SIDNEY J. WHITE, JR.
General Attorney
Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company Suite 400
150 South Monroe Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(404) 529-5094

November 29, 1993

Mr. Steve C. Tribble
Director, Division of Records and Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

RE: Docket No. 910163-TL G22OZ40 T T
Dear Mr. Tribble:
Enclosed are an original and fifteen copies of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company's Request for Confidential Classification. Please file these documents in the above-captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served on the parties shown on the attached certificate of Service.

Sincerely,
Sidney -1. White t. (mw)
Sidney J. White, Jr.
Enclosures

```
cc: All Parties of Record
    A. M. Lombardo
    H. R. Anthony
    R. D. Lackey
```


## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition on Behalf of , Citizens of the State of Florida ) to Initiate Investigation into ) Integrity of Southern Bell ) Telephone and Telegraph Company's ) Repair Service Activities and ) Reports.

Docket No. 910163-TL

Filed: November 29, 1993

SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

COMES NOW, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company ("Southern Bell" or "Company"), and files pursuant to Rule 25-22.006(4), Florida Administrative Code, and files its Request for Confidential Classification for certain portions of the Company responses to Staff's sixteenth Set of Interrogatories dated October 25, 1993.

1. Southern Bell is filing its Request for Confidential Classification for portions of Interrogatory Response No. 262 which contains employee personnel information unrelated to compensation, duties, qualifications or responsibilities. This information is expressly deemed to be confidential pursuant to $\S 364.183(3)(f)$, Florida Statutes.
2. Southern Bell has appended to this Request for Confidential Classification as Attachment A a listing showing the location in the Interrogatory responses of the information designated by Southern Bell as confidential.
3. Appended hereto in an envelope designated as Attachment B are two edited copies of the Interrogatory responses with the confidential information deleted.
4. Attached as Attachment $C$ is a sealed package containing copies of the documents with the material which is confidential and proprietary highlighted. Copies of Attachment $C$ are not being served on the other parties in this proceeding.
5. Regarding Southern Bell's response to Interrogatory Item No. 262, this response contains the names of employees affected by internal Company disciplinary matters. This information is clearly confidential and proprietary under Florida Statutes, § 354.183(f), which provides that "proprietary confidential business information" includes "employee personnel information unrelated to compensation, duties, qualifications, or responsibilities."
6. The four areas of employee personnel information that are not confidential pursuant to § $364.183(f)$, Florida Statutes, are compensation, duties, qualifications, and responsibilities of an employee. Employee disciplinary matters do not fit any of the exceptions and thus are confidential under § 364.183(f), Florida Statutes.
7. A review of these terms, in the context of $\S 364.183(f)$, Florida Statutes, reveals their meaning. "Compensation" is the amount of money or other value that an employee is paid to perform his or her job duties. "Duties" are the particular acts an employee is expected to perform as a part of his or her job. "Qualifications" are the skills, knowledge, and abilities needed to perform a particular job. Finally, "responsibilities" are those things that an
employee is obliged to do as part of his or her job. These meanings are confirmed by the dictionary definition of these words. Webster's definitions of these terms are as follows:
A. Compensation - payment, wages.
B. Duty - the action required by one's position or occupation.
C. Qualification - something that qualifies; a condition that must be complied with.
D. Responsibility - the quality or state of being responsible. Webster's Seventh New Collegiate Dictionary, 1970. A reading of these commonly-understood definitions makes it clear that the disciplining of an employee is not encompassed within any of the concepts of the definitions set forth above.
8. Section 364.183(3) Florida Statutes, provides that in addition to the specifically identified types of documents that are confidential, such as those enumerated in subsection (f), any document that, if disclosed, "would cause harm to the ratepayers or the person's or company's business operations... is also entitled to protection." As will be shown hereafter, the potential for harm to Southern Bell's business operations that would result from public disclosure of the names of employees disciplined by the Company is substantial.
9. The disciplinary matters related to some of the employees shown in the documents produced in response to Item No. 117 were the result of Southern Bell's internal investigation of such matters. The level of any disciplinary action that was taken was based upon the magnitude of the actions of the particular employees in question. It was never contemplated by either the Company or the individuals
involved that there would be a subsequent public disclosure that would subject any disciplined employees to the additional punishment of public opprobrium and scorn. In effect, any public disclosure of the names of these employees would convert internal discipline into an inappropriate and inflammatory "public shaming" of these employees.
10. The public disclosure of the names of disciplined employees together with the nature of such discipline would have a significantly deleterious effect on morale that, in turn, would serve as a practical impediment to the functioning of the Company. Those who cooperate with the efforts of the company to address employmentrelated labor issues do so on the well-founded assumption that the information will be handled discreetly, appropriately, and that it will result in discipline that is warranted. If Southern Bell is now forced to reveal publicly the names of disciplined employees, then these employees will no doubt feel that their good faith efforts to address any problems that may have occurred have been betrayed. It is easy to see how this sense of betrayal could result in morale problems that would be both widespread and severe.
11. Moreover, public disclosure could well result not only in general morale problems, but also in a general employee wariness and concern that would make future attempts to remedy internal businessrelated issues far more difficult. Southern Bell can only effectively investigate its internal business affairs with the cooperation of its employees. In this case, the public exposure of the names of disciplined employees could likely lead to a diminished capability of the Company to police its internal business matters.
12. Further, the managers of Southern Bell who are charged with the duty of administering employee discipline will unquestionably be hesitant to do so if they know that any employee disciplined for even the most minor infraction may later have the names disclosed and widely published.
13. To reveal this information publicly would serve no purpose whatsoever. Arguably, if public disclosure of these named employees served some public purpose, or if this disclosure were necessary for this Commission to deal thoroughly with the issues of this docket, then a balancing test might be necessary. That is, the commission would need to balance the benefits to be derived from public disclosure against the detriment to the Company and the employees. In this case, however, public disclosure will result in no benefit whatsoever.
14. This Commission can fully consider all issues pertinent to this docket, based on the information that Southern Bell has already provided, which includes the names of employees disciplined. It is only the public disclosure of these employees' names together with disciplinary actions taken against the employees that Southern Bell seeks to prevent. Southern Bell has stated previously that it does not generally object to public disclosure of the extent of the employee discipline, the type of discipline, and the number of persons disciplined. However, there simply is nothing to be gained by the additional public disclosure of employee names in a manner which will publicly link certain discipline with discrete individuals. To hold otherwise will do nothing more than damage,
perhaps irreparably, the reputations of individual Southern Bell employees and expose them personally to public ridicule.
15. Southern Bell has treated and intends to continue to treat the material for which confidential classification is sought as private, and this information has not been generally disclosed.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing, Southern Bell moves the Prehearing officer to enter an order declaring the information described above and contained in the indicated portions of the attachments to be proprietary confidential business information, and thus not subject to public disclosure.

Respectfully submitted this 29th day of November, 1993.
SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY


SIDNEY J. WHITE, JR. 4300 Southern Bell Center 675 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30375
(404) 529-5094

```
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
    Docket No. 920260-TL
    Docket No. 900960-TI
    Docket No. 910163-TL
    Docket NO. 910727-TL
```

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been
furnished by United States Mail this 29th day of November, 1993

```
to:
```

Robin Norton
Division of Communications Florida Public Service Commission
101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0866

Tracy Hatch
Division of Legal Services Florida Public Svc. Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

Joseph A. McGlothlin Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter, Grandoff \& Reeves 315 South Calhoun Street Suite 716
Tallahassee, Florida 32301 atty for FIXCA

Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq. Messer, Vickers, Caparello, Madsen, Lewis \& Metz, PA
Post Office Box 1876
Tallahassee, FL 32302
atty for FPTA

Chanthina R. Bryant
Sprint
3065 Cumberland Circle
Atlanta, GA 30339

Charles J. Beck
Deputy Public Counsel
Office of the Public Counsel
111 W. Madison Street
Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Michael J. Henry
MCI Telecommunications Corp.
780 Johnson Ferry Road Suite 700
Atlanta, Georgia 30342
Richard D. Melson
Hopping Boyd Green \& Sams
Post Office Box 6526
Tallahassee, Florida 32314
atty for MCI
Rick Wright
Regulatory Analyst
Division of Audit and Finance
Florida Public Svc. Commission
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0865
Peter M. Dunbar
Haben, Culpepper, Dunbar
\& French, P.A.
306 North Monroe Street
Post Office Box 10095
Tallahassee, FL 32301
atty for FCTA

Michael W. Ty
AT\&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. 106 East College Avenue Suite 1410
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Dan B. Hendrickson
Post Office Box 1201
Tallahassee, FL 32302 atty for FCAN

Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr. Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson \& Dickens 2120 L Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037
Atty for fla Ad Hoc
C. Everett Boyd, Jr.

Ervin, Varn, Jacobs, Odom \& Ervin
305 South Gadsen Street
Post Office Drawer 1170
Tallahassee, Florida 32302 atty for Sprint

Florida Pay Telephone Association, Inc.
c/o Mr. Lance C. Norris
President
Suite 710, Barnett Bank Bldg.
315 South Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, FL 32302
Monte Belote
Florida Consumer Action Network 4100 W. Kennedy Blvd., \#128
Tampa, FL 33609
Bill L. Bryant, Jr., Esq.
Foley \& Lardner
Suite 450
215 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32302-0508

Atty for AARP

Gerald B. Curington
Assistant Attorney General
Department of Legal Affairs
Room 1603, The Capitol
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050
Mr. Douglas S. Metcalf
Communications Consultants, Inc.
631 S. Orlando Ave., Suite 250
P. O. Box 1148

Winter Park, FL 32790-1148
Mr. Cecil O. Simpson, Jr.
General Attorney
Mr. Peter Q. Nyse, Jr.
General Attorney
Regulatory Law Office
Office of the Judge
Advocate General
Department of the Army
901 North Stuart Street
Arlington, VA 22203-1837
Mr. Michael Fannon
Cellular one
2735 Capital Circle, NE
Tallahassee, FL 32308
Floyd R. Self, Esq.
Messer, Dickers, Caparello, Madsen, Lewis, Goldman \& Metz Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876
Atty for MaCaw Cellular
Angela Green
Division of Legal Services Florida Public Svc. Commission 101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863
Stan Greer
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Svc. Commission
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863


## STAFF'S 16TH SET OF INTERROGATORIES

## JUSTIFICATION FOR CONFIDENTIALITY REQUEST

1. This information is employee personnel information unrelated to compensation, duties, qualifications and responsibilities. As such, this information is confidential business information pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, and is exempt from the requirement of public disclosure of Section 119.07, Florida Statutes.

The following information identified by page and line numbers is considered confidential and proprietary:

| INTERROGATORY <br> ITEM NO. | PAGE <br> NO. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  | RESPONSE NOS. | REASON PROPRIETARY |
| 262 | 1 |  | $1-4$ (Name) | 1 |
|  | 2 | $5-9$ (Name) | 1 |  |
|  | 3 | $10-17$ (Name) | 1 |  |
|  | 4 | $18-21$ (Name) | 1 |  |

