FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Fletcher Building 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Floride 32399-0850

MENORANDUM

FEBRUARY 7, 1991

TO : DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM : DIVISION OF WATER AND SEWER (ROMIS, GOLDEN, LIVELY)

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (BEDELL)

RE : UTILITY: SAILPISH POINT UTILITY CORPORATION

DOCKET NO. 1 900016-18

COUNTY: MARTIN

CASE: APPLICATION FOR INCREASED RATES

AGENDA : 02/19/91 - CONTROVERSIAL AGENDA - PARTIES MAY NOT

PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: 60-DAY SUSPENSION DATE: 02/27/91

8-MONTH EFFECTIVE DATE: 09/03/91

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: THIS ITEM HAS NOT BEEN TO HEARING AND

SHOULD BE HEARD BY THE FULL COMMISSION

CASE BACKGROUND

Sailfish Point Utility Corporation, (Sailfish Point or utility) is a Class C utility located in Stuart, Florida. The utility is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sailfish Point, Inc., which is wholly owned by Mobil Land Development Corporation (Mobil). As of December 31, 1989, the utility had 171 water customers and 157 wastewater customers. Water treatment is provided by reverse osmosis. The water system had actual operating revenues of \$161,581 and a net operating loss of \$91,111 for the twelve months ended June 30, 1990. The wastewater system had actual operating revenues of \$92,996 and a net operating loss of \$73,378 for the same period.

1225 FT -7 KS

, -- NITT IN FIRST

The Commission has not previously considered this utility's rates within a full rate case; nor has a rate of return on equity been set. A 1983 price index adjustment was acknowledged, by Order No. 12963.

On December 18, 1989, Sailfish Point filed an application for increased rates (Docket No. 891114-WS). By Order No. 22609, the Commission granted an interim increase and suspended the requested rates. Because of an amendment increasing its revenue request and a notice violation, the case was later dismissed by Order No. 23123 on June 26, 1990. A refund of the interim increase was required by Order No. 23123.

On December 28, 1990, the utility filed its application in this docket for a rate increase and that date was established as the official date of filing. The test year for final rate determination is the projected twelve-month period ended June 30, 1992. The interim test period is the twelve months ended June 30, 1990. The utility has requested that this case be scheduled for a formal hearing and not processed pursuant to the proposed agency action process as provided for in Section 367.081(8), Florida Statutes. The case has been set for hearing in Stuart, Florida, on June 26 and 27, 1991.

Sailfish Point has requested interim water rates designed to generate \$258,387. These revenues exceed test year revenues by \$96,807 for an increase of 59.91%. The utility has requested interim wastewater revenues designed to generate annual revenues of \$170,674. These requested revenues exceed test year revenues by \$77,678, for an 83.53% increase. The utility states that this increase in revenue would be sufficient to break even; i.e., sufficient only to recover operating deductions without providing any return on rate base.

Sailfish Point has requested final water rates designed to generate annual revenues of \$572,814, which exceed test year revenues by \$371,755 for a 184.9% increase. The utility has requested final wastewater rates designed to generate annual revenues of \$477,580, which exceed test year revenues by \$361,910 for a 312.88% increase. The utility states that the final rates requested would be sufficient to recover a 9.87% rate of return on rate base.

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the utility's proposed water and wastewater rates
be suspended?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The utility's proposed water rates should be suspended pending further investigation by staff. (MONIZ)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Section 367.081(6), Florida Statutes, provides that the rate schedules proposed by the utility shall become effective within sixty (60) days after filing unless the Commission votes to withhold consent to the implementation of the rates requested. Further, the above-referenced statute permits the proposed rates to go into effect, under bond, eight (8) months after filing unless final action has been taken by the Commission.

Staff has reviewed the filing and found that the utility has made substantial plant additions since receiving its certificate. Further, since the utility has not completed a full rate case, its rate base has not been established by this Commission. In addition, the utility has proposed numerous adjustments to the rate base and operating statements. We have considered the proposed rates, the amount of the additional revenues sought thereunder and the supporting data which has been submitted. We recommend that it is reasonable and necessary to require further amplification, explanation and cross-examination of data filed by the utility, as well as additional and/or corroborative data.

In consideration of the above, staff recommends suspension of the proposed rate schedules.

ISSUE 2: Should an interim revenue increase be granted for the water and wastewater systems?

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> Yes. The utility should be authorized, on an interim basis, to collect annual operating revenues as shown below: (MONIZ)

	Revenues	Increase	Percentage
Water	\$258,387	\$96,807	59.91%
Wastewater	\$170,674	\$77,678	83.53%

STAFF ANALYSIS: Sailfish Point has requested interim water rates designed to generate \$258,387. These revenues exceed test year revenues by \$96,807 for an increase of 59.91%. The utility has requested interim wastewater revenues designed to generate annual revenues of \$170,674. These requested revenues exceed test year revenues by \$77,678, for an 83.53% increase.

The attached accounting schedules illustrate staff's recommended rate base calculations, capital structure, and test year operating income statements. Also attached are detailed schedules outlining each adjustment recommended by staff. The utility submitted separate schedules for interim rate consideration.

Our review of the information filed in the application indicates that it is appropriate, on an interim basis, to increase water revenues by \$96,807 and to increase wastewater revenues by \$77,678, subject to refund.

RATE BASE: In its application, the utility put debit deferred taxes related to CIAC in its rate base and credit deferred taxes in its capital structure. It is Commission practice to first net the debit and credit deferred tax balances. If a net debit balance results, then that balance should be included in rate base. If a net credit balance results, then the net amount should be reflected in the capital structure at zero cost. Based on the MFR's, the utility has a net credit balance of deferred income taxes. We have, therefore, removed the amounts reflected in rate base and adjusted the average capital structure accordingly. Staff recommends an average rate base for the water system of \$1,134,271 and for the wastewater system of \$609,772. Staff's schedules showing the calculation of these figures are attached as Schedule Nos. 1-A and 1-B. The adjustments to these schedules are itemized on Schedule No. 1-C.

CAPITAL STRUCTURE: Sailfish Point used the consolidated capital structure of Mobil reconciled to the subsidiary capital structure

of Sailfish Point to calculate its overall rate of return. The only adjustment to the capital structure amounts relate to the adjustment discussed above in the rate base section. Staff believes that this capital structure is reasonable to use for interim purposes. Since the Commission has not previously established a return on equity, the utility calculated the cost of equity for interim purposes using the midpoint of the leverage formula. Since the interim statute requires the use of the minimum of the range on equity, we have reduced the return on equity from 12.14% to 11.14%. Based on these adjustments, the overall rate of return for interim purposes should be 10.00%.

While the utility states that its fair rate of return should be 9.87%, it has asked only for breakeven rates, or a 0.0% of return, for interim purposes. Where the revenues requested will produce less than a fair rate of return, it is Commission practice to limit the increase in revenues to the dollar amount requested by the utility, rather than the rate of return requested. The utility used the leverage formula to determine the last authorized rate of return, however they used the midpoint and not the minimum in determining interim rates. Staff has made an adjustment to reflect the minimum. Based on adjustments made by staff, the revenue requested allows the utility to recover test year operating expenses along with a 0.29% return on rate base for water and a 1.00% return on rate base for wastewater.

Staff's schedules showing the capital structure, including pro rata reconciliation, are attached as Schedule Nos. 2-A and 2-B.

NET OPERATING INCOME: The utility's filing requested recovery of the 4.5% regulatory assessment fee factor which went into effect on July 1, 1990. Since the interim rate determination must, by statute, be made on a historical basis, this known change cannot be allowed. Therefore staff has reduced taxes other than income to reflect the 2.5% regulatory assessment fee. The utility also made an additional adjustment was made to include income tax expense associated with CIAC. As discussed previously, the utility does not pay income tax due to net operating losses. Therefore, staff has removed this adjustment.

Based on our review of the information filed by the applicant, staff believes that it is appropriate on an interim basis to increase water revenues by \$96,807, for a total revenue requirement of \$258,387, and wastewater revenues by \$77,678 for a total wastewater revenue requirement of \$170,674. This is an increase of 59.91% for water and 83.53% for wastewater over existing rates. Staff's schedules showing the calculation of these figures are attached as Schedule Nos. 3-A and 3-B. The adjustments to these schedules are itemized on Schedule No. 3-C.

ISSUE 3: What are the appropriate interim rates?

RECOMMENDATION: The interim rates should be designed to allow the utility the opportunity to generate additional annual operating revenues of \$96,807 and \$77,678 for the respective water and wastewater systems. This results in increases of 59.91% and 83.53% in existing water and wastewater rates, respectively. The interim rates will become effective for meter readings on or after thirty days from the stamped approval date, provided the utility has filed and had approved revised tariff sheets, the proposed notice to the customers of the increase and the required security as discussed in Issue 4. (GOLDEN)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Staff recommends that interim rates be designed to allow the utility the opportunity to generate additional annual operating revenues of \$96,807 for water and \$77,678 for wastewater. This results in increases of 59.91% and 83.53% in existing water and wastewater rates, respectively, when applied as an across the board increase to total revenue, excluding miscellaneous revenues.

The interim rates should be effective for meter readings on or after thirty days from the stamped approval date on the revised tariff sheets. The revised tariff sheets will be approved upon staff's verification that the tariffs are consistent with the Commission's vote, the appropriate security has been filed with the Commission and the proposed customer notice is adequate.

The utility's current and requested rates and staff's recommended rates are shown on Schedules No. 4 and 5. The Data Summary forms are shown on Schedules No. 6 and 7.

ISSUE 4: What is the appropriate security to guarantee the interim increases?

RECOMMENDATION: A corporate undertaking in the amount of \$128,000 by Sailfish Point Utility Corporation guaranteed by the parent company Sailfish Point, Inc. should be accepted as security. (GOLDEN)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Pursuant to Section 367.082, Florida Statutes, the excess of interim rates over the previously authorized rates shall be collected under guarantee subject to refund with interest. The Division of Auditing and Financial Analysis has advised that a corporate undertaking by Sailfish Point Utility Corporation guaranteed by the parent company Sailfish Point, Inc. would be sufficient to protect potential refunds. Therefore, we recommend that the utility be allowed to provide a corporate undertaking in the amount of \$128,000. Also, pursuant to Rule 25-30.360(6), Florida Administrative Code, the utility shall provide a report by the 20th of each month indicating the monthly and total revenue collected subject to refund.

SAILFISH POINT UTILITY CORPORATION SCHEDULE OF WATER RATE BASE TEST YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1990

SCHEDULE NO. 1-A DOCKET NO. 900816-WS

COMPONENT	UTILITY AC	UTILITY DJUSTMENTS	TEST YEAR PER UTILITY	STAFF	ADJUSTED
1 UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE \$	2,159,783 \$			0 \$	2,182,897
2 LAND	19,500	0	19,500	0	19,500
3 NON-USED & USEFUL COMPONENTS	(166,431)	0	(166,431)	0	(166,431)
4 CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS	405,136	(405,136)	0	0	0
4 ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	(443,584)	(4,586)	(448,170)	0	(448,170)
5 ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT -NET	0	0	0	0	0
6 CIAC	(528,493)	(17,093)	(545,586)	0	(545,586)
7 AMORTIZATION OF CIAC	63,850	4,274	68,124	0	68,124
8 CIAC DEFERRED TAX DEBIT	0	106,987	106,987	(106,987)	0
9 WORKING CAPITAL ALLOWANCE			23,937		
RATE BASE \$		(293,239)\$	1,241,258 \$	(106,987)\$	1,134,271

SAILFISH POINT UTILITY CORPORATION SCHEDULE OF WASTEWATER RATE BASE TEST YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1990

SCHEDULE NO. 1-8 DOCKET NO. 900816-WS

COMPONENT	PER	UTILIT	TEST YEAR	STAFF ADJUSTMENTS	ADJUSTED
1 UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE \$	1,518,886	8,362	1,527,248	0 \$	1,527,248
2 LAND	19,500	0	19,500	0	19,500
3 NON-USED & USEFUL COMPONENTS	(319,411)	0	(319,411)	0	(319,411)
4 NON-USED & USEFUL COMPONENTS	559,474	(559,474)	0	0	0
5 ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	(282,301)	(473)	(282,774)	0	(282,774)
6 ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT -NET	(399,250)	0	(399,250)	0	(399,250)
7 CIAC	48,228	0	48,228	0	48,228
8 AMORTIZATION OF CIAC	0	0	0	0	0
9 CIAC DEFERRED TAX DEBIT	0	64,333	64,333	(64,333)	0
10 WORKING CAPITAL ALLOWANCE					
RATE BASE \$	1,164,392	(490,287)	674,105	(64,333)\$	609,772

SAILFISH POINT UTILITY CORPORATION ADJUSTMENTS TO RATE BASE TEST YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1990 SCHEDULE NO. 1-C PAGE 1 OF 1 DOCKET NO. 900816-WS

EXPLANATION	WATER	WASTEWATER
		•••••
(1) CIAC DEFERRED TAX DEBIT		
To remove the utility's adjustment	(106,987)	(64,333)

SAILFISH POINT UTILITY CORPORATION CAPITAL STRUCTURE TEST YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1990 SCHEDULE NO. 2-A DOCKET NO. 900816-WS

					STAFF				
	ADJUSTED TEST YEAR			WEIGHTED		BALANCE PER			WEIGHTED COST PER
DESCRIPTION	PER UTILITY	WEIGHT	COST	COST	EXHIBIT	STAFF	WEIGHT	COST	STAFF
1 LONG TERM DEBT	\$ 465,564			2.69%	\$ (6,805)\$		26.30%	11.07%	2.91%
2 SHORT TERM DEBT	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
3 PERFERRED STOCK	53,498	2.79%	7.72%	0.22%	(905)	52,593	3.02%	7.72%	0.23%
4 CUSTOMER DEPOSITS	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00X	0	0	0.00X	0.00%	0.00X
5 COMMON EQUITY	1,088,276	56.82%	12.14%	6.90X	(15,514)	1,072,762	61.51%	11.14%	6.85%
6 INVESTMENT TAX CREDITS	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0	0.00X	0.00X	9.80%
7 DEFERRED TAXES	308,026		0.00%		(148,097)	500000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			
8 TOTAL CAPITAL	\$ 1,915,364			9.80%		1,744,043			10.00%

RANGE	OF	REASONABLENESS	LOW	HIGH
			•••••	•••••
		RETURN ON EQUITY	11.14%	13.14%
			*******	******
		OVERALL RATE OF RETURN	10.00%	11.233
			******	*****

SAILFISH POINT UTILITY CORPORATION ADJUSTMENTS TO CAPITAL STRUCTURE TEST YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1990

SCHEDULE NO. 2-B PAGE 1 OF 1 DOCKET NO. 900816-WS

	EXPLANATION		
(1)	LONG TERM DEBT		
	To reconcile capital structure b adjustment to rate base	ased on	(6,805)
(2)	PERFERRED STOCK		
	To reconcile capital structure b adjustment to rate base	ased on	(905)
(3)	COMMON EQUITY		
	To reconcile capital structure b adjustment to rate base	ased on	(15,514)
(4)	DEFERRED TAXES		
	To reflect average net credit bal	ance	(148,097)

SAILFISH POINT UTILITY CORPORATION STATEMENT OF WATER OPERATIONS TEST YEAR EMDED JUNE 30, 1990 SCHEDULE NO. 3-A DOCKET NO. 900816-WS

DESCRIPTION		UTILITY UTILITY ADJUSTED JUSTMENTS TEST YEAR A	STAFF ADJUSTED DJUSTMENTS TEST YEAR	REVENUE REVENUE INCREASE REQUIREMENT
1 OPERATING REVENUES			(96,807)\$ 161,580 \$	
OPERATING EXPENSES	•••••••••••	***************************************		59.91%
2 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	197,888 \$	(6,390)\$ 191,498 \$	0 \$ 191,496 \$	\$ 191,498
3 DEPRECIATION	24,548	2,128 26,676	0 26,676	26,676
4 AMORTIZATION	0	0 0	• , , , ,	0
5 TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME	34,352	4,521 38,873	(4,356) 34,517	2,420 34,937
INCOME TAXES		68,841 1,341	(1,341) 0	0 0
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$ 189,288 \$	69,100 \$ 258,388 \$	(5,697)\$ 252,691 \$	2,420 \$ 255,111
OPERATING INCOME			(91,110)8 (91,111)8	
RATE BASE	\$ 1,534,497	\$ 1,241,258	\$ 1,134,271	\$ 1,134,271
RATE OF RETURN	-3.26%	0.00%	-8.03X	0.29%

SAILFISH POINT UTILITY CORPORATION STATEMENT OF WASTEWATER OPERATIONS TEST YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1990

SCHEDULE NO. 3-B DOCKET NO. 900816-WS

DESCRIPTION	2000	EST YEAR R UTILITY	UTILITY ADJUSTMENTS	UTILITY ADJUSTED TEST YEAR	STAFF ADJUSTMENTS	STAFF ADJUSTED TEST YEAR	REVENUE INCREASE	REVENUE REQUIREMENT
1 OPERATING REVENUES	8	84,175 1	86,499 \$	170,674 1	(77,678)\$	92,996 \$	77,678 1	170,674
OPERATING EXPENSES	••	•••••				••••••	83.53x	
2 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	\$	154,130 1	\$ (24,278)\$	129,852	0 \$	129,852 \$		129,852
3 DEPRECIATION		13,695	864	14,559	•	14,559		14,559
4 AMORTIZATION		0	0	0	•	•		•
5 TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME		34,352	(12,610)	21,742	(3,496)	18,246	1,962	20,186
INCOME TAXES 6		(67,500)	68,304	804	(804)		0	
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	•	134,677	\$ 32,280 \$	166,957	4,300)\$	162,657 \$	1,942 1	164,599
OPERATING INCOME 8	:		54,219 \$			(69,661)8		6,075
RATE BASE		1,164,392		674,105	\$	609,772	•	609,772
RATE OF RETURN		-4.34X		0.55%		-11.42%		1.00%

SAILFISH POINT UTILITY CORPORATION ADJUSTMENTS TO OPERATING STATEMENTS TEST YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1990

SCHEDULE NO. 3-C PAGE 1 OF 1 DOCKET NO. 900816-WS

	EXPLANATION				WATER \	MASTEWATER
(1)	OPERATING REVENUES					
	To remove the utility's test year r	evenue requ	est.	\$	(96,807) \$	(77,678)
(2)	TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME					
	To remove the 4.5% RAF related to t increase in revenues.	:he		\$	(4,356) \$	(3,496)
(3)	PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES			***	*********	
	To remove income tax expense.				(1,341) \$	(804)
(4)	OPERATING REVENUES					
	To reflect recommended increase in	revenues		\$	96,807 \$	77,678
(5)	TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME					
	To reflect regulatory assessment for related to adjustment to revenues.	ies		*	2,420 \$	1,942

RATE SCHEDULE

WATER

UTILITY: Sailfish Point Utility Corporation

TEST YEAR ENDED: June 30, 1990

Monthly Rates

	Current	Utility Requested Interim	Utility Requested Final	Staff Recommended Interim
Residential, General Service,				
and Multi-Residential Service				
Base Facility Charge:				
Neter Size:				
5/8"x3/4"	\$12.46	\$20.37	\$21.33	\$20.37
1"	\$31.21	\$51.01	\$53.33	\$51.01
1-1/2"	\$62.34	\$101.89	\$106.66	\$101.89
2"	\$99.75	\$163.04	\$170.66	\$163.04
3"	\$199.50	\$326.07	\$341.32	\$326.07
4"	\$311.71	\$509.47	\$533.32	\$509.47
6"	\$623.43	\$1,018.96	\$1,067.37	\$1,018.96
Gallonage Charge per 1,000 G.	\$2.22	\$3.63	\$10.19	\$3.63

SCHEDULE NO. 5 Page 1 of 1

RATE SCHEDULE

WASTEWATER

UTILITY: Sailfish Point Utility Corporation

TEST YEAR ENDED: June 30, 1990

Monthly Rates

	Current	Utility Requested Interim	Utility Requested Final	Staff Recommended Interim
sidential Service				
Base Facility Charge:				
All Meter Sizes	\$15.24	\$27.97	\$40.08	\$27.97
Gallonage Charge per 1,000 6.	\$1.65	\$3.03	\$9.18	\$3.03
(Maximum 10,000 G.)				
neral Service and Multi-Residenti	al Service			
46	al Service			
neral Service and Multi-Residenti	al Service			
neral Service and Multi-Residenti	\$15.24	\$27.97	\$40.08	\$27.9
neral Service and Multi-Residenti Base Facility Charge: Heter Size:		\$27.97 \$69.92	\$40.08 \$100.19	\$27.97 \$69.97
Base Facility Charge: Meter Size: 5/8"x3/4" 1"	\$15.24			\$69.9
Base Facility Charge: Meter Size: 5/8"x3/4" 1" 1-1/2"	\$15.24 \$38.10	\$69.92	\$100.19	\$69.9 \$139.8
Base Facility Charge: Meter Size: 5/8"x3/4" 1" 1-1/2" 2"	\$15.24 \$38.10 \$76.21	\$69.92 \$139.87	\$100.19 \$200.38	\$69.9 \$139.8 \$223.7
Base Facility Charge: Meter Size: 5/8"x3/4" 1" 1-1/2"	\$15.24 \$38.10 \$76.21 \$121.92	\$69.92 \$139.87 \$223.76	\$100.19 \$200.38 \$320.60 \$641.21	\$69.9 \$139.8 \$223.7 \$447.5
Base Facility Charge: Meter Size: 5/8"x3/4" 1" 1-1/2" 2" 3"	\$15.24 \$38.10 \$76.21 \$121.92 \$243.86	\$69.92 \$139.87 \$223.76 \$447.55	\$100.19 \$200.38 \$320.60 \$641.21	\$69.93 \$139.8 \$223.7 \$447.5

Utility Name: Sailfish Point Utility Corporation

RATE CASE DATA SUPPLARY

County: Martin Water: X Sever:

General Service Area: N/A

Proposed: X OR as Directed in Order No.: N/A

Staff Assisted: N/A

Regular: X

Dates: Case filed: 12/28/90 Last Hearing: N/A 8 Month Deadline: 9/3/91 (company

granted extension of 8 month deadline) Commission Agenda: 2/19/91

Prior Case Rate Increase: N/A

	Utility Requested		Staff Recommended		
Rate Base	\$1,241,258		\$1,134,271		
Operating Income	(\$1)		\$3,276		
Rate of Return	0.00%	(1) 0.29X		•	
	Test Year Revenue (2)	Utility Requested Interim	Utility Requested Final	Staff Recommended Interim	Percent Increase (3)
Gross Annual Revenue	\$161,580	\$258,387	\$572,814	\$258,387	59.91%
Increased Revenues Average Monthly Bill:		\$96,807	\$411,234	\$96,807	
Residential	\$48.39	\$79.09	\$176.56	\$79.09	63.45%
General Service	\$288.14	\$470.95	\$1,069.59	\$470.95	63.44%
	!	Typical Resid	ential Bills		
1" meter (4)					
3 M	\$37.87	\$61.90	\$83.90	\$61.90	63.45%
5 M	\$42.31	\$69.16	\$104.28	\$69.16	63.46%
10 M	\$53.41	\$87.31	\$155.23	\$87.31	63.47%
	•	late Structur	e-Residentia	1	
BFC	,				
5/8"x3/4"	\$12.46	\$20.37	\$21.33		(See Staff Rec
1"	\$31.21	\$51.01	\$53.33	\$51.01	for Full Rate
					Details).
ial. Charge per MG.	\$2.22	\$3.63	\$10.19	\$3.63	
Avg. No. Customers	Residential	147		Service	20

SCHEDULE NO. 6 Page 2 of 2

Utility Name: Sailfish Point Utility Corporation

Remarks:

- (1) In its application the company requested an interim "increase in revenue sufficient to breakeven; i.e., sufficient only to recover operating deductions without providing any return on rate base."
- (2) Historic test year from July 1, 1989 through June 30, 1990.
- (3) The aberration in percentages is due to the exclusion of \$8,993 in miscellaneous revenues which were not increased on an interim basis.
- (4) All houses at Sailfish Point are equipped with 1" meters, therefore, the typical residential bills were calculated using the 1" meter base facility charge rather than the customery 5/8"x3/4" rate. The 5/8"x3/4" meters are only used on the boat docks.

-19-

Utility Name: Sailfish Point Utility Corporation

RATE CASE DATA SUMMARY

Sewer: X Water: Martin County: General Service Area: N/A

OR as Directed in Order No.: N/A Proposed: X

Staff Assisted: N/A

Regular: X

Dates: Case filed: 12/28/90 Last Hearing: N/A & Month Deadline: 9/3/91 (company

granted extension of 8 month deadline) Commission Agenda: 2/19/91

Prior Case Rate Increase: N/A

	Utility Requested		Staff Recommended		
Rate Base	\$674,105		\$609,772		
Operating Income Rate of Return	\$3,717	\$6,			
	0.55%		1.00	00%	
	Test Year Revenue (1)	Utility Requested Interim	Utility Requested Final	Staff Recommended Interim	Percent Increase
Gross Annual Revenue Increased Revenues	\$92,996	\$170,674 \$77,678	\$477,580 \$384,584	\$170,674 \$77,678	83.53%
Average Monthly Bill: Residential	\$24.59	\$45.12	\$121.50	\$45.12	83 . 52%
Kesidentia: General Service	\$365.36	\$670.54	\$1.934.13	\$670.54	
	!	Typical Resid	lential Bills		
All meter sizes					
	\$20.19	\$37.06	\$67.62	\$37.06	83.56%
	\$20.19 \$23.49	\$37.06 \$43.12	\$67.62 \$85.98		••••
3 M		V 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		\$43.12	83.57%
3 M 5 M	\$23.49 \$31.74	\$43.12 \$58.27 Rate Structur	\$85.98	\$43.12 \$58.27	83.57%
3 M 5 M	\$23.49 \$31.74	\$43.12 \$58.27 Rate Structur	\$85.98 \$131.88 e-Residentia	\$43.12 \$58.27	83.57% 83.59%
3 M 5 M 10 M	\$23.49 \$31.74	\$43.12 \$58.27 Rate Structur	\$85.98 \$131.88 e-Residentia	\$43.12 \$58.27 1 - \$27.97	83.57% 83.59% (See Staff Rec for Full Rate
3 M 5 M 10 M	\$23.49 \$31.74	\$43.12 \$58.27 Rate Structur	\$85.98 \$131.88 -e-Residentia	\$43.12 \$58.27 1 - \$27.97	83.57% 83.59% (See Staff Rec

⁽¹⁾ Historic test year from July 1, 1989 through June 30, 1990.