

MACFARLANE AUSLEY FERGUSON & McMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

111 MADISON STREET, SUITE 2300
P.O. BOX 1531 (ZIP 33601)
TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602
(813) 273-4200 FAX (813) 273-4396

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(904) 224-9115 FAX (904) 222-7560

400 CLEVELAND STREET
P. O. BOX 1669 (ZIP 34617)
CLEARWATER, FLORIDA 34615
(813) 441-8966 FAX (813) 442-8470

March 11, 1996

IN REPLY REFER TO:
Tallahassee

BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director
Division of Records and Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Resolution of Petition to Establish Non
Discriminatory Rates, Terms, and Conditions
for Interconnection Involving Local Exchange
Companies and Alternative Local Exchange
Companies pursuant to Section 364.162,
Florida Statutes - Docket No. 950985-TP


Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above-styled docket are the original and fifteen (15) copies of Central Telephone Company of Florida and United Telephone Company of Florida's Second Request for Confidential Classification. This request covers that materials filed under a notice of intent on March 7, 1996. Exhibit "A" to this request, which is the highlighted/confidential version of the documents to which this request relates, is being filed contemporaneously with this request under a separate confidential cover.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning the same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,


J. Jeffrey Wahlen

JJW/

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02953 MAR 11 88

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Enclosures

cc: All parties of record

utd\950985.by0

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Resolution of Petition to) DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,) Filed: 3/11/96
Terms, and Conditions for Inter-)
connection Involving Local Exchange))
Companies and Alternative Local)
Exchange Companies pursuant to)
Section 364.162, Florida Statutes)
_____)

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA AND
CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA'S
SECOND REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

Pursuant to Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA and CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA (collectively, "Sprint United/Centel" or the "Companies") file this Request for Specified Confidential Classification for certain information provided to the Staff in this docket, and say:

1. This request covers documents submitted to the Division of Records and Reporting under a confidential cover on March 7, 1996. These documents have been Bates stamped numbers 0001 to 0164, and represent the confidential answers and documents responsive to the Staff's discovery requests in this proceeding. These confidential documents were provided to Continental Cablevision, Inc., MCIMetro and MFS-FL (pursuant to non-disclosure agreements) during the discovery phase of this proceeding. Pursuant to those non-disclosure agreements, Continental, MCIMetro and MFS-Fl have advised the Companies that those parties may offer some or all of these confidential documents as part of an exhibit

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02953 MAR 11 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

or exhibits during the hearing scheduled for March 11 and 12, 1996 before the Commission. The documents to which this request relates were filed with the Division of Records and Reporting under a separate confidential cover and a Notice of Intent to Request Confidential Classification on March 7, 1996.

2. In accordance with FPSC Rule No. 25-22.006, F.A.C., a copy of the documents with the information the Companies consider to be proprietary has been filed under a separate cover as Exhibit "A" to this request and has the confidential information highlighted for identification purposes. In accordance with Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, the Companies have appended hereto as Exhibit "B" one edited copy of the confidential answers with the confidential information blacked out ("redacted").

3. Commission Rule 25-22.006(4)(a) provides that a utility may satisfy its burden of proving that information is specified confidential material by demonstrating how the information falls under one or more of the available statutory examples. In the alternative, if no statutory example is available, the utility may satisfy its burden by including a justifying statement indicating what penalties or ill effects on the Companies or its ratepayers will result from the disclosure of the information to the public. The Companies have identified this confidential information on a line-by-line basis, and have appended the required line-by-line identification and justifications hereto as Exhibit "C."


4. The information for which confidential treatment is requested has not been disclosed, except pursuant to a protective

agreement that provides that the information will not be released to the public.

7. For all the foregoing reasons, Sprint United/Centel respectfully urge the Commission to classify the above-described and discussed document as proprietary confidential business information pursuant to Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, and as such exempt from Chapter 119, Florida Statutes.

WHEREFORE, UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA and CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA move the Commission to enter an Order declaring the documents claimed to be confidential in this request are proprietary confidential business information pursuant to Section 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code.

DATED this 11th day of March, 1996.



LEE WILLIS and
J. JEFFRY WAHLEN
Macfarlane Ausley Ferguson
& McMullen
P. O. Box 391
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
(904) 224-9115

ATTORNEYS FOR UNITED TELEPHONE
COMPANY OF FLORIDA AND CENTRAL
TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

2953

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| In re: Resolution of Petition to) | DOCKET NO. 950985-TP |
| Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,) | |
| Terms, and Conditions for Inter-) | |
| connection Involving Local Exchange) | |
| Companies and Alternative Local) | |
| Exchange Companies pursuant to) | |
| Section 364.162, Florida Statutes) | |
| _____) | |

EXHIBIT "B" TO SPRINT UNITED/CENTEL'S
SECOND REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

Unedited Version of Interrogatory Answers
With
Confidential Information Redacted

MACFARLANE AUSLEY FERGUSON & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(904) 224-9115 FAX (904) 222-7560

111 MADISON STREET, SUITE 2300
P.O. BOX 1531 (ZIP 33601)
TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602
(813) 273-4200 FAX (813) 273-4396

400 CLEVELAND STREET
P. O. BOX 1669 (ZIP 34617)
CLEARWATER, FLORIDA 34618
(813) 441-8996 FAX (813) 442-4970

February 28, 1996

IN REPLY REFER TO:

Tallahassee

CONFIDENTIAL

HAND DELIVERY

Richard D. Melson
Hopping Green Sams & Smith
123 South Calhoun
Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Re: Docket No. 950984-TP and 95098⁵₉-TP;
CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS ENCLOSED

Dear Rick:

Enclosed with this letter is one copy of the confidential version of Sprint-United/Centel's Answers to MCImetro's First Set of Interrogatories and the confidential documents responsive to MCImetro's First Request for Production of Documents. These materials are being produced to you in accordance with the non-disclosure agreement between our clients. Please keep them confidential pursuant to that agreement. Call me if you have any questions about this letter or the attached documents.

Best regards

Sincerely,


J. Jeffrey Mahlen

JJW/jh
Enclosure
JJW/rdm/melson

CONFIDENTIAL

STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (NO. 1)
TO UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA AND
CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP

1. Please include all relevant backup documentation used in deriving the response to Staff's Interrogatory No. 1, including any switched cost information models, line cost information models, workpapers, cost studies, etc.

The backup documentation used in deriving the response to Staff's Interrogatory No. 1 is considered proprietary information.

CONFIDENTIAL

0001

W. B. O. H.

2

Bellicore

CONFIDENTIAL

James F. Brill
Executive Director

100 2E-743
280 West Mt. Pleasant Avenue
Lanhamton, New Jersey 07631 USA
201-740-4810
201-740-4816
FAX No. 201-740-4857

March 9, 1994

1 Mr. Jim Wolbert
Sprint, LTD.
P. O. Box 5000, MC 5322
Altamonte Springs, FL 32716

CONFIDENTIAL

5 Dear Jim:

10.

15

20

25

30

35

36
37

3

0002

1
2
3
4
5
6

Sincerely,

James F. Britt

Copy to:

J. Del Re
L. M. Tucker

CONFIDENTIAL

0003

4

A

B

1 WEIGHTED AVERAGE MINUTES PER MESSAGE
 2
 3

INTEROFFICE

5TH MINUTE 4 SETUP
 5 MDU
 6 SS7
 7

10TH MINUTE 8 MDU
 9 SS7
 10
 11
 12

LOCAL TANDEM

5TH MINUTE 13 SET-UP
 14 MDU
 15 SS7
 16 TRANSPORT
 17

10TH MINUTE 18 MDU
 19 SS7
 20 TRANSPORT
 21
 22
 23

CONFIDENTIAL

INTEROFFICE

A.

B

SEP-69 1

MOJ 2

SS7 3

3.

OFFICE

SEP 4

MOJ 5

ST 6

INSPECT 7

8

0005

8

CONFIDENTIAL

SWITCHING COST INFORMATION SYSTEM (SCIS)

1

5

10

15

20

25

30

33

36

39

CONFIDENTIAL

SWITCHING COST INFORMATION SYSTEM (SCIS)

1 4.

5.

5.

0

4 6.

4

1. COST PER INTEROFFICE CALL SET-UP

= $\frac{GSC/MIS + (PULL + PULL)}{...} = ACE + SHIFD / EED / CCP$

[REDACTED]

2. COST PER INTEROFFICE MODU

= $\frac{2 * (LCCS - TCCS)}{...} = ACE + SHIFD / EED + CCS / MODU / CTF$

[REDACTED]

3. COST PER SST CALL SET-UP

= $\frac{[(2 * SSP) + SST] + OCT}{...} * ACE + SHIFD / EED / CCP$

[REDACTED]

INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT COST

5 = \$ [REDACTED]
16 = \$ [REDACTED]
17 = \$ [REDACTED]

THIS HAS BEEN CHANGED TO [REDACTED]
(NUMBER OFTENITY FILURE)

Exhibit C

CONFIDENTIAL

Long Run Incremental Costs of Trunk Side Termination

1
5
10
15
20
25
30
32

Calcs SED for Local Termination

0010

Long Run Incremental Costs of Trunk Side Termination

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

0011

Long Run Incremental Costs of Trunk Side Termination

C. Interoffice Transport Cost

Interoffice usage includes a transport element, which can be derived from the Transport Cost Model. A cost of [redacted] per DS1 termination, plus [redacted] per DS1 per mile is used for illustrative purposes. An example of a Transport Cost Model "Summary Report" is attached as page 5 of this exhibit.

Termination = [redacted]
Mileage = [redacted]

D. Usage Patterns Per Trunk

Engineering data indicates that a DS1 can accommodate approximately [redacted] MOU per month. Assuming a call duration of [redacted] minutes results in [redacted] calls per month.

E. Monthly Cost per DS1 Trunk

The following monthly costs are derived by multiplying the usage information in Section D times the appropriate cost in Sections A - C. For example, the interoffice MOU monthly cost is:

[redacted]

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|-----|--------|---------|
| (K) | (L) | (M) | (N) | (O) | (P) | (Q) |
| ((A+C)*J) | (E*I) | (K-L) | ((D+F)*J) | (O) | (G, H) | (N+O+P) |

Trunk Side E. O. Calling / DS1 Trunk

Trunk Side Tandem Calling / DS1 Trunk

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----|-------|-------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Call Set-up | MOU | Total | Call Set-up | MOU | Transport | Total |
|-------------|-----|-------|-------------|-----|-----------|-------|

[redacted]

2/16/95

SWITCHING COST INFORMATION SYSTEM (SCIS)


COMBINATION MODEL OFFICE

VERSION 6.3 - 11/15/94

A

B

- 1 Cost Per Millisecond
- 2 Line Termination Cost
- 3 Working POTS Line Cost
- 4 Excess CCS Capacity Cost
- 5 Minimum Cost Per Line
- 6 Cost Per Line CCS (Orig. or Term)
- 7 Digitone Increment Per Digitone Call
- 8 Cost Per Trunk CCS (Outgoing or Incoming)
- 9 Cost Per Umbilical CCS
- 10 Total Line Outputs
- 11 Flat Rate
- 12 Total Line Outputs * Annual Charge Factor / Number of Months
- 13 Monthly Switched Line Cost



UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
 CUSTOMER USAGE STUDY
 POINT-TO-POINT STUDY

CONFIDENTIAL

SUMMARY OF RATE GROUPS
 LARGE (RATE GROUPS 7-9)

| Line | A HOME | | B E/S | | C COMBINED | | D BUS/RES |
|---|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|---------------|-----|-----------|
| | (1004.0251) | | (1853.8671) | | (11,067.4071) | | |
| | RES | BUS | RES | BUS | RES | BUS | |
| 1. Access Lines in Study | | | | | | | |
| 2. Customers Billed | | | | | | | |
| 3. # of Customers Originating or More Calls | | | | | | | |
| 4. Originating Msgs. | | | | | | | |
| 5. Customer Usage | | | | | | | |
| 6. Avg. Msg. per Acc. Line | | | | | | | |
| 7. Message Minutes | | | | | | | |
| 8. <u>Avg. Minutes Per Msg.</u> | | | | | | | |
| 9. Avg. Minutes Per AL | | | | | | | |

E.O.

Note: () Number of Callable Access Lines
 Customer usage = L3/L2
 Avg. Msg. Per Acc. Line = L4/L1
 Avg. Minutes Per Msg = L7/L4
 Avg. Minutes Per AL = L7/L1
 Large Rate Group = # of Callable Access Lines > 64,000

WEIGHT 75


COMBINED

- Offices Included:
 Altamonte Springs
 Eustis
 North Naples
 Ocala
 Oklawaha
 Reedy Creek

SMOU

(01
 11
 12

*Split (Access)
 Paid
 757
 257
 15*



(01) *Weighted Avg. Minutes
 per Msg.*

1 Remote Call Forwarding as Interim Number Portability

2 COST SUPPORT

3
3.5

4
5
6

7
8
9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

MACFARLANE AUSLEY FERGUSON & McMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

111 MADISON STREET SUITE 2300
P.O. BOX 1521 (ZIP 33601)
TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602
(813) 273-4700 FAX (813) 273-4396

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
P.O. BOX 381 (ZIP 32302)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(904) 224-9115 FAX (904) 222-7580

400 CLEVELAND STREET
P. O. BOX 1669 (ZIP 34617)
CLEARWATER, FLORIDA 34618
(813) 441-8866 FAX (813) 442-6470

February 28, 1996

IN REPLY REFER TO:

Tallahassee

FEDERAL EXPRESS

CONFIDENTIAL


James C. Falvey
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered
3000 K Street, N.W. Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007

Re: Docket No. 950985-TP

Dear Jim:

Enclosed is the confidential version of the answers to MFS' First Set of Interrogatories. These are being provided to you in accordance with the nondisclosure agreement we sent to you on February 19, 1996, and which you signed and faxed to me yesterday. Please keep these answers confidential pursuant to the terms of the agreement.

Sincerely,


J. Jeffrey Wahlen

JJW/csu

Enclosure

wf/vby:dr

CONFIDENTIAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Resolution of Petition to) DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,))
Terms, and Conditions for Inter-))
connection Involving Local Exchange))
Companies and Alternative Local))
Exchange Companies pursuant to))
Section 364.162, Florida Statutes))
_____)

CONFIDENTIAL VERSION

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA AND
UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA'S
ANSWERS TO MPS OF FLORIDA, INC.'S FIRST SET
OF INTERROGATORIES

United Telephone Company of Florida ("Sprint-United") and
Central Telephone Company of Florida ("Sprint-Centel")
(collectively "Sprint-United/Centel" or the "Companies"),
pursuant to Rule 25-22.034, Florida Administrative Code, Florida
Rule of Civil Procedure 1.340, hereby submits the following
CONFIDENTIAL Answers to MPS of Florida's First Set of
Interrogatories to United Telephone Company of Florida and
Central Telephone Company of Florida, served by overnight
delivery on January 31, 1996, and received by Sprint-
United/Centel on February 1, 1996 ("MPS's First Set").

Objections

All of the general and specific objections and the motion
for protective order included in the Companies' Objections to
MPS's First Set and Motion for Protective Order, which was filed
and served on February 9, 1996, are hereby incorporated in these

answers as though fully set forth herein. The Companies are responding to the portions of MPS's First Set to which they have no specific objection, and in so doing do not intend to waive any of the general or specific objections raised in their February 9, 1996 filing. For ease of reference, the Companies have repeated their specific objections in these answers.

Confidential Information

As noted in the Companies objections, certain of the answers to the interrogatories contained in MPS's First Set contain information the Companies believe to be proprietary confidential business information. Those answers are being provided to counsel for MPS in this document pursuant to the non-disclosure agreement between the Companies and MPS. This CONFIDENTIAL version, together with the non-confidential version of the answers served on February 20, 1996, constitute the full set of answers to MPS's First Set.

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
MPS' FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 2
PAGE 1 OF 1

2. Does Sprint-United/Centel currently utilize different tandem switching systems in Florida for local traffic than for switched access traffic? If the answer to this question is affirmative, then respond to the following:
- a. Does Sprint-United/Centel currently provide any other common carriers with interconnection to its local tandem switches?
 - b. If so, which common carriers are provided such interconnection and under what rates, terms, and conditions?

Objection: In addition to the general objections stated above, the Companies object to part (b) of this question on grounds that it calls for information that the Companies believe is proprietary confidential business information. Without waiving this objection, the Companies will provide the answer to MPS pursuant to a mutually acceptable Non-Disclosure Agreement executed between the MPS and the Companies.

Answer: Yes, Sprint-United/Centel operate local tandems as well as access tandems.

- a. The rates, terms and conditions for common carriers, such as cellular and paging companies, interconnecting with Sprint-United/Centel's local tandems are provided in the appropriate tariffs. The list of carriers will be produced pursuant to a mutually acceptable non-disclosure agreement. Agreements covering local calling between the Companies and other LDCs are provided in response to interrogatory number 67.
- b. See CONFIDENTIAL ATTACHMENT.

CONFIDENTIAL
United/Centel
Docket No. 950985-TP
MFS' First Set
Interrogatory No. 2
Page 1 of 2

EPRINT - UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
MFS' FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 2
PAGE 1 OF 2

CONFIDENTIAL

A

CENTEL - MOBILE/CELLULAR/PAGING CUSTOMER LIST

1
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
10
.
.
.
.
15
.
.
.
.
20
.
.
22

CONFIDENTIAL
United/Cenel
Docket No. 950985-TP
MFS' First Set . . .
Interrogatory No. 2
Page 2 of 2

SPRINT - UNITED/CENEL
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
MFS' FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 2
PAGE 2 OF 2

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED - MOBILE/CELLULAR/PAGING CUSTOMER LIST

A

B

1

.

.

5

.

.

.

10

.

.

.

15

.

.

.

20

.

.

.

25

.

27

0020

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
MFS' FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 5
PAGE 1 OF 1

5. When did Sprint-United/Centel file its last cost study with the Florida Public Service Commission? Please provide this cost study.

Objection: In addition to the general objections set forth above, which are incorporated herein by reference, the Companies object to the last sentence of this interrogatory on grounds that it is presented as a request for production of documents, not an interrogatory, and cannot be answered under oath as required by Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.340. Additionally, the Companies object to the last sentence of this question on grounds that it calls for information that the Companies believe is proprietary confidential business information.

Answer: The Local Transport Restructure cost study, which was filed in September 1995 in support of the LTR tariff filing, is the most current switched access cost study that has been filed with the FPSC. A CONFIDENTIAL summary of the results of this study is attached.

0021

STAFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NO. 1)
TO UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA AND
CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP

CONFIDENTIAL
United/Genie:
Docket No. 950985-TP
MFS' First Set
Interrogatory No. 5
Page 1 of 1.

1. Please provide the current long-run incremental cost of originating or terminating a call for local interconnection purposes on a per-minute basis.

1 The current long-run incremental cost of originating or
2 terminating a call for local interconnection purposes on
3 a per-minute basis is as follows:

4 Interoffice [REDACTED] MOU
5 Local Tandem [REDACTED] per MOU

6 Note: Assumes all intra-company traffic.
7 Interoffice assumes one class 5 office.
8 Local Tandem assumes two Class 5 offices, one of which serves as a local tandem.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
MFS' FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 17
PAGE 1 OF 1

17. Please provide copies of all studies prepared by or for Sprint-United/Centel, whether or not previously filed with the Commission, relating to the marginal costs, or if marginal cost studies are unavailable other cost basis, of any dial tone line services in Florida.

Objection: In addition to the general objections set forth above, which are incorporated herein by reference, the Companies object to this interrogatory on grounds that it is presented as a request for production of documents, not an interrogatory, and cannot be answered under oath as required by Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.340. Additionally, the Companies object to this question on grounds that it calls for information that the Companies believe is proprietary confidential business information. Without waiving these objections, the Companies will provide costs for R-1 and B-1 services to MFS pursuant to a mutually acceptable Non-Disclosure Agreement executed between the MFS and the Companies.

Answer: See objection and the CONFIDENTIAL attachment to this answer.

0023

DATE = 19-Sep-95
TIME = 10:38 AM

CONFIDENTIAL

1994 FLORIDA
* MERGED FLAT RATE ECONOMICAL COSTS *
SERVICE CLASS = BUS W/DROP, CAP COST

FLAT RATE ANALYSIS

| | (A) BAND DISTANCE (FT.) | (B) ECONOMICAL MONTHLY COST | (C) PROBABILITY WEIGHTINGS | (D=B*C) MERGED FL RATE MONT. |
|----|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | | |
| 1 | 1,000 | | | |
| . | 2,000 | | | |
| . | 3,000 | | | |
| . | 4,000 | | | |
| 5 | 5,000 | | | |
| . | 6,000 | | | |
| . | 7,000 | | | |
| . | 8,000 | | | |
| . | 9,000 | | | |
| 10 | 10,000 | | | |
| . | 11,000 | | | |
| . | 12,000 | | | |
| . | 13,000 | | | |
| . | 14,000 | | | |
| 15 | 15,000 | | | |
| . | 16,000 | | | |
| . | 17,000 | | | |
| . | 18,000 | | | |
| . | 19,000 | | | |
| 20 | 20,000 | | | |
| 21 | 22,620 (LAST BAND) | | | |
| 22 | TOTALS | | | |

23 NOTE 1: AVERAGE LOOP LENGTH FOR THIS SERVICE IS [REDACTED] KILOFEET.

0024

DATE = 20-Feb-96
TIME = 05:03 PM

CONFIDENTIAL

1993 FLORIDA
* MERGED FLAT RATE ECONOMICAL COSTS *
SERVICE CLASS = RES W/DROP, CAP COST

FLAT RATE ANALYSIS

| | (A) BAND DISTANCE (FT.) | (B) ECONOMICAL MONTHLY COST | (C) PROBABILITY WEIGHTINGS | (D=B*C) MERGED FLAT RATE MONTHLY COST |
|----|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| 1 | 1,000 | | | |
| . | 2,000 | | | |
| . | 3,000 | | | |
| . | 4,000 | | | |
| 5 | 5,000 | | | |
| . | 6,000 | | | |
| . | 7,000 | | | |
| . | 8,000 | | | |
| . | 9,000 | | | |
| 10 | 10,000 | | | |
| . | 11,000 | | | |
| . | 12,000 | | | |
| . | 13,000 | | | |
| . | 14,000 | | | |
| 15 | 15,000 | | | |
| . | 16,000 | | | |
| . | 17,000 | | | |
| . | 18,000 | | | |
| 20 | 19,000 | | | |
| . | 20,000 | | | |
| 21 | 37,309 (LAST BAND) | | | |
| 22 | TOTALS | | | |

23 NOTE 1: AVERAGE LOOP LENGTH FOR THIS SERVICE IS [REDACTED] KILOFEET.

0025

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
MPS' FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 23
PAGE 1 OF 1

23. Provide all cost studies relating to Sprint-United/Centel's provision of directory publishing and directory assistance services.

Objection: In addition to the general objections set forth above, which are incorporated herein by reference, the Companies object to this interrogatory on grounds that it is presented as a request for production of documents, not an interrogatory, and cannot be answered under oath as required by Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.340. By way of explanation, and without waiving this objection, the Companies state that they do not have the requested cost studies and, to the extent that this question requests the Companies to perform such studies, they object to the question on grounds that doing so would be burdensome. The Companies do not maintain the requested information in the ordinary course of business and it would take a significant amount of time and effort to gather the requested information. Additionally, the Companies object to this question on grounds that it calls for information that the Companies believe is proprietary confidential business information.

Answer: See objections, above. Without waiving these objections, the requested directory publishing cost study is attached hereto as a CONFIDENTIAL attachment. The Companies do not have a directory assistance cost study.

0026

DIRECTORY COST ANALYSIS

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L |
|--|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------------|
| | Annual Distribution | 00 Actual Exp. 0000 Work Yrs | 00 Actual Exp. Tell Work Yrs | 00 Actual Exp. DR, Cds, Card | 00 Actual Exp. Cover Cards | 00 Actual Exp. Pubs/Map | 00 Actual Exp. (Labels) | 00 Actual Exp. Delivery | 00 Actual Exp. Print/Rep. | 00 Actual Exp. Mktg/Support | 00 Actual Exp. Total Exp. DR, Card Ref. DR | 00 Actual Exp. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| MYT/Florida/Alpha Districts | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bushnell/Walton | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Central Florida - North | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Central Florida - South | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carroll Countywide | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dade City | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Greater Lake Okechobee | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HIGHLANDS Countywide | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake County | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lee County - White Pines | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lee County - Yellow | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Naples | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ocala/Marion Countywide | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orlando/Winter Garden Alpha | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Peace River Valley | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Punta Gorda/Palm Chieftide | 15 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| West Volusia | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wilder | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Primary/Alpha DR. | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | |

CONFIDENTIAL

0028

CONFIDENTIAL

Unaudited/Census
 Docket No. 950985-TP
 MFS' First Set
 Introductory No. 23
 Page 2 of 3

SPRINTUNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

DIRECTORY COST ANALYSIS

| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Annual Distribution | 95 Avg Per Dir 0000 Work Set | 95 Avg Per Dir Full Work Set | 95 Avg Per Dir Dir. Exp. Cost | 95 Avg Per Dir Cover Costs | 95 Avg Per Dir Printing | 95 Avg Per Dir Fisht | 95 Avg Per Dir Ballast | 95 Avg Per Dir Polling | 95 Avg Per Dir Miscellaneous | 95 Avg Per Dir Total Set |
| SEVENTH Primary/Alpha Directories | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bushnell/Walkeed | . | | | | | | | | | | |
| Central Florida - North | . | | | | | | | | | | |
| Central Florida - South | . | | | | | | | | | | |
| Collier Countywide | . | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dade City | . | | | | | | | | | | |
| Greater Lake Okechobee | 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Highlands Countywide | . | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake County | . | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lee County - White Pages | . | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lee County - Yellow | . | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lehigh | 10 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ocala/Marion Countywide | . | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orlando/Winter Garden Alpha | . | | | | | | | | | | |
| Peace River Valley | . | | | | | | | | | | |
| Punta Gorda/Port Charlotte | . | | | | | | | | | | |
| West Volusia | 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wilton | 15 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Primary/Alpha Dir. | 17 | | | | | | | | | | |

CONFIDENTIAL

0029

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
MFS' FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 36
PAGE 1 OF 2

36. What percentage of Sprint-United/Centel's network is copper-based? What percentage is fiber-based?
- a. For each of the following, please provide the percentage and number of lines that are copper-based vs. fiber-based:
- i. Inter-switch trunks.
 - ii. End office to end user commercial buildings.
 - iii. End office to end user residence dwelling units. Please provide a breakdown by single family and multi-unit dwellings.
 - iv. End office to end user businesses.
 - v. End office to end user residence customers.
- b. Does Sprint-United/Centel plan to replace its copper facilities with fiber optic facilities? Please provide any plans and schedules referring or relating to such replacement for each of the categories listed in subpart a.

Objection: In addition to the general objections set forth above, which are incorporated herein by reference, the Companies object to this interrogatory on grounds that it calls for information that is not relevant to any of the issues in this docket, is not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this docket and is beyond the scope of discovery in this docket. While the Companies can and will provide broad measures of the percentages of copper and fiber in their networks, the Companies object to this interrogatory on grounds that performing the analysis necessary to answer part (a) would be burdensome. In the normal course of business, the Companies do not compile the statistics requested in part (a) of this interrogatory. It would take hundreds of hours to perform the studies and compile the statistics requested. Even if all of the requested information was relevant, the Companies would object to this question on grounds that it calls

UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
MFS' FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 36
PAGE 2 OF 2

for information that the Companies believe is proprietary confidential business information. The Companies will provide the broad measures of percentages of copper v. fiber pursuant to a mutually acceptable Non-Disclosure Agreement executed between the MFS and the Companies.

As far as part (b) is concerned, the Companies object to this interrogatory on grounds that it is presented as a request for production of documents, not an interrogatory, and cannot be answered under oath as required by Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.340. Moreover, while the companies may have detailed plans in this area, those detailed plans are voluminous, are located at various locations of the Companies, and include proprietary confidential business information. Gathering this information would be burdensome. To the extent that the information can be gathered and produced to MFS, it will only be done pursuant to a mutually acceptable Non-Disclosure Agreement executed between the MFS and the Companies.

Answer: See objection.

- a. See objection and the CONFIDENTIAL attachment to this answer.
- b. See objection.

DOCKET NO. 950925-TP

February 12, 1996

MFS 1st Set of Interrogatories,
Interrogatory 36

CONFIDENTIAL

SPRINT - UNITED / CENTEL

The percent of copper versus fiber in network facilities by amount of investment as of year end 1995 is as follows:

A B
Copper Fiber

United

- 1 Aerial Cable & Drop
- 2 Underground Cable
- 3 Buried Cable & Drop
- 4 Submarine Cable
- 5 Intrabuilding Cable

Centel

- 6 Aerial Cable & Drop
- 7 Underground Cable
- 8 Buried Cable & Drop
- 9 Submarine Cable
- 10 Intrabuilding Cable

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENDEL
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
MFS' FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 38
PAGE 1 OF 1

38. What are Sprint-United/Centel's revenues and uncollectibles booked to the first and second level accounting classifications (e.g., Account 5010.1 and 5010.11)?

Objection: In addition to the general objections set forth above, which are incorporated herein by reference, the Companies object to this interrogatory on grounds that it calls for information that is not relevant to any of the issues in this docket, is not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this docket and is beyond the scope of discovery in this docket. Likewise, the Companies object to this interrogatory on grounds that the requested information is proprietary confidential business information.

Answer: See objections and the CONFIDENTIAL attachment to this answer.


CONFIDENTIAL

United/Cente:
Docu: No. 9509ES-7P
MFS' First Set
Interrogatory No. 38
Page 1 of 6

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
REVENUES AND UNCOLLECTIBLES

UNDIANEGL95UTF

13-Feb-98
06:45:38 AM



B

A

CONFIDENTIAL

- 50011000 MONTHLY SERVICE CHARGES - TELEPHONE
- 50011100 SUBS STAT REV ADJUSTMENTS-RESIDENCE
- 50011200 SUBS STAT REV FLAT RATE-RESIDENCE
- 50011220 SUBS STAT REV FLAT RATE-RESIDENCE-ABC
- 50011300 SUBS STAT REV MEASURED-RES-SMALLTALK
- 50011700 SUBS STAT REV KEY NETWORK-RESIDENCE
- 50011800 SUBS STAT REV PEX & CENTREX CU NETWORK-R
- 50012000 SUBS STAT REV FACILITIES PROV TO OODS-FES
- 50013000 SUBS STAT REV RES-SM TALK-USG CHG
- 50013001 SUBS STAT REV RESIDENCE-ROF-USAGE-MINUT
- 50013010 SUBS STAT REV RESIDENCE-FX-SURROGATE USAGE
- 50013202 SUBS STAT REV RES-SM TALK-ALLOWANCE (DR)
- 50013503 SUBS STAT REV RES-LOCAL MESSAGE RATE PL
- 50015100 SUBS STAT REV ADJUSTMENTS-BUSINESS
- 50015200 SUBS STAT REV FLAT RATE-BUSINESS
- 50015206 SUBS STAT REV FLAT RATE - FRAME RELAY
- 50015220 SUBS STAT REV FLAT RATE-BUSINESS-ABC
- 50015221 SUBS STAT REV FLAT RATE-BUSINESS-ABC-SLOC
- 50015230 SUBS STAT REV FLAT RATE-BUSINESS-SWCHLNK
- 50015231 SUBS STAT REV FLAT RATE-BUS-SWCHLNK PLU
- 50015240 SUBS STAT REV FLAT BUS-LANLINK
- 50015241 SUBS STAT REV FLAT BUS-BRI B CHAN DAT SUR
- 50015250 SUBS STAT REV FLAT RATE BUS-EE11
- 50015400 SUBS STAT REV EXTENSIONS-BUSINESS
- 50015600 SUBS STAT REV MISC NETWORK-BUSINESS
- 50015620 SUBS STAT REV MISC NETWORK-BUS-SWCHLNK
- 50015630 SUBS STAT REV MISC NETWORK-BUS-ABC
- 50015650 SUBS STAT REV MISC NETWORK-BUS-EE11
- 50015700 SUBS STAT REV KEY NETWORK-BUSINESS
- 50015800 SUBS STAT REV PEX & CENTREX CU NETWORK-B
- 50015801 SUBS STAT REV CU-NTWK-BUS-SUNCOM
- 50017000 BUSINESS-MEASURED RATED USAGE CHARGES
- 50017004 SUBS STAT REV BUSINESS-ROF-USAGE-MINUTE
- 50017005 SUBS STAT REV BUS-TALKING YELLOW PAGES-HR
- 50017009 SUBS STAT REV BUS-CMD/ROO-USAGE-MINUTES
- 50017010 SUBS STAT REV BUS-MEAS RATES USAGE CHG-FX
- 50017011 SUBS STAT REV BUS-CMD/ROO-USG MIN-INTROD
- 50017030 SUBS STAT REV -BUS-SWCHLNK-USAGE-MIN
- 50017031 SUBS STAT REV -BUS-SWCHLNK-USAGE-MIN
- 50017503 SUBS STAT REV BUS-LOCAL MESSAGE RATE PL
- 50019205 SUBS STAT REV SEMIPUBLIC COIN
- 50019214 SUBS STAT REV MEASURED-BUSINESS-PATS
- 50021102 SUBS STAT REV MISC-RES OELC-WLST
- 50021111 SUBS STAT REV OEAS-RES-W KISS-ORLANDO
- 50021112 SUBS STAT REV OEAS-RES TRIAC-BROOKSVL
- 50021113 SUBS STAT REV OEAS-RES-AVON PARK-SEBRIN
- 50021114 SUBS STAT REV OEAS-RES-SEERING-AVON PAR
- 50021115 SUBS STAT REV OEAS-RES-LK PLACID-SEBRIN
- 50021116 SUBS STAT REV OEAS-RES-LABELLE-FORT MYE
- 50021117 SUBS STAT REV OEAS-RES-WILDWOOD-LEESBUR
- 50021138 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-RES-GLVD-BSHN
- 50021139 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-RES-INVR-BROOKSV
- 50021140 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-RES-INVR-DUNNELL
- 50021141 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-RES-INVR-YANKEET
- 50021145 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-RES-OKLW-LSSG
- 50021146 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-RES-OKLW-EUSTIS
- 50021147 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-RES-OKLW-UMTL
- 50021149 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-RES-SBNG-LKPC
- 50021151 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-RES-TLCH-BUSHNEL
- 50021152 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-RES-LSSG-WLWID
- 50021155 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-RES-KNV-ORLANDO
- 50025102 SUBS STAT REV MISC-BUS OELC-WLST
- 50025116 SUBS STAT REV OEAS-BUS-LABELLE-FORT MYE
- 50025117 SUBS STAT REV OEAS-BUS-WILDWOOD-LEESBUR
- 50025138 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-BUS-GLVD-BSHN
- 50025139 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-BUS-INVR-BROOKSV
- 50025140 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-BUS-INVR-DUNNELL
- 50025141 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-BUS-INVR-YANKEET
- 50025145 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-BUS-OKLW-LSSG

0034

CONFIDENTIAL

Unmad/Centel
Docket No. 950925-TP
MFS' First Set
Interrogatory No. 38
Page 2 of 6

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
REVENUES AND UNCOLLECTIBLES

UNDIANEIGL95UTF

13-Feb-96
08:45:35 AM

Y/E:PS
GL
(N)

CONFIDENTIAL

- 50025146 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-BUS-OKLW-UMTL
- 50025147 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-BUS-SBNG-LKPC
- 50025148 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-BUS-SBNG-LKPC
- 50025151 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-BUS-TLCH-BUSHNEL
- 50025152 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-BUS-LSSG-IWLWD
- 50041001 SUBS STAT REV MOBILE AIR TIME-IXC 000
- 50041011 SUBS STAT REV MARINE-TPC EDIT RDMT-IXC 000
- 50101000 PUBLIC TELEPHONE REVENUES
- 50102000 SEMI-PUBLIC PAYSTATION REV-LOCAL COIN DROP
- 50104001 SUBS STAT REV MEAS-USAGE-BUS-PATS
- 50401000 LOCAL PRIV LN SVC REV-TELEPHONE
- 50404000 LOCAL PRIV LN SVC REV-TRANS-AUD
- 50405000 LOCAL PRIV LN SVC REV-OTHER
- 50406100 LOCAL PRIV LN SVC REV-DIGILINK
- 50406200 LOCAL PRIV LN SVC REV-TRANSINK
- 50406400 LOCAL PRIV LN SVC - PACKET SWITCHING
- 50406401 LOCAL PRIV LN SVC - PACKET SWITCHING FRAME
- 50502000 SUBS STAT REV MISC EQUIPMENT-RESIDENCE
- 50505000 SUBS STAT REV MISC EQUIPMENT-BUSINESS
- 50506003 SUBS STAT REV TIME & TEMP
- 50506500 SUBS STAT REV KEY EQUIPMENT-BUSINESS
- 50501000 SVC CONN CHG ADJUSTMENTS-RESIDENCE
- 50501100 SVC CONN CHG INWARD-KEY-RESIDENCE
- 50501102 SVC CONN CHG INWARD-RES-LINK-UP DISCOUN
- 50501103 SVC CONN CHG LINK-UP DISCOUNTS RECOVERE
- 50501300 SVC CONN CHG MISCELLANEOUS-RESIDENCE
- 50501302 SVC CONN CHG MISC-RES-LINK-UP DISCOUNTS
- 50501303 MISC SVC CON DISCOUNTS RECOVERED
- 50502001 OTHER LOC SVC REV - 976 INFO SVCS
- 50502002 OTHER LOC SVC REV - PERM. TRAP & TRACE
- 50502010 OTHER LOCAL SERVICE REVENUE-TROUBLE LOCATION CHARGES
- 50502011 N11 INFORMATION SERVICES
- 50502101 OTHER LOC SVC REV - LOC ASSIST-IXC 000
- 50502102 OTHER LOC SVC REV - LOC ASSIST-MSG RATE
- 50502201 OTHER LOC SVC REV - BUSY VERIFY LOC-IXC 000
- 50502301 OTHER LOC SVC REV - EMERG INTERPT-IXC 000
- 50503000 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-RESIDENCE
- 50503002 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-RES-PACKAGE
- 50503003 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-RES-ASC
- 50503040 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-RES-CLASS
- 50503041 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-RES-COT US
- 50503050 SUBS STAT REV -ADV INTELLITGE T NTWK-RES
- 50503071 USAGE SENSITIVE CCF - RES
- 50503100 SUBS STAT REV U-TOUCH LINE CHG-RESIDENC
- 50504000 OTH LOC SVC R DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE CHG
- 50505000 SVC CONN CHG ADJUSTMENTS-BUSINESS
- 50505100 SVC CONN CHG INWARD-BUSINESS
- 50505300 SVC CONN CHG MISCELLANEOUS-BUSINESS
- 50506000 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUSINESS
- 50506001 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-SUNCOM
- 50506002 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-PACKAGE
- 50506003 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-PATS
- 50506004 SUBS STAT REV REMOTE CALL FORWARD-LOCAL
- 50506005 SUBS STAT REV REMOTE CALL FORWARD-LDIS
- 50506020 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-ABC
- 50506021 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-ABC-SMOR
- 50506022 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-ACD
- 50506023 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-SYSTEM-MGR
- 50506040 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-CLASS
- 50506041 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-COT US
- 50506050 SUBS STAT REV -ADV INTELLITGE T NTWK-RES
- 50506060 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-ISON
- 50506071 USAGE SENSITIVE CCF - BUS
- 50506100 SUBS STAT REV U-TOUCH LINE CHG-BUSINESS
- 50506200 SUBS STAT REV ENHANCED SERVICES-BUSINESS
- 50506300 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-SWORLI
- 50506306 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-FLEXLINK
- 50611200 END USER REVENUE-PLAT RATE-RES-INTERSTATE
- 50611201 EUCL LIFELINE SUBSCRIBER LINE CHARGE WAIVER

0035


CONFIDENTIAL

United/Centel
Docket No. 950925-TP
MFS' Firm Set
Interrogatory No. 38
Page 3 of 9

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
REVENUES AND UNCOLLECTIBLES

UNDIANEIGL95UTF

13-Feb-96
06:45:36 AM



B

A

DENTIAL

- 50821300 END USER REVENUE-RLAT RATE-BUS-INTERSTATE
- 50821301 END USER REVENUE-RLAT RATE-BUS-INTERSTATE-SLOC
- 50821500 CCL UNIVERSAL SVC FUND REV US
- 50821600 CCL SPECIAL ACCESS SURCHG INTERSTATE
- 50821700 CCL INTERSTATE TRANSITIONAL SUPPORT
- 50821701 INTERSTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS RELAY SVC
- 50821800 CCL INTERSTATE BILL & KEEP
- 50821840 CCL REV RESERVE INTERST 91
- 50821850 CCL REV RESERVE INTERST 92
- 50821870 CCL REV RESERVE INTERST 94
- 50821981 CCL TURN AROUND
- 50822100 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC LOCAL TRANSP INTERSTATE
- 50822101 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC - LIDS
- 50822109 SWITCHED ACCESS LOCAL TRANSP INTERSTATE MPB
- 50822120 SWITCHED ACCESS LOCAL TRANSPORT REC SVC TERM SS7
- 50822121 SWITCHED ACCESS LOCAL TRANSPORT CHANNEL MILEAGE SS7
- 50822122 SWITCHED ACCESS LOCAL TRANS REC MULTIPLEXING SS7
- 50822123 SWITCHED ACCESS LOCAL TRANSPORT STP PORT SS7
- 50822125 SWITCHED ACCESS LOCAL TRANSPORT NONREC SVC TERM SS7
- 50822126 SWITCHED ACCESS INTER TRUNK CONVERSION SS7
- 50822127 SWITCHED ACCESS LOCAL TRANS NRC MULTIPLEXING SS7
- 50822300 END OFFICE LOCAL SWITCHING INTERSTATE
- 50822500 SWITCHED ACCESS - D.A. - INTERSTATE
- 50822570 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC OPERATOR TRANSFER INTERSTATE
- 50822580 SWITCHED ACCESS BUSY LINE VERIFY INTERSTATE
- 50822590 SWITCHED ACCESS VERIFICATION WITH INTERRUPT US
- 50822700 SWITCHED ACCESS MISC INTERSTATE
- 50822709 SWITCHED ACCESS MISC INTERSTATE MPB
- 50822800 INTERSTATE PRESUBSCRIPTION NONRECURRING CHG
- 50822801 US PRESUBSCRIPTION NRC UNAUTHORIZED
- 50822840 NET SWITCHED ACCESS-REV RES-INTERSTATE 91
- 50822850 NET SWITCHED ACCESS-REV RES-INTERSTATE 92
- 50822870 NET SWITCHED ACCESS-REV RES-INTERSTATE 94
- 50822981 SWITCHED ACCESS TURN-AROUND
- 50823000 SWITCHED ACCESS 800 QUERY CHGS SIMPLE INTERSTATE
- 50823100 SWITCHED ACCESS 800 QUERY CHGS COMPLEX INTERSTATE
- 50823400 NET SWITCHED ACCESS-BILL NAME & ADD SVC-INTER
- 50825000 SWITCHED ACCESS DED TRANS-VG-CHANNEL MILEAGE INTER
- 50825500 SWITCHED ACCESS ENTRANCE FAC VG-SVC TERM INTER
- 50826000 SWITCHED ACCESS DED TRANS-DS1-CHAN MILEAGE INTER
- 50826500 SWITCHED ACCESS ENTRANCE FAC DS1-SVC TERM INTER
- 50828900 SWITCHED ACCESS MUX-DS1 INTER
- 50827000 SWITCHED ACC DED TRANS-DS3-CHAN MIL INTER
- 50827400 SWITCHED ACC ENTRANCE FAC DS3-CHAN MIL INTER
- 50827500 SWITCHED ACC ENTRANCE FAC DS3-SVC TERM INTER
- 50827900 SWITCHED ACC MUX DS3 INTER
- 50829000 SWITCHED ACC TANDEM CHG INTER
- 50829100 SWITCHED ACC RIC INTER
- 50829200 SWITCHED ACC COMMON TRANSPORT INTER
- 50829300 SWITCHED ACC COMMON TRANSPORT TERM INTER
- 50833500 SPECIAL ACCESS SVC RECUR - FEA & FUNCT US
- 50833506 X-FER JOINT US SPEC
- 50833500 SPECIAL ACCESS SVC REC TRANSPORT US
- 50833509 X-FER JOINT US SPEC
- 50833700 SPECIAL ACCESS SVC REC SPEC ACCESS LN US
- 50833709 X-FER JOINT US SPEC
- 50833800 SPECIAL ACCESS SVC NONRECURRING US
- 50833940 NET SPECIAL ACCESS-FCC REV RES-INTERSTATE 91
- 50833950 NET SPECIAL ACCESS-FCC REV RES-INTERSTATE 92
- 50833970 NET SPECIAL ACCESS-FCC REV RES-INTERSTATE 94
- 50833981 SPECIAL ACCESS TURN-AROUND
- 50834200 MISC CARRIER REV RECURRING - INTERSTATE
- 50834300 MISC CARRIER REV NONRECURRING - INTERSTATE
- 50834500 SPEC ACC VIRTUAL COLLOCATION INTER DS1
- 50837500 SPEC ACC VIRTUAL COLLOCATION INTER DS3
- 50841200 END USER REVENUE-FLAT RATE-RES-INTRASTATE
- 50841201 EUCL LIFELINE SUBSCRIBER LINE CHARGE WAIVER
- 50841400 CARRIER COMMON LINE REVENUE-INTRASTATE
- 50841401 CARRIER COMMON LINE REVENUE-INTRASTATE INTRALATA

0036

CONFIDENTIAL

United/Cenac
Docket No. 950985-TP
MFS' First Set
Interrogatory No. 38
Page 4 of 9

Page 4

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
REVENUES AND UNCOLLECTIBLES

UNDIANEGL95UTF

13-Feb-96
06:45:38 AM

NYE '95
G/L

(N)

B

A

CONFIDENTIAL

- 50842100 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC LOCAL TRANSPORT INTRASTATE
- 50842101 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC LOCAL TRANSPORT INTRASTATE INTRALA
- 50842102 SWITCHED ACCESS INTERTOLL TRUNKING INTRALATA
- 50842104 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC LIDE
- 50842109 SWITCHED ACCESS LOCAL TRANSPORT INTRASTATE MPB
- 50842120 SWITCHED ACCESS LOCAL TRANS REC SVC TERM INT
- 50842121 SWITCHED ACCESS LOCAL TRANS CHANNEL MILEAGE INT
- 50842123 SWITCHED ACCESS LOCAL TRANS STP PORT INTRA-SS7
- 50842125 SWITCHED ACCESS LOCAL TRANS NRC SVC TERM INTRA-SS7
- 50842126 SWITCHED ACCESS INTRA TRUNK CONVERSION-SS7
- 50842200 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC END OFFICE LINE TERM INTRASTATE
- 50842201 SWITCHED ACCESS END OFFICE LINE TERM INTRALATA
- 50842300 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC END OFFICE LOCAL SWITCH INTRASTATE
- 50842301 SWITCHED ACCESS END OFFICE LOCAL SWITCH INTRALATA
- 50842302 SWITCHED ACCESS END OFFICE INTERTOLL SWITCH INTRALATA
- 50842570 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC OPERATOR TRANSFER INTRASTATE
- 50842580 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC BUSY LINE VERIFICATION INTRASTATE
- 50842590 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC VERIFICATION WITH INTERRUPT INTRA
- 50842700 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC BHMS INTRASTATE
- 50842706 SWITCHED ACCESS MISC INTRASTATE MPB
- 50842800 INTRASTATE PRESUBSCRIPTION NONRECURRING CHARGE
- 50843000 SWITCHED ACCESS 800 QUERY CHGS SIMPLE INTRASTATE
- 50843010 SWITCHED ACCESS 800 QUERY CHGS COMPLEX INTRASTATE
- 50843500 SPEC ACCESS REC - FEAT & FUNCT INTRA
- 50843600 MISC CARRIER REV RECURRING TRANSP INTRASTATE
- 50843606 X-FER JOINT S SPEC
- 50843700 SPEC ACCESS RECUR SPEC ACCESS INTRA
- 50843800 SPEC ACCESS REVENUE NONRECURRING INTRASTATE
- 50844200 MISC ACCESS REVENUE RECURRING INTRASTATE
- 50844300 MISC CARRIER REVENUE NONRECURRING INTRASTATE
- 50844303 MISC CARRIER REVENUE NONRECURRING END USER FGA
- 50847500 SWITCHED ACCESS ENTRANCE FAC DS3-SVC TERM INT
- 50847900 SWITCHED ACCESS MULTIPLEXING DS3 INTRA
- 51004101 MSG TOLL REV INTRASTATE INTRALATA UTF BILLED
- 51004103 MSG TOLL REV NON ATT/IC INTRA INTRA - CTL
- 51004201 MSG TOLL REVENUE DAKS INTRASTATE INTRALATA UTF
- 51004300 UNBILLABLE REV INTRASTATE INTRALATA
- 51113100 WATS REV 800 SVC MO CHGS INTRASTATE INTERLATA
- 51114200 WATS REVENUE 800 SVC MO CHGS INTRASTATE INTRALATA
- 51114210 OPP800 REV-USAGE-INTRASTATE INTRALATA-RES
- 51114211 OPP800 REV-USAGE-INTRASTATE INTRALATA-BUS
- 51114220 OPP REV MO CHG-INTRASTATE INTRALATA-RES
- 51114221 OPP REV MO CHG-INTRASTATE INTRALATA-BUS
- 51114300 WATS REV BILLED SVC CONN CHGS 800 SVC-INTRASTATE
- 51123100 WATS REVENUE OUTWARD MO CHGS INTRASTATE INTERLATA
- 51124100 MONTHLY CHGS OUTWD WATS INTRASTATE INTRALATA
- 51124201 WATS REVENUE BILLED USAGE CHGS OUTWARD INTRA INTRALATA
- 51124203 WATS REVENUE UWALS USAGE CHGS OUTWD INTRA INTRALATA
- 51124300 SVC CONN CHGS OUTWD INTRASTATE INTRALATA
- 51124500 OPTIONAL TOLL REVENUE BASIC INTRA INTRA
- 51124601 OPTIONAL TOLL REV BASIC RA/RA TELESaver
- 51124700 OPTIONAL TOLL CALLING OVERTIME RA RA
- 51124701 OPTIONAL TOLL REV OVERTIME RA RA TELESaver
- 51224000 PRIVATE NETWORK-VOICE-INTRASTATE/INTRALATA-FX
- 51244000 TOLL PRIVATE LINE-VIDEO-INTRASTATE INTRALATA
- 51283000 TPL OTHER INTRASTATE INTERLATA B&K
- 51283001 TPL OTHER INTRASTATE INTERLATA B&K LEASE
- 51284000 TPL OTHER INTRASTATE INTRALATA
- 51284001 TPL SVC CONN CHGS-INTRASTATE INTRALATA
- 51284100 TPL INTRASTATE-INTRALATA DIGILINK
- 51284200 TPL INTRASTATE-INTRALATA TRANSLINK
- 51283000 TPL SETTLEMENTS-OTHER-INTRASTATE-INTERLATA
- 51284000 TPL REVENUE SETTLEMENTS OTHER RA/RA
- 51604002 OTHER TOLL SVC REV-TPC EDIT ROMT-IXC 288
- 52303000 DIRECTORY ADVERTISING REVENUE - WHITE PAGES
- 52304200 DIRECTORY SALES REVENUE - INTRASTATE
- 52306100 BASE FEE & OTHER COMPENSATION-SPRINT PUB & ADV
- 52306300 LISTING FEE - AFFILIATE
- 52306400 LISTING FEE - NON-AFFILIATE

0037

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
REVENUES AND UNCOLLECTIBLES

UNDIANEGL95UTF

15-Feb-98
06:45:38 AM

YAE *PS
*GL
(14)

A

B

- 52401000 RENT REVENUE - OUTSIDE PLANT
- 52402000 RENT REVENUES - CO EQUIP & CIRCUITS
- 52403000 RENT REVENUES-LAND AND SPACE IN BLDGS
- 52404000 RENT REVENUES-OTHER
- 52407100 IXO LEASE-INTERSTATE TRANSMISSION FACILITIES-MSG
- 52407101 IXO LEASE-INTERSTATE TRANSMISSION FACILITIES-MSG OTH CARR
- 52407300 IXO LEASE-INTRASTATE TL-TRANSM FACILITIES-MSG
- 52407301 IXO LEASE-INTRASTATE INTRALATA TRANSM-MSG
- 52407302 IXO LEASE-INTRASTATE INTRALATA TRANSM-MSG OTH CARR
- 52409100 IXO LEASE-INTERSTATE TRANSM FACILITY SPEC ACCESS
- 52409101 TOLL PVT LN LEASED TRANSM-OTHER CARRIERS-INTERSTATE
- 52409300 IXO LEASE-INTRASTATE TL TRANSM FAC - SPEC ACCESS
- 52409301 TOLL P/L LEASED TRANSM-OTHER CARRIERS-INTRASTATE
- 52409500 MISC RENT REV VIRTUAL COLLOCATION-INTERSTATE
- 52502000 REVENUES FROM GENERAL SERVICES & LICENSES
- 52510000 SPEC BILLING REVENUE-ROF VOLUME RPT CHG
- 52521000 SPEC BILLING ARRANGEMENTS REVENUE
- 52522101 DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE CONTRACTUAL REVENUE-INTERSTATE
- 52522102 INTERSTATE OPERATOR SERVICES-UTLD
- 52522301 DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE CONTRACTUAL REVENUE-INTRASTATE
- 52522302 INTRASTATE OPERATOR SERVICES-UTLD
- 52527600 PAYSTATION COMMISSION REVENUE-NS INTERLATA CONTRACT
- 52527700 PAYSTATION COMMISSION REVENUE-ST INTERLATA CONTRACT
- 52641300 OTHER OPER REVENUES-CUST CHGS-INSUF FUNDS OK CHG
- 52641400 OTHER OPER REVENUES-CUST CHGS-LATE PAYMENT CHG
- 52641401 LATE PAY REV-INTERSTATE END USER ACCESS
- 52642300 OTHER OPER REVENUE-IXO LATE PAYMENT CHARGE
- 52644000 OTHER OPERATING REVENUES
- 52644001 OTHER OPERATING REVENUES-B&O FEE-SPRINT PUB & ADV
- 52644004 OTHER OPERATING REVENUES-COBRA
- 52644006 OTHER OPERATING REVENUES-UTLD ROYALTY FEE
- 52701600 INTRASTATE MSG RECORDING,EDIT,ASSEMBLY,RATING-ID
- 52702600 INTRASTATE MESSAGE-BILLED PROCESSING
- 52702601 INTRASTATE MESSAGE BILLED PROCESSING-INTRALATA
- 52702700 INTRASTATE BULK BILLED PROCESSING
- 52702800 INTRASTATE END USER ACCOUNT ESTAB OR CHANGE
- 52703600 INTRASTATE BILLING ANALYSIS SERVICES
- 52704700 INTRASTATE INQUIRY SUPPORT SERVICE-MTS
- 52704701 INTRASTATE INQUIRY SUPPORT SERVICE - DA
- 52705600 INTRASTATE PROGRAM DEV CHARGES-BASIC & PREMIUM
- 52705601 INTRASTATE PROG DEV CHGS-BASIC-PREM SVC CO BILLING
- 52705600 INTRASTATE BILLING & COLLECTION REVENUES-OTHER
- 52705601 INTRASTATE BILLING & COLLECTION - EQUAL ACCESS
- 52708000 SVC CHG-TOLLS PURCH FROM IXO CUST-INTERSTATE
- 52708001 SVC CHG-TOLLS PURCH FROM IXO CUST-INTRASTATE
- 53011100 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - LOCAL SERVICE
- 53012100 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTERSTATE END USER
- 53013100 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTERSTATE ACCESS
- 53013200 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTRASTATE ACCESS
- 53015100 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTRASTATE INTRALATA TOLL
- 53015101 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTRASTATE INTRA-PATS SURCH (OR
- 53015102 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - \$76 (OR)
- 53015200 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTRASTATE INTRALATA WATS
- 53015300 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTRASTATE INTRALATA PRIV LINE
- 53015400 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTRASTATE INTRALATA - DAK
- 53015600 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTRASTATE INTRALATA-UNBILLABLE
- 53017000 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE - OTHER
- 53018200 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - IXO BILLING SERVICES - INTRASTATE
- 53020000 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - OTHER

CONFIDENTIAL

0038

CONFIDENTIAL


Unsub/Genl
Docket No. 950925-7P
MFS' Firm: Sci
Interrogatory No. 38
Page 6 of 9

Page 1

CENTEL
REVENUES AND UNCOLLECTIBLES

UNDIANEIGL950LT

13-Feb-96
09:38:35 AM



A

81

CONFIDENTIAL

- 50011000 MONTHLY SERVICE CHARGES - TELEPHONE
- 50011100 SUBS STAT REV ADJUSTMENTS-RESIDENCE
- 50011200 SUBS STAT REV FLAT RATE-RESIDENCE
- 50011300 SUBS STAT REV MEASURED-RES-SMALLTALK
- 50011400 SUBS STAT REV EXTENSIONS-RESIDENCE
- 50013000 SUBS STAT REV RES-SM TALK-USG CHG
- 50013001 SUBS STAT REV RESIDENCE-ROF-USAGE-MINUT
- 50013010 SUBS STAT REV RESIDENCE-FX-SURROGATE USAGE
- 50013202 SUBS STAT REV RES-SM TALK-ALLOWANCE (DR)
- 50013503 SUBS STAT REV RES-LOCAL MESSAGE RATE PL
- 50014000 SERVICE STATION REVENUES
- 50015100 SUBS STAT REV ADJUSTMENTS-BUSINESS
- 50015200 SUBS STAT REV FLAT RATE-BUSINESS
- 50015206 SUBS STAT REV FLAT RATE - FRAME RELAY
- 50015210 CTL NONRECURRING - BUS - CELLULAR
- 50015220 SUBS STAT REV FLAT RATE-BUSINESS-ABC
- 50015221 SUBS STAT REV FLAT RATE-BUSINESS-ABC-SLOC
- 50015240 SUBS STAT REV FLAT BUS-LANLINK
- 50015241 SUBS STAT REV FLAT BUS-ERIB CHAN DAT SUR
- 50015250 SUBS STAT REV FLAT RATE BUS-ES11
- 50015300 SUBS STAT REV BUSINESS-FX-SURROGATE USAGE
- 50015310 CTR MEASURED SERVICE USAGE-BUS-CELLULAR
- 50015400 SUBS STAT REV EXTENSIONS-BUSINESS
- 50015600 SUBS STAT REV MISC NETWORK-BUSINESS
- 50015601 SUBS STAT REV MISC NETWORK-BUSINESS-SAE
- 50015610 SUBS STAT REV MISC NETWORK-BUSINESS
- 50015630 SUBS STAT REV MISC NETWORK-BUS-ABC
- 50015650 SUBS STAT REV MISC NETWORK-BUS-ES11
- 50015700 SUBS STAT REV KEY NETWORK-BUSINESS
- 50015800 SUBS STAT REV PEX & CENTREX CU NETWORK-B
- 50017000 BUSINESS-MEASURED RATED USAGE CHARGES
- 50017004 SUBS STAT REV BUSINESS-ROF-USAGE-MINUTE
- 50017005 SUBS STAT REV BUS-TALKING YELLOW PAGES-HR
- 50017009 SUBS STAT REV BUS-CMD/ROO-USAGE-MINUTES
- 50017010 SUBS STAT REV BUS-MEAS RATES USAGE CHG-FX
- 50017011 SUBS STAT REV BUS-CMD/ROO-USG MIN-INTROO
- 50017030 SUBS STAT REV BUS-SWCHLNK-USAGE-MIN
- 50017502 SUBS STAT REV BUS-LOCAL MESSAGE RATE PL
- 50018200 SUBS STAT REV MO SER CHG-SEMIPUB PAYPHO
- 50018205 SUBS STAT REV SEMIPUBLIC COIN
- 50018210 SUBS STAT REV MO SVC CHG-COCOT
- 50018213 SUBS STAT REV FLAT RATE-BUSINESS-PATS
- 50021156 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-RES-KGSL-JKYL
- 50021157 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-RES-FRPT-FTWB
- 50021158 SUBS STAT REV GADSDEN 5 FREE PLAN RES
- 50025156 SUBS STAT REV TOLL PAC-BUS-SPLK-KGSL-JKYL
- 50025158 SUBS STAT REV GADSDEN 5 FREE PLAN - BUS
- 50041000 SUBS STAT REV MONTHLY CHARGES-MOBILE
- 50041011 SUBS STAT REV MARINE-TPO EDIT ROMT-IXC 000
- 50042000 SUBS STAT REV MONTHLY CHARGES-PAGING
- 50101000 PUBLIC TELEPHONE REVENUES
- 50102000 SEMI-PUBLIC PAYSTATION REV-LOCAL COIN DROP
- 50104001 SUBS STAT REV MEAS-USAGE-BUS-PATS
- 50401000 LOCAL PRIV LN SVC REV-TELEPHONE
- 50402000 LOCAL PRIV LN SVC REV-TELETYPEWRITER
- 50403000 LOCAL PRIV LN SVC REV-TELEGRAPH
- 50404000 LOCAL PRIV LN SVC REV-TRANS-AUD
- 50405000 LOCAL PRIV LN SVC REV-OTHER
- 50406100 LOCAL PRIV LN SVC REV-DIGI-LNK
- 50406200 LOCAL PRIV LN SVC REV-TRANS-LNK
- 50406401 LOCAL PRIV LN SVC - PACKET SWITCHING FRAME
- 50501000 SUBS STAT REV PREMIUM INSTRS-RESIDENCE
- 50502000 SUBS STAT REV MISC EQUIPMENT-RESIDENCE
- 50505000 SUBS STAT REV PREMIUM INSTRS-BUSINESS
- 50506000 SUBS STAT REV MISC EQUIPMENT-BUSINESS
- 50506500 SUBS STAT REV KEY EQUIPMENT-BUSINESS
- 50601000 SVC CONN CHG ADJUSTMENTS-RESIDENCE
- 50601100 SVC CONN CHG INWARD-KEY-RESIDENCE
- 50601102 SVC CONN CHG INWARD-RES-LINK-UP DISCOIN

0039

CONFIDENTIAL

Unrec/Genl

Docks: No. 950925-TP

MFS: First Set

Interrogatory No. 36

Page 7 of 9

Page 2

CENEL

REVENUES AND UNCOLLECTIBLES

U:DIANEIGL95CLT

13-Feb-96
08:38:35 AM

Y/E 95
G/L

A

B

CONFIDENTIAL

- 50501103 SVC CONN CHG LINK-UP DISCOUNTS RECOVERE
- 50501300 SVC CONN CHG MISCELLANEOUS-RESIDENCE
- 50501302 SVC CONN CHG MISC-RES-LINK-UP DISCOUNTS
- 50501303 MISC SVC CON DISCOUNTS RECOVERED
- 50502000 OTHER LOCAL SERVICE REVENUE
- 50502001 OTHER LOC SVC REV - 576 INFO SVCS
- 50502004 OTHER NONRECURRING CHGS CTL - BUS
- 50502005 OTHER NONRECURRING CHGS CTL - RES
- 50502101 OTHER LOC SVC REV - LOC ASSIST-IXC 000
- 50502102 OTHER LOC SVC REV - LOC ASSIST-MSG RATE
- 50502201 OTHER LOC SVC REV - BUSY VERIFY LOC-IXC 000
- 50502301 OTHER LOC SVC REV - EMERG INTERPT-IXC 000
- 50503000 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-RESIDENCE
- 50503002 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-RES-PACKAGE
- 50503020 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-RES-ABC
- 50503040 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-RES-CLASS
- 50503041 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-RES-COT US
- 50503071 USAGE SENSITIVE CCF - RES
- 50503100 SUBS STAT REV U-TOUCH LINE CHG-RESIDENC
- 50504000 OTH LOC SVC R DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE CHG
- 50504001 OTH LOC SVC R DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE X00000
- 50505000 SVC CONN CHG ADJUSTMENTS-BUSINESS
- 50505100 SVC CONN CHG INWARD-BUSINESS
- 50505300 SVC CONN CHG MISCELLANEOUS-BUSINESS
- 50505000 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUSINESS
- 50505002 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-PACKAGE
- 50505003 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-PATS
- 50505020 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-ABC
- 50505040 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-CLASS
- 50505041 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-COT US
- 50506044 MONTHLY REC REV - BUS PK SW - CTL
- 50506050 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-USDN
- 50506071 USAGE SENSITIVE CCF - BUS
- 50506100 SUBS STAT REV U-TOUCH LINE CHG-BUSINESS
- 50506300 SUBS STAT REV CUSTOM CALLING-BUS-SWCHLJ
- 50811200 END USER REVENUE-PLAT RATE-RES-INTERSTATE
- 50811201 EUCL LIFELINE SUBSCRIBER LINE CHARGE WAIVER
- 50811300 END USER REVENUE-PLAT RATE-BUS-INTERSTATE
- 50811301 END USER REVENUE-PLAT RATE-BUS-INTERSTATE-SLCC
- 50821500 CCL UNIVERSAL SVC FUND REV IS
- 50821600 CCL SPECIAL ACCESS SURCHG INTERSTATE
- 50821700 CCL INTERSTATE TRANSITIONAL SUPPORT
- 50821701 INTERSTATE TELECOMMUNICATIONS RELAY SVC
- 50821800 CCL INTERSTATE BILL & KEEP
- 50821950 CCL REV RESERVE INTERST 92
- 50821970 CCL REV RESERVE INTERST 94
- 50821978 CCL REV RESERVE INTERST CENTEL 94
- 50821981 CCL TURN AROUND
- 50822100 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC LOCAL TRANSP-INTERSTATE
- 50822101 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC - LIDE
- 50822109 SWITCHED ACCESS LOCAL TRANSP INTERSTATE MPB
- 50822126 SWITCHED ACCESS INTER TRUNK CONVERSION 557
- 50822200 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC-END ORR-LN TERM-INTERST
- 50822300 END OFFICE LOCAL SWITCHING INTERSTATE
- 50822500 SWITCHED ACCESS - D.A. - INTERSTATE
- 50822570 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC OPERATOR TRANSFER INTERSTATE
- 50822580 SWITCHED ACCESS BUSY LINE VERIFY INTERSTATE
- 50822590 SWITCHED ACCESS VERIFICATION WITH INTERRUPT IS
- 50822700 SWITCHED ACCESS MISC INTERSTATE
- 50822800 INTERSTATE PRESUBSCRIPTION NONRECURRING CHG
- 50822801 IS PRESUBSCRIPTION NRC UNAUTHORIZED
- 50822950 NET SWITCHED ACCESS-REV RES-INTERSTATE 92
- 50822970 NET SWITCHED ACCESS-REV RES-INTERSTATE 94
- 50822978 NET SWITCHED ACCESS-REV RES-INTERSTATE CTL&
- 50822981 SWITCHED ACCESS TURN-AROUND
- 50823000 SWITCHED ACCESS 800 QUERY CHGS SIMPLE INTERSTATE
- 50823100 SWITCHED ACCESS 800 QUERY CHGS COMPLEX INTERSTATE
- 50823400 NET SWITCHED ACCESS-BILL NAME & ADD SVC-INTER
- 50825000 SWITCHED ACCESS DED TRAMS-VG-CHANNEL MILEAGE INTER

0040

CONFIDENTIAL

Unusd/Cenid:

Docket No. 950925-TP

MFS' First Set


Interrogatory No. 38

Part 8 of 9

CENTEL
REVENUES AND UNCOLLECTIBLES

UNDIANE1GL95CLT

15-Feb-96
08:38:35 AM



CONFIDENTIAL

- 50525500 SWITCHED ACCESS ENTRANCE FAC VG-SVC TERM INTER
- 50525600 SWITCHED ACCESS DED TRANS-DS1-CHAN MILEAGE INTER
- 50525650 SWITCHED ACCESS ENTRANCE FAC DS1-SVC TERM INTER
- 50525800 SWITCHED ACCESS MUX-DS1 INTER
- 50527000 SWITCHED ACC DED TRANS-DSS-CHAN MIL INTER
- 50527500 SWITCHED ACC ENTRANCE FAC DSS-SVC TERM INTER
- 50527800 SWITCHED ACC MUX DSS INTER
- 50529000 SWITCHED ACC TANDEM CHG INTER
- 50529100 SWITCHED ACC RIC INTER
- 50529200 SWITCHED ACC COMMON TRANSPORT INTER
- 50529300 SWITCHED ACC COMMON TRANSPORT TERM INTER
- 50533500 SPECIAL ACCESS SVC RECUR - FEAT & FUNCT US
- 50533800 SPECIAL ACCESS SVC REC TRANSPORT US
- 50533700 SPECIAL ACCESS SVC REC SPEC ACCESS LN US
- 50533800 SPECIAL ACCESS SVC NONRECURRING US
- 50533950 NET SPECIAL ACCESS-FCC REV RES-INTERSTATE 92
- 50533970 NET SPECIAL ACCESS-FCC REV RES-INTERSTATE 94
- 50533978 NET SPECIAL ACCESS-FCC REV RES-INTERSTATE CTL94
- 50533981 SPECIAL ACCESS TURN-AROUND
- 50534200 MISC CARRIER REV RECURRING - INTERSTATE
- 50534300 MISC CARRIER REV NONRECURRING - INTERSTATE
- 50541200 END USER REVENUE-FLAT RATE-RES-INTRASTATE
- 50541201 EUCL LIFELINE SUBSCRIBER LINE CHARGE WAIVER
- 50541400 CARRIER COMMON LINE REVENUE-INTRASTATE
- 50541401 CARRIER COMMON LINE REVENUE-INTRASTATE INTRALATA
- 50542100 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC LOCAL TRANSPORT INTRASTATE
- 50542101 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC LOCAL TRANSPORT INTRASTATE INTR
- 50542102 SWITCHED ACCESS INTERTOLL TRUNKING INTRALATA
- 50542104 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC UDS
- 50542200 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC END OFFICE LINE TERM INTRASTATE
- 50542201 SWITCHED ACCESS END OFFICE LINE TERM INTRALATA
- 50542300 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC END OFFICE LOCAL SWITCH INTRASTA
- 50542301 SWITCHED ACCESS END OFFICE LOCAL SWITCH INTRALATA
- 50542302 SWITCHED ACCESS END OFFICE INTERTOLL SWITCH INTRALAT
- 50542570 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC OPERATOR TRANSFER INTRASTATE
- 50542700 SWITCHED ACCESS SVC BHMS INTRASTATE
- 50542701 SWITCHED ACCESS BHMS INTRASTATE INTRALATA
- 50543000 SWITCHED ACCESS 800 QUERY CHGS SIMPLE INTRASTATE
- 50543010 SWITCHED ACCESS 800 QUERY CHGS COMPLEX INTRASTATE
- 50543200 NETWORK ACCEXX INTRAST INTRALATA-BASIC SIMPLE DA
- 50543500 SPEC ACCESS REC - FEAT & FUNCT INTRA
- 50543600 MISC CARRIER REV RECURRING TRANSP INTRASTATE
- 50543700 SPEC ACCESS RECUR SPEC ACCESS INTRA
- 50543800 SPEC ACCESS REVENUE NONRECURRING INTRASTATE
- 50544300 MISC CARRIER REVENUE NONRECURRING INTRASTATE
- 50549100 SWITCHED ACCESS RIC INTRA
- 51001101 MSG TOLL REV INTERSTATE UTF
- 51001110 MSG TOLL REV NON ATT/C INTERINTRA-CTL
- 51002100 CTL OTHER IXC CAR CASUAL BILL-BARTEC-INTERST
- 51002101 CTL MSG TOLL HINTERST 12/32/83
- 51003101 MSG TOLL REV INTRASTATE VL UTF
- 51004100 MSG TOLL REV BILLED-INTRASTATE INTRALATA
- 51004101 MSG TOLL REV INTRASTATE INTRALATA UTF BILLED
- 51004103 MSG TOLL REV NON ATT/C INTRAMINTRA - CTL
- 51004105 CTL MSG TOLL HINTERST AFTER 12/31/83
- 51004201 MSG TOLL REVENUE DAKS INTRASTATE INTRALATA UTF
- 51004300 UNBILLABLE REV INTRASTATE INTRALATA
- 51113100 WATS REV 800 SVC MO CHGS INTRASTATE INTERLATA
- 51113102 INWATS ACCESS LINES-INTRASTATE-CTL
- 51114200 WATS REVENUE 800 SVC MO CHGS INTRASTATE INTRALATA
- 51114205 INWATS NON-ATT/C INTRAMINTRA - CTL
- 51123100 WATS REVENUE OUTWARD MO CHGS INTRASTATE INTERLATA
- 51124100 MONTHLY CHGS OUTWD WATS INTRASTATE INTRALATA
- 51124201 WATS REVENUE BILLED USAGE CHGS OUTWARD INTRA INTRAL
- 51124300 SVC CONN CHGS OUTWD INTRASTATE INTRALATA
- 51124500 OPTIONAL TOLL REVENUE BASIC INTRA INTRA
- 51124700 OPTIONAL TOLL CALLING OVERTIME RA RA
- 51214001 TPL TELE-INTER 5-1 - CTL
- 51214002 TPL TELE-INTRA 5-1 - CTL

0041

CONFIDENTIAL

Unmtd/Cencl

Docket No. 950925-TP

NFS' Firm. Sc:

Interrogatory No. 38


Page 6 of 9

Page 4

CENCL
REVENUES AND UNCOLLECTIBLES

UNDIANEGL95CLT

13 Feb 96
08:32:35 AM



A

B

CONFIDENTIAL

- 51214000 TPL TELE-INTRA HI - CTL
- 51224000 PRIVATE NETWORK-VOICE-INTRASTATE-INTRALATA-FX
- 51242000 TOLL PRIVATE LINE-VIDEO-INTERSTATE INTRALATA
- 51253000 TPL OTHER INTRASTATE INTERLATA B&K
- 51254000 TPL OTHER INTRASTATE INTRALATA
- 51254501 TPL SVC CONN CHGS-INTRASTATE INTRALATA
- 51254100 TPL INTRASTATE-INTRALATA DIGILINK
- 51254200 TPL INTRASTATE-INTRALATA TRANSLINK
- 51253000 TPL SETTLEMENTS-OTHER-INTRASTATE-INTERLATA
- 51254000 TPL REVENUE SETTLEMENTS OTHER RA/RA
- 51604001 OTHER TOLL SVC REV-TPC EDIT RDMT-IXC 000
- 51604002 OTHER TOLL SVC REV-TPC EDIT RDMT-IXC 285
- 52301000 DIRECTORY ADVERTISING REVENUE - YELLOW PAGES
- 52303000 DIRECTORY ADVERTISING REVENUE - WHITE PAGES
- 52304100 DIRECTORY SALES REVENUE - INTERSTATE
- 52304200 DIRECTORY SALES REVENUE - INTRASTATE
- 52305100 BASE FEE & OTHER COMPENSATION-SPRINT PUB & ADV
- 52305110 BASE FEE & OTHER COMPENSATION-CENDON
- 52401000 RENT REVENUE - OUTSIDE PLANT
- 52403000 RENT REVENUES-LAND AND SPACE IN BLDGS
- 52404000 RENT REVENUES-OTHER
- 52405100 RENT REVENUE SWITCHED TRANSPORT INTERSTATE
- 52405300 RENT REVENUE SWITCHED TRANSPORT INTRAMTER
- 52405400 RENT REVENUE SWITCHED TRANSPORT INTRAMTRA
- 52502000 REVENUES FROM GENERAL SERVICES & LICENSES
- 52521000 SPEC BILLING ARRANGEMENTS REVENUE
- 52522101 DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE CONTRACTUAL REVENUE-INTERSTAT
- 52522301 DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE CONTRACTUAL REVENUE-INTRASTAT
- 52527600 PAYSTATION COMMISSION REVENUE-4S INTERLATA CONTRACT
- 52641300 OTHER OPER REVENUES-CUST CHGS-INSUF FUNDS OK CHG
- 52641400 OTHER OPER REVENUES-CUST CHGS-LATE PAYMENT CHG
- 52642300 OTHER OPER REVENUE-IXC LATE PAYMENT CHARGE
- 52644000 OTHER OPERATING REVENUES
- 52644001 OTHER OPERATING REVENUES-B&C FEE-SPRINT PUB & ADV
- 52701600 INTRASTATE MSG RECORDING,EDIT,ASSEMBLY,RATING-ND
- 52701601 INTRASTATE MSG RECORD,EDIT,ASSEMBLY,RATING-INTRALATA
- 52702600 INTRASTATE MESSAGE-BILLED PROCESSING
- 52702601 INTRASTATE MESSAGE BILLED PROCESSING-INTRALATA
- 52705600 INTRASTATE PROGRAM DEV CHARGES-BASIC & PREMIUM
- 52705601 INTRASTATE PROG DEV CHGS-BASIC-PREM SVC CO BILLING
- 52705601 INTRASTATE BILLING & COLLECTION - EQUAL ACCESS
- 52705000 SVC CHG-TOLLS PURCH FROM ICX CUST-INTERSTATE
- 52705001 B&C CTL CALLING CARD-INTRAST RECL CTL
- 52705002 B&C CTL MISC INTRAMTRA
- 52706004 BILL & COLL-MISC-INTRAMTRA-ONTL
- 52705005 SVC CHG-TOLLS PURCH FROM ICX CUST-INTRASTATE
- 53011100 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - LOCAL SERVICE
- 53011101 UNCOLLECTIBLE OPER REV WRITE OFFS DEBIT - CTL
- 53011102 UNCOLLECTIBLE OPER REV RECOVERIES CREDIT - CTL
- 53012100 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTERSTATE END USER
- 53012101 UNCOLLECTIBLE REV INTERSTATE END USER
- 53012102 UNCOLLECTIBLE INTEREST SUB LN CHG REC CTL
- 53013100 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTERSTATE ACCESS
- 53013200 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTRASTATE ACCESS
- 53015100 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTRASTATE INTRALATA TOLL
- 53015101 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTRASTATE INTRA-PATS SURCH
- 53015102 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - 576 (CR)
- 53015200 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTRASTATE INTRALATA WATS
- 53015300 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTRASTATE INTRALATA PRIV LIN
- 53015400 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTRASTATE INTRALATA - DAK
- 53015500 UNCOLLECTIBLE REVENUES - INTRASTATE INTRALATA-UNBILL

0042

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
MPS' FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 47
PAGE 1 OF 1

47. Please list by rate element all access (interconnection) charges that an LMEC would incur (per minute) in terminating a local exchange call to Sprint-United/Centel under Sprint-United/Centel's proposal and, for each such element:
- a. Set forth the amount, if any, of contribution included in the charge; and
 - b. Please list, separately for residential and business customers, Sprint-United/Centel's charge to its own end users to terminate a local exchange call.

Objection: In addition to the general objections stated above, the Companies object to part (a) of this question on grounds that it calls for information that the Companies believe is proprietary confidential business information. Without waiving this objection, the Companies will provide an answer to MPS pursuant to a mutually acceptable Non-Disclosure Agreement executed between the MPS and the Companies.

Answer: Please see the CONFIDENTIAL attachment to this answer.

0043

CONFIDENTIAL
Unred/Centel
Docket No. 950925-TP
MFS' Firm Set
Interrogatory No. 47
Page 1 of 1

CONFIDENTIAL

Docket 950985
MFS First Set of Interrogatories

47. Please list by rate element all access (interconnection) charges that an ALBC would incur (per minute) in terminating a local exchange call to Sprint-United/Centel under Sprint-United/Centel's proposal and, for each such element:

a) Set forth the amount, if any, of contribution included in the charge; and

Following are the rates and costs associated with the access charges an ALBC would incur in terminating a local exchange call to Sprint-United/Centel.

TANDEM

TRANSPORT

RATE ELEMENTS

A
RATE

B
COST

- 1 DS1 Local Channel - Entrance Facility
- 2 Switched Common Transport per minute of use per mile
- 3 Facilities Termination per MOU
- 4 Tandem Switching
- 5 Local Switching
- 6 Line Termination

END OFFICE

TRANSPORT

RATE ELEMENTS

- 7 DS1 Local Channel - Entrance Facility
- 8 Local Switching
- 9 Line Termination

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
MFS' FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 55
PAGE 1 OF 1

55. What arrangement does Sprint-United/Centel have with other Florida LBOs or ALBOs local exchange carriers with regard to the provision of directory assistance services? Please provide a copy of such contract or a written summary if the arrangement is oral.

Objection: In addition to the general objections set forth above, which are incorporated herein by reference, the Companies object to this interrogatory on grounds that it is presented as a request for production of documents, not an interrogatory, and cannot be answered under oath as required by Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.340. The Companies object to the portion calling for the "arrangements" on grounds that it calls for information that the Companies believe is proprietary confidential business information. Without waiving this objection, the Companies will provide the answer to MFS pursuant to a mutually acceptable Non-Disclosure Agreement executed between the MFS and the Companies.

Answer: Without waiving its objection, the Companies provide the following answer: Sprint-United/Centel provide directory assistance services to several other LBOs under contract. See attached CONFIDENTIAL contract.

0045

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENDEL
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
MFS' FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 55

SPRINT-UNITED/CENDEL'S
CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE
TO
INTERROGATORY NO. 55
(35 PAGES)

0046

SUPPLEMENT NO. 5
TO
ANNEX 116

SECONDARY DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE
REVISED EXHIBIT B
INTERSTATE

CONFIDENTIAL

Effective July 1, 1992

Attached to, and made a part of, Annex 116, SECONDARY DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE, formerly Annex XVII, effective July 1, 1985, between CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA and BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., formerly Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company.

1 I. SERVING ARRANGEMENT

2
3
4
5
6

7 II.

8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15 Executed this 30th day of September, 1993.

Witness:

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

[Signature]

By C. Dean Brock
Vice President and
General Manager

Witness:

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

[Signature]

By W.C. Smith
Assistant Vice President

SECONDARY DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE

This Annex, effective July 1, 1985 between the SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY, a corporation under the laws of the State of Georgia, hereinafter called the Bell Company, or Primary Provider, and CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA, a corporation under the laws of the State of Florida, hereinafter called the Independent Company, or Secondary Provider, sets forth the basic provisions under which the Primary Directory Assistance (DA) Bureau will switch toll DA calls to the Secondary DA Bureau for handling as identified in the Exhibit(s) attached to and made a part of this Annex.

I.

5 II.

10 III.

15 IV.

20

25

29

1 V.
5
VI.
10
12

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this Annex to be signed by their duly authorized officers this 7th day of AUGUST, 1986.

Witness:

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

Barbara W. Grady

By

[Signature]
Vice President

Witness:

SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY

[Signature]

By

[Signature]
Assistant Vice President

SUPPLEMENT NO. 3
TO
ANNEX XVII

SECONDARY DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE
REVISED EXHIBIT A
INTRASTATE

CONFIDENTIAL

Effective April 1, 1981

1 I.

5

11.

10

14
15
16

Executed this 16th day of June, 1989.

Witness:

[Signature]

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

By [Signature]
Vice President-Administration

Witness:

[Signature]

SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND
TELEGRAPH COMPANY

By [Signature]
Assistant Vice President

SUPPLEMENT NO. 6
TO
ANNEX 116

CONFIDENTIAL

SECONDARY DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE
REVISED EXHIBIT B
INTERSTATE

Effective July 1, 1992

Attached to, and made a part of, Annex 116, SECONDARY DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE, formerly Annex XVII, effective July 1, 1985, between UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA and BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., formerly Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company.

1 I.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 II.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Executed this 12th day of September, 1994.

Witness:

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

Dave O. Burdick

By Greg V. Laad

Director - Sales/Marketing
Vice President - Carrier & Enhanced
Service Markets

Witness:

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Anthony Bennett

By W.C. [Signature]

Assistant Vice President

0051

CONFIDENTIAL

ANNEX XVII

SECONDARY DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE

This Annex, effective July 1, 1985 between the SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY, a corporation under the laws of the State of Georgia, hereinafter called the Bell Company, or Primary Provider, and UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA, a corporation under the laws of the State of Florida, hereinafter called the United Company, or Secondary Provider, sets forth the basic provisions under which the Primary Directory Assistance (DA) Bureau will switch toll DA calls to the Secondary DA Bureau for handling as identified in the Exhibit(s) attached to and made a part of this Annex.

1 I.

2

3

4

5 II.

6

7

8

0052

CONFIDENTIAL

III.

5

IV.

10

15

20

21 V.

22

23

CONFIDENTIAL

1

2

3 VI.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this Annex to be signed by their duly authorized officers this 24th day of April, 1986.

WITNESS:

[Signature]

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

[Signature]
By: Bruce H. Reynolds

Title: Vice President
Administration

FORM
LB
APPROVED

WITNESS:

[Signature]

SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE & TELEGRAPH COMPANY

[Signature]
By:

Title: Assistant Vice President

OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

CONFIDENTIAL

United Telephone of Florida

Box 165000
Altamonte Springs, Florida 32716-5000

March 12, 1993

Mr. Grady R. Adair MC FLTC0616
Regional Manager - Industry Affairs
GTE Florida, Incorporated
P. O. Box 110
Tampa Florida 33601-0110

ORIGINAL RETURNED TO
UNITED TELEPHONE CO.

Dear Grady:


This letter is to confirm the agreement we reached on settling interstate DA for the period of July 1, 1992 through June 30, 1994. We agreed to settle as follows:


- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14


Please sign and date below to indicate your concurrence in this agreement.

If you have any questions, please call me at (407) 889-6143.

Sincerely,


James M. Smith
Industry Relations Administrator

CONCURRENCE 
Grady R. Adair

APPROVED 
Beverly Y. Menard

0055 DATE March 16, 1993



GTE Florida
Incorporated

One Tampa City Center
Post Office Box 110
Tampa, Florida 33601-0110

Low

August 5, 1994

CONFIDENTIAL

*CC: [unclear] [unclear]
[unclear]
[unclear]
[unclear]*

Mr. Jack Burge
Account Executive
United Telephone-Florida
Box 165000
Altamonte Springs, FL 32716-5000

Dear Jack,

Enclosed for your files is a copy of the signed Agreement for Secondary Directory Assistance Service and signed Exhibits A.1 - Intrastate FNPA, A.2 - Intrastate HNPA, and Exhibit B - Interstate. A copy is provided as only one set of originals, signed by United's representatives, was returned for execution.

If any questions, give me a call at 813-224-6396.

Sincerely,

Grady R. Adair
State Manager - Industry Affairs

GRA:wjh
Enclosures

UNITED TELEPHONE OF FLORIDA

AND

GTE FLORIDA, INCORPORATED

CONFIDENTIAL

SECONDARY DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE AGREEMENT

This Agreement, effective July 1, 1994, between GTE FLORIDA, INCORPORATED, a corporation under the laws of the State of Florida, hereinafter called "General", or "Primary Provider", and United Telephone of Florida, a corporation under the laws of the State of Florida, hereinafter called "United", or "Secondary Provider", sets forth the basic provisions under which the Primary Provider's Directory Assistance (DA) Bureau will switch toll DA calls to the Secondary Provider's DA Bureau for handling as identified in the Exhibits attached to and made a part of this Agreement. This Agreement replaces in its entirety any existing Secondary Directory Assistance Agreement previously executed by United and General.

1 I.
2
3
4
5 II.
6
7
8

0057 April 5, 1994
11

CONFIDENTIAL

1 III.

2

3

4

5

6

7 IV.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

April 5, 1994

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6

- 7 v.
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12

- 13
- 14
- 15

CONFIDENTIAL

The Parties have executed this Agreement through their authorized representatives on the dates set forth below their signatures.

WITNESS:

UNITED TELEPHONE OF FLORIDA

Donna A. B... ..

Greg V.

By:

Title:

Date: 7-14-94

WITNESS:

GTE FLORIDA, INC.

Terrie

Donald W. McLeod

By: Donald W. McLeod

Title: Vice President-External Affairs

Date: 7-25-94

APPROVED
SP
LAW DET.

0060 April 5, 1994

EXHIBIT A.1
SECONDARY DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

INTRASTATE FNPA
Effective July 1, 1994

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

I.

8
9
10

II.

11 The Parties have executed this Exhibit A.1. through their authorized
12 representatives on the dates set forth below their signatures.

WITNESS:

David A. Brewster

UNITED TELEPHONE OF FLORIDA

Ray V. Leod

By:
Title:

Date: 7-14-94

WITNESS:

Terrie Gileo

GTE FLORIDA, INC.

Donald W. McLeod

By: Donald W. McLeod
Title: Vice President-External Affairs

Date: 7-29-94

APPROVED

LC
LAW DEPT.

April 5, 1994

EXHIBIT A.2
SECONDARY DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE

INTRASTATE HNPA

CONFIDENTIAL

Effective July 1, 1994

1 1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 II.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

CONFIDENTIAL

The Parties have executed this Exhibit A.2 through their authorized representatives on the dates set forth below their signatures.

WITNESS:

UNITED TELEPHONE OF FLORIDA

Doris A. [Signature]

[Signature]

By:
Title:

Date: 7-14-94

WITNESS:

GTE FLORIDA, INC.

Jerrisa [Signature]

[Signature]

By: Donald W. McLeod
Title: Vice President - External Affairs

Date: 7-29-94

APPROVED

[Signature]
LAW DEPT.

CONFIDENTIAL

EXHIBIT B
SECONDARY DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE

INTERSTATE
Effective July 1, 1994

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

I.

II.

11 The Parties have executed this Exhibit B through their authorized
12 representatives on the dates set forth below their signatures.

WITNESS:


Dean A. Brinkman

UNITED TELEPHONE OF FLORIDA

George V. Head

By:
Title:

Date: 7-14-94



WITNESS:

Terrie A. Niles

GTE FLORIDA, INC.

Donald W. McLeod

By: Donald W. McLeod
Title: Vice President-External Affairs

Date: 7-29-94

APPROVED

50
LAW DEPT.

April 5, 1994

CONFIDENTIAL

TOLL CENTER SERVICES AGREEMENT

This Toll Center Services Agreement (Agreement) effective as of November 8, 1985, between Florida Telephone Company, an Alabama Corporation, herein after called Florida, and Central Telephone Company of Florida, a Florida Corporation, herein called Centel.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CONFIDENTIAL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

.

.

.

15

.

.

.

.

.

.

20

.

.

.

.

.

25

.

.

.

.

.

30

.

.

2

0066

20

CONFIDENTIAL

In Witness Whereof, the said companies have caused this Agreement to be executed in their behalf this 25 day of Nov. 1995.

Witness:

William B. Stevens

Florida Telephone Company

By

[Signature]
(Title)
President

Witness:

Robert A. [Signature]
J OO

Central Telephone Company of Florida

By

[Signature]
Vice President

CONFIDENTIAL

SCHEDULE A

| | | <u>A</u> <u>interstate</u> | <u>B</u> <u>intrastate</u> |
|----|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | Operator Services | | |
| 2 | Directory Assistance, per message | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |
| 3 | Accounting Services | | |
| 4 | Recording, per message | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |
| 5 | Assembly & Editing, per message | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |
| 6 | Message Data Transmission | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |
| 7 | Floor & Duct Space and Power | | |
| 8 | 28 Square feet, per foot - [REDACTED] | | |
| 9 | 31 Kilowatts, per hour - [REDACTED] | | |
| 10 | Maintenance & Testing | | |
| 11 | per occasion, 1st half hour - [REDACTED] | | |

(C)

CONFIDENTIAL
CENTEL


November 12, 1985

Mr. Ferrin Seay
Assistant General Manager
The Florida Telephone Company, Inc.
Post Office Box 186
Florida, Alabama 36442-0186

Dear Mr. Seay:

Enclosed is the signed Toll Center Services Agreement between Florida Telephone Company, Inc. and Centel of Florida.

Very truly yours,



Sam E. Wahlen
General Regulatory Manager

SEW:HWM:db

Enclosure

0069

SCHEDULE B

CONFIDENTIAL

Effective November 1, 1987

Attached to and made part of TOLL CENTER SERVICES AGREEMENT between Florida Telephone Company and Central Telephone Company of Florida.

The following compensation amounts shall apply for the provision of Joint Access Service to Interexchange Carriers.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

Executed this 12th day of November, 19 87

Witness:

Florida Telephone Company

Tom G. Dineen

By

[Signature]
(Title) President

Witness:

Central Telephone Company of Florida

Debra E. Dean

By

[Signature]
Vice President

CONFIDENTIAL

TOLL CENTER SERVICES AGREEMENT

This Toll Center Services Agreement ("Agreement"), effective as of August 4, 1992, between Quincy Telephone Company/TDS, a Florida corporation, hereinafter called Quincy, and Central Telephone Company of Florida, a Florida corporation, hereinafter called Central Telephone/Florida.

2.9 ←
6/18-92
4/25/92

1 :
:
:
5 :
:
:
10 :
:
15 :
:
20 :
:
25 :
:
30 :
:
0

CONFIDENTIAL

1
.
.
5
.
.
10
.
.
15
.
.
20
.
.
25
.
.
30
.
.
35
.
.
40
.
.
45
46

CONFIDENTIAL

1
.
.
5
.
.
10
.
.
15
.
.
20
.
.
25
.
.
30
.
.
35
.
.
39

CONFIDENTIAL

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said companies have caused this Agreement to be executed in their behalf the day and year first above written.

WITNESSES:

QUINCY TELEPHONE COMPANY/TDS

Frank Parker

BY: Donald V. Grayson

Arthur Lewis Mendenhall

ITS: Vice President & General Manager

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

Hubert David

BY: John C. ...

Shelby G. Baker

ITS: PRESIDENT

SCHEDULE A

CONFIDENTIAL

- 1) OPERATOR ASSISTANCE, LOCAL & TOLL
G-, G+ (Handled by a live operator), Busy Verify,
Interrupt, per call
- 2) LIDB Validation
Per query
- 3) AUTOMATED CALLS
G+ (Credit Card)
- 4) ACCOUNTING SERVICES
Recording, Rating, Message Processing
- 5) FACILITY TRANSPORT

A
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

=== Applicable Tariff Rate, as approved by the Florida Public Service Commission or the Federal Communications Commission.

0075

TOLL CENTER SERVICES AGREEMENT

CONFIDENTIAL

This Toll Center Services Agreement ("Agreement"), effective as of November 5, 1989, between Gulf Telephone Company, a Florida corporation, hereinafter called Gulf, and Central Telephone Company of Florida, a Florida corporation, hereinafter called Central Telephone-Florida.

1
.
.
5
.
.
10
.
.
15
.
.
20
.
.
25
.
.
30
.
33

Agreement - Continued
Page 2

CONFIDENTIAL

1
:
:
5
:
:
10
:
:
15
:
:
20
:
:
24
:
:
30
:
:
34

CONFIDENTIAL

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
005

WITNESSES:

Constance B. Howard
Lillie M. Lend

GULF TELEPHONE COMPANY

By: J. H. Paul
Its: Vice President

(Corporate Seal)

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF
FLORIDA

By: A. D. [Signature]
Its: Vice President

(Corporate Seal)

Barbara Seader
Barbara Drew

0078

CONFIDENTIAL

REVISED SCHEDULE A

Effective October 1, 1992

A

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|----|------------|
| 1 | 1) Directory Assistance, per call | 1 | \$ | [REDACTED] |
| 2 | 2) Operator Assistance O-, O+, Busy Verify, Interrupt, TDD After hours, per call | 2 | \$ | [REDACTED] |
| 3 | 3) Recording and Rating of DA, Operator Assist, Busy Verify and Interrupt Messages Recording, per message | 3 | \$ | [REDACTED] |
| 4 | Message Detail, per tape (\$) (one time charge) | 4 | \$ | [REDACTED] |
| 5 | Message processing, per message | 5 | \$ | [REDACTED] |

0079

CONFIDENTIAL

A

SCHEDULE A

- 1) Directory Assistance, per call
- 2) Operator Assistance
D-, D-, Busy Verify, Interrupt, per call
- 3) Recording and Retention of DA, Operator Assist,
Busy Verify and Interrupt Messages
 - Recording, per message
 - Message Detail, per tape (9)
(one time charge)
 - Message processing, per message

1 [REDACTED]
2 [REDACTED]
3 [REDACTED]
4 [REDACTED]
5 [REDACTED]

0080

older: INBOX
title: SNK Today
author: Valerie Moore

Attachments: 1

Selection: 1 of 1

10/10/95
DLB

classified as "non-repairable." These should be sent to the Eustis Warehouse and disposed of according to company policy. Since these ladders are unsafe, they will not be sold to company employees or other individuals.

Ladder maintenance program packages have been forwarded to all affected employees. If you have not received a package, please send a request via mail to Louenna Cronity (CROMITVL), 904/326-1665 (Net 44).

NEW MAIL CODE REMINDER

Printing Services' new mail code is 4000 and the telephone number is 7/830-3085 (Net 42). All typesetting, printing and duplicating requests and queries should be directed to this location.

Please send information for the Today newsletter to Valerie Moore, at MOOREV ORSIS or mail code 5292.

----- BOTTOM -----

Press RETURN to continue, GOLD MENU for options or EXIT to cancel

0081

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950985-72
MFS' FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 57
PAGE 1 OF 1

57. Please provide copies of any studies that Sprint-United/Centel has that address the issue of terminating traffic flow between Sprint-United/Centel and potential ALBCs or between other incumbent LDCs and new entrants to switched local exchange markets.

Objection: In addition to the general objections stated above, the Companies object to this question on grounds that it is presented as a request for production of documents, not an interrogatory, and cannot be answered under oath as required by Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.940. In addition, it calls for information that the Companies believe is proprietary confidential business information. Without waiving these objection, the Companies will provide the requested information to MFS pursuant to a mutually acceptable Non-Disclosure Agreement executed between the MFS and the Companies.

Answer: See the CONFIDENTIAL attachment to this answer.

INCLUDED IN STUDY: APTK, ALSP, AVPK, DVHL, CSLD, CPHZ, DDCY, GURD, LKDY, MTLU, ORCY, OCAL, SHRD, WHPK, WKSIA

| CONNECTING COMPANY DIRECTIONALIZED EAS STUDY RESULTS | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|----------|---|-------|---------------|
| SPRINT/UNITED TELEPHONE-FLORIDA | | | | | | | | |
| MINUTES OF USE | | | | | | | | |
| | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| | 9/25-10/1 | 10/2-8/95 | 10/9-15/95 | 10/16-22/9 | 10/23/96 | | TOTAL | % Per Company |
| TOTAL ORIG to ALLTEL* | 1 | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL ORIG to LKDN | 2 | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL ORIG to GTE | 3 | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL ORIG to BELL* | 4 | | | | | | | |
| | 5 | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL ORIG | 6 | | | | | | | |
| % ORIGINATING | 7 | | | | | | | |
| | 8 | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL TERM from ALLTEL* | 9 | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL TERM from LKDN | 10 | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL TERM from GTE | 11 | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL TERM from BELL* | 12 | | | | | | | |
| | 13 | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL TERM | 14 | | | | | | | |
| % TERMINATING | 15 | | | | | | | |
| | 16 | | | | | | | |
| LEC-TO-LEC TRAFFIC: | 17 | | | | | | | |
| BELL TO BELL | 18 | | | | | | | |
| BELL TO GTE | 19 | | | | | | | |
| GTE TO BELL | 20 | | | | | | | |
| GTE TO GTE | 21 | | | | | | | |
| ALLTEL TO ALLTEL | 22 | | | | | | | |
| LKDN TO LKDN | 23 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL LEC-TO-LEC | 24 | | | | | | | |

00 83

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL
 Unad/Cenel
 Docket No. 950923-TP
 MTS: First Set
 Interparty No. 57
 Page 1 of 1

* ALLTEL INCLUDES OCALA EAS FOR BELL THROUGH 10/13/95;
 NO DATA IS AVAILABLE FOR CAPE HAZE 10/23-29/95; SHADY ROAD 10/20-29/95; WEST KISSIMMEE WINTER PARK 10/27-29/95; ORANGE CITY
 9/25-10/9/95
 INCLUDES MEASURED RATE

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950985-T2
MFS' FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 67
PAGE 1 OF 1

67. Please provide any and all agreements between Sprint-United/Centel and other Florida LBOs regarding the exchange of local traffic. If no agreements are in writing, please state and describe, including a description of all terms and conditions, any oral or non-written agreement.

Objection: In addition to the general objections stated above, the Companies object to this question on grounds that it is presented as a request for production of documents, not an interrogatory, and cannot be answered under oath as required by Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.340. In addition, this question calls for information that the Companies believe is proprietary confidential business information. Without waiving these objections, the Companies will provide a response to MFS pursuant to a mutually acceptable Non-Disclosure Agreement executed between MFS and the Companies.

Answer: See objection and the CONFIDENTIAL attachment to this answer.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL
Unred/Ceniz:
Docket No. 950925-TP
MFS' First Set
Interrogatory No. 67a
Page 1 of 5

ANNEX 113
EXTENDED AREA SERVICE

THIS ANNEX, effective January 30, 1993, between CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA, a corporation under the laws of the State of Florida (herein called "Centel"), and BELLISOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., a corporation under the laws of the State of Georgia (herein called "BellSouth"), sets forth the basic provisions under which non-optional Extended Area Service will be furnished between exchanges of Centel and exchanges of BellSouth. This Annex cancels and replaces in its entirety any previously executed Annex V between the companies along with all Exhibits, Attachments or Supplements thereto.

1
.
.
5
.
.
10
.
.
15
.
.
20
.
.
25
.
.
30
.

CONFIDENTIAL

Unred/Ceniz:

Docket No. 950985-TP

MFS' First Set:

Interrogatory No. 67a

Page 2 of 5

CENTEL/BELLSOUTH

FLORIDA

ANNEX 113

01-30-93

Page 2 of 4

CONFIDENTIAL

1 III.
:
5
:
10 IV.
:
15
:
20
:
25
:
30 V.
:
35
:
40

0056

CONFIDENTIAL

Unsub/Centric

Docket No. 950925-TP

MFS Firm: Sei

Interrogatory No. 67a

Page 3 of 5

CENTEL/BELLSOUTH

FLORIDA

ANNEX 113

01-30-93

Page 3 of 4

CONFIDENTIAL

VI.

1
.
.
5
.
.
10
.
.
15
.
.
20
.
.
25
.
.
30
.
.
35
36

VII

0087

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

United/Central

Docket No. 950925-TP

MFS First Set

Interrogatory No. 67a

Page 4 of 5

CENTEL/BELLSOUTH

FLORIDA

ANNEX 113

01-30-93

Page 4 of 4

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Annex to be signed by duly authorized officers this 30th day of September, 19 93.

Witness:

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

[Signature]

By

C. D. DeBart
Vice President

Witness:

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

[Signature]

By

W. C. Sanft
Assistant Vice President

0088



ANNEX 113
EXHIBIT A
EXTENDED AREA SERVICE POINTS
Effective January 30, 1993

Attached to and made a part of ANNEX 113, EXTENDED AREA SERVICE, effective January 30, 1993, between CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA and BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC..

Points between which Extended Area Service shall be furnished under the terms of this annex are as follows:

Between Central Exchange

- *Alford
- *Bonifay
- *Bonifay
- *Bonifay
- *Cottondale
- *Cottondale
Pt. Walton
- *Grand Ridge
- *Greenwood
- *Malone
- *Marianna
- *Reynolds Hill
- *Sneads
- Starke
- Tallahassee
- *Westville
- *Westville

and BellSouth Exchange

- Graceville
- Chipley
- Graceville
- Vernon
- Chipley
- Graceville
- Holley - Navarre
- Graceville
- Graceville
- Graceville
- Graceville
- Graceville
- Graceville
- Keystone Heights
- Havana
- Graceville
- Vernon

1
CENTRAL
3
9
2
0
5

*-Message rate route

Executed this 30th day of September, 1993.

Witness:

Beverly Dyer

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

BY C. Dean Kutz
Vice President

Witness:

Debra L. Smith

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

BY W. L. Smith
Assistant Vice President

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL
United/Genie:
Docket No. 950925-TP
MFS' First Set
Interrogatory No. 67b
Page 1 of 6

ANNEX 113
EXTENDED AREA SERVICE

THIS ANNEX, effective August 24, 1991, between UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA, a corporation under the laws of the State of Florida (herein called "United"), and BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., a corporation under the laws of the State of Georgia (herein called "BellSouth"), sets forth the basic provisions under which non-optional Extended Area Service will be furnished between exchanges of United and exchanges of BellSouth. This Annex replaces in its entirety any previously executed Annex V between the companies along with all Exhibits, Attachments or Supplements thereto.

1
5
10
15
20
25
30
31

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL
Unsub/Genrel
Docket No. 950985-TP
MFS First Set
Interrogatory No. 67b
Page 2 of 6

UNITED/BELLSOUTH
FLORIDA
ANNEX 113
08-24-91
Page 2 of 4

1 III.

5

10 IV.

15

20

25

30 V.

35

40

0091

CONFIDENTIAL

United/Census

Docket No. 950925-TP

MFS' First Set

Interrogatory No. 67b

Page 3 of 6

UNITED/BELLSOUTH

FLORIDA

ANNEX 113

08-24-91

Page 3 of 4

CONFIDENTIAL

1 VI.

5

10

15

20

25

30

VII.

35
36

UNITED/BELLSOUTH
FLORIDA
ANNEX 113
08-24-91
Page 4 of 4

CONFIDENTIAL

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Annex to be signed by duly authorized officers this 1st day of October 19 93.

Witness:

FOR
LFK

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

Mary Ann Vent
APPROVED

BY

Louis Cannon

Director - Sales/Marketing
- Carrier & Enhanced Service Markets

Witness:

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Bonnie Bennett

BY

WC San A

Assistant Vice President

[REDACTED]

SUPPLEMENT NO. 5
TO
ANNEX 113
REVISED EXHIBIT A
EXTENDED AREA SERVICE POINTS

Effective October 17, 1992

Attached to and made a part of ANNEX 113, EXTENDED AREA SERVICE, effective August 24, 1991, between UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA and BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC..

This Supplement is issued to include routes between Brooksville and Dade City, San Antonio and Trilacoochee.

Points between which Extended Area Service shall be furnished under the terms of this annex are as follows:

| <u>Between United Exchange</u> | <u>and BellSouth Exchange</u> |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Apopka | East Orange |
| Apopka | Orlando |
| Bellevue | Dunnellon |
| *Beverly Hills | Dunnellon |
| Clermont | Orlando |
| Crystal River | Yankeetown |
| *Dade City | Brooksville |
| Forrest | Dunnellon |
| *Kissimmee | Orlando |
| Montverde | East Orange |
| Montverde | Orlando |
| Ocala | Dunnellon |
| Oklawaha | Dunnellon |
| Orange City | DeBary |
| Orange City | Deland |
| Orange City | DeLeon Springs |
| *Orange City | Sanford |
| Reedy Creek | East Orange |
| Reedy Creek | Orlando |
| Salt Springs | Dunnellon |
| *San Antonio | Brooksville |
| Silver Springs Shores | Dunnellon |
| *St. Cloud | Orlando |
| *Trilacoochee | Brooksville |
| *West Kissimmee | Orlando |
| *Williston | Archer |
| Williston | Bronson |
| *Williston | Gainesville |
| Windermere | East Orange |
| Windermere | Orlando |

*-Message rate route

CONFIDENTIAL

United/Centel

Docket No. 950925-TP

MFS' Firm. Se:

Interrogatory No. 67b

Page 6 of 6

UNITED/BELLSOUTH

FLORIDA

ANNEX 113

SUP. 5, EXH. A

10-17-92

Page 2 of 2



Between United
Exchange

Winter Garden
Winter Garden
Winter Park
Winter Park
Winter Park
Winter Park
Winter Park
Winter Park

and BellSouth
Exchange

East Orange
Orlando
East Orange
Geneva
Orlando
Oviedo
Sanford

Executed this 1st day of October, 19 93.

Witness:

Mary Lou Hunt

FORM
LEK
APPROVED

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

BY Louis Quinn
Director - Sales/Marketing
-Carrier & Enhanced Svc. Markets

Witness:

Bertha Bennett

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

BY W.C. Smith
Assistant Vice President

CONFIDENTIAL

EXTENDED AREA SERVICE AGREEMENT

Between

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

And

GENERAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

THIS AGREEMENT is effective as of October 11, 1984 between United Telephone Company of Florida, a corporation under the laws of the State of Florida, herein called "United", and GENERAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA, a corporation under the laws of the State of Florida, herein called "General".

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, each of the parties hereto owns and operates separate local telephone exchanges, and

WHEREAS, it is to the mutual benefit of the parties hereto and their subscribers that there be provided extended area service, as hereinafter defined, so that calls may be made between certain service areas by subscribers of one company to subscribers of the other without paying a specific telephone message toll charge.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants, terms and conditions herein contained, the parties hereto do hereby mutually covenant and agree as follows:

I.

II.

III.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL
Unsub/Cenid
Docket No. 950925-TP
MFS' First Set
Interrogatory No. 67c
Page 2 of 7

1 IV.

5 V.

10

15 VI.

20

25

30 VII.

35

40

CONFIDENTIAL

VIII. PREVIOUS AGREEMENTS.

IX.

X.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said companies have caused this Agreement to be executed in their behalf this 12th day of December, 1984.

WITNESS:

[Handwritten Signature]

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY
OF FLORIDA

By: *[Handwritten Signature]*
Vice President

WITNESS:

Beverly U. Menard

GENERAL TELEPHONE COMPANY
OF FLORIDA

By: *[Handwritten Signature]*
Vice President-Revenue Requirements



CONFIDENTIAL
United/Genel
Docket No. 950925-TP
MFS' First Set
Interrogatory No. 67c
Page 4 of 7

EXHIBIT A

TO

EXTENDED AREA SERVICE AGREEMENT

between UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

And

GENERAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

Attached to and made a part of this EAS Agreement effective October 11, 1984 between UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA, herein called "United" and GENERAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA, herein called "General".

The involved exchanges for purposes of this agreement are as follows:

EXCHANGES

UNITED

GENERAL

| | | |
|----------------|---|------------------------|
| Cape Ezze | - | Englewood |
| Dade City | - | Zephyrhills |
| * Ft. Meade | - | Bartow |
| Kissimmee | - | Haines City (427 only) |
| Port Charlotte | - | North Port |
| San Antonio | - | Zephyrhills |
| Trillacoochee | - | Zephyrhills |
| West Kissimmee | - | Haines City (427 only) |

* Effective August 1, 1986.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said companies have caused this Agreement to be executed in their behalf this 20th day of June, 1986.

WITNESS:

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

[Signature]

[Signature]
By: Bruce H. Reynolds

Title: Vice President - Administration

WITNESS:

GENERAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

[Signature]
CORPORATE SECRETARY

By: [Signature]
James R. Price
Vice President - Revenue Requirements

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL
Unred/Genrel
Docket No. 950925-TP
MFS' First Set
Interrogatory No. 67c
Page 5 of 7

EXHIBIT B

TO

EXTENDED AREA SERVICE AGREEMENT

Dated October 11, 1984

Between UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

And

GENERAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

Attached to and made a part of EAS Agreement effective October 11, 1984, between UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA, herein called "United", and GENERAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA, herein called "General",

WHEREAS, the parties hereto have made and entered into a certain Extended Area Service Agreement covering the furnishing of extended area service, and

WHEREAS, Paragraph VI of said Agreement provides that: "All matters concerned with the preparation and publication of directories, including solicitation, billing and collecting in connection with directory advertising, are contained in a separate agreement," and the parties hereto now desire to enter into such Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants, terms and conditions herein contained, the parties hereto do hereby mutually covenant and agree as follows:

1.

2.

1
5
10
15
16
17

0100
0100

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL
United/Ceniel
Docket No. 950985-TP
MFS' First Set
Interrogatory No. 67c
Page 6 of 7

1

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

0101

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the said companies have caused this Supplemental Agreement to be executed in duplicate in their behalf this 12th day of December, 1984.

WITNESS:

[Handwritten Signature]

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY
OF FLORIDA

By: *[Handwritten Signature]*
Vice President

WITNESS:

[Handwritten Signature]

GENERAL TELEPHONE COMPANY
OF FLORIDA

By: *[Handwritten Signature]*
Vice President-Revenue Requirements

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

AND

VISTA-UNITED TELECOMMUNICATIONS

EXTENDED AREA SERVICE

CONFIDENTIAL

ANNEX 2

This Annex 2, effective as of September 11, 1984 between UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA, a corporation under the laws of the State of Florida, herein called "United", and VISTA-UNITED TELECOMMUNICATIONS, a partnership under the laws of the State of Florida, herein called "Vista".

WITNESSETH:

Whereas, each of the parties hereto owns and operates separate local telephone exchanges, and

Whereas, it is to the mutual benefit of the parties hereto and their subscribers that there be provided extended area service, as hereinafter defined, so that calls may be made between certain service areas by subscribers of one company to subscribers of the other without paying a specific telephone message toll charge.

Now, therefore, in consideration of the mutual covenants, terms and conditions herein contained, the parties hereto do hereby mutually covenant and agree as follows:

I.

1
2
3
4
5
6

- 1
- 2
- 3 II.
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7 III.
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12 IV.
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 v.
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24

CONFIDENTIAL

VI. DIRECTORY SERVICES

5

VII.

10

15

VIII.

20

21

22

23

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL
United/Cenel
Docket No. 950925-TP
MFS' First Set
Interrogatory No. 67d
Page 4 of 6

IX. TERM OF AGREEMENT

X.

The parties have executed this Agreement through their authorized representatives on the dates set forth below their signatures.

WITNESS:

Bruce H. Reynolds

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY
OF FLORIDA

Bruce H. Reynolds
By: Bruce H. Reynolds

Title: Vice President
Administration

Date: JAN 27 1987

FORM
NO
APPROVED

WITNESS:

James W. Tyler

VISTA-UNITED
TELECOMMUNICATIONS

James W. Tyler
By: James W. Tyler

Title: General Manager

Date: March 7 1987

EXHIBIT A

TO

EXTENDED AREA SERVICE AGREEMENT

ANNEX 2



Effective September 11, 1984. Attached to and made a part of EAS Agreement effective September 11, 1984 between UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA, herein called "United" and VISTA-UNITED TELECOMMUNICATIONS, herein called "Vista".

The involved exchanges for purposes of this agreement are as follows:

EXCHANGES

UNITED

VISTA

| | | |
|---------------|---|------------------|
| Apopka | - | Lake Buena Vista |
| Montverde | - | Lake Buena Vista |
| Reedy Creek | - | Lake Buena Vista |
| Windermere | - | Lake Buena Vista |
| Winter Garden | - | Lake Buena Vista |
| Winter Park | - | Lake Buena Vista |

The parties have executed this Agreement through their authorized representatives on the dates set forth below their signatures.



WITNESS:

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY
OF FLORIDA

FORM
AS
APPROVED

[Handwritten Signature]

[Handwritten Signature]

By: Bruce H. Reynolds

Title: Vice President
Administration

Date: JAN 27 1987

WITNESS:

VISTA-UNITED
TELECOMMUNICATIONS

[Handwritten Signature]

[Handwritten Signature]

By: James W. Tyler

Title: General Manager

Date: March 2, 1987

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Resolution of Petition to)
Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,)
Terms, and Conditions for resale)
Involving Local Exchange)
Companies and Alternative Local)
Exchange Companies pursuant to)
Section 364.161, Florida Statutes)

DOCKET NO. 950964-TP

In re: Resolution of Petition to)
Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,)
Terms, and Conditions for Inter-)
connection Involving Local Exchange)
Companies and Alternative Local)
Exchange Companies pursuant to)
Section 364.162, Florida Statutes)

DOCKET NO. 950985-TP

CONFIDENTIAL VERSION

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA AND
UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA'S
ANSWERS TO MCIMETRO'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

United Telephone Company of Florida ("Sprint-United") and
Central Telephone Company of Florida ("Sprint-Centel")
(collectively "Sprint-United/Centel" or the "Companies"),
pursuant to Rule 25-22.034, Florida Administrative Code, Florida
Rule of Civil Procedure 1.340, hereby submit the following
Answers to MCImetro's First Set of Interrogatories to United
Telephone Company of Florida and Central Telephone Company of
Florida, served by hand delivery on February 8, 1996 ("MCImetro's
First Set").

Note

The interrogatories in MCImetro's First Set of Interrogatories in Docket Nos. 950984-TP and 950985-TP were identical. The Companies served and filed objections to each set via separate documents filed in each docket. Since the questions are the same in both dockets and the answers are the same in both dockets, the Companies are presenting their answers to the interrogatories in MCImetro's First Set in one document with both docket numbers.

Objections

All of the general and specific objections and the motion for protective order included in the Companies' Objections to MCImetro's First Set and Motion for Protective Order, which were filed and served on February 19, 1996 in both dockets, are hereby incorporated in these answers as though fully set forth herein. The Companies are responding to the portions of MCImetro's First Set to which they have no specific objection, and in so doing do not intend to waive any of the general or specific objections raised in their February 19, 1996 filings. For ease of reference, the Companies have repeated their specific objections in these answers.

Confidential Information

As noted in the Companies objections, certain of the answers to the interrogatories contained in MCImetro's First Set contain information the Companies believe to be proprietary confidential business information. These CONFIDENTIAL answers are being

provided to counsel for MCimetro in this document pursuant to the non-disclosure agreement between the Companies and MCimetro.

Preparation

The answers to these interrogatories were provided by F. San Poag. The verification required by the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure is included at the end of these answers.

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950984-TP
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
MCI'S FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 1
PAGE 1 OF 1

1. What is your most current estimate of the Total Service Long Run Incremental Cost (TSRILC) of providing local interconnection for termination of local traffic in Florida? If a TSRILC estimate is not available, please provide your current estimate based upon available incremental cost studies. If no estimate of the incremental cost of providing local interconnection for termination of local traffic is available, please provide your current estimate of the incremental cost of terminating switched access traffic in Florida.

Objection: In addition to the general objections stated above, the Companies object to this question on grounds that it calls for information that the Companies believe is proprietary confidential business information. Without waiving this objection, the Companies will provide the answer to MCImetro pursuant to a mutually acceptable Non-Disclosure Agreement executed between the MCImetro and the Companies.

Answer: Please see the confidential attachment to this answer.

STAFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NO. 1)
TO UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA AND
CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 950925-TP

CONFIDENTIAL

United/Central
Docket No. 950925-TP
MFS' First Set
Interrogatory No. 5
Page 1 of 1

1. Please provide the current long-run incremental cost of originating or terminating a call for local interconnection purposes on a per-minute basis.

CONFIDENTIAL

United/Central
Docket No. 950925-TP
McClintock's First Set
Interrogatory No. 1
Page 1 of 1

1 The current long-run incremental cost of originating or
2 terminating a call for local interconnection purposes on
3 a per-minute basis is as follows:

4 Interoffice - [REDACTED] MOU
5 Local Tandem - [REDACTED] MOU

6 Note: Assumes all intra-company traffic.

7 Interoffice assumes one class 5 office.

8 Local Tandem assumes two class 5 offices, one of which serves as a local tandem.

CONFIDENTIAL

0113

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950984-TP
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
MCI'S FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 2
PAGE 1 OF 1

2. What is your most current estimate of the Total Service Loop Run Incremental Cost (TSLMIC) of providing a local loop in Florida as part of the provision of Sprint-United/Centel's local exchange service? If the cost for residential loops is different than the cost for business loops, please provide both figures. If such information is available by loop length, loop density, or a combination of loop length and loop density, please provide such information on a disaggregated basis. If a TSLMIC estimate is not available, please provide your current estimate based upon available incremental cost studies.

Objection: In addition to the general objections stated above, the Companies object to this question on grounds that it calls for information that the Companies believe is proprietary confidential business information. Without waiving this objection, the Companies will provide the answer to MCImetro pursuant to a mutually acceptable Non-Disclosure Agreement executed between the MCImetro and the Companies.

Answer: Please see the confidential attachment to this answer.

DATE = 19-Sep-95
 TIME = 10:36 AM

1994 FLORIDA
 * WELDED FLAT RATE ECONOMICAL COSTS *
 SERVICE CLASS =BUS W/DROP, CAP COST
 FLAT RATE ANALYSES

| Line | (A) BAND DISTANCE (FT.) | (B) ECONOMICAL MONTHLY COST | (C) PROBABILITY WEIGHTINGS | (D=B+C) WELDED FL RATE MONTHLY |
|------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | 1,000 | | | |
| 2 | 2,000 | | | |
| 3 | 3,000 | | | |
| 4 | 4,000 | | | |
| 5 | 5,000 | | | |
| 6 | 6,000 | | | |
| 7 | 7,000 | | | |
| 8 | 8,000 | | | |
| 9 | 9,000 | | | |
| 10 | 10,000 | | | |
| 11 | 11,000 | | | |
| 12 | 12,000 | | | |
| 13 | 13,000 | | | |
| 14 | 14,000 | | | |
| 15 | 15,000 | | | |
| 16 | 16,000 | | | |
| 17 | 17,000 | | | |
| 18 | 18,000 | | | |
| 19 | 19,000 | | | |
| 20 | 20,000 | | | |
| 21 | 22,620 (LAST BAND) | | | |
| 22 | TOTALS | | | |

23 NOTE 1: AVERAGE LOOP LENGTH FOR THIS SERVICE IS [REDACTED] KILOFEET.

CONFIDENTIAL

0115

DATE = 20-Feb-95
TIME = 05:03 PM

1993 FLORIDA
* MERGED FLAT RATE ECONOMICAL COSTS *
SERVICE CLASS = RES W/DROP, CAP COST

FLAT RATE ANALYSIS

| Line | (2) ERAND DISTANCE (FT.) | (3) ECONOMICAL MONTHLY COST | (C) PROPRIETARY WEIGHTINGS | (D=B+C) MERGED FLAT RATE MONTHLY COST |
|------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| 1 | 1,000 | | | |
| 2 | 2,000 | | | |
| 3 | 3,000 | | | |
| 4 | 4,000 | | | |
| 5 | 5,000 | | | |
| 6 | 6,000 | | | |
| 7 | 7,000 | | | |
| 8 | 8,000 | | | |
| 9 | 9,000 | | | |
| 10 | 10,000 | | | |
| 11 | 11,000 | | | |
| 12 | 12,000 | | | |
| 13 | 13,000 | | | |
| 14 | 14,000 | | | |
| 15 | 15,000 | | | |
| 16 | 16,000 | | | |
| 17 | 17,000 | | | |
| 18 | 18,000 | | | |
| 19 | 19,000 | | | |
| 20 | 20,000 | | | |
| 21 | 37,309 (LAST ERAND) | | | |
| 22 | TOTALS | | | |

23 NOTE 1: AVERAGE LOOP LENGTH FOR THIS SERVICE IS [REDACTED] KILOFEET.

CONFIDENTIAL

0116

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950984-TP
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
MCI's FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 4
PAGE 1 OF 1

4. What is your most current estimate of the Total Service Long Run Incremental Cost (TSLRIC) of providing basic residential service in Florida? If a TSLRIC estimate is not available, please provide your current estimate based upon available incremental cost studies.

Objection: In addition to the general objections stated above, the Companies object to this question on grounds that it calls for information that the Companies believe is proprietary confidential business information. Without waiving this objection, the Companies will provide the answer to MCImetro pursuant to a mutually acceptable Non-Disclosure Agreement executed between the MCImetro and the Companies.

Answer: Please see the confidential attachment to this answer.

0117

United Telephone Company of Florida

The following is the long run incremental cost of residential and business local exchange service. Shared and common costs such as directory listings, billing and collections, directory assistance, operator assistance, etc. are not included in these costs.

| | A <u>Monthly Recurring Residence</u> | B <u>Monthly Recurring Business</u> |
|---|---|--|
| 1 Switching | [REDACTED] | \$ [REDACTED] |
| 2 Loop | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |
| 3 Transport/Access in Minutes of Use | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |
| 4 TOTAL | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950984-TP
DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
MCI'S FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 5
PAGE 1 OF 1

5. What is your most current estimate of the Total Service Long Run Incremental Cost (TSLRIC) of providing basic business service in Florida? If a TSLRIC estimate is not available, please provide your current estimate based upon available incremental cost studies.

Objection: In addition to the general objections stated above, the Companies object to this question on grounds that it calls for information that the Companies believe is proprietary confidential business information. Without waiving this objection, the Companies will provide the answer to MCImetro pursuant to a mutually acceptable Non-Disclosure Agreement executed between the MCImetro and the Companies.


Answer: Please see the confidential attachment to interrogatory number 4.

550\552\950985-TP.ACC

VERIFICATION

STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF LEON


I, F. BEN POAG, Director-Tariff & Regulatory for United Telephone Company of Florida, have read the foregoing Responses of Central Telephone Company of Florida and United Telephone Company of Florida to MCimetro's First Set of Interrogatories in Docket Nos. 950984-TP and 950985-TP. I am informed and to the best of my knowledge believe the information contained in the answers to be true and correct.



F. BEN POAG


Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 29 day of February, 1996.

Affiant is personally known to me.



Notary Public

My Commission Expires:



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Resolution of Petition to) DOCKET NO. 950984-TP
Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,)
Terms, and Conditions for resale)
involving Local Exchange)
Companies and Alternative Local)
Exchange Companies pursuant to)
Section 364.161, Florida Statutes)
_____)

In re: Resolution of Petition to) DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,)
Terms, and Conditions for Inter-)
connection Involving Local Exchange)
Companies and Alternative Local)
Exchange Companies pursuant to)
Section 364.162, Florida Statutes)
_____)

CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENT

Produced By: Sprint-United/Centel

In Response to: MCImetro's First POD

Individual Request Number: 1

Number of Pages: 5

STAFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NO. 1)
TO UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA AND
CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 950925-TP

CONFIDENTIAL
United/Cenel
Docket No. 950925-TP
MFS' First Set
Interrogatory No. 5
Page 1 of 1

1. Please provide the current long-run incremental cost of originating or terminating a call for local interconnection purposes on a per-minute basis.

1 The current long-run incremental cost of originating or
2 terminating a call for local interconnection purposes on
3 a per-minute basis is as follows:

4 Interoffice - [REDACTED] MOU
5 Local Tandem - [REDACTED] MOU

6 Note: Assumes all intra-company traffic.
7 Interoffice assumes one class 5 office.
8 Local Tandem assumes two Class 5 offices, one of which serves as a local tandem.

CONFIDENTIAL

DATE = 19-Sep-95
 TIME = 10:38 AM

CONFIDENTIAL

1994 FLORIDA
 * MERGED FLAT RATE ECONOMICAL COSTS *
 SERVICE CLASS =BUS W/DROP, CAP COST

FLAT RATE ANALYSIS

| Line | (A) BAND DISTANCE (FT.) | (B) ECONOMICAL MONTHLY COST | (C) PROBABILITY WEIGHTINGS | (D=B*C) MERGED FL RATE MONT |
|------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | 1,000 | | | |
| | 2,000 | | | |
| | 3,000 | | | |
| | 4,000 | | | |
| 5 | 5,000 | | | |
| | 6,000 | | | |
| | 7,000 | | | |
| | 8,000 | | | |
| | 9,000 | | | |
| 10 | 10,000 | | | |
| | 11,000 | | | |
| | 12,000 | | | |
| | 13,000 | | | |
| | 14,000 | | | |
| 15 | 15,000 | | | |
| | 16,000 | | | |
| | 17,000 | | | |
| | 18,000 | | | |
| | 19,000 | | | |
| 20 | 20,000 | | | |
| 21 | 22,620 (LAST BAND) | | | |
| 22 | TOTALS | | | |

23 NOTE 1: AVERAGE LOOP LENGTH FOR THIS SERVICE IS [REDACTED] KILOFEET.

2

0123

United/Centel
 Docket No. 950925-7P
 MFS' Firm Set
 Interrogatory No. 17
 Page 2 of 2

DATE = 20-Feb-96
 TIME = 05:03 PM

CONFIDENTIAL

1993 FLORIDA
 * MERGED FLAT RATE ECONOMICAL COSTS *
 SERVICE CLASS = RES W/DROP, CAP COST

FLAT RATE ANALYSIS

| Line | (A) BAND DISTANCE (FT.) | (B) ECONOMICAL MONTHLY COST | (C) PROBABILITY WEIGHTINGS | (D=B*C) MERGED FLAT RATE MONTHLY COST |
|------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| 1 | 1,000 | | | |
| . | 2,000 | | | |
| . | 3,000 | | | |
| . | 4,000 | | | |
| 5 | 5,000 | | | |
| . | 6,000 | | | |
| . | 7,000 | | | |
| . | 8,000 | | | |
| . | 9,000 | | | |
| 10 | 10,000 | | | |
| . | 11,000 | | | |
| . | 12,000 | | | |
| . | 13,000 | | | |
| 15 | 14,000 | | | |
| . | 15,000 | | | |
| . | 16,000 | | | |
| . | 17,000 | | | |
| . | 18,000 | | | |
| 20 | 19,000 | | | |
| | 20,000 | | | |
| 21 | 37,309 (LAST BAND) | | | |
| 22 | TOTALS | | | |

23 NOTE 1: AVERAGE LOOP LENGTH FOR THIS SERVICE IS [REDACTED] KILOFEET.

3

0124

SWITCHING COST INFORMATION SYSTEM (SCIS)

COMBINATION MODEL OFFICE

VERSION 6.3 - 10/12/93

A

B

1 Cost Per Millisecond

[REDACTED]

2 Line Termination Cost
 Working 200S Line Cost
 Excess CCS Capacity Cost
5 Minimum Cost Per Line

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

 Cost Per Line CCS (Orig. or Term)

 Digitone Increment Per Digitone Call

[REDACTED]

 Cost Per Trunk CCS (Outgoing or Incoming)

[REDACTED]

 Cost Per Umbilical CCS

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

10 Total Line Outputs

11 Flat Rate

[REDACTED]

12 Total Line Outputs * Annual Charge Factor
13 /Number of Months

14 Monthly Switched Line Cost

4

CONFIDENTIAL

0125

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

Date: 27-Feb-1996 03:32pm EST
From: Victoria J. Hubbard
HUBBARDV
Dept: Costing and Rev.
Tel No: 41/407-889-6152 5326

To: Sandy A. Khazraee

(KEAIPAEES)

To: Janet G. Moore

(MOOREJ)

Subject: Transport Backup

(C)

(A)

(B)

- 1 Transport/Access Line - Monthly Recurring
- 2 DSO's - Host to Host (including tolls)
- 3 Access Lines
- 4 Access to DSO Ratio
- 5 Total Cost/Circuit / Ratio
- 6 \$ 0.24 = 75¢ local - \$
- 7 = 25¢ toll - \$



\$ [redacted]

CONFIDENTIAL

5

0126

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Resolution of Petition to)
Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,)
Terms, and Conditions for resale)
involving Local Exchange)
Companies and Alternative Local)
Exchange Companies pursuant to)
Section 364.162, Florida Statutes)

DOCKET NO. 950984-TP

In re: Resolution of Petition to)
Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,)
Terms, and Conditions for Inter-)
connection involving Local Exchange)
Companies and Alternative Local)
Exchange Companies pursuant to)
Section 364.162, Florida Statutes)

DOCKET NO. 950985-TP

CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENT

| | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|
| Produced By: | Sprint-United/Centel |
| In Response to: | MCimetro's First POD |
| Individual Request Number: | <u>2</u> |
| Number of Pages: | <u>16</u> |

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
SUMMARY OF LOCAL BILLABLE SERVICES

| | 1995 A 12/95 MONTHLY UNITS | B ANNUAL REVENUES | C % OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE REVENUES |
|----|--|-------------------------|---|
| 1 | RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | |
| . | RESIDENT - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | |
| . | RESIDENT - MESSAGE (A/L ONLY) | | |
| . | LIFELINE | | |
| 5 | RESIDENT - PEX - FLAT RATE | | |
| . | TOTAL RESIDENT | | |
| . | BUSINESS - 1 PARTY | | |
| . | BUSINESS - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | |
| . | BUSINESS - PEX - FLAT RATE | | |
| 10 | BUSINESS - PEX - MESSAGE RATE | | |
| . | TOTAL BUSINESS | | |
| . | SEMI-PUBLIC LEO PAYPHONES | | |
| . | PUBLIC - LEO PAYPHONES | | |
| . | NON-LEO PAYPHONES (A/L ONLY) | | |
| 15 | CENTREX - STATION LINES, BASIC | | |
| . | CENTREX - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | |
| . | CENTREX - STATION LINES, ENHANCED | | |
| . | CENTREX - NETWORK ACCESS REGISTERS* | | |
| . | TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS | | |
| 20 | OVERALL TOTAL ACCESS LINES | | |

TOUCHTONE UNITS

| | |
|----|----------------------------|
| . | RESIDENT - TOUCHTONE UNITS |
| . | BUSINESS - TOUCHTONE UNITS |
| 23 | TOTAL TOUCHTONE UNITS |

* Centrex NARs are not included in Total Miscellaneous or Overall Total Access Lines or revenues.

CONFIDENTIAL

0128

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
RATE GROUP 1

| TYPE OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE SERVICE | 1995 | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--|
| | 12/95 MONTHLY UNITS | ANNUAL REVENUES | % OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE REVENUES |
| 1 RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | | |
| · RESIDENT - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · RESIDENT - MESSAGE (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| · LIFELINE | | | |
| 5 RESIDENT - PEX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| · TOTAL RESIDENT | | | |
| · BUSINESS - 1 PARTY | | | |
| · BUSINESS - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · BUSINESS - PEX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| 10 BUSINESS - PEX - MESSAGE RATE | | | |
| · TOTAL BUSINESS | | | |
| · SEMI-PUBLIC LEO PAYPHONES | | | |
| · PUBLIC - LEO PAYPHONES | | | |
| · NON-LEO PAYPHONES (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| 15 CENTREX - STATION LINES, BASIC | | | |
| · CENTREX - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · CENTREX - STATION LINES, ENHANCED | | | |
| · CENTREX - NETWORK ACCESS REGISTERS* | | | |
| · TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS | | | |
| 20 OVERALL TOTAL ACCESS LINES | | | |
| <hr/> | | | |
| · <u>TOUCHTONE UNITS</u> | | | |
| 22 RESIDENT - TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |
| 23 BUSINESS - TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |
| 24 TOTAL TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |

* Centrex NARs are not included in Total Miscellaneous or Overall Total Access Lines or revenues.

(1) Centrex - Station Lines, Enhanced, are not available by Rate Group. Total lines and revenues are included in Summary.

2

CONFIDENTIAL

0129

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
RATE GROUP 2

| TYPE OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE SERVICE | 1995 | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | <u>MONTHLY</u> <u>UNITS</u> | <u>ANNUAL</u> <u>REVENUES</u> | <u>OF LOCAL</u> <u>ACCESS LINE</u> <u>REVENUES</u> |
| 1 RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | | |
| · RESIDENT - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · RESIDENT - MESSAGE (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| · LIFELINE | | | |
| 5 RESIDENT - PBX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| · TOTAL RESIDENT | | | |
| · BUSINESS - 1 PARTY | | | |
| · BUSINESS - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · BUSINESS - PBX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| 10 BUSINESS - PBX - MESSAGE RATE | | | |
| · TOTAL BUSINESS | | | |
| · SEMI-PUBLIC LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| · PUBLIC - LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| · NON-LEC PAYPHONES (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| 15 CENTREX - STATION LINES, BASIC | | | |
| · CENTREX - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · CENTREX - STATION LINES, ENHANCED | | | |
| · CENTREX - NETWORK ACCESS REGISTERS* | | | |
| · TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS | | | |
| 20 OVERALL TOTAL ACCESS LINES | | | |

TOUCHSTONE UNITS

| |
|-------------------------------|
| · RESIDENT - TOUCHSTONE UNITS |
| · BUSINESS - TOUCHSTONE UNITS |
| 23 TOTAL TOUCHSTONE UNITS |

* Centrex NARs are not included in Total Miscellaneous or Overall Total Access Lines or revenues.

(1) Centrex - Station Lines, Enhanced, are not available by Rate Group. Total lines and revenues are included in Summary.

3

CONFIDENTIAL

0130

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
RATE GROUP 3

| TYPE OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE SERVICE | 1995 | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | A 12/85 MONTHLY UNITS | B ANNUAL REVENUES | C % OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE REVENUES |
| 1 RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | | |
| 1 RESIDENT - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| 1 RESIDENT - MESSAGE (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| 1 LIFELINE | | | |
| 5 RESIDENT - PBX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| 1 TOTAL RESIDENT | | | |
| 1 BUSINESS - 1 PARTY | | | |
| 1 BUSINESS - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| 1 BUSINESS - PBX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| 10 BUSINESS - PBX - MESSAGE RATE | | | |
| 1 TOTAL BUSINESS | | | |
| 1 SEMI-PUBLIC LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| 1 PUBLIC - LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| 1 NON-LEC PAYPHONES (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| 6 CENTREX - STATION LINES, BASIC | | | |
| 1 CENTREX - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| 1 CENTREX - STATION LINES, ENHANCED | | | |
| 1 CENTREX - NETWORK ACCESS REGISTERS* | | | |
| 1 TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS | | | |
| 20 OVERALL TOTAL ACCESS LINES | | | |

TOUCHTONE UNITS

| |
|------------------------------|
| 1 RESIDENT - TOUCHTONE UNITS |
| 1 BUSINESS - TOUCHTONE UNITS |
| 23 TOTAL TOUCHTONE UNITS |

* Centrex NARs are not included in Total Miscellaneous or Overall Total Access Lines or revenues.

(1) Centrex - Station Lines, Enhanced, are not available by Rate Group. Total lines and revenues are included in Summary.

4

CONFIDENTIAL

0131

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
RATE GROUP 4

1995

| TYPE OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE SERVICE | 12/95 MONTHLY UNITS | ANNUAL REVENUES | % OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE REVENUES |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--|
| 1 RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | | |
| · RESIDENT - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · RESIDENT - MESSAGE (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| · LIFE LINE | | | |
| 5 RESIDENT - PEX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| · TOTAL RESIDENT | | | |
| · BUSINESS - 1 PARTY | | | |
| · BUSINESS - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · BUSINESS - PEX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| 10 BUSINESS - PEX - MESSAGE RATE | | | |
| · TOTAL BUSINESS | | | |
| · SEMI-PUBLIC LEO PAYPHONES | | | |
| · PUBLIC - LEO PAYPHONES | | | |
| · NON-LEO PAYPHONES (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| 15 CENTREX - STATION LINES, BASIC | | | |
| · CENTREX - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · CENTREX - STATION LINES, ENHANCED | | | |
| · CENTREX - NETWORK ACCESS REGISTERS* | | | |
| · TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS | | | |
| 20 OVERALL TOTAL ACCESS LINES | | | |

TOUCHTONE UNITS

- RESIDENT - TOUCHTONE UNITS
 - BUSINESS - TOUCHTONE UNITS
- 23 TOTAL TOUCHTONE UNITS

* Centrex NARs are not included in Total Miscellaneous or Overall Total Access Lines or revenues.

(1) Centrex - Station Lines, Enhanced, are not available by Rate Group. Total lines and revenues are included in Summary.

5
CONFIDENTIAL

0132

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
RATE GROUP 5

| TYPE OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE SERVICE | 1995 | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | <i>A</i> 12/95 MONTHLY UNITS | <i>B</i> ANNUAL REVENUES | <i>C</i> % OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE REVENUES |
| 1 RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | | |
| 1 RESIDENT - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| 1 RESIDENT - MESSAGE (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| 1 LIFELINE | | | |
| 6 RESIDENT - FEX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| 7 TOTAL RESIDENT | | | |
| 8 BUSINESS - 1 PARTY | | | |
| 8 BUSINESS - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| 8 BUSINESS - FEX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| 10 BUSINESS - FEX - MESSAGE RATE | | | |
| 9 TOTAL BUSINESS | | | |
| SEMI-PUBLIC LEO PAYPHONES | | | |
| 1 PUBLIC - LEO PAYPHONES | | | |
| 1 NON-LEO PAYPHONES (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| 15 CENTREX - STATION LINES, BASIC | | | |
| 1 CENTREX - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| 1 CENTREX - STATION LINES, ENHANCED | | | |
| 1 CENTREX - NETWORK ACCESS REGISTERS* | | | |
| 1 TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS | | | |
| 26 OVERALL TOTAL ACCESS LINES | | | |

TOUCHTONE UNITS

| |
|------------------------------|
| 1 RESIDENT - TOUCHTONE UNITS |
| 1 BUSINESS - TOUCHTONE UNITS |
| 23 TOTAL TOUCHTONE UNITS |

* Centrex NARs are not included in Total Miscellaneous or Overall Total Access Lines or revenues.

(1) Centrex - Station Lines, Enhanced, are not available by Rate Group. Total lines and revenues are included in Summary.

6

CONFIDENTIAL

0133

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
RATE GROUP 6

| TYPE OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE SERVICE | 1995 | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|
| | <u>12/95</u> <u>MONTHLY</u> <u>UNITS</u> | <u>ANNUAL</u> <u>REVENUES</u> | <u>OF LOCAL</u> <u>ACCESS LINE</u> <u>REVENUES</u> |
| 1 RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | | |
| · RESIDENT - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · RESIDENT - MESSAGE (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| · LIFELINE | | | |
| 5 RESIDENT - PBX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| · TOTAL RESIDENT | | | |
| · BUSINESS - 1 PARTY | | | |
| · BUSINESS - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · BUSINESS - PBX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| 10 BUSINESS - PBX - MESSAGE RATE | | | |
| · TOTAL BUSINESS | | | |
| · SEMI-PUBLIC LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| · PUBLIC - LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| · NON-LEC PAYPHONES (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| 6 CENTREX - STATION LINES, BASIC | | | |
| · CENTREX - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · CENTREX - STATION LINES, ENHANCED | | | |
| · CENTREX - NETWORK ACCESS REGISTERS* | | | |
| · TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS | | | |
| 20 OVERALL TOTAL ACCESS LINES | | | |

TOUCHTONE UNITS

| |
|------------------------------|
| · RESIDENT - TOUCHTONE UNITS |
| · BUSINESS - TOUCHTONE UNITS |
| 23 TOTAL TOUCHTONE UNITS |

* Centrex NARs are not included in Total Miscellaneous or Overall Total Access Lines or revenues.

(1) Centrex - Station Lines, Enhanced, are not available by Rate Group. Total lines and revenues are included in Summary.

0134

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
 FORT MEADE EXCEPTION AREA (EFF 5/95)

| TYPE OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE SERVICE | 1995 | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|
| | <u>12/95</u> <u>MONTHLY</u> <u>UNITS</u> | <u>ANNUAL</u> <u>REVENUES</u> | <u>OF LOCAL</u> <u>ACCESS LINE</u> <u>REVENUES</u> |
| 1 RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | | |
| RESIDENT - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| RESIDENT - MESSAGE (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| LIFELINE | | | |
| 5 RESIDENT - PEX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| TOTAL RESIDENT | | | |
| BUSINESS - 1 PARTY | | | |
| BUSINESS - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| BUSINESS - PEX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| 10 BUSINESS - PEX - MESSAGE RATE | | | |
| TOTAL BUSINESS | | | |
| SEMI-PUBLIC LEO PAYPHONES | | | |
| PUBLIC - LEO PAYPHONES | | | |
| NON-LEO PAYPHONES (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| 14 CENTREX - STATION LINES, BASIC | | | |
| CENTREX - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| CENTREX - STATION LINES, ENHANCED | | | |
| CENTREX - NETWORK ACCESS REGISTERS* | | | |
| TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS | | | |
| 20 OVERALL TOTAL ACCESS LINES | | | |

TOUCHTONE UNITS

- RESIDENT - TOUCHTONE UNITS
 - BUSINESS - TOUCHTONE UNITS
- 23 TOTAL TOUCHTONE UNITS

* Centrex NARs are not included in Total Miscellaneous or Overall Total Access Lines or revenues.

(1) Centrex - Station Lines, Enhanced, are not available by Rate Group. Total lines and revenues are included in Summary.

8

CONFIDENTIAL

0135

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
SUMMARY OF LOCAL BILLABLE SERVICES

| | 1995 A 12/95 MONTHLY UNITS | B ANNUAL REVENUES | C * OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE REVENUES |
|--|--|-------------------------|---|
| <u>TYPE OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE SERVICE</u> | | | |
| 1 RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | | |
| 2 RESIDENT - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| 3 RESIDENT - MESSAGE (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| 4 LIFE LINE | | | |
| 5 TOTAL RESIDENT | | | |
| 6 BUSINESS - 1 PARTY | | | |
| 7 BUSINESS - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| 8 BUSINESS - PEX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| 9 BUSINESS - PEX - LD TRUNK | | | |
| 10 TOTAL BUSINESS | | | |
| 11 SEMI-PUBLIC LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| 12 PUBLIC - LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| 13 NON-LEC PAYPHONES (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| 14 CENTREX | | | |
| 15 TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS | | | |
| 16 OVERALL TOTAL ACCESS LINES | | | |
| <hr/> | | | |
| <u>TOUCHTONE UNITS</u> | | | |
| 17 RESIDENT - TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |
| 18 BUSINESS - TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |
| 19 TOTAL TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |

9

CONFIDENTIAL

0136

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
RATE GROUP 1

| TYPE OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE SERVICE | 1995 | ANNUAL REVENUES | OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE REVENUES |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| | 12/95 MONTHLY UNITS | | |
| 1 RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | A | B | C |
| RESIDENT - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| RESIDENT - MESSAGE (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| LIFELINE | | | |
| 5 TOTAL RESIDENT | | | |
| RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | | |
| BUSINESS - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| BUSINESS - PEX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| BUSINESS - PEX - LD TRUNK | | | |
| 10 TOTAL BUSINESS | | | |
| SEMI-PUBLIC LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| PUBLIC - LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| NON-LEC PAYPHONES (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| CENTREX | | | |
| 15 TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS | | | |
| OVERALL TOTAL ACCESS LINES | | | |

TOUCHTONE UNITS

| |
|----------------------------|
| RESIDENT - TOUCHTONE UNITS |
| BUSINESS - TOUCHTONE UNITS |
| 19 TOTAL TOUCHTONE UNITS |

10

CONFIDENTIAL

0137

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
RATE GROUP 2

| TYPE OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE SERVICE | 1985 | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|
| | <u>12/85</u> <u>MONTHLY</u> <u>UNITS</u> | <u>ANNUAL</u> <u>REVENUES</u> | <u>%</u> <u>OF LOCAL</u> <u>ACCESS LINE</u> <u>REVENUES</u> |
| 1 RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | | |
| · RESIDENT - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · RESIDENT - MESSAGE (A.L. ONLY) | | | |
| · LIFE LINE | | | |
| 5 TOTAL RESIDENT | | | |
| · BUSINESS - 1 PARTY | | | |
| · BUSINESS - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · BUSINESS - PEX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| · BUSINESS - PEX - LD TRUNK | | | |
| 10 TOTAL BUSINESS | | | |
| · SEMI-PUBLIC LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| · PUBLIC - LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| · NON-LEC PAYPHONES (A.L. ONLY) | | | |
| · CENTREX | | | |
| 15 TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS | | | |
| · OVERALL TOTAL ACCESS LINES | | | |
| <hr/> | | | |
| <u>TOUCHTONE UNITS</u> | | | |
| · RESIDENT - TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |
| · BUSINESS - TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |
| 19 TOTAL TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |

41

CONFIDENTIAL

0138

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
RATE GROUP 3

| TYPE OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE SERVICE | 1995 | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | <i>A</i> 12/95 MONTHLY UNITS | <i>B</i> ANNUAL REVENUES | <i>C</i> % OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE REVENUES |
| 1 RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | | |
| RESIDENT - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| RESIDENT - MESSAGE (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| LIFELINE | | | |
| 5 TOTAL RESIDENT | | | |
| RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | | |
| BUSINESS - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| BUSINESS - PEX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| BUSINESS - PEX - LD TRUNK | | | |
| 10 TOTAL BUSINESS | | | |
| SEMI-PUBLIC LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| PUBLIC - LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| NON-LEC PAYPHONES (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| CENTREX | | | |
| 15 TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS | | | |
| 16 OVERALL TOTAL ACCESS LINES | | | |
| <hr/> | | | |
| <u>TOUCHTONE UNITS</u> | | | |
| RESIDENT - TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |
| BUSINESS - TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |
| 19 TOTAL TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |

CONFIDENTIAL

0139

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
RATE GROUP 4

| | 1995 | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| TYPE OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE SERVICE | A 12/95 MONTHLY UNITS | B ANNUAL REVENUES | C OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE REVENUES |
| 1 RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | | |
| RESIDENT - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| RESIDENT - MESSAGE (A.L. ONLY) | | | |
| UFELINE | | | |
| 5 TOTAL RESIDENT | | | |
| 6 BUSINESS - 1 PARTY | | | |
| BUSINESS - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| BUSINESS - PBX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| BUSINESS - PBX - LD TRUNK | | | |
| 10 TOTAL BUSINESS | | | |
| SEMI-PUBLIC LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| PUBLIC - LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| NON-LEC PAYPHONES (A.L. ONLY) | | | |
| CENTREX | | | |
| 15 TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS | | | |
| OVERALL TOTAL ACCESS LINES | | | |
| <hr/> | | | |
| <u>TOUCHTONE UNITS</u> | | | |
| RESIDENT - TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |
| BUSINESS - TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |
| 19 TOTAL TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |

CONFIDENTIAL

0140

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
RATE GROUP 5

| | 1985 <i>A</i> | <i>B</i> | <i>C</i> |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| TYPE OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE SERVICE | <u>12/85 MONTHLY UNITS</u> | <u>ANNUAL REVENUES</u> | <u>% OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE REVENUES</u> |
| 1 RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | | |
| RESIDENT - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| RESIDENT - MESSAGE (A.L. ONLY) | | | |
| LIFELINE | | | |
| 5 TOTAL RESIDENT | | | |
| BUSINESS - 1 PARTY | | | |
| BUSINESS - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| BUSINESS - PEX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| BUSINESS - PEX - LD TRUNK | | | |
| 10 TOTAL BUSINESS | | | |
| SEMI-PUBLIC LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| PUBLIC - LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| NON-LEC PAYPHONES (A.L. ONLY) | | | |
| CENTREX | | | |
| 15 TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS | | | |
| OVERALL TOTAL ACCESS LINES | | | |
| <hr/> | | | |
| <u>TOUCHTONE UNITS</u> | | | |
| RESIDENT - TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |
| BUSINESS - TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |
| 19 TOTAL TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |

CONFIDENTIAL

0141

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
RATE GROUP 6

| TYPE OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE SERVICE | 1985 | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|---|
| | 12/85 MONTHLY UNITS | <i>A</i> | <i>B</i> <i>C</i> % OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE REVENUES |
| 1 RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | | |
| · RESIDENT - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · RESIDENT - MESSAGE (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| · LIFE LINE | | | |
| 5 TOTAL RESIDENT | | | |
| · BUSINESS - 1 PARTY | | | |
| · BUSINESS - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · BUSINESS - PBX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| · BUSINESS - PBX - LD TRUNK | | | |
| 10 TOTAL BUSINESS | | | |
| · SEMI-PUBLIC LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| · PUBLIC - LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| · NON-LEC PAYPHONES (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| · CENTREX | | | |
| 15 TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS | | | |
| · OVERALL TOTAL ACCESS LINES | | | |
| <hr/> | | | |
| <u>TOUCHTONE UNITS</u> | | | |
| · RESIDENT - TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |
| · BUSINESS - TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |
| 19 TOTAL TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |

CONFIDENTIAL

0142

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA
GREENVILLE EXCEPTION AREA

| TYPE OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE SERVICE | 1995 | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| | <i>A</i> 12/95 MONTHLY UNITS | <i>B</i> ANNUAL REVENUES | <i>C</i> OF LOCAL ACCESS LINE REVENUES |
| 1 RESIDENT - 1 PARTY | | | |
| 2 RESIDENT - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · RESIDENT - MESSAGE (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| · LIFE LINE | | | |
| 5 TOTAL RESIDENT | | | |
| · BUSINESS - 1 PARTY | | | |
| · BUSINESS - ROTARY (HUNTING) | | | |
| · BUSINESS - PEX - FLAT RATE | | | |
| · BUSINESS - PEX - LD TRUNK | | | |
| 10 TOTAL BUSINESS | | | |
| · SEMI-PUBLIC LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| · PUBLIC - LEC PAYPHONES | | | |
| · NON-LEC PAYPHONES (A/L ONLY) | | | |
| · CENTREX | | | |
| 14 TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS | | | |
| · OVERALL TOTAL ACCESS LINES | | | |
| <hr/> | | | |
| <u>TOUCHTONE UNITS</u> | | | |
| · RESIDENT - TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |
| · BUSINESS - TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |
| 19 TOTAL TOUCHTONE UNITS | | | |

16

CONFIDENTIAL

0143

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Resolution of Petition to)
Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,)
Terms, and Conditions for resale)
Involving Local Exchange)
Companies and Alternative Local)
Exchange Companies pursuant to)
Section 364.162, Florida Statutes)

DOCKET NO. 950984-TP

In re: Resolution of Petition to)
Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,)
Terms, and Conditions for Inter-)
connection Involving Local Exchange)
Companies and Alternative Local)
Exchange Companies pursuant to)
Section 364.162, Florida Statutes)

DOCKET NO. 950985-TP

CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENT

Produced By: Sprint-United/Centel

In Response to: MCImetro's First POD

Individual Request Number: 3

Number of Pages: 12

0144

LOCAL USAGE IN FLORIDA

1 This CUS summary refers directly to the eleven study sites in Florida.
2 This sample data, with some reservations, can be interpreted to be a
3 cross-section of United's local usage throughout Florida on a monthly
4 basis.

5 I. Calling Frequency

6 The following table summarizes the number of calls made by the various
7 customer classes. The average customer places [redacted] calls per month, of
8 which [redacted] are local, and [redacted] are EAS. Each residential customer
9 places fewer calls than average, only [redacted] calls of which [redacted] are
10 local, and [redacted] are EAS. However, the residential class as a whole
11 places a majority of the calls.

Local and EAS Calls


| A | B | C | D | E | F |
|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| R1 | B1 | KEY | ROT | PBX | TOTAL |

- 12
- 13 # Access Lines
- 14 # Total Calls
- 15 % Local
- 16 % EAS
- 17 Total Calls/A.L.
- 18 Local Calls/A.L.
- 19 EAS Calls/A.L.
- 20 % Total Calls

0145

CONFIDENTIAL

1 The average number of calls per customer is [redacted] calls, although the
 2 median is only [redacted] calls. This is because usage is highly skewed rather
 3 than uniform, as shown by the following frequency graph. The lowest [redacted]
 4 of users make no calls in one month. The highest decile of users make
 5 [redacted] of the total number of calls. The lowest quartile of users make
 6 only [redacted] the total number of calls made. The highest quartile of
 7 users make [redacted] of the total number of calls.



9 The highest decile of users average [redacted] calls per month, as compared to
 10 the average of [redacted] and the median of [redacted]. The highest single user by
 11 customer class in the entire state is as follows:

| | A | B | C | D | E |
|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | RI | BI | KEY | ROT | PSX |
| 12 Total Calls/Month | [redacted] | [redacted] | [redacted] | [redacted] | [redacted] |

1 Again, residential customers make fewer calls on average. The average call
 2 demand ratios are as follows:

| | <u>A</u> <u>Local</u> | <u>B</u> <u>EAS</u> | <u>C</u> <u>Total</u> |
|----------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 3 B1/R1 | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |
| 4 KEY/R1 | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |
| 5 ROT/R1 | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |
| 6 PBX/R1 | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |
| 7 KEY/B1 | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |
| 8 ROT/B1 | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |
| 9 PBX/B1 | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |

10 Average usage varies among exchanges. In the eleven study sites, the
 11 range in average usage per access line is as follows:

| | <u>D</u> <u>R1</u> | <u>E</u> | <u>F</u> <u>B1</u> | <u>G</u> | <u>H</u> <u>Total</u> | <u>I</u> |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|
| | <u>High</u> | <u>Low</u> | <u>High</u> | <u>Low</u> | <u>High</u> | <u>Low</u> |
| 12 # Total Calls | [REDACTED] | | | | | |
| 13 # Local Calls | [REDACTED] | | | | | |
| 14 # EAS Calls | [REDACTED] | | | | | |

II.- Minutes of Use

15 The following table summarizes the total minutes of use (MOU) by customer
 16 class. The average customer has [REDACTED] MOU per month, of which [REDACTED] are
 17 local and [REDACTED] are EAS. Residential customers have fewer MOU per month
 18 on average, [REDACTED] MOU, of which [REDACTED] are local and [REDACTED] are EAS. As
 19 in the case of call frequency, residential customers as a class have the
 20 majority of MOU.

| <u>A</u> | <u>B</u> | <u>C</u> Local and EAS MOU | | <u>E</u> | <u>F</u> |
|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| <u>R1</u> | <u>B1</u> | <u>IEY</u> | <u>ROT</u> | <u>PBX</u> | <u>TOTAL</u> |

1 # Access Lines

2 # Total MOU

3 % Local

4 % EAS


5 Total MOU/A.L.

6 Local MOU/A.L.

7 EAS MOU/A.L.

8 % Total MOU

9 As in the case of call frequency, MOU usage is highly skewed, as shown in
 10 the following graph. The average MOU is [REDACTED] although the median is
 11 only [REDACTED] MOU. The lowest [REDACTED] of users have no MOU in one month.
 12 The highest decile of users have [REDACTED] of the total MOU. The lowest
 13 quartile of users have only [REDACTED] of the total MOU. The highest quartile
 14 of users have [REDACTED] the total MOU.



DECILE
 014.8

1 The highest decile of users average [redacted] MOU per month, as compared to
 2 the average of [redacted] a median of [redacted] MOU. The highest single user
 3 by customer class for the entire state average is shown below.

| | A | B | C | D | E |
|-------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|
| | <u>R1.</u> | <u>B1</u> | <u>KEY</u> | <u>ROT</u> | <u>PEX</u> |
| 4 MOU/Month | [redacted] | | | | |

5 Residential customers have fewer MOU on average than other customers. The
 6 average MOU ratios are shown below:

| | F | G | H |
|-----------|---------------|------------|--------------|
| | <u>Local.</u> | <u>EAS</u> | <u>Total</u> |
| 7 B1/R1 | [redacted] | | |
| 8 KEY/R1 | [redacted] | | |
| 9 ROT/R1 | [redacted] | | |
| 10 PEX/R1 | [redacted] | | |
| 11 KEY/B1 | [redacted] | | |
| 12 ROT/B1 | [redacted] | | |
| 13 PEX/B1 | [redacted] | | |

14 Average MOU varies among exchanges. In the eleven study sites, the
 15 range in average MOU per access line is as follows:

| | I | J | K | L | M | N |
|------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| | <u>R1</u> | <u>B1</u> | <u>B1</u> | <u>B1</u> | <u>Total</u> | <u>Total</u> |
| | <u>High</u> | <u>Low</u> | <u>High</u> | <u>Low</u> | <u>High</u> | <u>Low</u> |
| 16 # Total Calls | [redacted] | | | | | |
| 17 # Local Calls | [redacted] | | | | | |
| 18 # EAS Calls | [redacted] | | | | | |

0149 CONFIDENTIAL


III. Call Duration

1 The following table summarizes the average duration of a call (MOU/call) by
 2 customer class. The average call lasts [REDACTED] minutes. The average local
 3 call lasts [REDACTED] minutes, while the average EAS call lasts [REDACTED] minutes.
 4 For residential customers, the average call lasts [REDACTED] minutes, [REDACTED]
 5 minutes for local calls and [REDACTED] minutes for EAS calls.

| A | B | C | D | E | F |
|---------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Call Duration | | | | | |
| R1 | B1 | KEY | ROT | PBX | Total |

6 Average MOU/Call [REDACTED]
 7 Local MOU/Call [REDACTED]
 8 EAS MOU/Call [REDACTED]

9 The average call lasts [REDACTED] minutes, although the median call lasts only
 10 [REDACTED] minutes. Duration is highly skewed, with many calls of one minute or
 11 less, and a few very lengthy calls, as the following graph indicates.
 12 [REDACTED] of all calls last one minute or less, and [REDACTED] last three minutes
 13 or less. [REDACTED] last one hour or more.



0150

1 Residential customers' calls tend to be of longer duration than those of
 2 other customer classes. The average MOU/call ratios are below:

| | <u>A</u> | <u>B</u> | <u>C</u> |
|----------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| | <u>Local</u> | <u>EAS</u> | <u>Total</u> |
| 3 B1/R1 | | | |
| 4 KEY/R1 | | | |
| 5 ROT/R1 | | | |
| 6 PEX/R1 | | | |
| 7 KEY/B1 | | | |
| 8 ROT/B1 | | | |
| 9 PEX/B1 | | | |

10 Average duration varies somewhat among exchanges. In the eleven study
 11 sites, the range in average MOU/call is as follows:

| <u>D</u> | <u>R1</u> | <u>Q</u> | <u>F</u> | <u>B1</u> | <u>G</u> | <u>H</u> | <u>Total</u> | <u>I</u> |
|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| <u>High</u> | <u>Low</u> | <u>High</u> | <u>Low</u> | <u>High</u> | <u>Low</u> | <u>High</u> | <u>Low</u> | <u>High</u> |

12 Average MOU/Call [REDACTED]
 13 Local MOU/Call [REDACTED]
 14 EAS MOU/Call [REDACTED]

15 IV: EAS Calling

16 The table below illustrates that most EAS traffic terminates less than
 17 ten miles from the home exchange.

| <u>J</u> | <u>K</u> | <u>L</u> | <u>M</u> | <u>N</u> | <u>O</u> |
|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| <u>Distance From Home Exchange</u> | | | | | |
| <u>1-5</u> | <u>6-10</u> | <u>11-15</u> | <u>16-20</u> | <u>21-25</u> | <u>25 +</u> |

18 % Total Calls [REDACTED]
 19 % EAS Calls [REDACTED]


20 EAS usage varies widely in the eleven networks studied. In the Reedy
 21 Creek exchange, [REDACTED] of call traffic is EAS. There are nine EAS
 22 exchanges accessible to Reedy Creek. Most traffic goes to Orlando, as
 23 shown below.

| <u>Home Exchange</u> | <u>EAS Exchange</u> | <u>A Miles</u> | <u>B % of Calls</u> |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| 1 Reedy Creek | (Home) | 0 | [REDACTED] |
| | 2 Lake Buenavista | 7 | [REDACTED] |
| | 3 W. Kissimmee | 8 | [REDACTED] |
| | 4 Windermere | 12 | [REDACTED] |
| | 5 Winter Garden | 16 | [REDACTED] |
| | 6 Montverde | 18 | [REDACTED] |
| | 7 Orlando | 20 | [REDACTED] |
| | 8 Winter Park | 24 | [REDACTED] |
| | 9 Apopka | 25 | [REDACTED] |
| | 10 E. Orange | 40 | [REDACTED] |

11 In the Sebring exchange, only [REDACTED] of originating call traffic is EAS.

12 V. Time of Day

13 As can be seen in the following graph, while daytime usage exceeds late
 14 night usage, there is no distinct peak hour of usage. [REDACTED] of call
 15 traffic falls between 8:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. [REDACTED] of calls occur on
 16 weekends.



VI. Miscellaneous

1 A. Local Measured Service - LMS was not offered in the study sites.

2 B. Custom Calling Features - Four different CCF were examined: call
3 forwarding, call waiting, speed dialing, and three-way conferencing.
4 The penetration rates for these CCF are shown below:

| | <u>A</u> | <u>B</u> | <u>C</u> | <u>D</u> | <u>E</u> | <u>F</u> |
|--|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| | <u>R1</u> | <u>B1</u> | <u>KEY</u> | <u>ROT</u> | <u>PEX</u> | <u>Total</u> |

5 Call Forwarding

6 Call Waiting

7 Speed Dialing

8 3-Way Conferencing

9 C. U-Touch - [redacted] of all access lines subscribe to U-Touch [redacted] of
10 R1 customers, [redacted] of B1 customers, [redacted] of Key customers,
11 of rotary customers, and [redacted] of PEX customers subscribe.

12 D. Use of Custom Calling Features - Information is not available.

13 E. Call Disposition - Information is not available.

CONFIDENTIAL

0153

1 F. Toll Calling - On average, [REDACTED] of all originating calls are non-
 2 toll (local and EAS), and [REDACTED] are toll. The following table
 3 summarizes calling frequency and duration of toll and non-toll
 4 calling.

| | <u>A</u> | <u>B</u> | <u>C</u> | <u>D</u> | <u>E</u> | <u>F</u> |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|
| | <u>R1</u> | <u>B1</u> | <u>KEY</u> | <u>ROT</u> | <u>PBX</u> | <u>Total</u> |
| 5 Non-Toll | | | | | | |
| 6 # Calls/Month | | | | | | |
| 7 MOU/Call | | | | | | |
| 8 % Total Calls | | | | | | |
| 9 Toll | | | | | | |
| 10 # Calls/Month | | | | | | |
| 11 MOU/Call | | | | | | |
| 12 % Total Calls | | | | | | |

CONFIDENTIAL

FLORIDA
 CUSTOMER USAGE STUDY / OCTOBER, 1986
 COMPLETED OUTWARD MESSAGES

REPORT 1 PAGE 1
 06/10/87 17.21.11
 REPORT IC

A TOTAL
 B TOTAL RESIDENCE
 C TOTAL BUSINESS
 D B-1
 E FAX
 F NOT
 G KEY
 H Co/PL /

- 1 TOTAL LINES STUDIED
- 2 TOTAL LOCAL MESSAGES
- 3 TOTAL SAME EXCHANGE
- 4 PCT SAME EXCHANGE
- 5 TOTAL EAS EXCHANGE
- 6 PCT EAS EXCHANGE
- 7 TOTAL LOCAL MINUTES
- 8 TOTAL SAME EXCHANGE
- 9 PCT SAME EXCHANGE
- 10 TOTAL EAS EXCHANGE
- 11 PCT EAS EXCHANGE
- 12 AVERAGE DURATION
- 13 TOTAL SAME EXCHANGE
- 14 TOTAL EAS EXCHANGE
-
- 15 **USAGE PER LINE**
- 16 TOTAL LOCAL MESSAGES
- 17 TOTAL SAME EXCHANGE
- 18 TOTAL EAS EXCHANGE
- 19 TOTAL LOCAL MINUTES
- 20 TOTAL SAME EXCHANGE
- 21 TOTAL EAS EXCHANGE
-
- 22 *** TOTAL TOLL USAGE ***
- 23 TOTAL TOLL MESSAGES
- 24 TOTAL TOLL MINUTES
- 25 AVERAGE DURATION

CONFIDENTIAL

FLORIDA
CUSTOMER USAGE STUDY / OCTOBER, 1986
USAGE RATIOS

REPORT 1 PAGE 2
06/10/87 17.21.11
REPORT IC I
H I
ROT/O-I KEY/O-I

A
TDS/R-I

B
D-I/R-I

C
POX/R-I

D
ROT/R-I

E
KEY/R-I

F
TDS/O-I

G
POX/O-I

H
ROT/O-I

I
KEY/O-I

1 CALL DEMAND RATIOS

2 SAME EXCHANGE
3 EAS EXCHANGE

4 NON DEMAND RATIOS

5 SAME EXCHANGE
6 EAS EXCHANGE

7 AVG DURATION RATIOS

8 SAME EXCHANGE
9 EAS EXCHANGE

0136

CONFIDENTIAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Resolution of Petition to)
Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,)
Terms, and Conditions for resale)
Involving Local Exchange)
Companies and Alternative Local)
Exchange Companies pursuant to)
Section 364.161, Florida Statutes)

DOCKET NO. 950984-TP

In re: Resolution of Petition to)
Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,)
Terms, and Conditions for Inter-)
connection Involving Local Exchange)
Companies and Alternative Local)
Exchange Companies pursuant to)
Section 364.162, Florida Statutes)

DOCKET NO. 950985-TP

CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENT

Produced By: Sprint-United/Centel

In Response to: MCImetro's First POD

Individual Request Number:

7

Number of Pages:

15

0157

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Resolution of Petition to) DOCKET NO. 950984-TP
Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,))
Terms, and Conditions for resale) DATED: 3/6/96
Involving Local Exchange))
Companies and Alternative Local))
Exchange Companies pursuant to))
Section 364.161, Florida Statutes))
_____)

CONFIDENTIAL VERSION

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA AND
UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA'S
ANSWERS TO STAFF'S
FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

United Telephone Company of Florida ("Sprint-United") and Central Telephone Company of Florida ("Sprint-Centel") (collectively "Sprint-United/Centel" or the "Companies"), pursuant to Rule 25-22.034, Florida Administrative Code, Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.340, hereby submit the following Answers to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories to United Telephone Company of Florida and Central Telephone Company of Florida, served by U.S. mail on February 14, 1996 ("Staff's First Set").

NOTE

Certain of the answers to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories ("Staff's First Set") may contain proprietary confidential business information within the meaning of Section 364.183, Florida Statutes. This version of the interrogatory answers contains the confidential answers to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories. It was filed with the Division of Records and

Reporting together with a Notice of Intent to Request
Confidential Classification.

ANSWERS

The answers to these interrogatories were provided by F. Ben
Poag. The verification required by the Florida Rules of Civil
Procedure is included at the end of these answers.

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950984-TP
STAFF'S FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 14
PAGE 1 OF 2

14. Explain why current local exchange company tariffed services are or are not sufficient for ALECs to provide local exchange service. (e.g. special access or private line loops)

Answer: In order to better understand why existing special access services are the appropriate tariffed services for ALECs to use to provide local exchange services, an analogy to the way special access lines are currently used to provide long distance toll services is appropriate. For example, a customer who has 20 PBX trunks which are used for both toll and local services decides to purchase special access direct to the IXC for the toll traffic. By purchasing direct special access to the IXC, the customer gets a reduced long distance toll rate since the IXC no longer pays the originating switched access charges, but also the customer now only needs 18 trunks since two of the original trunks no longer are needed since the traffic for the toll calls is going over the direct special access lines. Thus, instead of paying United \$55.00 per month each for two local trunks, the customer pays \$19.00 each for two special access lines, a savings of \$36.00 per line.

The customer draws dial tone for long distance on two lines from the IXC's switch and dial tone on 18 lines from United's switch. The same cable pairs and/or loop carrier equipment is still used to establish the link between United's central office location and the customer's premises for all 20 lines. At the central office, 18 lines terminate to United's local switch and 2 lines are connected to the IXC's switch via collocated or leased facilities between United's central office and the IXC's switch.

Under revised Chapter 364, Florida Statutes, the IXC also becomes certified as an ALEC and interconnects its switch with United's local network. The IXC/ALEC can now offer both toll and local service to this same end user customer. Thus, where the customer was paying United \$55.00 per month for 20 trunks, or \$1,100.00 per month, the customer can now subscribe to special access for both local and toll services at \$19.00 for 20 trunks and save up to \$720 per month depending on how much the IXC/ALEC charges for its local service. Alternatively, a high-capacity DS1 (24 voice

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENDEL
DOCKET NO. 950984-TP
STAFF'S FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 14
PAGE 2 OF 2

1 circuits) could be used in lieu of the individual \$19.00
special access circuits. The DS1 local loop for 24 circuits
is \$112.75 versus the \$380.00 for 20 special access lines at
\$19.00 each per month.

5 A similar analysis can be made for residence and single line
business services. United's monthly average rates for
residence (R1) and business (B1) services are \$13.26 and
\$30.53, respectively, including the subscriber line charge
and touch tone. However, in addition to these revenues,
10 there are revenues from access charges, intraLATA toll
calls, custom calling, e.g., call waiting, CLASS, caller ID,
directory assistance, and directory listing services which
increase the estimated average revenue access line S [REDACTED]
per month. This average is understated for the exchanges in
15 which ALECs have requested collocation. When the average
revenue of this magnitude is compared to the margin between
the special access rates, it is clearly evident that the
special access rates are a minimum that should be charged
for these unbundled loop services.

20 This is especially true when you consider that IXCs/ALECs
will target concentrations of subscribers, e.g.,
condominiums, rental apartments and business complexes for
marketing their services. In these cases and for large
business users, IXCs/ALECs will use the high-capacity DS1
25 services to aggregate customers, further increasing the
margin of profitability.

Further, since the unbundled loop can be used for both local
and toll services as described above, setting a different
rate for unbundled loops and special access services creates
30 an arbitrage problem.

In addition to the above reasons, in order to foster
facilities competition in the local loop market segment, the
Commission must be careful not to set the ILECs' unbundled
loop rates too low. To do so would incent resale
35 competition but discourages infrastructure development and
true facilities-based competition.

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENDEL
DOCKET NO. 950984-TP
STAFF'S FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 20
PAGE 1 OF 2

20. a. For the per minute of use charge arrangement, provide a diagram, identify each rate element that would apply, and indicate where it is located in the network.
- b. Identify the appropriate rate level associated with each rate element identified in part a that Centel and United would propose to charge an ALEC for local interconnection under the per minute of use charge arrangement.
- c. Provide the long run incremental cost for each of the rate elements identified in part a.

Answer:

- 1 a. Assuming collocation, attached are (1) Tandem Minute of
use diagram with charges, and (2) End Office minutes of
use diagram with charges for local interconnection.

b.

| | <u>A</u> | <u>B</u> |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| | <u>Access</u> | <u>End</u> |
| <u>5 Rate Elements</u> | <u>Tandem</u> | <u>Office</u> |
| <u>6</u> DS1 Local Channel - Entrance Facility* | \$0.00097 | \$.00097 |
| <u>7</u> Switched Common Transport Per MOU per Mile (Tandem Facility) | \$0.00004 | |
| <u>8</u> Facilities Termination per MOU (Tandem Termination) | \$0.00020 | |
| <u>9</u> Tandem Switching | \$0.00399 | |
| <u>10</u> Local Switching | \$0.00980 | \$.00980 |
| <u>11</u> Line Termination | \$0.00790 | \$.00790 |

- 12 • Entrance Facility is optional; interconnection may also be ordered on a meet-point or virtual collocation basis in which case the special access or collocation tariffs would be applicable, respectively.

CONFIDENTIAL

UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 950984-TP
STAFF'S FIRST SET
INTERROGATORY NO. 20
PAGE 2 OF 2

TANDEM

Transport

RATE ELEMENTS

A B
RATE COST

| | | | |
|---|--|---------|--|
| 1 | DS1 Local Channel - Entrance Facility | 0.00097 | |
| 2 | Switched Common Transport per minute of use per mile | 0.00004 | |
| 3 | Facilities Termination per MOU | 0.00020 | |
| 4 | Tandem Switching | 0.00088 | |
| 5 | Local Switching | 0.00980 | |
| 6 | Line Termination | 0.00790 | |

END OFFICE

Transport

RATE ELEMENTS

| | | | |
|----|---------------------------------------|---------|--|
| 7 | DS1 Local Channel - Entrance Facility | 0.00097 | |
| 8 | Local Switching | 0.00980 | |
| 9 | Line Termination | 0.00790 | |
| 10 | c. See b above. | | |

utd\984-ecf.nc

VERIFICATION


STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF LEON

I, F. BEN POAG, Director-Tariff & Regulatory for United Telephone Company of Florida, have read the foregoing Answers of Central Telephone Company of Florida and United Telephone Company of Florida to the foregoing Interrogatories (Nos. 1 - 22) in Docket No. 950984-TP. I am informed and to the best of my knowledge believe the information contained in the Answers to be true and correct.



F. BEN POAG

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this March
6th day of ~~February~~, 1996.

Affiant is personally known to me.


Christine S. Uffal
Notary Public

My Commission Expires:



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Resolution of Petition to) DOCKET NO. 950985-TP
 Establish Non Discriminatory Rates,)
 Terms, and Conditions for Inter-)
 connection Involving Local Exchange))
 Companies and Alternative Local)
 Exchange Companies pursuant to)
 Section 364.162, Florida Statutes)
)

EXHIBIT "C" TO SPRINT UNITED/CENDEL'S
 SECOND REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION

Line-by-line Identification and Justification

| Bates No. | Line | Row | Justification |
|-----------|----------|-------|---------------|
| Melson | -- | -- | -- |
| 109-112 | -- | -- | -- |
| 113 | 4,5 | Data | Note 1 |
| 114 | -- | -- | -- |
| 115,116 | 1-21 | B | Note 2 |
| | 1-22 | C,D | Note 2 |
| | 23 | Data | Note 2 |
| 117 | -- | -- | -- |
| 118 | 1-4 | A,B | Note 3 |
| 119-121 | -- | -- | -- |
| 122 | 4,5 | Data | Note 1 |
| 123-124 | 1-21 | B | Note 2 |
| | 1-22 | C,D | Note 2 |
| | 23 | Data | Note 2 |
| 125 | 3-4 | A | Note 4 |
| | 1,5-14 | B | Note 4 |
| 126 | 4-7 | C | Note 4 |
| | 2-5 | A | Note 4 |
| | 1 | B | Note 4 |
| 144 | -- | -- | -- |
| 145 | 7-10 | Data | Note 5 |
| | 13-20 | A-F | Note 5 |
| 146 | 1-7,9-11 | Data | Note 5 |
| 146 | 8 | Chart | Note 5 |
| 146 | 12 | A-E | Note 5 |
| 147 | 3-9 | A-C | Note 5 |
| 147 | 12-14 | D-I | Note 5 |
| 147 | 16-18 | Data | Note 6 |
| 148 | 1-8 | A-F | Note 6 |
| 148 | 10-14 | Data | Note 6 |
| 148 | 15 | Chart | Note 6 |
| 149 | 1-3 | Data | Note 6 |
| 149 | 4 | A-E | Note 6 |
| 149 | 7-13 | F-H | Note 6 |

| Bates No. | Line | Row | Justification |
|-----------|-------------------------|------------|---------------|
| 149 | 16-18 | I-N | Note 6 |
| 150 | 2-5 | Data | Note 7 |
| 150 | 6-8 | A-F | Note 7 |
| 150 | 9,10,12,13 | Data | Note 7 |
| 150 | 14 | Chart | Note 7 |
| 151 | 3-9 | A-C | Note 7 |
| 151 | 12-14 | D-I | Note 7 |
| 151 | 18-19 | J-O | Note 7 |
| 151 | 21 | Data | Note 7 |
| 152 | 1-10 | B | Note 7 |
| 152 | 11 | Data | Note 7 |
| 152 | 14-15 | Data | Note 8 |
| 152 | 17 | Chart | Note 8 |
| 153 | 5-8 | A-F | Note 9 |
| 153 | 9-11 | Data | Note 9 |
| 154 | 1-2 | Data | Note 9 |
| 154 | 5-12 | A-F | Note 9 |
| 155 | 1-25 | A-H | Note 10 |
| 156 | 1-9 | A-I | Note 10 |
| 127 | -- | -- | -- |
| 128 | 1-23 | A-C | Note 11 |
| 129 | 1-24 | A-C | Note 11 |
| 130-135 | 1-23 | A-C | Note 11 |
| 136 | 1-19 | A-C | Note 11 |
| 137-143 | 1-19 | A-C | Note 11 |
| 157 | -- | -- | -- |
| 1 | -- | -- | -- |
| 2 | 6-37 | Text | Note 12 |
| 3 | 1-6 | Text | Note 12 |
| 4 | 1-3 | B | Note 13 |
| 4 | 4-9 | A | Note 13 |
| 4 | 10-12 | Data | Note 13 |
| 4 | 13-20 | A | Note 13 |
| 4 | 21-23 | Data | Note 13 |
| 5 | 1-8 | A,B | Note 13 |
| 6 | 1-39 | Text | Note 13 |
| 7 | 1-19 | Text | Note 13 |
| 8 | 1-15 | B | Note 13 |
| 9 | 4,5,8,9,12, 13,15-17 | Data | Note 13 |
| 10 | 1-32 | Text, Data | Note 13 |
| 11 | 1-25 | Text, Data | Note 13 |
| 12 | 3 | Data | Note 13 |
| 12 | 6,7 | Data | Note 13 |
| 12 | 9,10 | Data | Note 13 |
| 12 | 11,12,16 | Data | Note 13 |
| 12 | 20,21 | K-Q | Note 13 |
| 13 | 3,4 | A | Note 3 |
| 13 | 1,5-13 | B | Note 3 |
| 14 | 1-9 | A-G | Note 13 |
| 14 | 10-12 | E-G | Note 13 |
| 15 | 3-19 | Data | Note 13 |
| 16-18 | - | - | - |
| 19 | 1-22 | A | Note 14 |

| Bates No. | Line | Row | Justification |
|-----------|-----------|------|---------------|
| 20 | 1-27 | A, B | Note 14 |
| 21 | -- | -- | -- |
| 22 | 4, 5 | Data | Note 1 |
| 23 | -- | -- | -- |
| 24, 25 | 1-21 | B | Note 2 |
| | 1-22 | C, D | Note 2 |
| | 23 | Data | Note 2 |
| 26 | -- | -- | -- |
| 27 | 1-19 | A-C | Note 15 |
| 28 | 1-18 | A-C | Note 15 |
| 29 | 1-19 | A-K | Note 15 |
| 30 | -- | -- | -- |
| 31 | -- | -- | -- |
| 32 | 1-10 | A, B | Note 16 |
| 33 | -- | -- | -- |
| 34 | 50011000- | B | Note 17 |
| | 50025145 | | |
| 35 | 50025146- | B | Note 17 |
| | 50811201 | | |
| 36 | 50811300- | B | Note 17 |
| | 50841401 | | |
| 37 | 50842100- | B | Note 17 |
| | 52306400 | | |
| 38 | 52401000- | B | Note 17 |
| | 53020000 | | |
| 39 | 50011000- | B | Note 17 |
| | 50601102 | | |
| 40 | 50601103 | B | Note 17 |
| | 50825000 | | |
| 41 | 50825500- | B | Note 17 |
| | 51214002 | | |
| 42 | 51214003- | B | Note 17 |
| | 53015600 | | |
| 43 | -- | -- | -- |
| 44 | 1-9 | A, B | Note 18 |
| 45 | -- | -- | -- |
| 46 | -- | -- | -- |
| 47 | 1-14 | Text | Note 18a |
| 48 | 1-29 | Text | Note 18a |
| 49 | 1-12 | Text | Note 18a |
| 50 | 1-16 | Text | Note 18a |
| 51 | 1-16 | Text | Note 18a |
| 52 | 1-8 | Text | Note 18a |
| 53 | 1-23 | Text | Note 18a |
| 54 | 1-11 | Text | Note 18a |
| 55 | 1-44 | Text | Note 18a |
| 56 | -- | -- | -- |
| 57 | 1-8 | Text | Note 18a |
| 58 | 1-20 | Text | Note 18a |
| 59 | 1-15 | Text | Note 18a |
| 60 | -- | -- | -- |
| 61 | 1-12 | Text | Note 18a |
| 62 | 1-26 | Text | Note 18a |
| 63 | -- | -- | -- |

| Bates No. | Line | Row | Justification |
|-----------|---------|------|---------------|
| 64 | 1-12 | Text | Note 18a |
| 65 | 1-23 | Text | Note 18a |
| 66 | 1-32 | Text | Note 18a |
| 67 | 1-14 | Text | Note 18a |
| 68 | 8,9,11 | C | Note 18a |
| 68 | 2,4,5,6 | A,B | Note 18a |
| 69 | -- | -- | -- |
| 70 | 1-8 | Text | Note 18a |
| 71 | 1-32 | Text | Note 18a |
| 72 | 1-46 | Text | Note 18a |
| 73 | 1-39 | Text | Note 18a |
| 74 | -- | -- | -- |
| 75 | 1-5 | A | Note 18a |
| 76 | 1-33 | Text | Note 18a |
| 77 | 1-37 | Text | Note 18a |
| 78 | 1-9 | Text | Note 18a |
| 79 | 1-5 | A | Note 18a |
| 80 | 1-5 | A | Note 18a |
| 81 | -- | -- | -- |
| 82 | -- | -- | -- |
| 83 | 1-24 | A-H | Note 19 |
| 84 | -- | -- | -- |
| 85 | 1-32 | Text | Note 20 |
| 86 | 1-40 | Text | Note 20 |
| 87 | 1-36 | Text | Note 20 |
| 88 | 1-12 | Text | Note 20 |
| 89 | -- | -- | -- |
| 90 | 1-31 | Text | Note 20 |
| 91 | 1-40 | Text | Note 20 |
| 92 | 1-36 | Text | Note 20 |
| 93 | 1-12 | Text | Note 20 |
| 94-95 | -- | -- | -- |
| 96 | 1-18 | Text | Note 20 |
| 97 | 1-41 | Text | Note 20 |
| 98 | 1-15 | Text | Note 20 |
| 99 | -- | -- | -- |
| 100 | 1-17 | Text | Note 20 |
| 101 | 1-46 | Text | Note 20 |
| 102 | -- | -- | -- |
| 103 | 1-6 | Text | Note 20 |
| 104 | 1-24 | Text | Note 20 |
| 105 | 1-23 | Text | Note 20 |
| 106 | 1-10 | Text | Note 20 |
| 107-108 | -- | -- | -- |
| 158-160 | -- | -- | -- |
| 161 | 13 | Text | Note 21 |
| 162 | -- | -- | -- |
| 163 | 1-9 | B | Note 22 |
| 164 | -- | -- | -- |

Note 1: This interrogatory calls for cost data for local interconnection. Under price regulation, which the Companies have elected, the prices for services like local interconnection will be set via negotiation at market prices based on competitive factors. Cost data like this, and especially incremental cost data, constitutes valuable financial data, the disclosure of which will harm the Companies by making this data available to competitors and potential interconnectors at no cost. Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making sensitive cost data available to potential interconnectors during the negotiation process. Therefore, disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard their cost data jealously, and competitors and potential interconnectors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing the Companies' estimate of their own incremental cost would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 2: This information is the Companies' estimate of the cost of a business and residential local loop by distance and weighted as to the probability of having a particular loop length. It also shows the average loop length for a business and residential loop (line 23). This information as provided to the parties in response to questions about the LIRC and TSLIRC cost of a local loop or dial tone line. Under price regulation, which the Companies have elected, the prices for services unbundled network elements like loops and ports will be set via negotiation at market prices based on competitive factors. Cost data like this, and especially incremental cost data, constitutes valuable financial data, the disclosure of which will harm the Companies by making this data available to competitors and potential interconnectors at no cost. Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making sensitive cost data available to potential interconnectors during the negotiation process. Therefore, disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard their cost data jealously, and competitors and potential interconnectors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing the Companies' estimate of their own incremental cost would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 3: This information is the Companies' estimate of the cost of a business and residential service (R-1 and B-1). This information as provided to the parties in response to questions about the LIRC and TSLIRC cost of basic residential and business service. Under

price regulation, which the Companies have elected, the Companies will be subject to local exchange competition for certain residential and business services. Some of this competition may occur via competitors demanding unbundled network elements like loops and ports, the price for which be set via negotiation at market prices based on competitive factors. If competitors know the Companies' incremental cost for providing services, they will be able to make intelligent pricing decisions calculated to harm, the Companies. Additionally, competitors will be able to make informed decisions about whether to enter a market to compete with the Companies. Cost data like this, and especially incremental cost data about the Companies' cost of providing residential and business service, constitutes valuable financial data, the disclosure of which will harm the Companies by making this data available to competitors and potential interconnectors at no cost. Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making sensitive cost data available to potential interconnectors during the negotiation process. Disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard their cost data jealously, and competitors and potential interconnectors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing the Companies' estimate of their own incremental cost would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 4: This information is the Companies' estimate of the cost of switching. It shows line termination costs, trunk costs, transport costs and other important costs. This information as provided to the parties in response to questions about cost studies underlying the Companies estimates of the LIRC and TSLIRC cost of basic residential and business service, switching, terminating calls, loops, etc. Under price regulation, which the Companies have elected, the Companies will be subject to local exchange competition for certain residential and business services. Some of this competition may occur via competitors demanding to interconnect with the Companies' network and demanding unbundled network elements like loops and ports, the price for both of which be set via negotiation at market prices based on competitive factors. If competitors know the Companies' incremental cost for providing the components of its various services, they will be able to make intelligent pricing decisions calculated to harm, the Companies. Additionally, competitors will be able to make informed decisions about whether to enter a market to compete with the Companies. Cost data like this, and especially incremental cost data about the Companies' cost of providing residential and business service, constitutes valuable financial data, the disclosure of which will harm the Companies by making this data available to competitors and potential interconnectors at no cost. Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making sensitive cost data available to potential interconnectors during the negotiation process. Disclosure to the public would put the

Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard their cost data jealously, and competitors and potential interconnectors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing the Companies' estimate of their own incremental cost would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 5: This data is part of a 1986 study done by United regarding local usage in Florida. The study is a comprehensive evaluation of local usage, and includes statistics regarding calling frequency, minutes of use, call duration, EAS calling, time of day of calling and other miscellaneous information about local calling patterns. While this information is several years old, it shows details about customer consumption patterns for United's customers. This kind of information is the kind of information competitors would like to have when determining whether, how and where to compete for local exchange customers with United. It is marketing data showing customer behavior patterns and would be very valuable to potential competitors seeking to compete with United and Centel.

This particular information show the average numbers of calls placed and the percentages of local and EAS calls. It shows the number of customers making more and less calls than average. It shows this data by class of service, i.e., R1, B1, Key, ROT, PBX and total. It contains a graph which shows call distribution and other analytical data about call frequency.

Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making valuable customer behavior data available to potential competitors at no cost, when the same information from competitors is not available to the Companies. Thus, disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard customer behavior data jealously, and competitors and potential competitors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing information about the behavior patterns and calling tendencies of the Companies' customers would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. Since this information about competitors is not publicly available for use by the Companies, the Companies would have to spend considerable resources to estimate this information for their competitors. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 6: This data is part of a 1986 study done by United regarding local usage in Florida. The study is a comprehensive evaluation of local usage, and includes statistics regarding calling frequency, minutes of use, call duration, EAS calling, time of day of calling

and other miscellaneous information about local calling patterns. While this information is several years old, it shows details about customer consumption patterns for United's customers. This kind of information is the kind of information competitors would like to have when determining whether, how and where to compete for local exchange customers with United. It is marketing data showing customer behavior patterns and would be very valuable to potential competitors seeking to compete with United and Centel.

This particular information show average minutes of use for local calling, and the relative percentages of local and EAS calling. It shows the number of customers using more or less MOU than average. It shows this data by class of service, i.e., R1, B1, Key, ROT, PBX and total. It contains a graphs and charts which shows call distribution and other analytical data about call frequency on a MOU basis.

Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making valuable customer behavior data available to potential competitors at no cost, when the same information from competitors is not available to the Companies. Thus, disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard customer behavior data jealously, and competitors and potential competitors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing information about the behavior patterns and calling tendencies of the Companies' customers would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. Since this information about competitors is not publicly available for use by the Companies, the Companies would have to spend considerable resources to estimate this information for their competitors. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 7: This data is part of a 1986 study done by United regarding local usage in Florida. The study is a comprehensive evaluation of local usage, and includes statistics regarding calling frequency, minutes of use, call duration, EAS calling, time of day of calling and other miscellaneous information about local calling patterns. While this information is several years old, it shows details about customer consumption patterns for United's customers. This kind of information is the kind of information competitors would like to have when determining whether, how and where to compete for local exchange customers with United. It is marketing data showing customer behavior patterns and would be very valuable to potential competitors seeking to compete with United and Centel.

This particular information show average call duration (minutes of use per call) for local calling, and the relative percentages of local and EAS calling. It shows the number of customers with durations greater and less than average. It shows this data by class of service, i.e., R1, B1, Key, ROT, PBX and total, and by exchange. It contains a graphs and charts which

shows call distribution and other analytical data about call frequency on a duration basis.

Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making valuable customer behavior data available to potential competitors at no cost, when the same information from competitors is not available to the Companies. Thus, disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard customer behavior data jealously, and competitors and potential competitors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing information about the behavior patterns and calling tendencies of the Companies' customers would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. Since this information about competitors is not publicly available for use by the Companies, the Companies would have to spend considerable resources to estimate this information for their competitors. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 8: This data is part of a 1986 study done by United regarding local usage in Florida. The study is a comprehensive evaluation of local usage, and includes statistics regarding calling frequency, minutes of use, call duration, EAS calling, time of day of calling and other miscellaneous information about local calling patterns. While this information is several years old, it shows details about customer consumption patterns for United's customers. This kind of information is the kind of information competitors would like to have when determining whether, how and where to compete for local exchange customers with United. It is marketing data showing customer behavior patterns and would be very valuable to potential competitors seeking to compete with United and Centel.

This particular information show call information by time of day, and peak calling activity. It contains a graphs and charts which shows call distribution and other analytical data about calling patterns by time of day.

Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making valuable customer behavior data available to potential competitors at no cost, when the same information from competitors is not available to the Companies. Thus, disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard customer behavior data jealously, and competitors and potential competitors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing information about the behavior patterns and calling tendencies of the Companies' customers would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. Since this information about competitors is not publicly available for use by the Companies, the Companies would have to spend considerable

resources to estimate this information for their competitors. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 9: This data is part of a 1986 study done by United regarding local usage in Florida. The study is a comprehensive evaluation of local usage, and includes statistics regarding calling frequency, minutes of use, call duration, EAS calling, time of day of calling and other miscellaneous information about local calling patterns. While this information is several years old, it shows details about customer consumption patterns for United's customers. This kind of information is the kind of information competitors would like to have when determining whether, how and where to compete for local exchange customers with United. It is marketing data showing customer behavior patterns and would be very valuable to potential competitors seeking to compete with United and Centel.

This particular information show miscellaneous calling information such as custom calling service subscribership and amount of toll calling by class of service, i.e., R1, B1, Key, ROT, PBX and total, and by exchange. It contains a graphs and charts which shows miscellaneous call information and other analytical data about local calling.

Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making valuable customer behavior data available to potential competitors at no cost, when the same information from competitors is not available to the Companies. Thus, disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard customer behavior data jealously, and competitors and potential competitors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing information about the behavior patterns and calling tendencies of the Companies' customers would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. Since this information about competitors is not publicly available for use by the Companies, the Companies would have to spend considerable resources to estimate this information for their competitors. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 10: This data is part of a 1986 study done by United regarding local usage in Florida. The study is a comprehensive evaluation of local usage, and includes statistics regarding calling frequency, minutes of use, call duration, EAS calling, time of day of calling and other miscellaneous information about local calling patterns. While this information is several years old, it shows details about customer consumption patterns for United's customers. This kind of information is the kind of information competitors would like to have when determining whether, how and where to compete for local exchange customers with United. It is marketing data showing customer behavior patterns and would be very

valuable to potential competitors seeking to compete with United and Centel.

This particular information show average minutes of use for local calling, and the relative percentages of local and EAS calling. It shows this data by class of service, i.e., R1, B1, Key, ROT, PBX and total. It is a summary of much of the information discussed in notes 6 through 9.

Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making valuable customer behavior data available to potential competitors at no cost, when the same information from competitors is not available to the Companies. Thus, disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard customer behavior data jealously, and competitors and potential competitors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing information about the behavior patterns and calling tendencies of the Companies' customers would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. Since this information about competitors is not publicly available for use by the Companies, the Companies would have to spend considerable resources to estimate this information for their competitors. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 11: These pages reflect a summary of local billable services by type of local access line service in both units and revenues, both in total and by rate group, and for certain specific features like touch tone. This information shows the extent to which United is participating in the market for local exchange services by type of service and the amount of revenue generated by each type of service. As such, it shows valuable market share and market size information for the Companies' operations.

Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making valuable market share data available to potential competitors at no cost, when the same information from competitors is not available to the Companies. Thus, disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard market share information, such as numbers of customer and revenues by customer class and type of service, jealously, and competitors and potential competitors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing this type of information about the Companies' market share and revenue profile would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. Since this information about competitors is not publicly available for use by the Companies, the Companies would have to spend considerable resources to estimate this information for their competitors. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this

data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 12: These two pages summarize the Companies' arrangements with Bellcore for the use of one of its licensed costing models. It shows terms and conditions of a business relationship. Disclosure to the public would harm the Companies by making it difficult for the Companies to contract for similar goods and services on favorable terms in the future.

Note 13: This data is cost support for the cost of originating or terminating a call for local interconnection purposes. It relates to the answer to Staff's First Set of Interrogatories, No. 1, MFS-FL's First Interrogatories, No. 5 and MCImetro's First Interrogatories, No. 1. It was produced in response to MCImetro's First POD and Staff's First POD. These pages show the derivation of LIRC costs for interoffice and local tandem, as well as the assumptions implicit in the models and data used to compute those costs. It shows cost derivations for interoffice set up, SS7 set up, and local transport, as well as assumptions and data used to compute trunk side termination costs.

Under price regulation, which the Companies have elected, the Companies will be subject to local exchange competition for certain residential and business services. Some of this competition may occur via competitors demanding to interconnect with the Companies' network and demanding unbundled network elements like loops and ports, the price for both of which be set via negotiation at market prices based on competitive factors. If competitors know the Companies' incremental cost for providing the components of its various services, they will be able to make intelligent pricing decisions calculated to harm, the Companies. Additionally, competitors will be able to make informed decisions about whether to enter a market to compete with the Companies. Cost data like this, and especially incremental cost data about the Companies' component costs of providing residential and business service, constitutes valuable financial data, the disclosure of which will harm the Companies by making this data available to competitors and potential interconnectors at no cost. Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making sensitive cost data available to potential interconnectors during the negotiation process. Disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard their cost data jealously, and competitors and potential interconnectors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing the Companies' estimate of their own incremental cost would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 14: These pages show customer lists for mobile interconnection for United and Centel. It shows which companies

are interconnecting with United and Centel for mobile interconnection. In the new competitive environment, LEC and ALEC may be competing for the right to provide mobile interconnection services to customers like these.

These lists are valuable marketing information. These pages show valuable marketing information for the Companies' operations. Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making valuable marketing data available to potential competitors at no cost, when the same information from competitors is not available to the Companies. Thus, disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard marketing information, such as numbers and names of customers, jealously, and competitors and potential competitors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing this type of information about the Companies' customers would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. Since this information about competitors is not publicly available for use by the Companies, the Companies would have to spend considerable resources to estimate this information for their competitors. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 15: These schedules show directory distribution costs for the Companies by area served. Cost data like this constitutes valuable financial data, the disclosure of which will harm the Companies by making this data available to competitors and potential interconnectors at no cost. Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making sensitive cost data available to potential interconnectors during the negotiation process. Therefore, disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard their cost data jealously, and competitors and potential interconnectors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing the Companies' estimate of their own incremental cost would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 16: This schedule shows the relative amount of copper and fiber in the Companies' networks, by major account group. This type of information is valuable engineering data, insofar as it can be used to make informed judgements about how the Companies' networks are configured and how it might be developed in the future. In the competitive environment, engineering information like this will be used to gauge the technical capabilities of competitors and how to effectively compete with them.

Engineering data like this constitutes valuable competitive data, the disclosure of which will harm the Companies by making this data available to competitors and potential interconnectors at no cost. Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making sensitive engineering data available to potential interconnectors during the negotiation process. Therefore, disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market can be expected to guard their engineering data jealously, and competitors and potential interconnectors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing the Companies' network configuration, i.e., amount of fiber in network, would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 17: These pages reflect revenues by detailed FCC account numbers in dollars. It shows revenue by class of customer, type of service and, in some cases, by geographic area. This information shows the extent to which United is participating in the market for local exchange services by type of service and the amount of revenue generated by each type of service. As such, it shows valuable market share and market size information for the Companies' operations.

Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making valuable market share data available to potential competitors at no cost, when the same information from competitors is not available to the Companies. Thus, disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard market share information, such as numbers of customer and revenues by customer class and type of service, jealously, and competitors and potential competitors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing this type of information about the Companies' market share and revenue profile would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. Since this information about competitors is not publicly available for use by the Companies, the Companies would have to spend considerable resources to estimate this information for their competitors. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 18: This information shows the Companies' estimate of the cost of access charges. It shows, by rate element, the related costs, such as local channel, switched common transport, facilities termination, tandem switching, etc. This information as provided to the MFS in response to a question about access rates and related costs (No. 47). Under price regulation, which the Companies have

elected, the Companies will be subject to local exchange competition for certain residential and business services. Some of this competition may occur via competitors demanding to interconnect with the Companies' network and demanding unbundled network elements like loops and ports, the price for both of which be set via negotiation at market prices based on competitive factors. If competitors know the Companies' incremental cost for providing the components of its various services, they will be able to make intelligent pricing decisions calculated to harm, the Companies. Additionally, competitors will be able to make informed decisions about whether to enter a market to compete with the Companies. Cost data like this, and especially incremental cost data about the Companies' cost of providing residential and business service, constitutes valuable financial data, the disclosure of which will harm the Companies by making this data available to competitors and potential interconnectors at no cost. Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making sensitive cost data available to potential interconnectors during the negotiation process. Disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard their cost data jealously, and competitors and potential interconnectors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing the Companies' estimate of their own incremental cost would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 18a: These pages are copies of contracts with other LECs on directory assistance services and toll center services. These pages show the exact terms and conditions (including price), and actual contract language, for those services. As competition develops, there may be more than one provider for these services and competition is expected in these areas. Disclosure to the public would harm the Companies by making it difficult for the Companies to contract for similar goods and services on favorable terms in the future.

Note 19: These studies show the relative amount of traffic terminating on various LEC networks as a result of EAS arrangements. It shows that traffic is not in balance. It also shows details about customer consumption patterns for United's customers. This kind of information is the kind of information competitors would like to have when determining whether, how and where to compete for local exchange customers with United. It is marketing data showing customer behavior patterns and would be very valuable to potential competitors seeking to compete with United and Centel.

Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making valuable customer behavior data available to potential competitors at no cost, when the same information from competitors is not available to the Companies. Thus, disclosure to the public would

put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard customer behavior data jealously, and competitors and potential competitors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing information about the behavior patterns and calling tendencies of the Companies' customers would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. Since this information about competitors is not publicly available for use by the Companies, the Companies would have to spend considerable resources to estimate this information for their competitors. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 20: These pages are copies of contracts with other LECs on extended area services and toll center services. These pages show the exact terms and conditions (including price), and actual contract language, for those services. As competition develops, there may be more than one provider for these services and competition is expected in these areas. Disclosure to the public would harm the Companies by making it difficult for the Companies to contract for similar goods and services on favorable terms in the future.

Note 21: This data point shows average revenue per access line per month. This information shows the extent to which United is participating in the market for local exchange services, and, together with the costs information provided in this packet, can be used to estimate profitability for United/Centel and potential competitors. As such, this data point is valuable market information for the Companies' operations.

Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making valuable market share data available to potential competitors at no cost, when the same information from competitors is not available to the Companies. Thus, disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard market share information, such as numbers of customer and revenues by customer class and type of service, jealously, and competitors and potential competitors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing this type of information about the Companies' market share and revenue profile would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. Since this information about competitors is not publicly available for use by the Companies, the Companies would have to spend considerable resources to estimate this information for their competitors. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

Note 22: This information shows the Companies' estimate of the cost of access charges. It shows, by rate element, the related costs, such as local channel, switched common transport, facilities termination, tandem switching. etc. This information as provided to the Staff in response to a question about access rates and related costs (No. 20). Under price regulation, which the Companies have elected, the Companies will be subject to local exchange competition for certain residential and business services. Some of this competition may occur via competitors demanding to interconnect with the Companies' network and demanding unbundled network elements like loops and ports, the price for both of which be set via negotiation at market prices based on competitive factors. If competitors know the Companies' incremental cost for providing the components of its various services, they will be able to make intelligent pricing decisions calculated to harm, the Companies. Additionally, competitors will be able to make informed decisions about whether to enter a market to compete with the Companies. Cost data like this, and especially incremental cost data about the Companies' cost of providing residential and business service, constitutes valuable financial data, the disclosure of which will harm the Companies by making this data available to competitors and potential interconnectors at no cost. Disclosure of this data would harm the Companies by making sensitive cost data available to potential interconnectors during the negotiation process. Disclosure to the public would put the Companies at a competitive disadvantage in the marketplace. Entities operating in a competitive, unregulated market guard their cost data jealously, and competitors and potential interconnectors must spend a considerable amount of money to estimate this type of data, if they can do so at all. Knowing the Companies' estimate of their own incremental cost would allow a competitor to make informed negotiating decisions as well as decisions about whether to compete and/or what price to charge for certain services. The disadvantage that would be created by public disclosure of this data would harm the Companies; therefore, the information should be deemed proprietary confidential business information.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U. S. Mail or hand delivery (*) or overnight express (**) this 11th day of March, 1996, to the following:

Donna Canzano *
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Comm.
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd., Rm 370
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Donald L. Crosby *
Continental Cablevision, Inc.
Southeastern Region
7800 Belfort Parkway, Suite 270
Jacksonville, FL 32256-6925

Anthony P. Gillman *
Kimberly Caswell
GTE Florida Incorporated
Post Office Box 110, FLTC0007
Tampa, FL 31601-0110

Steven D. Shannon
MCI Metro Access Transmission
Svcs., Inc.
2250 Lakeside Blvd.
Richardson, TX 75082

Leslie Carter
Digital Media Partners
1 Prestige Place, Suite 255
2600 McCormack Drive
Clearwater, FL 34619-1098

Rich Rindler *
Swidler & Berlin, Chartered
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007

David Erwin
Young Van Assenderp et al.
Post Office Box 1833
Tallahassee, FL 32302-1833

Richard A. Gerstemeier
Time Warner AxS of FL, L.P.
2251 Lucien Way, Suite 320
Maitland, FL 32751-7023

Leo I. George
Lonestar Wireless of FL, Inc.
1146 19th Street, NW, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036

Robert S. Cohen *
Pennington Law Firm
Post Office Box 10095
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Patrick K. Wiggins
Wiggins & Villacorta, P.A.
Post Office Drawer 1657
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Andrew D. Lipman
Metropolitan Fiber Systems of
FL, Inc.
One Tower Lane, Suite 1600
Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181-
4630

Richard D. Melson *
Hopping Boyd Green et al.
Post Office Box 6526
Tallahassee, FL 32314

J. Phillip Carver
c/o Nancy H. Sims
BellSouth Telecommunications
150 S. Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee, FL 32301

John Murray
Payphone Consultants, Inc.
3431 NW 55th Street
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33309-6308

Patricia Kurlin
Intermedia Communications of FL
3625 Queen Palm Drive
Tampa, FL 33619

Gary T. Lawrence
City of Lakeland
501 East Lemon Street
Lakeland, FL 33801-5079

Jill Butler
Digital Media Partners/
Time Warner Communications
2773 Red Maple Ridge
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Graham A. Taylor
TCG South Florida
1001 W. Cypress Creek Rd.,
Suite 209
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33309-1949

Clay Phillips
Utilities & Telecommunications
Room 410
House Office Building
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Greg Krasovsky
Commerce & Economic
Opportunities
Room 4265
Senate Office Building
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Charles Beck
Office of Public Counsel
111 West Madison Street
Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Nels Roseland
Executive Office of the
Governor
Office of Planning & Budget
The Capitol, Room 1502
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Paul Kouroupas
Director, Regulatory Affairs
Teleport Communications Group
Two Teleport Drive, Suite 300
Staten Island, NY 10311

Floyd R. Self *
Messer, Caparello, et al.
Post Office Box 1876
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Michael W. Tye *
AT&T
101 N. Monroe Street
Suite 700
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Robin D. Dunson
1200 Peachtree Street, NE
Promenade I, Room 4038
Atlanta, GA 30309

Sue E. Weiske *
Time Warner Communications
160 Inverness Drive West
Englewood, CO 80112

Laura L. Wilson *
FCTA
310 North Monroe Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Ken Hoffman
Rutledge, Ecenia, et. al
215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 420
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1841

Jodie Donovan-May
Eastern Region Counsel
Teleport Communications Group
1133 21st Street, NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20036

Mark K. Logan
Bryant, Miller and Olive
201 S. Monroe Street, Suite 500
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Timothy Devine
Metropolitan Fiber Systems
6 Concourse Pkwy., Suite 2100
Atlanta, GA 30328


ATTORNEY