#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for Interim and Permanent Rate Increase in Franklin County, Florida by ST. GEORGE ISLAND UTILITY COMPANY, LTD.

DOCKET NO. 940

# RESPONSE OF ST. GEORGE ISLAND UTILITY CO., LTD. TO MOTION TO COMPEL FILED BY THE CITIZENS OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

ST. GEORGE ISLAND UTILITY CO., LTD. ("SGIU"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this Response to the Motion to Compel filed by the Citizens of the State of Florida ("Citizens"). In opposition to the Motion, SGIU states:

- 1. SGIU agrees with the sequence of filings and orders represented and set out in Citizen's Motion to Compel.
- 2. In its Order No. PSC-94-0571-CFO-W, the Commission directed SGIU to produce documents as set out in the Order. Undersigned counsel examined the Order and made immediate arrangements with SGIU to answer interrogatories and to produce documents as set out in the Order. In addressing documents that it directed be produced, the Order provides:

To the extent set forth below, OPC's motion to compel is granted in part and denied in part. OPC's Second Motion to Compel is granted as set forth below.

In each instance as it related to documents to be produced, the Order directed that documents be produced within five (5) days of the Order. At the conclusion of the Order the Prehearing Officer stated:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

ORDERED that St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd. is directed to respond to pertinent portions of the Office of Public Counsel's discovery as set forth in the body of this order.

The Order did not express any time period for producing documents except in paragraphs that followed provisions of the Order relating to specific interrogatories and documents.

- only the specific discovery set forth in the Order, and advised SGIU to prepare discovery responses in accordance with it. The Order was entered on May 13, 1994. On May 20, 1994, within less than five days of service of the Order (not counting weekend days), undersigned counsel by telephone advised Counsel for Citizens that the documents were available for production at the Law Offices of Gene Brown. In its Notice stating compliance with the Order, which was filed and served by hand delivery on May 26, it was stated that the documents were available at the offices of the undersigned attorney. It was thought that the offices of undersigned counsel would be more convenient for Public Counsel than the offices of Gene Brown.
- 4. On June 21, Public Counsel first requested an opportunity to examine the documents in accordance with SGIU's Notice. Undersigned counsel arranged an appointment with Ms. Dismukes, to suit her schedule, for 10:00 a.m. on June 22. She arrived, somewhat late, and examined the documents. We arranged to copy for her those documents that were not subject to a protective order.

- 5. Later that same day Ms. Dismukes and Mr. McLean advised undersigned counsel that in their view the Order compelled the production of other documents that were not specifically addressed in the Order. While SGIU does not agree with their interpretation, the Order stating what it states, SGIU is willing to produce documents as requested by Public Counsel, and is making arrangements to do that. The request is, however, voluminous, and comes at a time when SGIU needs to direct its resources to preparing Prehearing Statements and Prefiled Rebuttal testimony supporting its position in this proceeding.
- Except in one particular, SGIU is willing to produce documents for Public Counsel as it requests, and will do so as soon as they can be gathered. Public Counsel interprets the Commission's order regarding its Request No. 25 as requiring SGIU to produce a document provided by SGIU's consultant Mr. Seidman to Gene Brown as attorney for SGIU. The document relates to litigation strategy in this proceeding. It is clearly a work product document and should not be produced. SGIU would ask the Commission to reconsider its Order in so far as it directs production of privileged, work product communications. While SGIU was, under Commission directive, late in interposing an objection to the request, this lateness in no way prejudiced the resolution of the issue. Important principles such as work product and attorney client privileges should not be lightly put aside. There is no question that the documents are privileged. Indeed Public Counsel has not stated to the contrary.

WHEREUPON, SGIU respectfully requests that Citizen's Motion to Compel be denied.

Respectfully submitted this \_\_\_\_\_ day of July, 1994.

G. Steven Pfeiffer
Florida Bar No. 124400
APGAR, PELHAM, PFEIFFER
& THERIAQUE
909 East Park Avenue
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

-and-

Telephone: 904/222-5984

Gene D. Brown
Florida Bar No. 096262
3848 Killearn Court
Tallahassee, Florida 32308
Telephone: 904/668-6103

Attorneys for St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Attorney

## APGAR, PELHAM, PFEIFFER & THERIAQUE

Attorneys at Law

Robert C. Apgar Thomas G. Pelham G. Steven Pfeiffer David A. Theriaque 909 East Park Avenue Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone: 904/222-5984 Facsimile: 904/222-7144

July 5, 1994



### HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

Re: Petition for Interim and Permanent Rate Increase in Franklin County, Florida by St. George Island Utility Company, Ltd., FPSC Docket No. 940109 WU.

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above docket are the original and 15 copies of the following documents:

<b>ACK</b>	(1) Response Of St. George Island Utility Co., Ltd. To Motion To Compel Filed
Vic.y	By The Citizens Of The State Of Florida;
P.	(2) Response Of St. George Island Utility Co., Ltd. To Motion To File
<b>C</b> ^	Supplemental Testimony Filed By The Citizens Of The State of Florida; and
Câ	(3) St. George Island Utility Co., Ltd.'s Motion To Compel Discovery Or
$C_{\rm cr} +$	Alternative Motion In Limine.
C. J.	and the second of the second o

Pierson Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning it to the undersigned.

RECEIVED & FILED

WAD Well

O6592 JUL-5#

065

06593 JUL-5#

06594 JUL-5#

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

FPSC-RECORDS / REPORTING

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

APGAR, PELHAM, PFEIFFER & THERIAQUE

6. Steven Pfeiffer

GSP/rd Enclosures