RUTLEDGE, ECENIA, UNDERWOOD, PURNELL & HOFFMAN URIGINAL FILE COPY

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

STEPHEN A. ECENIA KENNETH A. HOFFMAN THOMAS W. KONBAD **B. DAVIÓ PRESCOTT** HAROLD F. X. PURNELL GARY R. RUTLEDGE R. MICHAEL UNDERWOOD WILLIAM B. WILLINGHAM

POST OFFICE BOX 551, 32302-0551 215 SOUTH MONROE STREET, SUITE 420 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301-1841

GOVERNMENTAL CONSULTANTS: PATRICK R. MALOY AMY J. YOUNG

TELEPHONE (904) 681-6788 TELECOPIER (904) 681-6515

August 14, 1995

HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Betty Easley Conference Center Room 110 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 950307-EU

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed herewith for filing in the above-referenced docket on behalf of Jacksonville Electric Authority are the original and fifteen copies of Jacksonville Electric Authority's Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Florida Power and Light Company's Counterpetition.

Please acknowledge receipt of these documents by stamping the extra copy of this letter "filed" and returning the same to me.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing.

ACK	Sincerely,	
AFA	1 Sugar	Lef 1
APP	Kenth X Sty	
CAF	Kenneth A	Horiman
CMUKAH/	rl	
(E/) Bass	All Parties of Record	▶ 1963年1月1日。
LEG Cupepp	la contra cont	
LIN 3	-65	
C.20		
Dependence -	ر ۲۰۰۰ و	DOCUMENT NUMBER-
are 1		07742 AUG 1
aling Queen and the second sec		FPSC-RECORDS/REPO

-DATE

and a share the p

14 留 RTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

١

)

In re: Petition of Jacksonville Electric Authority to Resolve a Territorial Dispute with Florida Power & Light Company in St. Johns) County

Docket No. 950307-EU Filed: August 14, 1995

JACKSONVILLE ELECTRIC AUTHORITY'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY'S COUNTERPETITION

Jacksonville Electric Authority ("JEA"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files its Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Florida Power and Light Company's ("FPL") Counterpetition and states:

ANSWER

1. JEA admits paragraph 24.

With respect to paragraph 25, JEA denies that FPL is 2. seeking relief from the Commission against Sheldon R. Ferdman but admits that FPL is seeking relief from the Commission against JEA.

- 3. JEA admits paragraph 26.
- JEA denies paragraph 27. 4.
- 5. JEA admits paragraph 28.
- JEA admits paragraph 29. 6.
- JEA admits paragraph 30. 7.
- 8. JEA admits paragraph 31.
- 9. JEA admits paragraph 32.

JEA admits the allegation set forth in the first sentence 10. of paragraph 33 but denies the allegation set forth in the second sentence of paragraph 33.

11. JEA denies paragraph 34.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07742 AUG 14 8

139FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

12. JEA denies paragraph 35.

13. JEA denies FPL's right to the relief requested in its Counterpetition and demands strict proof thereof.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First Affirmative Defense: FPL has failed to allege and submit testimony demonstrating that modification or cancellation of the April 13, 1979 territorial agreement (the "1979 Territorial Agreement") between JEA and FPL is necessary because of changed conditions or other circumstances not present in the proceedings which led to approval by the Commission of said agreement. Since the 1979 Territorial Agreement approved by the Commission expressly contemplated service by one utility in the territory of the other utility, and because FPL had customers and facilities in JEA's territory when FPL agreed to reaffirm the 1963 territorial agreement boundary in the 1979 Territorial Agreement, there has been no change of circumstances sufficient to support modification or cancellation of the 1979 Territorial Agreement approved in Order No. 9363.

<u>Second Affirmative Defense</u>: FPL has failed to allege and submit testimony demonstrating that modification or cancellation of the 1979 Territorial Agreement is consistent with the Commission's express statutory purpose including the Commission's mandate to avoid the uneconomic duplication of facilities and duty to plan, develop and maintain a coordinated electric power grid throughout the State of Florida. Modification or cancellation of the 1979 Territorial Agreement and Order No. 9363 is inconsistent with the

2

aforesaid statutory mandates.

Third Affirmative Defense: FPL has provided electric service to customers located in JEA's territory as such territory is defined by the 1979 Territorial Agreement in violation of Sections 3.2 and/or 3.4 of said agreement.

Fourth Affirmative Defense: Section 3.4 of the 1979 Territorial Agreement authorizes FPL to provide temporary or interim service in JEA's territory. Section 3.4 of the 1979 Territorial Agreement does not, and cannot, convey a permanent right to FPL to provide electric service to customers in JEA's territory that FPL did not serve as of April 13, 1979.

Fifth Affirmative Defense: FPL's position that electric service provided by FPL in JEA's territory pursuant to Section 3.4 of the 1979 Territorial Agreement supports a modification of the territorial boundary incorporated in the 1979 Territorial Agreement is contrary to Commission and Florida court precedent and the protections provided to Florida's electric utilities and their customers under the state action immunity doctrine.

Respectfully submitted,

KENNETH A. HOFFMAN, ESQUIRE WILLIAM B. WILLINGHAM, ESQUIRE Rutledge, Ecenia, Underwood, Purnell & Hoffman, P.A. P. O. Box 551 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-0551 (904) 681-6788

and

BRUCE PAGE, ESQUIRE Jacksonville Electric Authority 220 East Bay Street Suite 600 Jacksonville, FL 32202

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was furnished to the following by U. S. Mail this 14th day of August, 1995:

Mark A. Logan, Esq. Bryant, Miller & Olive 201 South Monroe Street Suite 500 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Beth Culpepper, Esq. Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Gerald L. Gunter Building Room 370 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

KENNETH A. ADFFMAN, ESQUIRE

JEA.2aff