MEMORANDUM

January 30, 1995

TO: DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM: DIVISION OF AUDITING AND FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (VANDIVER)

RE: DOCKET NO. 940620-GU -- FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY RATE CASE AUDIT REPORT AUDIT CONTROL NO. 94-300-4-1

The above-referenced audit report is forwarded. Audit exceptions document deviations from the Uniform System of Accounts, Commission rule or order, Staff Accounting Bulletin and generally accepted accounting principles. Audit disclosures show information that may influence the decision process.

The audit was prepared using a micro computer and has been recorded on five diskettes. The diskettes may be reviewed using IBM compatible equipment and LOTUS 1-2-3 software. There are confidential pages in the audit report and working papers, as well as one confidential diskette, associated with this audit.

Please forward a complete copy of this report to:

Florida Public Utilities Company Frank C. Cressman P. O. Box 3395 West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395

DNV/	ap
Atta	chment
cc:	Chairman Deason
	Commissioner Clark
	Commissioner Johnson
	Commissioner Kiesling
	Commissioner Garcia
	Mary Andrews Bane, Deputy Executive Director/Technical
	Legal Services
	Division of Auditing and Financial Analysis (Devlin/Causseaux/ Revell/File Folder)
	Miami District Office (Welch)

Office of Public Counsel

Wayne Schiefelbein Gatlin, Woods, Carlson & Cowdery 1709 Mahan Drive, Suite D Tallahassee, Florida 32308

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 01171 JAN 31 28 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

AUDIT REPORT

12 MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1993

FIELD WORK COMPLETED

JANUARY 13, 1995

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY

WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA

RATE CASE AUDIT GAS DIVISION

DOCKET NUMBER 940620-GU

AUDIT CONTROL NUMBER 94-300-4-1

RAYMOND GRANT

AUDIT MANAGER

AUDIT STAFF

MINORITY OPINION

JERLEEN JOHN ILIANA PIEDRA RUTH YOUNG YES_ NO_T YES_ NO_T YES_ NO_T

KATHY WELCH DISTRIC AUDIT SUPERVISOR MIAMI

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

INDEX

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	PAGE
Audit Purpose	1
Scope Limitation	1
Disclaim Public Use	1
Opinion	1
AUDIT SCOPE	
Scope of Work Performed	2
AUDIT EXCEPTIONS	
1. Advertising Expenses	4
2. Selling Expenses	5
AUDIT DISCLOSURES	
1. Inactive Service Lines	6
2. Allowance For Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC)	13
3. Environmental Costs	14
4. Projected Environmental Charges	17
5. Projected Common Stock In Cost of Capital	22
6. Adjustments For Plant In Service And Related Depreciation	n 23
7. Depreciation Study	25
8. Forecast Of Conservation Expenses	26
9. Forecast Of Demonstration Expenses	28
10. Forecast of Marketing Expenses	30
11. Blanket Construction Missing From 1995 Projections	31
12. Post Retirement FASB 106/Expenses Not Paid	38
13. Expenses related To Conversion Of LP to Natural Gas	39
14. Revenues	40
15. Projected Expenses	42

IV.

11.

III.

۱.

V. COMPANY EXHIBITS

43

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Audit Purpose:

We have applied the procedures described in Section II of this report to audit the schedules of Rate Base, Net Operating Income and Capital Structure for the twelve month period ending December 31, 1993 prepared by Florida Public Utilities Company for their petition for rate relief, FPSC Docket 940620-GU.

Scope Limitation:

The audit exit conference vas held January 23, 1995. This report is based on confidential information which is separately filed with the Commission Clerk.

Disclaim Public Use:

This is an internal accounting report prepared after performing a limited scope audit; accordingly, this document must not be relied upon for any purpose except to assist the Commission Staff in the performance of their duties. Substantial additional work would have to be performed to satisfy generally accepted auditing standards and produce audited financial statements for public use.

Opinion:

Subject to Audit Exceptions 1 and 2, Audit Disclosures 1 – 16 and the scope of work as described in Section II, the company schedules of Rate Base, Net Operating Income and Capital Structure represent the company's books and records maintained in substantial compliance with Commission Directives.

-1-

II. AUDIT SCOPE

The opinions contained in this report are based on the audit work described below. When used in this report COMPILED and EXAMINED means that audit work includes:

COMPILED – means that the audit staff reconciled exhibit amounts with the general ledger; visually scanned accounts for error or inconsistency; disclosed any unresolved error, irregularity, or inconsistency; and, except as otherwise noted performed no other audit work.

EXAMINED – means that the audit staff reconciled exhibit amounts with the general ledger; raced general ledger account balances to subsidiary ledgers; applied selective analytical review procedures; tested account balances to the extent further described; and disclosed any error, irregularity or inconsistency observed.

RATE BASE:

Examined Rate Base. Recalculated 13 month average rate base at December 31, 1993. Examined plant in service and completed not classified. Testing includes examination of vouchers and journal entry charges to 19% of plant additions and retirements judgementally selected from 1989 through 1993.

Examined depreciation and accumulated depreciation by recomputing depreciation expense. Traced Accumulated Depreciation balances to last Commission Order; Traced rates per company's schedule to Commission Orders.

Staff reconciled acquisition adjustment and accumulated amortization of acquisition adjustment from the last rate case to balance at December 31, 1993. The acquisition adjustment and amortization of acquisition adjustment balances at December 31, 1993 were traced to the general ledger. The 1993 amortization expense was recalculated and traced to schedule B-6 of the MFR's.

Traced Customer Advances balances for the 12 months ended December 31, 1993 on Schedule B-12 of the MFR's to the company's books, the monthly journal entries and the cash and payment reports.

Recalculated common plant and accumulated depreciation common plant allocated to gas division.

-2-

Recalculated working capital. Verified that the methodology used to calculated working capital was used in the last rate case.

COST OF CAPITAL:

Compiled Cost of Capital. Foot and crossfoot Cost of Capital Schedules, recalculated 13 month averages. The cost rates will be reviewed by Tallahassee Analyst.

NET OPERATING INCOME:

Compiled operating revenues. Recalculated base revenues to determine that rate revenues are billed in accordance with utility tariff. Examined Other Operating Revenues for 1993. Recomputed unbilled revenues and reviewed below the line revenue for possible misclassifications.

Compiled an analytical review of all expenses.

Examined operation and maintenance expenses by testing selected vouchers and journal entries for one month for judgementally selected accounts. Tested allocation basis of common accounts between divisions.

Examined property taxes by agreeing amounts to actual bills.

PROFORMA:

Compiled company supporting documentation for all adjustments except income tax and interest syncronization which will be reviewed by the tax department. Traced methodology to prior Commission Orders, recalculated amounts and traced to any other applicable source documentation.

FORECAST:

Compiled forecasted amounts for expenses and cost of capital. Compared actual 1993 data to forecasted plant amounts. Read the company's assumption for forecast; determined all company's source documentation for factors used in the forecast. Analyzed the accounts the company trended as "other" to determine resonableness of methodology. No work was performed on depreciation and the forecast for plant and revenues will be reviewed by staff analyst. The cost rates for cost of capital will be reviewed by Tallahassee Analyst.

OTHER:

Read external auditors workpapers completed for 1993. Read Board of Directors Minutes for 1993.





0

III AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 1

SUBJECT: ADVERTISING EXPENSES

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The company has included advertising expenses of \$2,500 for the production of corporate video in account 913.1 Promotional Advertising. on Schedule B-3 of the MFR's.

The company also included charges of \$256.24 for the printing of money flyers for the Southern Women Expo. Each money flyer could be used towards the purchase of the following appliances.

- 1 Magic Chef New Generation cooking gas ranges
- 2 Magic Chef New Generation washers or gas dryers
- 3 RayPak gas pool heater with electronic ignition
- 4 Modern Home Products gaslight or WNK gas grill

The code of Federal Regulation Pt 201 states that ... " advertisements which are limited to specific makes of appliances and prices and terms etc., thereof, without referring to the value and advantages of utility service, shall be considered as merchandise advertising and the cost shall be charged to Costs and Expenses of Merchandising, Jobbing and Contract Work, account 416."

OPINION:

The charges of \$2,500 incurred for the production of corporate video did not promote the use of utility service and should be removed from test year expenses. The video was promoting the Palm Beach County area. The charges of \$250.24 for the printing of money flyers is related to merchandise and jobbing. The company forecast account 913 at 103.98% for 1994 and104.34% for 1995.

Production of Corporate Video Printing Money Flyers	\$2,500.00 \$256.24	
Total	\$2,756.24	
	1994	1995
Account 913 Overstated By Forecast %	\$2,756.24 103.98%	\$2,865.94 104.34%

Total

RECOMMENDATION:

An adjustment should be made to reduce 1993 expenses by \$2,756.24, forecast 1994 expenses by \$2,865.94 and forecast 1995 expenses by \$2,990.32.

\$2,865.94

Company Comments: Forthcoming.

\$2,990.32

AUDIT EXCEPTION NO. 2

SUBJECT: SELLING EXPENSES NOT AMORTIZED

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The company has included selling expenses of \$2,909.38 for a three (3) year supply of color posters pocket folders purchased from Alliance Marketing in account 912– Selling Expenses on schedule C-5 of the MFR's.

OPINION:

Account 121.401.912.1 is overstated by \$1,939.59 for 1993. These expenses were forecast at 103.98% for 1994 and 104.34% for 1995. The selling expenses should have been amortized over the three year period.

	1994	1995
Account 912.1 Overstated By	1939.59	2016.79
Forecast %	103.98%	104.34%
	\$2,016.79	\$2,104.32

RECOMMENDATION:

An adjustment should be made to reduce 1993 expenses by \$1,939.59, forecast 1994 expenses by \$2,016.70 and forecast 1995 expenses by \$2,104.32.

COMPANY COMMENTS: Forthcoming.

-5-



SUBJECT: INACTIVE SERVICE LINES

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

Commission Order No. 24094 (docket 900151 – GU, dated 2/12/91) states, "Plant in Service and associated accumulated depreciation accounts should be reduced to remove inactive service lines that have been inactive for more than five years.... We have agreed to allow only five years for completion of the company's study."

No adjustment was made by the company in this filing. The company has filed yearly progress reports with the Commission, these reports are attached. The company's estimated date of completion is December 31, 1998.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission staff should determine if any adjustments to Plant in Service and to Accumulated Depreciation related to the inactive service lines should be projected and included in the forecast for 1995. Per the attached company reports there are 1,321 inactive service lines as of 7/31/94.

-6-

Location: West Palm Beach Date: 8/19/94

Subject: Service Line Locator Program Statistics from Inception of Program until 7/31/94

Attention of: C. L. Stein

Location: West Palm Beach

The Service Line Location Program started on 7/1/89. This memo lists the statistics of said program.

		12/31/93- 7/31/94	Prior Balance	Program to Dabe
Total number of	services located and documented	989	8034	9023
Total number of	inactive services	191	1130	1321
Total number of	abandon services documented	187	693	880
Total number of	lots without natural gas service	659	8234	8893
Total number of	leaks discovered	87	235	322

If you have any questions do not hesitate to discuss them with me.

Sincerely, l Marc L. Schneidermann

-7-

Location: West Palm Beach

Date: 1/20/94

Subject: Service Line Locator Program Statistics from Inception of Program until 12/31/93

Attention of: C. L. Stein

Location: West Palm Beach

The Service Line Location Program started on 7/1/89. This memo lists the statistics of said program.

Total number of services located and	documented 12/31/92- 12/31/93 927	Prior Balance 7107	Program to Date 8034
Total number of inactive services	179	951	1130
Total number of abandon services doo	rumented 141	552	693
Total number of lots without natural	gas service 883	7351	8234
Total number of leaks discovered	105	130	235

If you have any questions do not hesitate to discuss them with me.

Sincerely, Marc L. Schneidermann

-8-

. 3

....

Location: West Palm Beach

Date: 1/26/93

Subject: Service Line Locator Program Statistics from Inception of Program until 12/31/92

٨

Attention of: C. L. Stein

Location: West Palm Beach

The Service Line Location Program started on 7/1/89. This memo lists the statistics of said program.

Total number of services located and documented	12/31/91- <u>12/31/92</u> 2646	Prior Balance 4461	Program to Date 7107
Total number of inactive services	572	379	951
Total number of abandon services documented	261	291	552
Total number of lots without natural gas service	2336	5015	7351
Total number of leaks discovered	48	82	130

If you have any questions do not hesitate to discuss them with me.

Sincerely,

Marc L. Schneidermann

Date: 1/29/92

Subject: Service Line Locator Program Statistics from Inception of Program until 12/31/71

Location: West Palm Beach

Attention of: C. L. Stein

Location: West Palm Beach

The Service Line Location Program started on 7/1/89. This memo lists the statistics of said program.

Total number of	services located and documented	8/25/91- <u>12/31/91</u> 666	Prior Balance 3795	Program <u>to Date</u> 4461
Total number of	inactive services	76	303	379
Total number of	abandon services documented	48	243	291
Total number of	lots without natural gas service	2176	2839	5015
Total number of	leaks discovered	16	66	82

If you have any questions do not hesitate to discuss them with me.

Sincerely, 1/10 Marc L. Schneidermann

-10-

Location: West Palm Beach

Date: 8/26/91

Subject: Service Line Locator Program Statistics from Inception of Program until 8/25/91

Attention of: C. L. Stein

Location: West Palm Beach

The Service Line Location Program started on 7/1/89. This memo lists the statistics of said program.

Total number of services located and documented	9/20/90- <u>8/25/91</u> 1551	Prior Balance 2244	Program to Date 3795
Total number of inactive services	101	202	303
Total number of abandon services documented	44	199	243
Total number of lots without natural gas service	e 1741	1098	2839
Total number of leaks discovered	13	53	66

If you have any questions do not hesitate to discuss them with me.

Sincerely,

110

Marc L. Schneidermann

-11-

Location: West Palm Beach

Date: 9/21/90

Subject: Service Line Locator Program Statistics from Inception of Program until 9/20/90

Attention of: C. L. Stein

Location: West Palm Beach

The Service Line Location Program started on 7/1/89. This memo lists the statistics of said program.

				7/1/89 - 9/20/90	
Total	number	of	services located and documented	2244	
Total	number	of	inactive services	202	
Total	number	of	abandon services documented	199	
Tctal	number	of	lots without natural gas service	1098	
Total	number	of	leaks discovered	53	

If you have any questions do not hesitate to discuss them with me.

Sincerely, Marc L. Schneidermann

-12-

SUBJECT: ALLOWANCE FOR FUNDS USED DURING CONSTRUCTION (AFUDC)

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The utility capitalizes interest using the AFUDC rate of 8.67%. This rate was computed as of 12/31/77 and has not been updated.

Equity Portion (Account 419.1)	0.0524
Debt Portion (Account 432)	0.0343
	0.0867

Commission Rule 25–6.0141, effective 8/11/86, states the following, "The most recent 13-month average embedded cost of capital, . . . shall be derived using all sources of capital and adjusted using adjustments consistent with those used by the Commission in the company's last rate case."

AUDIT OPINION:

The utility should follow the guidelines per Rule 25-6.0141 when calculating the AFUDC rate.



CONFIDENTIAL

P. 14-21

AUDIT DISCLOSURE 5

SUBJECT: PROJECTED COMMON STOCK IN COST OF CAPITAL

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

Included in the Projected Cost of Capital is Common Stock for 1994 and 1995 in the amounts of \$13,227,449 and \$13,535,232 for 13 month average; and \$13,354,563 and \$13,681,648 for year end.

The common stock amount per MFR schedule G-3 (d-5) was increased from \$13,065,836 on January 1, 1994 to \$13,681,648 on December 31, 1995; a difference of \$615,648.

This increase was based on the company forecasting their shares to be issued for the Dividend Reinvestment Plan and Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) for 1994 and 1995 and applying a price per share.

The amounts per share forecasted for the second half of 1994 for the Dividend Reinvestment Plan (DRIP) was \$17.25. The company states that this is the January 1, 1994 rate. The 1995 DRIP shares amounts were projected using \$18.25. The Company's assumuption is that the share price should increase due to better earnings.

The ESOP share amounts are forecasted based on the price per share of the DRIP and calculated further as follows. The price per share for the DRIP in 1995 was projected at \$18.25. The ESOP is 90% of the Fair Market Value (FMV) when the option is given. In this case the Company used Flo-Gas Treasury Shares at \$7.13 each for ESOP.

Price per Share Projected	18.25
@ 90% FMV	16.43
less:	
Fio Gas Treasury Stk	
Company paid per share	7.13
Amount used to	

Amount used to Project ESCP in MFR's Difference between Treasure Stk and 9.30 90% of FMV. (Net Change in Equity)

OPINION:

At the time of the hearing, which will be in 1995, the Commission should take into account the actual price of the stock in order to Project the Common Stock amounts. The number of shares projected are found on MFR G-3(d-5).

SUBJECT: ADJUSTMENTS FOR PLANT IN SERVICE AND RELATED DEPRECIATION

STATEMENT OF FACT:

Per MFR Schedule B-2, the company made credit adjustments of \$835,984 to Plant In Service and \$368,541 to Accumulated depreciation – Utility Plant to remove non-regulated plant.

OPINION:

Staff engineer reviewed the allocation percentages and determined that merchandising bills should be included in the customer ratio calculations. The company presently uses only natural gas and propane sales to calculate this ratio. As a result, the adjustment increases for Plant in Service from \$835,984 to \$935,447, and for Accumulated Depreciation from \$368,541 to \$415,511 an increase of \$99,463 and \$46,970 respectively. See attached exhibit for new ratios and recalculation.

RECCOMMENDATION:

The following entries should be made to reflect the increase in the adjustments.

Non-regulated Plant in Service Plant In Service \$99,463.00

\$99,463.00

Accum. Deprecition - Utility Plant Non-regulated Accum. depreciation \$46,970.00

\$45,970.00

	e 5				TEL :	:485a ini	and the		<u>(61 20</u>	Nov	28 9	14	12:11	No		F.0
結準	•	NNE		101-100 101-100	1							333		5		
		WPS SELVED		NAL AND		Sundono.		ANNO CONTROL		STAR OF		BANKORD DBANKORD		# P 5	ACCOUNT LOCATION	
PERCENTAGE INCIGANE DUE TO MENONANDERING INLES BEING INCLUDED IN CLISTICAL BRANCH DE COLLAUTIONS PERCENTAGE INCIGANE DUE TO MENONANDERING INLES BEING INCLUDED IN CLISTICAL BRANCH DE CALAUTIONS	TOTAL ACCOUNT SHE	TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT	TOTAL ACCOUNTS 381-384	SEMERAL PLANT-OTHER DEMERAL PLANT-OTHER DEMERAL PLANT-OTHER	Total Account and	ODHERAL ETRUC A MAYNOW ORDHERAL ETRUC A MAYNOW ORDHERAL ETRUC A MAYNOW	YOTAL ACCOUNT and	GENERAL PLANT-LAND GENERAL PLANT-LAND GENERAL PLANT-LAND	TOTAL ACCOUNT SAT	DISTRUCTION-OTHER FOLLP DISTRUTION-OTHER FOLLP DISTRUTION-OTHER FOLLP	TOTAL ADDOGRAFT STS	DISTRIBUTION-STRUC 4 IMPROV DISTRIBUTION-STRUC 4 IMPROV DISTRIBUTION-STRUC 4 IMPROV	TOTAL ADCOUNT IT +	DISTRIBUTION LAND	SESCRETION	
Dackweichbing Bill's		CLISTOMEN CLISTOMEN		CLATIONER CLATIONER CLATIONER		CLISTONES CLISTONES		CLISTOMEN CLISTOMEN		CLISTOMER CLISTOMER		CLISTOMERS CLISTOMERS		CUSTONER	ALLOCATION BASIS	
Deve Dece												855		z		
A 532 132	1.464,007	Tion to	107,802		111,814	N08.710 80.878 977,088	51.02	177	145,605	14.207	491,281	11.22	14, 654	1. 54.688	NU-MONT+	UTLAY N.M.
ADA.MAN	302,437	198,700 34,446 94,238	20,42	134,479 14,718 77,625	14.61	145481 11,2005	14,000	1002	34,885	1444	40,617	1200	14,761	10200	HOW	5
WIGHL	ĸ		U		H		8		st		#	1	*		1.	
1.604,365	751,002		303,7%		122,536		•		50.04		171,708				AVENAGE	DEPRISON NON RESERVES
363,541	162,414		10,21		434		0		8,628	*	20,864		•		NEGULATED	それにあった
		0 2 4		823		842		824		033		0 5 3		ч	1:	
1.632,132	1.448,021	1. NOT 204	167,182	199728	379,454	N0710	\$1,012	44,865	145,644	1453774 142377 8,4555	441,281	122.00	34,694	74 636	ALMONU &	BIAFF
904, 447	341,34	2201,401 302 600 1003,648	254,343	139,468 12,147 74,275	14,40	22,639 14,000 111,502	12.214	1.500		2142 2142	104,384	244	11,904	11.954	MON REGULATED	UTILITY PLANT
•	t.		*		8		ø		n		y		я			
LINLING	731, M2		509,75H		12.534				54.674		171,708					DEPRICIATION
	182,979		132,544		42,788		1.		11/146		34, 054				NON	ON RB

5 8.

SUBJECT: DEPRECIATION STUDY

STATEMENT OF FACTS: The company included 1995 projection of depreciation study expenses of \$5,652 as other trended on Schedule G-2 (c-5) of the MFR.

The Special Projects Ledger revealed that the last depreciation study is still being written off over 4 years at \$245 per month. The company projections are based on invoices totaling \$10,842.50 received from Stone & Webster Management Consultants Inc. in March and April '94 related to the current gas depreciation study. The charges incurred to date for the current depreciation study are \$14,703.

	Total
Current Charges per Staff	\$14,703
Monthly Amortization - \$245 (Amort. ends 12/95) Unamort. Dep. Study Exp. \$245*12months (1/95-12/95)	\$2,940
Total Depreciation Exp. Subject To Amortization Monthly Write Off (\$17,643/48)	\$17,643 \$368
1995 'Annual Dep. Study Exp. Per Staff	\$4,411
1995 Annual Dep. Study Exp. Per Co.	\$5,652
Difference	(\$1,241)

OPINION: Based on the charges incurred to date the amount forcasted for 1995 gas depreciation study is overstated by \$1,241.

RECOMMENDATION: Field audit staff recommends that an adjustment should be made to reduce the depreciation study expenses projected for 1995.

Company Comments: The company agrees with this disclosure.

SUBJECT: FORECAST OF CONSERVATION EXPENSES

STATEMENT OF FACTS: The company included the following projections for 1995 as other trended on MFR G-2 (c5):

913 Other Information Instruction	\$25,800
913 Energy Savings Program	\$120,000
913 Energy Savings Program Representative	\$18,000
Total	\$163,800

In answer to staff auditor's request, to provide explanation for the expenses, described above, the company stated the following;

- The "Other Service Information Program (USIP)" represents costs to use broadcast and print media and developing a customer information handbook to disseminate information related to the USIP.
- (2) The expenses associated with the "Energy Savers Program" is to cover the umbrella advertising expenses for the following conservation marketing programs:
 - (a). Residential Energy Efficiency Program (REEP)
 - (b). Residential Energy Audit Program (REAP)
 - (c). Homeowners Maximized Energy Savings Program (HOMES)
 - (d). Business Efficiency Plan (BEEP), Appliance
 - (e) Appliance Conservation and Education Program (ACE)
 - (f). Business Energy Savers Team (BEST)

(3) The need for an Energy Savers Program Representative is to communicate, facilitate and maintain accountability for ESP, The ESP representative will also handle customer inquiries concerning natural gas service and utilization. The amount projected for this position is an estimate of a salary the company is willing to offer to a qualified individual. There is no industry equivalent position to compare salary requirements.

Staff auditor inquired why the company was including conservation expenses in its projections, and why they did not file any conservation programs for the FPSC approval. According to to Mr Smith's (Marketing Director's) testimony "The Florida Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act (Section 366.82) does not require the filing of programs with the FPSC by the utilities which provide natural gas at retail to the public if annual sales volume is less than 100 million therms. The Commission has, however, permitted natural gas utilities with lower sales volume to voluntarily file conservation programs and the company has elected not to do so."



AUDIT DISCLOSURE NO. 8 - Continued

In answer to Staff Auditor's question on whether any of the expenses projected for the 1995 test year for account 913 related to merchandising and jobbing, Mr. Smith stated that the expenses projected do not relate to merchandising and jobbing. He also stated that the amounts projected for the programs are for the promotion and advertising of the efficient use of gas.

OPINION: The account 913 expenses projected for 1995 represents a significant increase over test year 1993 amounts. These expenses should be reviewed by staff Analyst to determine if they are reasonable and if company should be allowed to recover these expenses through their base rates or if they should come through the conservation clause.



SUBJECT: FORECAST OF DEMONSTRATION EXPENSES

STATEMENT OF FACTS: The company included the following projections for 1995 as other trended on MFR G-2 (c5):

916 Market Development & Demonstration Expense	e \$35,000
916 Water Heater Stands	\$39,000
916 Conversions: Other Fuels To Gas	\$15,000
916 Other Misc. Expenses	\$10,000
916 Piping Allowance	\$39,000
Total	\$138,000

In answer to staff auditor's request, to provide explanation for the expenses, described above, the corpany stated the following;

- (1) The "Market Development and Demonstration Expense" are estimated costs associated with the company's proposed Marketing Development & Demonstration Program. The program is designed to provide customers with information on competitive neutral gas fired equipment.
- (2) In 1990, a revision of the standard gas code relating to the standards for installation of appliances where flammable liquids may be stored became effective. The revision requires that an open flame of a gas appliance be a minimum of 18 inches above the floor. The company estimated that there are approximately 9,000 residential water heater installation within their system that do not meet the new Code standard. The company is proposing to extend a one time offer to elevate any gas appliances located in a residential garage on a 50% shared cost basis to the customer. The company estimated an average cost of \$200 per water heater to elevate and replace the water heater and expects a minimum of 390 customers per year for the next five to seven years to take advantage of this incentive program and improve the safety of their water heater installations. The Commission has granted aproval of similar programs at West Florida Natural Gas Company (Order No. PSC – 92–0580–FOF–GU), and for Peoples Gas Systems Inc. (Order No. PSC – 92–0924–FOF–GU).
- (3) The expenses associated with the Conversions Other fuels To Gas and Piping Allowance are adjusted estimated costs to cover expenses for the projected scheduled increase in the number of conversions in 1995.
- (4) The "Other Miscellaneous Expenses" are to cover miscellaneous marketing non-labor expenses. Costs totaling this amount include miscellaneous meeting supplies, postage costs, office automation software supplies, and expenses that do not support specific programs and activities in other areas of the company's marketing department.



DISCLOSURE NO. 9 - Continued

OPINION: The marketing expenses projected for 1995 represents a significant increase over test year 1993 amounts. Also, a portion of these expenses seem to be related to conservation. Staff believes that these expenses should be reviewed by staff Analyst to determine if they are reasonable, if there are any conservation related expenses and if should the company be allowed to recover these expenses through their base rates.

SUBJECT: FORECAST OF MARKETING EXPENSES

STATEMENT OF FACTS: The company included the following projections for 1995 as other trended on MFR G-2 (c5):

912 Commercial Marketing Representative	\$25,000
912 Residential Marketing Representative	\$9,360
912 Construction Coordinator	\$4,000
912 Construction Representative	\$22,000
912 Marketing Application Representative	\$35,000
912 Cellular Telephone	\$3,000
912 Commercial Marketing Representative	\$26,600
912 Marketing Assistant (Part time)	\$7,500
Total	\$132,460

In answer to staff auditor's request, to provide an explanation for the expenses, described above, Mr Smith (Marketing Director) stated that " the need to create the positions above are documented in Volume 1 of the MFR's." He also stated that " The position of New Construction Coordinator is requested to assist in the supervision and directing of activities for the new residential Construction function. This position will also be utilized for field and administrative responsibilities to formulate strategies in this market sector. Since this position is essentially an upgrage of an existing New Construction Representative position, a salary adjustment of \$4,000 is requested. All other positions have applicable salaries which are in line with current company or industry compensation for equivalent positions. The current monthly average cost of cellular telephones used by four marketing representatives is approximately \$25. The use of the telephones will be extended to ten additional marketing representatives. This will increase annual expenses by \$3,000."

OPINION: The marketing expenses projected for 1995 represents a significant increase over test year 1993 amounts. Staff could not verify theses costs because the positions have not yet been filled. Therefore these expenses should be reviewed by staff Analyst to determine if they are reasonable and if company should be allowed to recover these expenses through their base rates.

COMPANY COMMENTS: Forthcoming.

SUBJECT: BLANKET CONSTRUCTION MISSING FROM 1995 PROJECTIONS

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The company did not include construction projects totaling \$520,743 in 1995 projected data.

The company's calculations and schedules supporting the impact of the missing construction projects on Rate Base, Net Operating Income are presented on the following pages.

OPINION/RECOMMENDATION: This should be reviewed by Tallahassee Staff Analyst.



FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CONSOLIDATED GAS DIVISIONS BLANKET CONSTRUCTION MISSING FROM 1995 PROJECTIONS PROJECTED TEST YEAR ENDING 12/31/95

13 MONTH AVERAGE

RATE BASE

Same

NET CHANGE TO PLANT	\$520,743
LESS: NET CHANGE TO RESERVE	5,693
NET CHANGE TO RATE BASE	\$515,050

12 MONTH TOTAL

N.O.I.

NET CHANGE TO DEPRECIATION EXPENSE	\$16,734
LESS: NET CHANGE TO I/T (37.63%)	6,297
NET CHANGE TO NET OPERATING INCOME	(\$10.437)

Projects, with a cost of \$2,500 or less were left off to the original projections for 1995. Internally, these projects are referred to as blanket construction. The depreciation rates used for this additional plant are those approved in our recent Depreciation Study-Docket No. 940374-GU Order No. PSC-94-1539-FOF-GU. These schedules represent support for the additional plant, additional reserve and change to net operating income necessitated by the additional blanket construction missing for the 1995 projected test year.

-32-

01/12/9509:16 AM1BLANKET.WK4/JDC

SCHED	ULE G-1(b	o-7a) SUPPLEMENT			ADDITIONAL CONSTRUCTION	ON BUDGET		PAGE 1 OF 1	
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				EXPLANATION	PROVIDE A DETAILED COM		FOR THE	TYPE OF DATA SHOW	N:
COMPA	WY: T NO.: 940	FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES CONSOLIDATED GAS DIVISION 0620-GU			PROJECTED TEST YEAR I	ENDING 12/31/95		PROJECTED TEST YE WITNESS:	AR ENDING. 12/31/5 MARTIN
LINE \$	ACCT	DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED PURCHA AND/OR CONSTRUCTION PROJECT		COMPLETED DATE OF PROPOSED PURCHASE OR CONSTR.	PURCHASE PRICE OR CONSTRUCTION COST	DATE OF RELATED RETIREMENT	RETIREMENT AMOUNT OF RELATED RETIREMENT	SALVAGE VALUE OF RELATED RETIREMENT	
1 2 3		CONSUMER METERS CONSUMER METERS - INTALLS	1070.01	12/31/95	\$129,700 \$35,600				
4 5 6	3802	SERVICES SERVICES - OTHER HOUSE REGULATORS	1070.03 1070.04 1070.05	12/31/95 12/31/95 12/31/95	\$684,800 \$2,000 \$18,400				
7	3761	HOUSE REGULATORS - INSTALLS MAINS - PLASTIC MAINS - OTHER	1070.06 1070.08 1070.09	12/31/95 12/31/95 12/31/95	\$20,400 \$85,200 \$6,000				
10 11 12	398 3911	MISC. TOOLS & EQUIP. OFFICE FURNITURE MISC. PLANT	1070.10 1070.12 1070.14	12/31/95 12/31/95 12/31/95	\$34,900 \$1,600 \$1,700				

\$1,020,300

TOTAL BLANKETS FOR 1995

-33-

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: G-1(b-7b)

RECAP SCHEDULES:

.

CHEC	ULE G-1 (8	-78 SUPPLEMENT				A	DDITIO	NTHLY PLANT A	DOITIONS			P	age 1 OF 1			
LORI	A PUBLIC	SERVICE COMMISSION			EXPLANATIO		HE MONTHLY P					TYPE OF DATA SHOWN:				
LORI	A PUBLIC	UTILITIES COMPANY					HE PROJECTED	TEST TEAKEN	KURNIG 12/31/93.			p	ROJECTED TES	T YEAR: 12/3	1/95	
		GAS DIVISIONS										W	ITNESS: MART	IN		
	ET NO.: 940	620-00						_								
LINE NO.	A/C NO.	DESCRIPTION	1995 JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	м	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL	
	304	LAND														
ż	305	STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS														
3	311	LIQUEFIED PETROLEUM GAS EQUIP.														
4	362	GAS HOLDERS														
5	374	LAND														
6	375	STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS MAINS - PLASTIC	7 +50	7,100	7,100	7				7.000						
-	376.1 37ú.2	MAINS - PLASTIC MAINS - OTHER	7,100	500	500	7,100	7,100	7.100	7,100	7,100	7,100	7,100	7,100	7,100	85,2	
ŝ	378	MEAS & REG STATION EQUIP-GEN	300		200	500	200	200	300	200	300	200	500	200	6,0	
10	379	MEAS & REG STATION EQUIP-GATE														
11	380.1	SERVICES - PLASTIC	57,400	57,400	56,400	57,400	57,400	56,400	57,400	57,400	58,400	57,400	57,400	55,400	684.8	
12	380.2	SERVICES - OTHER			500			500			500			500	2.0	
13	381	METERS	22,200	20,800	12,600	7,500	4,900	2,000	18,600	4,500	11,000	2,000	21,600	2,000	129,70	
14	382	METER INSTALLATIONS	3,100	2,900	2,900	3,100	2,900	2,900	3,100	2,900	2,900	3,100	2,900	2,900	35,6	
15	383	HOUSE REGULATORS	2,300	5,400	400	600	400	2,400	600	4,500	400	600	400	400	18,4	
16	384	HOUSE REG-INST	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	20,4	
17	385 387	IND MEAS & REG STA, EQUIP OTHER EQUIPMENT														
19	389	LAND & LAND RIGHTS														
	390	STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS						1,700							1.70	
	391.1	OFFICE FURNITURE			600					1,000					1.64	
22	391.2	OFFICE MACHINES														
	391.3	EDP EQUIPMENT														
	392.1	TRANS. EQUIP CARS														
	392.2	TRANS. EQUIP. + LT TRUCKS, YANS														
	392.4	TRANS. EQUIP TRAILERS														
27 28	393 394	STORES EQUIPMENT TOOLS, SHOP, GARAGE EQUIP														
	395	LABORATORY EQUIPMENT														
	396	POWER OPERATED EQUIPMENT														
	397	COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT														
32	398	MISC EQUIPMENT	3,700	4,800	1.000	4,500	4,100	8.200	900	1,700	5,000	1,000			34,90	
33		TOTAL FORECAST LR.'S	98,000	100,600	83,700	82,400	79,000	\$3,400	89,900	81,300	85.500	73,400	91,600	71,500	1,020,30	
34		GAS PLANT PURCHASED OR SOLD														
36		TOTAL UTILITY PLANT	98,000	100,600	83,700	82.400	79.000	83,400	89.900	81,300	85.500	73,400	91,600	71,500	1,020,30	

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES:

34

RECAP SCHEDULES:



FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION



EXPLANTION: PROVIDE A SCHEDULE CALCULATING A 13-MONTH AVERAGE UTLITY PLANT FOR THE PROJECTED YEAR ENDING 12:31/05 ADDTIONAL PROJECTED YEAR PATE BASE

> TYPE OF DATA SHOWN PAGE 1 OF 1

PROJECTED TEST YEAR ENDING 12/21/96

13 MONTH AVERAGE

DOCKET NO .: 140620-GU FLORIDA PUBLIC UTRUTIES COMPANY CONSOLIDATED GAS DIVISIONS 85 1981 1981 198 H 55 UNO STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS UDJEPTED PETROLEUM GAS EQUIP GAS HOLDERS AS & REG STATION EQUIP-CEN AS & REG STATION EQUIP-CATE INVICES - PLASTIC INVICES - OTHER D RIGHTS UCTURES & INPROVEMENTS -PUSTIC - OTHER ECULATORS DESCRIPTION DEC 1×18 22.200 3.100 2.300 1.700 \$7,400 500 634 114,800 43.000 6.000 7.700 3.400 1000 E. 171,200 8,000 8,100 8,100 1.500 APR 228,500 500 51,100 12,000 8,700 6,800 28,400 NA 14.000 1.100 1.500 15.500 ŝ 1,000 11,000 11,500 11,500 12,500 * 1000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 49,700 E 457 1000 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 4.000 Se \$11,600 1,500 104,100 17,000 17,000 4,500 8 571,000 1,500 198,100 29,800 17,800 5.000 WITNESS MARTIN ğ 1.500 1.277,700 12,700 18,0000 18,700 11,100 Dec 844.400 2.000 1129.702 15.600 16,400 20.400 1.000 AVERAGE REGULATED 342,708 846 14,708 17,362 11,315 10,200 1.000

102 GAS PLANT PURCHASED OR SOLD NECT BOURNENT TOTAL PLANT IN SERVICE PLANT IN SERVICE S. EQUP - CANS S. EQUP - LT TRUCKS VANS S. EQUP - ITHALERS L SHOP, GARAGE EQUIP IA TORY EQUIPMENT R OPERATED EQUIPMENT UNICATION EQUIPMENT NULL I STREET COLORADOR STREET 94,000 94.000 1,700 194,500 134,600 1.500 200.282 262,300 1.500 364,700 364,700 14,000 443,700 443,700 18,100 \$27,100 \$27,100 00CBt 617,000 617,000 27,200 ł COCT MA 0001969 24,900 763,400 763.800 11,900 857.200 857,200 34,900 144,000 948.600 24,900 1,020,300 1.020.300 34,500 529.139 100,100 21.138 5/105 2002 4.002 520,743 120,743 16,276

RECAP SCHEDULES: G-1 (h-1.h-2)

SUPERIO ONY **CUBPACK** S & REG STA EQUIP

IS & IMPROVEMENTS

8

8

8

1,700

1,700

1.700

1,700

1,700

100

1,700

12

10

8.19

342,708 34,708 11,315 11,315

1000 i

2

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: Q-1 (5-7a, 5-7b, 5-7c)

-52-

FOOTNOTE ALLOCATIONS BASIS

DIVIDISEOS 17 AMIBLANKET.WK4UDC

SUBJECT: EXPENSES RELATED TO CONVERSION OF LP TO NATURAL GAS

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The utility recorded the following expenses in April 1993:

Account		Amount	% allocated
121.916.1	- WPB - Misc Sales Expense	20,836.63	85%
121.878	- WPB - Meter and House Reg. Exp.	2,451.37	10%
121.107	- WPB - CWIP	1,225.68	5%
		24,513.68	100%

The \$24,513.68 represents charges related to the conversion of 125 LP customers to Natural gas at the Gun Club Estates. The charges incurred from February 1992 through March 1993 were accumulated in a Deferred Account. The Deferred Account was cleared on April 1993 and charged to the above accounts as indicated. The allocation was based on estimates provided by supervisory personnel involved in the conversion project. No other documentation was provided to staff regarding the allocation.

AUDIT OPINION:

Tallahassee analyst explained that the issue will be reviewed and a determination of whether the amounts will be allowed will be made by them.

A date

SUBJECT: POST RETIREMENT FASB 106/EXPENSES NOT PAID

STATEMENT OF FACTS: In 1993, the company made adjustments of \$146,660 to account 100.165.3 to comply with FASB 106 and separate post retirement benefits from Employee Pension Benefits. The \$146,660 that was accrued for post retirement was obtained from Buck Consultants Valuation Report.

In 1993, Post Retirement FASB 106 (Medical) of \$93,444 was expensed to account 926.1 and Post Retirement expense of \$53,216 related to health Insurance was expensed to account 926.2 Pensions.

The company did not record post retirement expense of \$ 40,321 incurred but not paid. The company decided not to record the expense because the amount was immaterial and there was no cash outflow. \$25,603 or (63.5% of \$40,321) was charged to the gas divisions.

In 1994 the company made Adjustments to transfer Post Retirement (Medical) FASB (106) expense of \$93,444 from account 100.926.1 – Pensions , \$53,216 from account 100.926.2 – Insurance and \$40,321 post retirement expense not booked to 926.3. – Retiree Benefits Post Retirements to comply with FASB 106.

Account 100.1840.926.3	Total Adjustments	Allocation %	Amounts Alloc. To Gas Div.
FASB 106 Medical	\$93,444	63.50%	\$59,337
FASB 106 Medical	\$53,216	63.50%	\$33,792
FASB 106 Life Insurance	\$40,321	63.50%	\$25,604
Total	\$186,981		\$118,733

RECOMMENDATION: This should be reviewed by staff analyst to determine if the company is in compliance with FASB 106 and the impact on working capital for the \$25,604 related to life insurance incurred but not paid.



-	
2	
8	
12	
6	
E	1
12	
6	
5	
2	
15	
3	-

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CONSOLIDATED GAS DIVISIONS FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANTION PROVIDE THE DEPRECATION RESERVE BALANCES FOR EACH ACCOUNT OR SUB-ACCOUNT TO WHICH AN HOMOURA DEPRECIATION RATE IS APPLED FOR THE PROJECTED TEST YEAR ENDING 1021V85.

ADDITIONAL DEPRECIATION RES

NANCES

TYPE OF DATA SHOWK

PAGE 1 OF 1

PROJECTED TEST YEAR ENDING 12/31/96

8 8

		1953351	TARES	10 100 1 100 01 100 01 100 000 000 000		NO NO	NOCKET NO.: WORRD-GU
DEPRECIATION RESERVE	NAME ECUP: - CANE MAME ECUP: - L'THUCKIVANS MAME ECUP: - L'THUCKIVANS TORES ECUP: - CAN TORES ECUP: - CAN TORES ECUP: - CAN MARKATORY ECUPIERT SAMARACATORY ECUPIERT SAMARACATORY ECUPIERT	THER EQUIPADIT THUCTURES & MAROVEMENTS FRICE IMACHINE FRICE IMACHINE STORE IMACHINE	ETERS - OTHER ETERS ETER INSTALLATIONS OUSE REGULATIONS OUSE REGULATIONS	EAS & REG STATION EQUIP-GATE EAS & REG STATION EQUIP-GATE ERVICES - PLASTIC	TRUCTURES & BAPROVEMENTS NOURFED PETROLEUM GAS EQUIP AS HOURERS NOURIES & BAPROVEMENTS TRUCTURES & BAPROVEMENTS MARS - OTHER	DESCRIPTION	8
						DEC	
0						NAF SABL	
(182)	B		393Ŝ	(153)	(1)	78	
(847)	(1001)		3323	(458)	2Ê	nvn	
(11716)	200	9	22222	(Brief)	(107)	NR	
(2.793)	(000)	3	6338s	(1.526)	(17) (178)	мат	
(4,107)	(408)	9	(1000) (1	(68C.2)	(2001) (2007)	NN	
(5,694)	(100)	(12)	(100) (1773) (1808)	(1.102)	(174) (200)	м	
(7.529)	(553)	(22) (8)	(120) (120) (120)	(4.358)	(438) (37)	NG	
(sere)	(1,180)	20	(1511) (2511) (1541) (1541)	(3,437)	(Sec)	89	¥
(11,023)	(1.474)	110	(1001) (2002) (2002) (2002) (2002) (2002)	(4.457)	(000)	87	WITNESS MARTIN
(14,453)	(1.776)	68	(2,141) (450) (215) (235)	(0402,8)	(878) (878)	ş	ž
(17.233)	(2.67%)	(51) (24)	1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200 1200	(10.058)	(1000) (12.13.14)	DEC	
(5.602)	(7.10)	(13)	(140) (1401) (1202) (1202)	CK LD	(1961)	13 MONTH AVERAGE	
(169)	(163)					NON REGULATED	
(cearc) (ar		88. 89	(ME) (MC) (1201) (2000) (500) (511)	(CALL)	(2001) (2007)	13 MONTH AVENAGE	

23 TOTAL DEPRECIATION RESERVE . • (1251) (145) (1.716) (2,753) (4,107) (5.694) (7.52%) (21510) (11.923) (14,452) (17,233) (129475) (cas)

(5.663)

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES, G-1 (b-7c), G-2 (b-17)

FOOTHOTE ALLOCATION BASIS

-22-

RECUP SCHEDULES G-1 (b-1,b-2)

DUTZ/800 19 AMTRLANKET, WKALDC

SUBJECT: REVENUES

STATEMENT OF FACTS: The company's revenue for the test year ended December 31, 1993 decreased by \$15,199.64 as a result of transfering customers from one rate schedule to another.

During 1993 fifty-two (52) accounts were changed from General Service to Large Volume Service and thirty-one (31) accounts were changed from Large Volume Service to General Service. The company's calculation supporting the impact on test year revenues due to rate changes is presented on the following page.

RECOMMENDATION: This should be reviewed by Staff Analyst to determine how the issue should be treated.





REVENUE CHANGE TO FPUC DUE TO RATE CHANGES IN RESPONSE TO REQUEST NUMBER 19 FROM RAYMOND GRANT 1/6/95

CKET 94620-GU

Sugar.

REVISED 1/11/95 DUE TO CORRECTED SANFORD INFORMATION THE EXPECTED ANNUAL REVENUE IMPACT TO FPU FOR THE BELOW SUMMARIZED RATE CHANGES AMOUNTED TO: (\$15,199.64) AT ORIGINAL RATES.

.

RATE CHA	NGES FROM LV	<u>'S TO GS</u>	LVS ANNUAL CUSTOMER CHARGE	LVS ANNUAL ENERGY CHARGE	LVS TOTAL ANNUAL	GS ANNUAL CUSTOMER CHARGE	GS ANNUAL ENERGY CHARGE	GS TOTAL ANNUAL	IMPACT OF
	CUSTOMERS	THERMS/YR	REVENUES			REVENUES		REVENUES	CHANGE
WPB SANFORD DELAND TOTALS	24 7 0 31	26,027 9,853 0 35,880	\$8,640.00 \$2,520.00 \$0.00 \$11,160.00	\$1,375.58	\$3,895.58 \$0.00	\$2,880.00 \$840.00 \$0.00 \$3,720.00	\$2,036.81 \$0.00	\$2,876.81 \$0.00	(\$4,013.33) (\$1,018.77) \$0.00 (\$5,032.10)
RATE CHA	CUSTOMERS	S TO LVS	LVS ANNUAL CUSTOMER CHARGE REVENUES	CHARGE	LVS TOTAL ANNUAL REVENUES	GS ANNUAL CUSTOMER CHARGE REVENUES	CHARGE	GS TOTAL ANNUAL REVENUES	IMPACT OF RATE CHANGE
WP8 SANFORD DELAND TOTALS	1 34 17 62	39,383 236,686 61,200 337,469	\$360.00 \$12,240.00 \$6,120.00 \$18,720.00	\$33,071.65 \$8,544.13	\$45,311.65 \$14,664,13	\$120.00 \$4,080.00 \$2,040.00 \$6,240.00	\$48,969.07	\$53,049.07 \$14,691.26	(\$2,402.99) (\$7,737,42) (\$27,13) (\$10,167.54)

TOTAL IMPACT TO FPU DUE TO THE FOLLOWING RATE CHANGES: LVS TO GS RATE CHANGES (\$5,032,10)

(\$10,167.64)

(\$15.199.64)

LVS TO GS RATE CHANGES GS TO LVS RATE CHANGES ALL ANNUAL REVENUE IMPACT TO FPU

NOTES: LVS CUSTOMER CHARGE LVS NON-FUEL ENERGY CHARGE	\$30.00 /CUSTOMER/MONTH \$0.139610 /THERM
GS CUSTOMER CHARGE GS NON-FUEL ENERGY CHARGE	\$10.00 /CUSTOMER/MONTH \$0.206720 /THERM

SUBJECT: PROJECTED EXPENSES

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

In the 1994 projection on schedule G-2 (c-5), the company included \$25,750 in account 878 – Meter & House Regulation Expense to hire an additional Service Technician because they were collectively short a Technician in 1993. This amount was increased for payroll growth in projected 1995 data.

As of January 1995, the company has still not hired a Service Technician.

OPINION/RECOMMENDATION:

The expense of \$25,750 should be removed from forcasted 1994 data since the company will not recognize this expense in 1994.



Sin



RECV ^a Schedules:	•		6-11, 8-12, 8-13, C-1	SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: B-1, B-1, B-4, B-4, B-7, B-4, B-4, B-10, B-11, B-12, B-13, C-1	SUPPORTING SO
	5875			RATE OF NETURN	8
	5 1.677.225	5 (11,586)	5 1.689.214	NET OPERATING INCOME	
	STRIN I	5 (460,788)	3 3413.617	TOTAL PATE BASE	5
	5 0	3 6,655	5 (8,655)	BALANCE SHEET METHOD	*
				ALLOWANCE FOR WORKING CAPITAL	
	5 74 JUL 2	\$ (467,443)	5 24 820 217	PLANT NET	13
	\$ 12.501.292	5 (368,541)	\$ 12,899,533	TOTAL DEDUCTIONS	12
	\$ 11,548,715 211,901 319,741 0 240,515	s 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$ 12,057,255 281,501 319,741 9 240,535	ACCUM, DEPR UTILITY PLANT ACCUM, DEPR COMMON PLANT ACCUM, DEPR LEASENOLD MPR. CUSTOMER ADV. FOR CONST.	
	-			DEDUCTIONS	
	5 36 864 121	s (1466 \$18)	5 17,720,105	TOTAL PLANT	

YSy.

-1

PAGE 1 OF 1

SUPLANATION: PROVIDE A SCHEDULE CHUCULATING A 13-MONTH AVERAGE RATE BASE AS ADJUSTED FOR THE HISTORIC BASE YEAR

RATE BASE - 13 MONTH AVERAGE

ł.

ł

PLANT IN SERVICE COMMON PLANT ALLOCATED ACOUSTION ADJUST MENT PROPERTY HELD FOR FUTURE USE CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS 37,720,105 AVERAGE PER BOOKS 36,451,896 885,435 303,400 0 289,377 -(815,984) ADJUSTMENT (835,984) 0 0

UDIOX PLANT

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CONSOLIDATED GAS DIVISION

SCHEDULE 8-2

DOCKET NO.: SHORED-GU

NO

AVERAGE

35.615.514 525,435 303,400 269,372

TYPE OF DATA SHOWR: HISTORIC YEAR ENCED: 12/31/53 WITNESS: BACHMAN

SCHEDULE F-4

CALCULATION OF INTERIM RATE RELIEF - NET OPERATING INCOME

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY CONSOLIDATED GAS DIVISION DOCKET NO.: 940620-GU

EXPLANATION: PROVIDE THE CALCULATION OF NET OPERATING INCOME PER BOOKS FOR THE HISTORIC BASE YEAR AND ANY ADJUSTMENTS MADE TO THE HISTORIC BASE YEAR FOR INTERIM PURPOSES.

TYPE OF DATA SHOWN: HISTORIC YEAR ENDED 12/31/93

WITNESS: BACHMAN

NET OPERATING INCOME - HISTORIC YEAR ENDED 12/31/93

LINE NO.		(1) TOTAL COMPANY PER BOOKS	(2) ADJUSTMENTS	(3) COMPANY ADJUSTED (1) + (2)	(4) REVENUE REQUIREMENT	(5) JURISDICTIONAL AMOUNT PROPOSED RATES	
1	OPERATING REVENUES	\$22,413,675	(\$11,707,618)	\$10,706,057	\$496,326	\$11,202,383	
2	OPERATING EXPENSES:						
3	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	17,999,964	(11,628,617)	6,371,347	1,390	6,372,737	
4	DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION	1,345,537	_ (33,402)	1,312,135		1,312,135	
5	AMORTIZATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL	239,604		239,604		239,604	
6	TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES	912,100	(59,008)	853,092	1,861	854,953	
7	INCOME TAXES:						L
8	- FEDERAL	(4,589)	44,388	39,799	158,425	198,224	77
9	- STATE	(3,392)	10,153	6,761	27,119	33,880	1
10	DEFERRED INCOME TAXES						
11	- FEDERAL	231,825	(22,861)	208,964		208,964	
12	- STATE	42,052	(6,282)	35,770		35,770	
13	INVESTMENT TAX CREDIT - NET	(38,640)		(38,640)		(38,640)	
14	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	20,724,461	(11,695,629)	9,028,832	188,795	9,217,627	
15	OPERATING INCOME	\$1,689,214	(\$11,989)	\$1,677,225	\$307,531	\$1,984,750	
16	RATE BASE	\$24,813,617	(\$460,788)	\$24,352,829		\$24,352,829	
17	RATE OF RETURN	6.81%		6.89%		8.15%	

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: C-1, F-5, F-7

RECAP SCHEDULES: F-1

106

SCHEDULE D-1

COST OF CAPITAL - 13-MONTH AVERAGE

PAGE 1 OF 3

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY . CONSOLIDATED GAS DIVISION DOCKET NO.: 940620-GU

EXPLANATION: PROVIDE THE COMPANY'S 13-MONTH AVERAGE RECONCILED JURISDICTIONAL CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST RATES FOR EACH CLASS OF CAPITAL FOR THE HISTORIC BASE YEAR OF THE CURRENT CASE AND THE HISTORIC BASE YEAR OF THE LAST P'TE CASE.

TYPE OF DATA SHOWN: HISTORIC YEAR ENDED 12/31/33 PRIOR RATE CASE PROJECTED YEAR ENDING 12/31/31 WITNESS: MARTINUJACKSON

45-

			ST RATE CA	SE - PROJEC	TED TEST YE	R ENDING 12	31/91(1)	PR	ESENT RATE CA	SE - HISTOR	C YEAR ENDED	12/31/93			
				COST	RATE	WEIGHTED	COST	AMOUNT	ADJUSTME	NTS	-			000000000	
NO.	CLASS OF CAPITAL	DOLLARS	RATIO (3)	REQUESTED	APPROVED	REQUESTED	APPROVED	PER 800KS (8)	SPECIFIC (9)	PRORATA (10)	NET (11)	RATIO (12)	COST RATE (13)	COST (14)	EXPENSE (15)
1	COMMON EQUITY	\$5,763,829	24.78%	13.85%	13.00%	1.70%	3.22%	\$7,824.359 (2)	8	- sc	\$7,824,359	32.13%	13.00%	4.18%	
2	PREFERRED STOCK	253,201	1.09%	4.75%	4.75%	0.05%	0.05%	238,954 (2)	0		238,954	0.98%	4.75%	0.05%	
3	LONG TERM DEBT	7,545,801	32.44%	9.75%	9.75%	2.99%	1.16%	9,804,530 (2)	٥		9,804,530	40.26%	9.89%	3.90%	- 950,059
4	SHORT TERM DEBT	3,723,310	16.01%	8.68%	8.88%	1.34%	1.42%	655,735 (2)	٥		655,735	2.69%	4.86%	0,13%	31,869
5	CUSTOMER DEPOSITS	1,390,927	5.98%	8.47%	8.47%	0.52%	0.51%	1,582,611	0		1,562,611	8.42%	8.18%	0.52%	127,822
6	TAX CRDTS - 0 COST	34,397	0.15%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	29,349	0		29,349	0.12%	0.00%	0.00%	
7	TX CROTS - WTD CST	856,751	3.73%	11.54%	10.57%	0.44%	0.39%	811,473	0	0	811,473	3.33%	10.85%	C 36%	43,008
8	ACCUM DEFERRED INC TAXES - 0 COST	1,682,383	15.83%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	3,425,818	٥		3,425,618	14.07%	0.00%	0.00%	•
9	OTHER (EXPLAIN)	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0	0		0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
0	TOTAL	\$23,260,599	100.00%			9,04%	8.76%	\$24,352,829	\$0	50	\$24,352,829	100.00%		9,14%	1,152,758
	COMMON EQUITY	S WEIGHTED CO	ST:								7,824,359	42.24%	13.00%	5.49%	
2	PREFERRED EQUITY										238,954	1.29%	4.75%	0.08%	
3	LONG-TERM DEBT										655,735	3.54%	4.86%	0.17%	
4	SHORT-TERM DEBT										9,804,530	52.93%	9.69%	5.13%	•
5	TOTAL										18,523,578	100.00%		10.85%	
ST	RATE FOR TAX CREDIT	S INTEREST EXP	ENSE:											5.30%	
	(1) PER ORDER NO. 240	94, PAGE 23.													
	Note: For comparative pur	poses, we have u	ned the last	tale case author	tan visce bezi	m for the histo	de year of the re	and this case							

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: 8-1, 0-2, D-3, D-4, D-5, D-8

RECAP SCHEDULES: A-1, A-2, C-22

84

*OOTHOT	×	-		******		10 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19		55	NOTE DE	FLORIDA CONSOLIS	FLORIDA D
SUPPORTING SCHEDULES	5	TO MACHINE						55	PRECIATION	FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITI CONSOLIDATED GAS DA	FO-3 (e-17)
ON MARK	TOTAL DEPRECIATION / AMORTIZATION EXPENSE	TOTAL DEPRECATION LESS: TRANS. DEPR. ABOVE DAVAGED TO CLEANING ACCOUNTS LESS: GENERAL PLANT DEPRECATION EXPENSE ALLOC OUT ADD: AMONTZATION OF ACCUSITION ADA/STMENT EXPENSE	TRANSE ECUIP LT TRUCKS, LANS TRANSE ECUIP TRUCKS, LANS TRUCKS ECUIP LANS TRUCKS, SHOP, LANSER TRUCKS, LANSER TRUCKS, LANSER TRUCKS, LANSER LANSER, LANSER LANSER, LANSER LANSER, LANSER LANSER, LANSER LANSER, LANSER LANSER, LANSER LANSER, LANSER LANSER, LANSER LANSER, LANSER	AND & LAND RIGHTS TRUCTURES & MARCHENTS FFICE PURKETURE SPECE MACHINES DP ECURPADENT RANKE ECURP CARS	EAV & REG STATION EQUIP-GATE ERVICES - PLASTIC ERVICES - CITIER ETER INSTALLATIONS CUSE REGULATORS CUSE REGULATORS CUSE REGULATORS CUSE REGULATORS CUSE REGULATORS	AND ROUTS INRUCTURES & BAPROVEMENTS MANS - PLASTIC MANS - OTHER MAS & REG STATION EQUIP-CEN	UNO STRUCTURES & MPROVEMENTS UQUEFRID PETROCEUM GAS EQUP INFOLDERS	DESCRIPTION	NOTE: DEPRECIATION RATES PER ORDER NO. PSC-94-1539-FOR-QU, DOOKET NO. 940324	FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITES COMPANY CONSOLIDATED GAS DIVISIONS	FLORIDA FUELIC SERVICE COMMISSION
	ON EXPENSE	RING ACCOUNTS	10.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	2,00 12,00 11,70	140 130 130 130 140	1.20 2.70 1.20 1.20	MADRITIZATION	N PATE	Sta-FOF-GU, DOC		
Sec.								NAT BORI	XET NO MODIA		
	1625	R	a a		**** B	- 5				DYWAT	
	1506	¥	2		្ដដេរទី ទី	- 11		RMH	ESTIMATED DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION EXPENSE FOR THE YEAR ENDING 12/31/95	EV WANTOK PROVOR THE CALCULATION FOR DEPICTATION AND AMORTIZATION THE PROJECTED TEST YEAR ENOUGH 122105	6
	3429	8	8	•	ដង¥ទី្រទី	.8		APR	NO AMORTIZA	TED TEST YEAR	ADDITIONAL DEPRECIATION E
	\$1,077	1,077	9	5	388 <u>8</u> 08	\$ 77		MAY	ION EXPENSE I	ENDING 12317	ECIATION E
	\$1,314	194	15	3	282508			SUN	OR THE YEAR I	ATION AND AND	
	11.587	150	U		ងដ ងទី «ដី	8		A	HOING 12/31/95	RTUATION	
NECLE	\$1.835	3011	ğ		srage g	*		ALLO SEP			
RECUP SCHEDULES: G-3 (e-1, e-3)	12,088	2,066	8	**	x 28 3 - 1	äE		6P 0CT			
-2 (o-1, o-3)	12.126 5	111	¥	**	5.5554	4 1		T NOV	-	INNE OF	PAGE 1 0F 1
	12,530 12	2.500	E	**	2883 2833	178 13		DEC	TITLE STATE	TYPE OF DATA SHOWN	1.80
	\$2,780 \$1		22	**	45883.5	190				TYPE OF DATA SHOWN	
	M7.233	17.233	2.078	22	10,004 51 540 340			ATTOM REQUILA		8	
	5499	ŝ	478	ñ				DEPRECIATION REGULATED DEPRECIATION			
1. S	\$16,734	18,734	1.500	86	NAME OF COLOR	8		ATION			1

SUS 18 AMTRILANCET WKAU

State of Florida



DIVISION OF RECORDS & REPORTING BLANCA S. BAYO DIRECTOR (904) 488-8371

Public Service Commission

February 1, 1995

Mr. Frank C. Cressman Florida Public Utilities Company Post Office Box 3395 West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395

Dear Mr. Cressman:

Commissioners:

JOE GARCIA

J. TERRY DEASON

JULIA L. JOHNSON

DIANE K. KIESLING

SUSAN F. CLARK, CHAIRMAN

RE: Docket No. 940620-GU - Florida Public Utilities Company Rate Case Audit Report

The enclosed audit report is forwarded for your review. Any company response filed with this office within ten (10) work days of the above date will be forwarded for consideration by the staff analyst in the preparation of a recommendation for this case.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Blanca S. Bayó

BSB/kes

Enclosure

cc: Public Counsel Wayne Schiefelbein