### PRIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMITTION

#### VOTE SHEET

DATE: May 19, 1997

RE: DOCKET NO. 961418-SU - Response to Commission order to show cause by Mad Hatter Utility, Inc. in Pasco County. (Deferred from the 4/1/97 Conference - Revised Recommendation.)

Issue 1: Should Mad Hatter Utilities, Inc.'s request for a waiver of that provision set forth in Order No. PSC-96-1226-FOF-WS requiring the utility to file the information required by Rule 25-30.425(1)(a) through (f), Florida Administrative Code, along with a calculation of the rate reduction, be granted?

<u>Recommendation:</u> Because staff was able to obtain the necessary and pertinent information from other independent sources, this issue is moot, and a vote on the utility's request is no longer required.

## **APPROVED**

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

#### COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY	DISSENTING
Taran Clark	A
Japan	
Jan Jan	
REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:	

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
04979 MAY 195

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Vote Sheet Docket No. 961418-SU May 19, 1997

Issue 2: Should the Commission accept the settlement proposal offered by Mad Hatter Utilities, Inc. on April 18, 1997?

Recommendation: Yes, the Commission should accept the settlement proposal offered by Mad Hatter Utilities, Inc. on April 18, 1997. The rates for the Foxwood and Turtle Lakes wastewater system should be reduced by \$70,000 or \$.52 per thousand gallons of wastewater treated on a prospective basis. The utility should submit revised tariffs and a proposed customer notice reflecting the appropriate rates and the reason for the reduction. The approved rates should be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. The rates should not be implemented until proof of notice has been received by the customers. The utility should provide proof of the date notice was given within 10 days after the date of the notice.

## **APPROVED**

Issue 3: Should Mad Hatter Utilities, Inc. be required to refund excess purchased wastewater costs collected from April 1, 1996 to the effective date of the new rates?

Recommendation: No. The utility did not meet or exceed the minimum of the range of its last authorized rate of return on equity for the Foxwood and Turtle Lakes wastewater systems; therefore, no reduction was required as a result of the pass-through decrease, and no refund is appropriate.

## **APPROVED**

Issue 4: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Upon expiration of the protest period, if a timely protest is not received from a substantially affected person, this docket should be closed.

# **APPROVED**