FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Fletcher Building 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

MEMORANDUM

AUGUST 29, 1991

TO : DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM: DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS [GRISWOLD]

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES [KURLIN]

RE : DOCKET NO. 910830-TL UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF

PLORIDA'S FILING TO OFFER TELESAVER SERVICE (T-91-336

FILED 7/18/91)

AGENDA: SEPTEMBER 10, 1991 - CONTROVERSIAL - PARTIES MAY

PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: SEPTEMBER 16, 1991 EFFECTIVE DATE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: MONE

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission approve United Telephone Company of Florida's tariff filing to offer TeleSaver Service?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Commission should approve United Telephone Company of Florida's tariff filing to offer TeleSaver Service

(United) is to introduce TeleSaver Service, an intraLATA discount toll service. United initially requested it be allowed to offer TeleSaver service in its recent rate case (Docket No. 891239-TL). However, the Commission did not approve the service because the proposed rates did not cover access charges and that the plan appeared to be "broad brush" and not well targeted at potential beneficiaries. This filing addresses both of these concerns.

At the present time there are two plans being offered that are quite similar to TeleSaver service, GTE's Suncoast Preferred Service (SPS) and Southern Bell's Saver Service.

On March 2, 1988, GTE submitted a tariff proposal to offer Suncoast Preferred Service (SPS), an intraLATA discount toll service. SPS was initially offered on an experimental basis out of four central offices in GTE's market area. It consisted of two levels, residential with a recurring monthly charge of \$1.75 and

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08639 AUG 28 1991

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

"business" with a monthly recurring charge of \$12.00. However, rather than being flat rated, it offered a 20% discount on MTS rates. After a short trial period, GTE requested, and the Commission approved, that the service be expanded to include a third level for larger business users with a monthly recurring charge of \$110.00 and a 30% discount on MTS rates and that it be removed from experimental status and made available LATA wide (see Attachment A).

On May 23, 1990, Southern Bell (SBT) filed a tariff with the Commission to offer an optional toll calling plan titled "Saver Service," an intraLATA flat rated toll plan directed at two groups of customers: residential or small users, and WATS users. The proposed tariff included banded rates for each service option which would allow SBT to change rates within the bands with only thirty days' notice rather than the standard sixty days notice period. (The use of banded rates had been previously approved for SBT's custom calling service in Order No. 18326.)

Initially, Saver Service rates for residential customers were set at \$20.40 for two hours of calling per month, with a charge of \$.1700 per minute for each minute over the two hour minimum. For WATS users, WATS Saver Service was stratified into three levels consisting of 2, 10, and 25 hour blocks with minimum monthly charges of \$20.40, \$96.00, and \$225.00, respectively; and per minute charges for each additional minute over the minimum of \$.1700, \$.1600, and \$.1500, respectively (see Attachment B).

In response to the Saver Service proposed offering, the Florida Interexchange Carrier Association (FIXCA) filed a Petition for Rejection of Saver Service, arguing that SBT's rates did not cover access charges in the aggregate. In that same month, FIXCA also offered a guideline for the imputation of access charges and requested that a hearing be held prior to the implementation of the Saver Service tariff.

July 1990, SBT modified its tariff filing, deleting the high volume option from Saver Service. Finding that access charges were now being covered in the aggregate, the Commission approved Saver Service (Order No. 23418) and denied acceptance of FIXCA's methodology for imputation of access charges at that time. Instead, the Commission found it more appropriate to open a generic proceeding to consider FIXCA's proposed methodology. Several parties participated in the docket including ALLTEL, Centel, Florala, GTEFL, Gulf, Indiantown, Quincy, St. Joseph, Southland, United, Vista-United, Northeast, SBT, MCI, US Sprint, and ATT-C. Six guidelines were subsequently approved (Order No. 24859):

- Toll revenues should cover aggregate access charges for the business and residential market segments individually.
- Access charges should be calculated with originating access charges applied to non-conversation time.
- Access charges should reflect the time-of-day distribution of the market under consideration.
- 4. The Busy Hour Minute of Capacity (BHMOC) should be the average BHMOC/minute of use rate realized using the most recent monthly data available.
- 5. Access costs may be calculated using the most economic network configuration associated with the targeted market segment. For other than high volume customers, actual network configuration shall be used. For high volume customers with 113 hours of use or more per month, special access may be imputed on the originating end in accordance with the methodology adopted by the Commission.
- 6. The price floor for LEC toll services shall include the LEC's incremental cost of providing billing and collection service.

In the same fashion as SBT's Saver Service, United has stratified its proposed TeleSaver Service into two categories, Residential TeleSaver Service and Business TeleSaver Service. The Business TeleSaver Service is further stratified into three options, Business TeleSaver 1, Business TeleSaver 2, and Business TeleSaver 3. For the residential service and all business options, a minimum amount of time is set with increments of use over the minimum assessed on a per minute basis (see Attachment C). Also like SBT, rate bands are established in which United can adjust rates on a 30 day notice time frame as opposed to the regular 60 day notice period. United is proposing rates be initially set at the top of its bands, which would make its business service rates identical to Saver Service rates and its residential rates somewhat lower than Saver Service's residential rates.

In computing the access charges against which to test its proposed TeleSaver rates, United begins with the methodology approved by the Commission; however, United adds a step not specifically included in the Commission approach (see Attachment D). In the Commission-approved methodology, the application of a non-conversation time factor to tariffed access charges (which

apply to access minutes) effectively yields total access charges on a per conversation minute basis. This value is then compared to proposed per minute toll rates. For United, toll conversation minutes are accumulated for each toll message, and each call's toll minutes rounded up to the next minute. For example, a call consisting of 3.5 conversation minutes would be rounded up to 4 minutes for billing purposes; consequently, due to United's rounding policy, conversation minutes differ from billed toll minutes for this service. A further hypothetical example is provided in attachment F.

United's proposed TeleSaver rates apply to billable toll minutes; the Company's proposed rates thus are stated on the basis of billed toll minutes, not on conversation minutes. Since the Commission methodology yields access costs per conversation minute, staff agrees with the Company that it is necessary to make a further adjustment to account for United's rounding policy to arrive at access charges stated on a comparable basis with the Company's TeleSaver rates.

Staff would note that this step is not required to compute access costs for Saver Service because SBT does not round up on a per message basis. While the additional step reflected in United's analysis is not included in the methodology approved by the Commission, its inclusion here is to reflect the uniqueness of United's practice of rounding on a per message basis.

As specified above, guideline 5 requires that the incremental cost of billing and collection be included when making the determination that access charges are adequately covered. Staff has reviewed the billing and collection data and finds that the proposed rates adequately cover this additional incremental cost (see Attachment E).

United estimates the service will be of benefit to approximately 5% of residential users, 7% of toll business users and 10% of business users currently using WATS, assuming all potential beneficiaries take advantage of TeleSaver Service. United states that it is offering this service to respond to current high volume users' needs and to meet potential competition in the intraLATA arena.

Staff recommends the Commission approve Telesaver Service. In staff's opinion, the proposed minimum rate level or floor for each service covers the respective cost of the service. In addition, the effective discounts ranging from 32% for residential customers to 40% for large business users indicate potential benefits are substantial (see Attachment C).

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes, if Issue 1 is approved, this tariff should become effective September 16, 1991. If a timely protest is filed, this tariff should remain in effect, with any increase held subject to refund, pending resolution of the protest. If no timely protest is filed, this docket should be closed.

STAFF AWALYSIS: At the conclusion of the protest period, if no protest is filed, this docket should be closed.

910830.jlg

ATTACHMENT A

Suncoast Preferred Calling Plan	Monthly Rate	Applicable Discount
a. Plan 1 - Per Line - Total Accumulated Toll Charges	\$ 1.75	20%
 b. Plan 2 Per Main Billing Number for Combined Bill Accounts Total Accumulated Toll Charges 	\$ 12.00	20%
c. Plan 3 - Per Summary Billed Account or Per Main Billing Number for Combined Bill Accounts - Total Accumulated Toll Charges	\$ 110.00	30%

SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE'S SAVER SERVICE RATES

	Se:	ads	
	Minimum	Maximum	Current
a. Residence (1) Option SS2			
(a) 120 minutes (2 hours) minimum, per account, per month	\$16.13	\$21.96	\$20.40
(b) Each additional minute of	.1344	.1830	.1700
b. Business (WateSaver*service) (1) Option WS2		6	
(a) 120 minutes (2 hours) minimum, per account, per month	17.79	21.96	20.40
(b) Each additional minute of use	.1482	.1830	.1700
(2) Option WS10			
(a) 600 minutes (10 hours) minimum, per account, per month	88.92	98.40	96.00
(b) Each additional minute of	.1482	.1640	.1600
(3) Option WS25			
(a) 1,500 minutes (25 hours) minimum, per account, per month	222.30	225.00	225.00
(b) Each additional minute of	.1482	.1500	.1500

MINISTER TOLEFAME OF FLORIDA RESIDENTIAL AND RESIDES TOLEFAMER RESIDENTIAL AND RESIDES TOLEFAMER

			•			RESPECT IVE CHARGES - MITH CHARGET	***
	6 7166		MINIMA	MAKEMAN	PROPOSED	AVERAGE HTS GATES (*)	EFFECT IVE D18COUNT
•••••••	•••••			********		•••••	•••••
A. BRANCOT					S#		
	1)	CASILLETIA TILIPINA					120
		(A) 40 Minister (1 Minis) Minister, Pills Account, Pills House	86.42	17.00	97.60	813.18	32.008
		(D) AND ANTIBOL HINTO OF HE	89.1484	89.1909	10.1500	80.2197	32.005
•—							
u glumave)	9)						
		(A) 130 MARINES (2 MARIN) MARINEM, FOR ACCOUNT, PER MONTH	617.87	10.46	10.4	\$39.47	33.66
		(B) SACE ADDITIONAL RESULT OF VOE	10.1422	80.1700	90.1700	90.2541	33.60E
	III)	motion follows 2					
		(A) 460 minutes (16 minute) Ministra, Per Account, Per Houth	165.62	996.00	996.00	\$149.19	36.008
		(8) SACS ASSISSMENT SIGNED OF USE	60.1430	99.1609	10.1600	99.2486	36.603
	111)	COLUMN TOURS S					
		(A) 1,500 MINUTES (25 MINE) MANAGEM, PER ACCOUNT, PER MONTH	ER. 0003	6225.00	\$275.00	6373.62	40.00Z
		(8) MACO AMPITIONAL MIGHTE OF WAS	10.1300	60.1500	\$0.1500	80.2492	40.008

ATTACHMENT D

PAGE) OF 8

THE PROPERTY OF PERSONAL PRICE PLANS
OF THE TREASURE FOR HUMBY PRICE FLANS
WE'LL - SPECIFIES GRO. AND TERM. ASSESS CHARGES FOR HIS

GAL PERSON ARREST

---FROM INTERNACION (T-85)

20.841

H 72.455 80.044

. 00.0145

0.060941

a 1.111

MINIMARE GENERATIONS ACCESS MATE PER HIMPIE

90.0677

90.0677

TORNIBATION .

BAR TOND ACCOM

80.6719

100 feeter

******* 88.0719

BURGE POR MEASTE SOM WALBET

. 00.0145

AMERAGE TERMINATING ACCESS BATE FOR MINLTE

30.004 90.0864

B ACRES BATE PER MINUTE (A-6)

80.1541

ATTACHMENT D PAGE 2 of 8

CHIEF TRAPPINE OF PLOTICAL
ASSESSMENT AND TRANSPORT FOR INSURE PROJECT PLACE
ASSESSMENT AND TRANSPORT FOR INSURE PROJECT PLACE
ASSESSMENT AND TRANSPORT FOR INSURANCE PARTY.

•)	COLLEGE HISTORIAN AND THE CALL COLLEGE HISTORIAN AND THE COLLEGE HISTORIAN AND THE CALL COLLE	4.6344
6)	CONTRACTOR OF THE CHARTEN CACCAMITY FOR 30 EC. SOMBLEO)	. 6.1944
••	DELLES ATT MUNICIPES VI CONTRACATION ATTS MUNICIPES PACTOR (CD)/(E))	1.120956
•	MINUTE AND MATE FOR HIMME (SEE (C) PAGE 1 OF 2)	. 30.1541
•	TRETONDO AMBRAGE ACCESO BATE FOR HARATE ELGL/(F3) GACCALATO FOR 30 MICHIO BRANDING)	80.1375
1)	MILLION AND COLLECTION STOT FOR MINUTE SCORE COST FOR ASSOCIACE -01543/(0))	90,0029
		90.1404
•		

#180 P07

ATTACHMENT D

PAGE 3 of 8

TOUTON POLIFICIAL OF PLANTA PRICE PLANT PROMICES VOLENAME FOR MIGHTE PRICE PLANT PROMICES 9 PLAN - STYLETIVE OIL. AND TOUR. ACCION CONNECE PAR MEN

COLUMN TIME

· Address Access

CAMPIER COURSE LIST LACAL TRANSPORT LACAL CARTCHISM (LEZ) LIST TEXANDATION 00.0304 00.0160 00.0005

1320.00

100 factor

z 89.31X

• 00,0145

0.071751

CONCENÇAÇÃO DOS TRES FACTOR

a 1.111

90.0797

A) MERCALE GREGISATION ACCESS BATE PER HIGHTE

94.6797

THE STATE OF THE S

SULTIDIES ACCESS

CAMPINE CAMPINE LIÚT LOCAL MATOMAT (1.92) LONE TOMANATION 90.8362

90.8679

100 fector .

86,6719

SING PER HEILTE CONTINUET

• 10.0145

6) MINNE TERMINATING ACCESS BATE FOR MINUTE

10.004

80.654

C) ANTENNE ACCESS BATE FOR MIMITE ((A)+(B))

89.1661

ATTACHMENT D

PAGE 4 of 8

MATTER TOLERANDE OF PLATFOR
DECEMBER TOLERANDE POR MINISTE FOLDE FLOOR
DANSIESS & PLAN - METERSTEPS COM- AND TERM. ARCEINS CHARGES FOR MOU

0)	METTO ME MINISTRACTOR	2.9173
•)	CONTRACT OF BELLEVILLE (ACCOUNTS FOR 30 SEC., ROUNDLING)	2.4175
0)	BALLED AND ADDRESS TO ADDRESSATION HAS REMAINED AND ECO. (CD.)/(E))	1.204825
•	ANTHON ACCOUNT DATE FOR BEINGE (CEE (C) PAGE 1 or 2)	· 80.161
•	PACTORED ANGELIES ACTES SAIR FOR MINUTE ((6)/(7)) (ACTIONED FOR 30 (0)(00) NAMED (NA)	90.1376
· 1)	COLLAND COLLECTION COST FOR MINUTE COSTS COST FOR COSTSCIENCES01343/0939	10.004
	PERS FLOW FOR THE BANKS, MICHELY 1 FLAN FEE HOU	W. 1422

ATTACHMENT D

CALL THE TRANSPORT OF PLACEDIA CAUCHAGO TRANSPORT FOR HEIGHTE PROCE FLOOR CAUCHAGO TRANSPORT FOR HEIGHTE COM. AND TORR. ACCESS CHARGED FOR HOL

PAGE 5 of 8

anteleatine

GALICIES ANDRES

CARRIED COURSE LINE LABOR TRANSPORT LABOR TRANSPORT LABOR TRANSPORT

99.0100 99.0098 96.0079

100.00

D faster

a 29.31%

SUPPLY FOR MINETE CONTINUES.

+ 20.0145 0.071751

MACHINE PACTOR

z 1.111

A) AMERICA COLONATION ACCESS BATE FOR MINUTE

94.6797

90.8797

MATCHES ACCES

CARLON COMMON LINE LOCAL TRANSPORT LOCAL CAPTURING (LS2) LINE TRANSMITTON 00.40A2 00.40A0 00.40A0

80.6717

100 Fester

96.6719

COME PER MINUTE PROFINABILE

+ 20.0145

6) MICHAES TORNIGHTIMS ACCESS MATE PER MINERTE

00.004

10.0064

E) METAGE ACRESS BATE PER MINUTE ((A)-(B))

90.1661

#180 P16

ATTACHMENT D

CASTON TRAPPINE OF PLANTAL
SAME TRAPPINE FOR PROPER PLANT
SAME TRAPPINE FOR PROPERTY AND THE PLANTAL STREET STREET
SAME TO SAME THE SAME T

PAGE 6 of 8

•)	MICHAEL SURMANIA STE TO COLL.	3.0173
E)	CONTRACTOR OF SERVICE/SUBSECUTE (ACCOUNTS FOR 30 SEC. DELIDING)	. 2.5173
P)	COLLEGE ATTS AND THE CONTRACTOR ATTS MINUTES PACTOR (40)/(E))	1,198625
	AMERICA AND IN COLUMN (COLUMN (C) PAGE 1 OF 2)	* 20.1461
•	MACHINE ANDRES ACCION DATE FOR MINUTE ((6)/(F))	69.1384
13	STALLARS AND COLLECTION COST FOR STANTE STARS COST FOR CONSIDER AND STANTON	20,004
		90.1430
•		30.1439

ATTACHMENT D PAGE 7 of 8

CONTEN TOLOROME OF PLANTAL PRICE PLONE SHARED FOR THE MINISTER PRICE PLONE SHARED CHARACTERS CHARAC

CONSTRUCTION.

	Bullion Access		
		80,0364	
	LEEK, RALLEST	20.0148	5
	LABOL SHITCHIS (LEC)	66,000	
	LIE TEMPOTIES	00.0077	(6)
			•
		80.9641	
		# 40.31E	· •

		00.0573	
		+ 98.0145	

		9.071751	
		x 1.111	
		* ********	
A)	AMERICA COMMITTEES ACCUSES BATT FOR MINUTE	86.6797	99.6797
TORNIA	46		
	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)		
	CLI TOURN ACCION		
	CARINO COMO LINE	10.6362	
		20,0160	
	LACAL COTTONING (LGZ)	40.000	
	LIST TERMINATION .	90.0079	
		•••••	
		00.0719	
		•••	

		20.0719	
	MARK POR HUME SOMMER!	+ 80.0145	

8)	MISSAGE FRANKSTIES ACTES SAME FOR SUBJECT	100.004	90.0064

63	AMERICA ADMIN BATE FOR BUILDIE (CA)+(3))		80.1461

ATTACHMENT D
PAGE 8 of 8

MATTER TELEPHONE OF PARTIES.

DEFINITION TELEPHONE FOR MINISTE PRICE FLORE

MATTERS & DAME - RESPECTIVE AND AND THE ACCORDING FOR MINISTERS.

•>	COURT BLOWN IN THE A COT	2.9584
	CONTRACT STREET, STREET ONLL CONTRACTOR STREET, CONTRACTOR (ACCOUNTS FOR 30 SEC. MARRIES)	. 2.0544
"	BOLLED ATT MINISTER VS COMMINATION ATTS MINISTER PACTOR (40)/(E))	1.242907
	MENNE ACRES SATE FOR HUMAN (SEE (C) PAGE 1 OF 2)	. 50,1461
••	CACHELLE AND ADDRESS BATTLE PAR MINUTE ((4)/(F))	60.1337
1)	COLLINS AND COLLECTION COST FOR MAINTE MANY COST FOR MINISTER, 2015/1/(0))	99.0052
J)	FRICE FLOW FOR THE SELECTION I NAME FOR THE	90.1349

ett er i de skal skildere ett i de skilder ett i de	RES	BUS 1	BUS 2	DUS 3
Average call duration (billed minutes)	4.63	2.92	3.02	2.56
Imputed evitched access	\$.1375	0.1376	\$.1306	9.1337
Incremental SAC cost/mis	\$.0029	4.0046	\$.0044	\$.0052
ToleSever price floor	\$.1404	4.1422	\$.1430	\$.1389

United estimates an incremental cost of billing and collection of \$.0134 per message. As shown above, the proposed margins between the TeleGaver rates and the imputed switched access price floor can accommedate this cost.

Hypothetical: Revenue Per Access MOU v. Conversation MOU v. Billed Toll MOU

1) Access rate - average revenue per access minute

a)	Revenue requirement	\$150,000
b)	Access MOUs	1,000,000
c)	Access rate per access MOU [(a)/(b)]	\$0.1500

2) Access rate per conversation MOU

> Since conversation MOUs do not include MOUs associated with nonconversation time (e.g., set up, etc.), a conversation MOU is shorter than an access MOU. Assume the difference is 11% Then conversation MOUs comparable to (1b) above

890,000

b) Access rate per conversation MOU [(1a)/(2a)]\$0.1685

An access charge imputation test typically requires that toll average revenue per MOU exceed the access charges per MOU. Generally, the toll ARPM is computed by dividing total toll revenues by total billed toll minutes. If a billed toll MOU equals a conversation MOU, then (2b) above is the correct access charge benchmark to compare with the toll ARPM.

However, depending upon the rounding policy for the toll service, billed toll minutes can differ from conversation minutes.

Access rate per billed toll MOU

4)	Assume: The LEC rounds up to the next minute; if the rounding is done on a per message (call) basis, then on average a billed toll MOU exceeds a conversation MOU by Access charges for (25)/oi (25)/oi to (25)/oi on a billed MOU basis [(3c)/(3a+b)]	0.5 3145 \$0.1475
	Thus,	
	Access charges per access MOU - access charges per conversation MOU - access charges per billed toll MOU	\$0.1500 \$0.1685 \$0.1475