows verification of test results and re-analysis of data using additional statistical methods, if appropriate.

B. Measures

The measures (metrics and their associated standards) that will serve as parameters for testing will be listed in Appendix D.

C. Sampling

In instances where sampling is used, sampling will be designed so that samples are sufficiently representative of populations with respect to the measures being studied to ensure that the resulting statistical inferences made about populations are valid. For most tests, simple random sampling will be used.

D. Hypothesis Testing

This test will employ a hypothesis testing approach to frame the analysis of test results. The standard "null" hypothesis will be that BellSouth is performing adequately. The possibility of an error arises if this hypothesis is rejected when it is true (Type I error) or is accepted when it is false (Type II error). ~~An attempt will be made to balance Type I and Type II errors as much as it is feasible.~~ The hypothesis tests will balance Type I and Type II errors whenever feasible.

E. Parity Tests and Non-Parity Tests

There are two basic types of tests. Parity tests compare a BellSouth retail average or percentage to a CLEC or test transaction average or percentage. The typical test for this type of comparison is a hypergeometric test for percentages and a two-sample t-test or z-test for averages. For those parity tests where sufficiently large samples can be drawn, hypothesis testing will be done by performing a "z-test" to calculate a "z-score." A z-score is a single number, which indicates the differences between sample data. A low z-score supports the hypothesis of parity (i.e., both CLEC and ILEC performance are from the same "population" in terms of performance). In cases where this test is not appropriate due to small sample size (for tests of averages) or assumption violations, other tests, such as permutation tests, will be performed.

Non-parity tests compare a percentage or average to a fixed standard or benchmark. In this case, the typical test is a binomial test or a one-sample t-test. Once again, alternative statistical tests will be used, where appropriate, based on tests of assumptions and sample sizes.

F. Results

~~Test results will include a summary of the statistics calculated, the hypotheses postulated for the test, and the conclusion(s) drawn based on the statistical results.~~ The tests will compare KPMG pseudo-CLEC performance measurements to the analogs/benchmarks described in Appendix D. The tests will also compare aggregate CLEC performance measurements to the analogs/benchmarks described in Appendix D. Conclusions will be drawn from the outcomes of these statistical hypothesis tests.