

Florida Power

WAS -

JAMES A. MCGEE SENIOR COUNSEL

May 20, 1997

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 970001-EI

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing in the subject docket are an original	final and fifteen copies
each of the Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Dario B. Zulo	aga and John Scardino, 05098 -97
Jr. on behalf of Florida Power Corporation.	05099-97
Please acknowledge your receipt of the above filing	g on the enclosed copy
of this letter and return to the undersigned. Also enclose	l is a 3.5 inch diskette

ACK of this letter and return to the und containing the above-referenced do your assistance in this matter.	eceipt of the above filing on the enclosed copy ersigned. Also enclosed is a 3.5 inch diskette cument in WordPerfect format. Thank you for
APP	Very truly yours,
CAF	Very many young
CMU	Jambleh L-
CIR	10/20
(EAG)	James A. McGee
LEG JAM/kp	
LIN 3+ng-Enclosure	
OPCcc: Parties of record	
RCH	
RECEIVED & FILED	

EPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 970001

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the Testimony and Exhibits of Dario B. Zuloaga and John Scardino, Jr. has been sent by regular U.S. mail to the following individuals this 20th day of May, 1997:

Matthew M. Childs, Esq. Steel, Hector & Davis 215 South Monroe, Ste. 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1804

Lee L. Willis, Esquire
James D. Beasley, Esquire
Macfarlane Ausley Ferguson
& McMullen
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302

G. Edison Holland, Jr., Esquire Jeffrey A. Stone, Esquire Beggs & Lane P. O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576-2950

Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esquire Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esquire McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson & Bakas 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Vicki D. Johnson, Esquire Sheila Erstling, Esquire Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 Norman Horton, Jr., Esquire Messer, Vickers, Caparello, Frend & Madsen P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Barry N. P. Huddleston Public Affairs Specialist Destec Energy, Inc. 2500 CityWest Blvd., Suite 150 Houston, TX 77210-4411

J. Roger Howe, Esquire Office of the Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street, Room 182 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Suzanne Brownless, Esquire 1311-B Paul Russell Road Suite 202 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Roger Yott, P.E. Air Products & Chemicals, Inc. 2 Windsor Plaza 2 Windsor Drive Allentown, PA 18195

John W. McWhirter, Jr.
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson & Bakas, P.A.
100 North Tampa Street, Suite 2800
Tampa, FL 33602-5126

Peter J. P. Brickfield Brickfield, Burchette & Ritte, P.C. 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, D.C. 20007

Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq.
William B. Willingham, Esq.
Rutledge, Ecenia, Underwood, Purnell
& Hoffman, P.A.
P.O. Box 551
Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551

Mr. Frank C. Cressman
President
Florida Public Utilities Company
P.O. Box 3395
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395

Mr. Don Bruegmann
Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc.
16313 No. Dale Mabry Highway
Tampa, FL 33688-2000

Attorney



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET No. 970001-EI

FINAL TRUE-UP AMOUNT OCTOBER 1996 THROUGH MARCH 1997

DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF JOHN SCARDINO, JR.

For Filing May 21, 1997

05099 MAY 21 %

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION DOCKET No. 970001-EI

Fuel and Capacity Cost Recovery Final True-up Amounts for October 1996 through March 1997

JOHN SCARDINO, JR.

- Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. My name is John Scardino, Jr. My business address is Post Office Box 14042, St. Petersburg, Florida 33733.
- Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- A. I am employed by Florida Power Corporation (Florida Power or the Company) in the capacity of Vice President and Controller. In addition, I also hold the position of Vice President and Controller of Florida Progress Corporation, the holding company of Florida Power Corporation.
- Q. Have the duties and responsibilities of your position with the Company remained the same since you last testified in this proceeding?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

- A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe the Company's Fuel Cost Recovery Clause final true-up amount for the period of October 1996 through March 1997, and the Company's Capacity Cost Recovery Clause final true-up amount for the same period.
- Q. Have you prepared exhibits to your testimony?
- A. Yes, I have prepared a three-page true-up variance analysis which examines the difference between the estimated fuel true-up and the actual period-end fuel true-up. This variance analysis is attached to my prepared testimony and designated Exhibit No. _____ (JS-1). Also attached to my prepared testimony and designated Exhibit No. _____ (JS-2) are the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause true-up calculations for the October 1996 through March 1997 period. Also, I will sponsor the applicable Schedules A1 through A9 for the period to date through March 1997, which have been previously filed with the Commission and are also attached to my prepared testimony for ease of reference and designated as Exhibit No. ____ (JS-3).
- Q. What is the source of the data which you will present by way of testimony or exhibits in this proceeding?
- A. Unless otherwise indicated, the actual data is taken from the books and records of the Company. The books and records are kept in the regular course of business in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and practices, and provisions of the Uniform System of Accounts as prescribed by this Commission.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Q. What is the Company's jurisdictional ending balance as of March 31, 1997 for fuel cost recovery?

- A. The actual ending balance as of March 31, 1997 for true-up purposes is an underrecovery of \$89,565,627
- Q. How does this amount compare to the Company's estimated ending balance included in the April 1997 through September 1997 period?
- A. When the estimated underrecovery of \$88,684,203 to be collected during the period of April 1997 through September 1997 is taken into account, the final true-up attributable to the six-month period ended March 31, 1997 is an underrecovery of \$881,424.
- Q. How was the final true-up ending balance determined?
- A. The amount was determined in the manner set forth on Schedule A2 of the Commission's standard forms previously submitted by the Company on a monthly basis but adjusted to remove the recoverable costs incurred by Florida Power associated with the recalculation of the firm energy price to Lake Cogen Limited which amounted to \$5.4 million on a retail basis and is subject to approval in Docket 961477.
- Q. What factors contributed to the period-ending jurisdictional underrecovery of \$89.6 million as shown on your Exhibit No. __(JS-1)?
- A. The primary reason for the fuel cost underrecovery was the unavailability of the Crystal River 3 nuclear plant (CR3). This and other

factors contributing to the underrecovery are summarized on Sheet 1 of 3. The actual jurisdictional kwh sales were lower than the original estimate by 278,531,661 KWH. This decrease in KWH sales, attributable to abnormally mild weather, resulted in lower jurisdictional fuel revenues of \$5.2 million, and lower fuel expense. The \$68.5 million unfavorable variance in jurisdictional fuel and purchased power expense was primarily attributable to the replacement fuel cost resulting from the extended CR3 outage and the settlement energy payment made to Pasco Cogen.

When the differences in jurisdictional revenues and jurisdictional fuel expenses are combined, the net result is an underrecovery of \$75.3 million related to the October 1996 through March 1997 time period. Other variances not directly related to the period include \$12.2 million underrecovery of prior period costs and \$2.1 million in interest. This results in the actual ending underrecovery balance of \$89.6. million, as of March 31, 1997.

- Q. Please explain the components shown on Exhibit No. ____ (JS-1), Sheet 2 of 3 which produced the \$72.3 million unfavorable system variance from the projected cost of fuel and net purchased power transactions.
- A. Sheet 2 of 3 shows an analysis of the system variance for each energy source in terms of three interrelated components: (1) changes in the amount (MWH's) of energy required; (2) changes in the <u>heat rate</u>, or

 efficiency, of generated energy (BTU's per KWH); and (3) changes in the <u>unit price</u> of either fuel consumed for generation (\$ per million BTU) or energy purchases and sales (cents per KWH).

- Q. What effect did these components have on the system fuel and net power variance for the true-up period?
- A. As can be seen from Sheet 2 of 3, variances in the amount of MWH requirements from each energy source (column B) combined to produce a cost increase of \$58.8 million. I will discuss this component of the variance analysis in greater detail below.

The heat rate variance for each source of generated energy (column C) did not produce a material variance.

A cost increase of \$13.5 million resulted from the price variance (column D), which was caused by a number of factors detailed on lines 1 through 17 of Sheet 2 of 3, of exhibit (JS-1). The most significant factors contributing to the unfavorable variance were increased oil and gas prices. Increased oil prices resulted from increased market demand for oil to replenish the industry's low inventories. Increased gas prices were attributable to the unusually cold winter in the northern United States. A favorable variance of \$3 million resulted from avoiding spent nuclear fuel disposal payments due to the extended outage of CR3. Another factor contributing to the variance was the energy price true-up for the period of August 1994 through September 1996 in the

 Pasco Cogen QF contract interpretation settlement. This produced a \$5.4 million unfavorable impact during this period. This change in the energy calculation methodology was approved in Docket 961407-EQ.

- Please explain the analysis shown on Sheet 3 of 3 of your Exhibit No. (JS-1).
- A. The analysis on Sheet 3 of 3 attempts to identify the effect that generation mix has on total net system fuel and purchased power cost. Although this interrelationship is generally understood to exist, it is not readily apparent from the individual variances contained in the Commission "A" Schedules or in the analysis presented on Sheet 2 of 3. For example, a decrease in the MWH requirements of nuclear generation shows up on Schedule A3 and on Sheet 2 of my exhibit as a cost decrease of \$11.1 million. While this may be correct in isolation, the true effect of decreased nuclear generation is obviously a corresponding increase in the MWH requirements of a number of other more costly energy sources. As seen on Sheet 3 of 3 Column D, the result is a higher net system cost of \$60.7 million even if total system MWH requirements remain unchanged.

In addition to the effect of variances in generation mix, this analysis also attempts to identify the independent effect of the <u>net</u> variance in total system MWH requirements from all energy sources combined (internal and external). In this true-up period, for example, total system requirements were lower than the original forecast by 340,184 MWH.

The analysis on Sheet 3 of 3 is made in two steps. The first, captioned

"MWH RECONCILIATION," allocates the MWH variances for the

individual energy sources shown in column B among the primary causal

variances in columns C through H. Since the causal variances identified

in this analysis are not all inclusive, the amount of any residual over- or

under-allocation is shown in column I, "Unallocated Variances." The

second step, captioned "COST RECONCILIATION," assigns a dollar

value to the MWH variances identified in step 1. This is done by

allocating the cost variances identified in column B of Sheet 2 for each

energy source (and shown again in column B of Sheet 3) among the

causal variances based on the MWH's allocated to each in step 1. As

mentioned above, the allocation of individual MWH and cost variances

to the various causes of those variances is not intended to be all

inclusive or precise. It is intended to be a representative approximation

of the exceedingly complex cause and effect relationship existing

among the individual and total MWH variances and their related cost

3

4

2

1

Q. Please explain how this analysis was performed.

17

18

19

21

variances.

22

23

24

Q. What were the major contributors to the \$58.8 million cost increase associated with the variance in MWH requirements?

- to reduce the unfavorable variance by \$6.8 million. The remaining \$65.6 million unfavorable increase is primarily caused by the use of higher cost generation and purchased power primarily to replace nuclear generation which resulted in approximately \$60.7 million of the total.
- Q. Has Florida Power performed a more rigorous analysis to quantify the actual replacement power costs attributable to the current extended outage of CR3 for the October 1996 through March 1997 true-up period?
- A. Yes. CR3's replacement power costs were calculated for the true-up period using PROMOD IV, the production costing model widely used throughout the industry. Unlike the more typical PROMOD projections, this analysis simulated the operation of the Florida Power system using only actual data to determine replacement power costs, including actual loads, plant maintenance, power purchases and sales, and fuel prices. The methodology employed is identical to that used in previous replacement power cost calculation performed by the Company and accepted by this Commission. This analysis resulted in replacement power costs for the true-up period of \$60.8 million, which is coincidently close to the amount determined by the less rigorous employed for variance analysis purposes.

6

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 Q. Has Florida Power provided the Commission with information regarding the cause and expected duration of the current extended outage of CR3?

Following the February 1997 hearings in this docket, the Yes. Commission directed that a separate spin-off docket be established to review the current outage of CR3 (Docket No. 970231-EI). Shortly thereafter on March 19, 1997, Florida Power filed a three-volume Preliminary Report and appendices describing the cause of the outage that began on September 2, 1996 and the circumstances that led to the decision in October 1996 to extend the outage in order to make certain equipment modifications in CR3's Engineered Safeguards systems necessary to increase the unit's safety margins. Preliminary Report also described other outage activities that would take place while these modifications are being performed, as well as an estimated time line for CR3's return to service by the end of 1997. At a workshop held on March 26, 1997, Florida Power made an oral presentation on the Preliminary Report and responded to questions by Staff. On April 14, 1997, Florida Power filed the prepared direct testimony of five witnesses who further elaborated on the cause of the extended outage and various related issues, with additional rebuttal testimony to be filed on May 27, 1997. During this period Florida Power has also responded to numerous interrogatories propounded by Staff and Public Counsel and has submitted over 100,000 pages of documents requested by the parties. Hearings have been scheduled in the spin-off docket for June 26 and 27, 1997, at which time the

- 4 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24

testimony and exhibits of the parties will be presented to the Commission.

- Does this six-month period's ending balance include any noteworthy adjustments to fuel expense as shown on exhibit (JS-3), Schedule A2, page 1 of 4, footnote to line 6b?
- Yes, Exhibit No. ____ (JS-3) shows other jurisdictional adjustments to Noteworthy adjustment include recovery of the fuel expense. Company's Intercession City Gas Conversion Projects and the pass through of Emission Allowance expense transactions.
- Did ratepayers benefit from the investment in the Intercession City Gas Conversion projects previously approved by the Commission?
- Yes. For this period, the estimated system fuel savings related to the conversion of Units 7 & 9 are \$1,602,525. The total system depreciation and return was \$320,031 resulting in a net system benefit to ratepayers of \$1,282,494. The estimated system fuel savings related to the conversion of Units 8 & 10 are \$1,176,469. The system depreciation and return was \$228,865 resulting in a net system benefit to ratepayers at \$947,604.
- Has the Company passed any sulfur dioxide emission allowance transactions through the current or prior periods fuel adjustment clause?

Yes, in prior six-month fuel adjustment clause periods, the Company has passed through \$749,499 of proceeds from the mandated EPA Sulfur Dioxide Emission Allowance Auction as a credit to fuel expense. This amount represents the auction proceeds for the years 1993 through 1996. Under the provisions of the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) of 1990 a percentage of Florida Power's allowances are withheld each year to populate a pool of allowances which EPA offers for sale at auction. Anyone can purchase but the real intent of the allowance pool was to ensure that allowances would be available for new units or new entrants to the energy market. Once these allowances are sold, proceeds are returned to the company which provided the allowances.

In the current six-month fuel adjustment clause period, the Company included \$743,750 of expense for the purchase of 8,500 EPA Sulfur Dioxide Emission Allowances. See (JS-3) Schedule A2, Page 1 of 4, Footnote to Line 6b. Florida Power looked ahead to the 2000 and beyond time period when we would need to hold sufficient allowances to cover our emissions. Projecting a deficit, Florida Power entered the SO2 market and purchased allowances at a price considerably below the cost of other compliance options. To fund the purchase Florida Power used the proceeds from the sale of allowances withheld. In the future Fiorida Power may purchase additional allowances depending on market conditions and the Company's SO2 compliance status.

Q. Were there any other unusual costs included in the current true-up period?

- A. Yes. In December 1996, Florida Power paid Procter and Gamble Paper Products Company \$583,000 to assume approximately 6,000 Mcf per day of firm natural gas transportation capacity via the Southern Natural Gas and South Georgia Natural Gas interstate pipelina systems, effective January 1, 1997. This amount was included in the cost of gas to the Suwannee Plant in December.
- Q. What was Florida Power's rationale for terminating the Southern & South Georgia Natural Gas contracts?
 - Florida Power owned a total of approximately 10,000 Mcf per day of firm transportation with fixed costs of approximately \$1,750,000 per year for the Suwannee Plant. Based on current price and fuel availability forecasts, Florida Power could lower its fuel costs by terminating the contracts. 4,000 Mcf per day of the Southern and South Georgia Natural Gas contract was swapped with the City of Tallahassee for Florida Gas Transmission firm transportation, where it may be more fully utilized. 6,000 Mcf was sold to Procter and Gamble. Florida Power expects to save approximately \$600,000 during 1997 by terminating the contracts, of which approximately \$216,000 has been achieved during this true-up period. Additional savings are expected annually beyond 1997.

- Q. Has Florida Power confirmed the validity of using the "short cut" method of determining the equity component of EFC's capital structure for calendar year 1996?
 - Yes. Florida Power's Audit Services department has reviewed the analysis performed by Electric Fuels Corporation (EFC). The revenue requirements under a full utility-type regulatory treatment methodology using the actual weighted average cost of debt and equity required to support Florida Power business was compared to revenues billed using equity based on 55% of net long term assets (short cut method). The analysis showed that for 1996, the short cut method resulted in revenues of \$273.1 million which were \$.3 million or .1% lower than revenues under the full utility-type regulatory treatment methodology. Florida Power continues to believe that this analysis confirms the appropriateness of the short cut method.

CAPACITY COST RECOVERY

- Q. What is the Company's jurisdictional ending balance as of March 31, 1997 for capacity cost recovery?
- A. The actual ending balance as of March 31, 1997 for true-up purposes is an underrecovery of \$2,826,552.
- Q. How does this amount compare to the Company's estimated ending balance to be included in the April 1997 through September 1997 period?

A. When the estimated overrecovery of \$1,247,824. to be refunded during the period of April through September 1997 is taken into account, the final true-up attributable to the six month period ended March 1997 period is an underrecovery of \$4,074,376.

- Q. Is this true-up calculation consistent with the true-up methodology used for the other cost recovery clauses?
- A. Yes. The calculation of the final net true-up amount follows the procedures established by this Commission as set forth on Commission Schedule A2 "Calculation of True-Up and Interest Provision" for the Fuel Cost Recovery Clause but adjusted to remove the recoverable costs incurred by Florida Power relating to the change in capacity rates and the buyout payments to Lake Cogen Limited which amounted to \$4.5 million which is subject to approval in Docket 961477.
- Q. What factors contributed to the actual period-end underrecovery of \$3 million?
- A. Exhibit No. ____ (JS-2), sheet 1 of 3, entitled "Capacity Cost Recovery Clause Summary of Actual True-Up Amount", compares the summary items from sheet 2 of 3 to the original forecast for the period. As can be seen from sheet 1, the actual jurisdictional capacity cost revenues were \$157,268 higher than forecast due to the kwh usage mix during the period being different then estimated. Net capacity expenses were \$3.2 million higher due to settlement payment to Pasco Cogen Limited

which were partially set-off by several cogenerators not meeting their contractual capacity factors.

- Q. What was the impact of the settlement payments associated with Pasco Cogeneration Limited in the actuals for the true-up period?
- A. The Company has included the costs associated with the Pasco Cogen Limited settlement agreement of \$4 million in actual results for the true-up period. This resulted from a change in the methodology in the calculation of capacity payments and the buyout of the last 67 months of the QF contract. The transaction was recorded in compliance with the Commission's order in Docket 961407-EQ
- Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes, it does

EXHIBITS TO THE TESTIMONY OF JOHN SCARDINO, JR.

Final True-Up Amount October 1996 through March 1997

VARIANCE ANALYSIS (JS-1)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
05099 HAY 21 5

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Florida Power Corporation
Docket No. 970001-EI
Witness: Scardino
Exhibit No. (JS-1)
Sheet 1 of 3

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION Fuel Adjustment Clause Summary of Final True-Up Amount October 1996 through March 1997

Line No.	Description	Contribution to Over/(Under) Recovery Period to Date
1	KWH Sales:	
2	Jurisdictional KWH Sales	(278,531,661)
3	Non-Jurisdictional KWH Sales	113,011,727
4	Total System KWH Sales	
5	Schedule A2, pg 2 of 4, Line C1 through C3	(165,519,934)
6		
7	System:	
8	Fuel and Net Purchased Power Costs - Difference	
9	Schedule A2, page 3 of 4, Line D4	\$ 72,342,271
10	Ochodala v. E., page o or v., ame o	
11	Jurisdictional:	
12	Fuel Revenues - Difference	
13	Schedule A2, page 3 of 4, Line D3	\$ (5,248,348)
14	School of Li, page 5 or 1, and 5	
15	True Up Provision for the Period Over/(Under)	
16	Collection - Estimated	
17	Schedule A2, page 3 of 4, Line D7	(1,496,974)
18		
19	Net Fuel Revenues	(6,745,322)
20		
21		
22	Fuel and Net Purchased Power Costs - Difference	
23	Schedule A2, page 3 of 4, Line D6 + D12	68,510,631
24		
25	True Up Amount for the Period	(75,255,953)
26		
27	True Up Revenues for the Prior Period - Actual	440 000 040
28	Schedule A2, page 3 of 4, Line D9+D10	(12,203,216)
29		
30	Interest Provision - Actual	(2.106.469)
31	Schedule A2, page 3 of 4, Line D8	(2,106,458)
32		
33	Actual True Up ending balance for the period	(89,565,627)
34	October through March 1997	(69,303,027)
35	E. J. A. J. T. J. L. and J. a. balance for the period included in	
36	Estimated True Up ending balance for the period included in	
37	filing of Levelized Fuel Cost Factors April through September 1997,	(88,684,203)
38	Docket No. 970001-El, Schedule E1-B, Sheet 1, Line 20	(00,004,203)
39 40	Final True Up for the period October 1996 through	
41	March 1997 (Line 36 - Line 40)	\$ (881,424)
٠.	markit 1991 (Line 99 - Line 79)	

Regulatory Accounting
D:TRUEUPMARCH87/FUELTRUP.XLS

5/19/97

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION
Docket No. 970001-EI
Witness: Scardino
Exhibit No. ____ (JS-1)
Sheet 2 of 3

FUEL AND NET POWER VARIANCE ANALYSIS FOR THE PERIOD OF: OCTOBER 1996 - MARCH 1997

	(A)	(B) MWH	(C) HEAT RATE	PRICE	(E)
	ENERGY SOURCE	VARIANCES	VARIANCES	VARIANCES	TOTAL
1	Heavy Oil	\$31,060,245	(\$76,855)	\$3,215,974	\$34,199,364
2	Light Oil	695,655	(760,893)	1,120,780	1,055,542
3	Coal	(4,617,503)	1,457,926	(277,607)	(3,437,184)
4	Gas	9,193,266	(572,430)	4,039,150	12,659,986
5	Nuclear	(11,111,606)	0	0	(11,111,606)
6	Other Fuel	0	0	0_	0
7	Total Generation	25,220,056	47,748	8,098,298	33,366,102
8	Firm Purchases	18,573,632	0	(1,409,523)	17,164,109
9	Economy Purchases	9,495,294	0	913,791	10,409,085
10	Schedule E Purchases	0	0	0	0
11	Qualifying Facilities	(3,246,247)	0	6,424,529	3,178,282
12	Total Purchases	24,822,678	0	5,928,798	30,751,476
13	Economy Sales	12,659,772	0	30,069	12,689,841
14	Other Power Sales	(5,273,419)	0	0	(5,273,419)
15	Supplemental Sales	1,321,700	0	1,651,603	2,973,303
16	Total Sales	8,708,053	0	1,681,672	10,389,725
17	Nuclear Fuel Disposal Cost	0	0	(3,013,932)	(3,013,932)
18	Nuclear Fuel Decom & Decon	0	0	2,615	2,615
19	Other Jurisdictional Adjustments				
10	Sch A2 Page 1 of 4 Line 6b	0	0	846,285	846,285
20	Total Fuel and Net Power	\$58,750,787	\$47,748	\$13,543,735	\$72,342,271

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION

Docket No. 970001-EI Witness: Scardino

Exhibit No.

(JS-1)

Sheet 3 of 3

RECONCILIATION OF VARIANCES IN MWH REQUIREMENTS FOR THE PERIOD: OCTOBER 1996 THROUGH MARCH 1997

				MWH	RECONCILIATIO	N .				
	(A)	(B) Mwh	(C) System Mwh	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
	Energy Source	Variances	Variances	Nuclear	Coal	Gas	Purchases	Sales	Unallocated	Total
1	Heavy Oil	1,197,907	47,626	1,060,517	180,527	(222,859)	144,903	(14,050)	1,243	1,197,907
2	Light Oil	11,288	(20,411)	16,117	36,105	(9,979)	33,752	(47,857)	3,560	11,288
3	Coal	(257,896)	(326,577)	728,501	(257,896)	0	(18,314)	(370,394)	(13,216)	(257,896)
4	Gas	332,625	0	0	0	332,625	0	0	0	332,625
5	Nuclear	(3,223,456)	0	(3,223,456)	0	0	0	0	0	(3,223,456)
6	Firm Purchases	959,831	(13,607)	999,271	2,579	(29,936)	1,524	0	0	959,831
7	Economy Purchases	362,870	(27,215)	419,049	38,684	(69,851)	2,202	0	0	362,870
8	Schedule E Purchases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	Qualifying Facilities	(164,067)	0	0	0	0	(164,067)	0	0	(164,067
10	Economy Sales	582,938	0	0	0	0	0	582,938	0	582,938
11	Other Power Sales	(201,383)	0	0	0	0	0	(201,383)	0	(201,383
12	Supplemental Sales	50,746	0	0	0	0	0	50,746	0	50,746
13	Total Mwh	(348,597)	(340,184)	0	0	0	0	0	(8,413)	(348,597
				cos	T RECONCILIATI	ON				127.02
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(1)	(J)
	Energy Source	Cost Variances	System Mwh Variances	Nuclear	Coal	Gas	Purchases	Sales	Unallocated	Total
-	Heavy Oil	31,060,245	1,234,877	27,497,893	4,680,847	(5,778,451)	3,757,149	(364,299)	32,229	31,060,245
	Light Oil	695,655	(1,257,887)	993,272	2,225,099	(614,968)	2,080,064	(2,949,321)	219,395	695,653
	3 Coal	(4,617,503)	(5,847,197)	13,043,460	(4,617,503)	(0.4,000)	(327,910)	(6,631,726)	(236,626)	
	4 Gas	9,193,266	(0,047,137)	0,040,400	0	9,193,266	(327,310)	(0,051,720)	(230,020)	(4,617,503
	Nuclear	(11,111,606)		(11,111,606)	0	0,100,200	0	0	0	9,193,266
	Firm Purchases	18,573,632	(263,315)	19,336,840	49,905	(579,295)	29,497	0	0	(11,111,606
	7 Economy Purchases	9,495,294	(712,133)	10,965,349	1,012,263	(1,827,812)	57,628		0	18,573,632
	Schedule E Purchases	0,450,254	(112,100)	10,000,040	0	(1,021,012)	0,020		0	9,495,294
	Qualifying Facilities	(3,246,247)	0	0	o	0	(3,246,247)		0	12 240 247
	[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2	12,659,772	0	0	0	0	(3,240,247)	12,659,772	0	(3,246,247
1		(5,273,419)	0	0	0	0	0	(5,273,419)		12,659,772
1.7	2 Supplemental Sales	1,321,700	0	0	0	0	0	1,321,700	0	(5,273,419
1	2 Supplemental Sales	1,321,700						1,321,700		1,321,700
		\$58,750,787	(\$6,845,656)	\$60,725,207	\$3,350,610	\$392,739	\$2,350,181	(\$1,237,293)		

EXHIBITS TO THE TESTIMONY OF JOHN SCARDINO, JR.

Final True-Up Amount October 1996 through March 1997

CALCULATION OF TRUE-UP (JS-2)

Florida Power Corporation
Docket No. 970001-EI
Witness: Scardino
Exhibit No. (JS-2)
Sheet 1 of 3

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION
Capacity Cost Recovery Clause
Summary of Actual True-Up Amount
October 1996 through March 1997
(In Dollars)

Line	Description		Actual		Original Estimate	v	ariance
No.	Description	-	Hotali				
2	Jurisdictional:						
3	Capacity Cost Recovery Revenues						
4	Sheet 2 of 3, Column G, Line 38	S 1	31,339,586	\$1	31,182,318	\$	157,268
5	Sheet 2 of 5, Column 6, Elife of						
6	Capacity cost Recovery Expenses						
7	Sheet 2 of 3, Column G, Line 34		34.361,919	1	31,182,318	\$ 3	3,179,601
8	Sheet 2 or 5, Coldina 6, Care 64				3.		
9	Plus/(Minus) Interest Provision						
10	Sheet 2 of 3, Column G, Line 40		195,781		217,890	\$	(22, 109)
11	Silect 2 of 5, Column 5, Line 45						
12	Sub Total Current Period Over/(Under) Recovery	\$	(2,826,552)	\$	217,890	\$(3	3,044,442)
13							
14	Prior Period True-up - April 1996 through						
15	September 1996 - Over/(Under) Recovery						
16	Sheet 2 of 3, Column G, Line 42		14,454,408	1.0	10,754,129	:	3,700,279
17							
18	Prior Period True-up (Refunded)/Collected						
19	Sheet 2 of 3, Column G, Line 43		(14,454,408)	(10,754,129)	(:	3,700,279)
20							
21	Actual True-up ending balance Over/(Under) recovery						
22	for the period October 1996 through March 1997						
23	Sheet 2 of 3, Column G, Line 45	\$	(2,826,552)	\$	217,890	\$(3	3,044,442)
24		1					
25	Estimated True-up ending balance for the				-141		
26	period included in the filing of Levelized						
27	Fuel Cost Factors April through September 1997						
28	Docket No. 97001 - E1, Part D,		NUMBER DIALNESS ASSESSED.				
29	Sheet 1 of 6, Line 34		1,247,824				
30							
31	Final True Up for the period October 1996 through						
32	March 1997 (Line 23 - Line 29)	\$	(4,074,376)				

FLENDA PONER COPYDIATION
CAPACITY COST NICOMENY CLAUSE
THAI 49 CALCILATION
FOR THE PENNOR OCTOREN 1996 THROUGH MARCH 1997

		Ľ	Ħ	=	×	¥		u	=	¥	u	z	Ħ	Ħ	=	Ħ	=	#	S	=	ä	r	5	4	=	ä	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	**	-	
often leads measure	The state of the s	Adjustment for Prior Cap Eas (baindefinealized Sabri	Salaring Bases Rate Carolite	Intermediate Land Jorisal St. Capacity Charges	Intermediate Production Jurisdict, Responsibility	Subtotal - Intermediate Level Capacity Charges	- 14	Schedule II Capacity Sules	UPS Purchase (400 total med	Intermediate Production Layed Capacity Charges 1800 Pears Pardness (50 and	Sees Level Articulational Capacity Charges	Base Production Autodictional Rasponshilly	Subtotal - Stee Level Capeality Charges	Tiger Bey (SerPest Issue credity)	Corpli Fertilizer Oxeditying Facility	Register Physiphetes - GF	Mathery Energy Chaddying Facility	Tenher Energy 2 Gueldhing Feelity	Tenhar Energy 1 Qualitying Feedby	High Generating Station Cauthying Facility	Pares Eagus	Pasca Cages Guidiping Facility	Orlanda Cages Gastiying Facility	Grange Cages Limited	Latin Coppes Of	El Derado (Aubarudalo Gas/Bying Facility	Plendins County Of	Pages County Of	Lake County Of	Dada County Qualifying Facility	UFC Authorschafe GF	General Past Gualifying Facility	Eas Past Of	Bay County Of	Stan Predection Level Capacity Charges: U.S. Age Communic Cop.	Danssigdon

Sobing Dan Rate Codes Adjustment for Pair Cap Exp Quindetinesdand Sobing 06558 Jurisdictional Capacity Charges	Valental - Intermeliete Level Capacity Chaque Intermeliate Probotion Juriolist. Bayonnhility Intermediate Level Juriolist. Capacity Chaque	Intermediate Production Lavel Copesity Charges: 1820 Passer Perduses 50 mai UPS Perduses 1600 total med Schedule II Copesity Soles

	=	=	Ħ
,	,	9	
l	3	ı	1
Ċ	Ł	ē	8
;	Ŧ	9	3
Ļ	ş	ı	1
۶	Ł	Ě	ř
ŀ	•	Ţ	L
l		ĸ	ŧ.
ŀ		Ē	2
ı		þ	X.
١		-	

2	6		=	8		
Other	Prior Period Tree-Up Collected((Rehanded) Correlative	True Up & Interest Prevision (Jaginalog)	Current Cycle Balance (line 37 + line 38) Consulative	biterest Prevision for the Month	Tree Up Precision - OraclUbries Steamery Size 34 - See 32)	but of lad James films 23 through 24

Ĭ	E
ì	Ī
į	E
į	į
-	Ì
	2
	I
	H
	1
	(Under

Revised to Exclude Lake Copen Settlement Payment

Marie Mari	No. 1 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Total Control						CHEST
Ba	No.	117 014 657	KS8 8C8 CH	1215.00	15, 100, 305	17,158,345	10,000,000	113,962,864
No. No. No.	Deciming		•	•	9			•
Ba H H H H H H H H H	No.	(14.654.6)	114.654.600	(12,945,340)	(0,000,272)	(7,227,T)4Q	DC1,010,33	(2,400,000)
B	No.	14640	1454.408	14,454,408	14,654,409	14.654.658	14,654,400	1464.03
Part	No.	2.124.55	12,824,552)	(1,103,036)	340,740	(00,050)	360,687	1,817,354
No.	No.	M. 301	No. of Co., or other Persons in co.	H CO	28.283	40,047	53,982	67,663
	N		11 (7) (6)	CON MAN II		1678 1879	11510.250	1,762.67
No.	No.	BERCHO	29,441,788	21,237,008	22,910,583	28,882,883	22,029,300	23,629,638
N	N 108	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR						
No.	N	менян	2,400,000	2,400,000	2,400,000	2,439,009	2,400,908	2,400,000
No. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	N 142.00	118,885,17	18,612,720	18,827,838	20,501,435	11,403,815	HLEHAU.	21,410,000
No. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	No	RETREME	22,098,847	22,793,813	22,522,988	21,363,876	23,538,718	22,975,307
N	No	MIZZELI	CH2.538	GREFICE	(322,143)	297,58 0	Brne o	DESTACE.
No.	N Id	-						
Decision	No. 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	72.818.67	MEMA	3,121,010	(10,017	Define?	CITIZE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	(LINLING)
No.	No.	STLTR	M(5)#1,5	3,967,487	ESB 700'S	(162,049	100,000	\$100,000 \$100,000
No.	No.	per'rel	(See/7)	-		1	1	
No.	N	23,402,74	C117,000	2,000,000	0.510	CORP.	200	0.810
No.	No.	2,829,21	1471,387	(OL)(0)	671,367	CHECAN P	4/1,34/	0/1/30/
No. 1995 1	No.				i			
N	Deciding	112,586,52	10,172,028	19,5000,5000	18,787,924	17,000,742	18,701,231	19,216,600
N	BAI 1494 1495 1497 1497 1497 1497 1499 141,200	117.10	94.711%	MATER	94.717%	84,711%	SHICH	94.717%
December	Decl. 1995 149	15150 Bill	10, 100, 010	21,000,808	10,047,007	13,000,002	20,001,410	10,233,000
Decided Deci	Decided 1986 1987 1987 1987 1987 1988	MINE I	MAC/125	100 101	100 mm	150 BB3	100 M	100.000
Name Page	Direct D	1,657,00	875,964	708,870	843,058	800,000	652,050	853,536
N I Id I	December	11,000,11	7,007,003	CESTICAT	L/36,741	1,786,741	1,786,741	1,786,741
No.	Decider Deci	artis Control	101,040	115,119	107,300	12.38	102,300	102,388
Name		0.00	100 400	100 (30)	10, 20,		707.700	707.00
December		86a.71	(E11, 1988)			i .	ž	
Decision Figure		16,517,58	2,732,067	2,855,481	2,854,147	2,865,377	(175,750	FE41889
Name 1994 1997	Decision	1,000,7	C20, 2017	1,257,110	1,236,178	1,230,170	1,254,178	RETHER
No.	Deck 1909 160 16	10 100 10	1,621,168	1548.127	1,601,100	1,454,165	1,601,100	1, 600, 100
No. 1996 1996 1997 1	Declared # 1 Declared # 2 Decl	EMIG	COLUM	1,701,000	Z/C7667	1, 2000 page	275,800,1	1,000,072
Name 1995 1995 1997	Decker # 1909/10	7,003,30	1,485,357	1,104,231	F.142,960	1,145,850	, 145,050	1,16,250
Decided # 20001 D Proper	Decker Page Decker Page Decker Page Decker Page Decker Page Decker De	LEXOL	\$21,419	552,480	400,300	490,360	400,300	490,300
Decision	Dealer # 2000/10 Dealer # 2000/10 Witness: Tourish Lindar in 1252 Decinion: 1 1887 18	1,200,1		200,255	271,630	271,630	271,830	271,830
Declar 870001 D RM RM RM RM RM RM RM RM RM R	Dealer # 20007 ED Dealer # 20007 ED Witness: Standing Ed 160 161 162 163 164 165 Decision: 1487 1887 1887 1887 1887 1887 1887 Decision: 1488	253,055	90,00	310,290	587 118	50.50	200.000	B2 888
Declar 870001 E Witness: Standing Establish (LG 2) 1004 1004 1007 1007 1007 E 1007 1009 1007 1007 1007 E 1007 1009 101,000 101,000 101,700 152,700 151,700 165,700 165,700 165,700 165,700	Decker 870007 E) Witness Standing Edward 870007 E) Witness Standing Edward 870007 E) Witness Standing Edward 870007 E) Edward 870007 E) Edward 87007 E) Edward 870007 E) Edward 8	14, 120,056	3,112,824	2,788,752	0007787	100,1747	Man, 1787	DOB-1,7877
Declar 870001 E Witness Standing Establish Establish 1904 160 161 187 Stand 2 e 1 1907 1809 161 1807 1807 E Stand 2 e 1 1907 1809 161,000 161,000 152,700	Decker 8 2000 ED TO	\$,298,580	23/, 1200	W),758	854,764	859,700	850,700	100 ASS
Docket 870001 E) Witness Standard 190001 E) Witness Standard 190001 E) Witness Standard 190001 E) Witness Standard 190001 E) Standard 19000 E) Standard 19000 E) Standard 190001 E)	Decker 8 7000 E) Witness: Standing Edukar 8 7000 E) DECKERSES: 1887 E) DECKER	31.0 '05501	152,700	161, 700	143,800	143,800	142,000	1143,880
Docker B.N. 1004 140 140 140 140 150 1507 1507 1004 1004 1007 1507 1507 1004 1007 1507 1507 1004 1007 1507 1507	Decks B.N. Witness: 5. Witness: 5. Witness: 5. Witness: 5. Witness: 5. Enhalt B.N. DECKSSET: JANUARY FEBRUARY MARCH	188.582	28.529	50,554	•	•	•	•
Declar B7 Witness: 5. Witness: 5. Eduke Na. 1006 14 10 10 10 Short 2 of 1	Declar 10 Declar 2 De	Camelation	MASCH	1 CHANAST	ANADRAL	DECLIMATE	ESSENSAGE	8340130
Dealer BY Witness: S. Edder Br Shart 2 el	Dichar 10 M Witness: 10 Links 10 M Shart 2 of	6 Linesthy	100	1987	1007	10 to	1000	1900
Dudot 8/2001 () Witness: Sandau Eduk in (45.7)	Dadar 8 2000 t D Witness: Sandin Eshiri in Life 2			E	5	K	2	Ε
Dudort 9/2007 () Witness: Complex	Daded 8 7000 t D Witness: Comitine	18 1 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	· E					
Dealer 9 70001 D	Dealar 9.7007 ()	OL Scardos	Witte					
		13 1000/4 In	Deck					

FLORIDA POWER COMPORATION
CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
TRUE-UP CALCULATION
FOR THE PERIOD OCTOBER 1995 THROUGH MARCH 1997

Florida Power Corporation Docket 970001-E1 Witness: Searding Exhibit No. (US-2) Sheet 3 of 3

		(4)	a)	(a)	4	tel .	m
	Description	1998	1998	1998	1997	1997	1997
	Interest Provinius:	October	November	December	January	February	March
1.	Beginning True-Up	14,454,408	13,882,894	9,994,959	7,158,345	5,168,895	1,215,433
2.	Ending True-Up	13,799,011	8,943,276	7,117,000	5,137,882	1,201,010	(3,729,848)
3.	Total True-Up (line 1 + line 2)	29,253,419	23,805,970	17,114,657	12,296,027	8,387,895	(2,514,216)
4.	Average True-Up (50% of line 3)	14,126,70	11,902,985	8,557,329	8,148,014	3,183,948	(1,257,100)
5.	Interest Rate - First Day of Reporting Month	5.44%	5.38%	5.45%	5.95%	5.45%	5.43%
6.	Interest Rate - First Day of Subsequent Month	5.30%	5.45%	5.95%	5.45%	5.43%	5.75%
7.	Total Interest (line 5 + Jine 6)	10.82%	10.83%	11.40%	11.40%	10.88%	11,18%
0.	Average Interest State (50% of line 7)	5.41%	5.42%	5.70%	5.70%	5.44%	5.50%
8.	Monthly Average Interest Rate (line 8 / 12)	0.45%	0.45%	0.48%	0.48%	0.45%	0.47%
10.	Interest Provision (line 4 x line 9)	63,683	53,882	40,647	29,203	14,423	(5,858)
11.	Cumulative Interest for the Period Ending	63,683	117,388	158,013	187,216	201,839	105,781

ten

EXHIBITS TO THE TESTIMONY OF JOHN SCARDINO, JR.

Final True-Up Amount October 1996 through March 1997

SCHEDULES A1 through A9 (JS-3) (Period-to-Date)

FUEL AND PUNCTIONED POWER COST RECOVERT CLAUSE CALCULATION SIX MORTH PERMISSIONS MARCH, 1987

	SIZ MORTH PERMIS (BODDS - MARCH, 1987)						CENTS/KWH					
	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED [DIFFEREN	wce]	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED [Derror	~				
	ALTUAL	ESTRIKTED	AMOUN	-	ALTUAL	ESTIMATED [AF/OUN	N.	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	AMOUN	CE
1 FUEL COST OF SYSTEM NET GENERATION (SCH A3)	216,117,538	181,313,062	34,604,487	19.2	9,907,489	11,847,029	(1,839,541)	[16.4]	2.1014	1,5306	0.6509	42.5
2 SPENT NUCLEAR FIFEL DISPOSAL COST	0	3,013,932	(3,013,832)	(100.0)		3,223,454	(3,223,456)	(100.0)	0.0000	0.0835	(0.0035)	(100.0)
3 COAL CAR INVESTMENT		•		0.0		0	0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	9.0000	0.0
4 ADJUSTMENTS TO FUEL COST - MISCELLANEOUS	1,552,446	2,141,931	(500,405)	(27.6)	•	0		0.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0
4a ADJUSTMENTS TO FUEL COST - PRIOR PERIOD				0.0	- 0			0.0	0.0000	0.0000	9.0000	0.0
5 TOTAL COST OF GENERATED POWER	217,668,505	108,408,915	31,201,070	16.7	9,907,489	11,847,029	(1,039,541)	[18.4]	2.1970	1.5740	0.0230	39.6
6 ENERGY COST OF PURCHASED POWER - FIRM (SCH A7)	23,463,459	6,299,350	17,164,109	272.6	1,265,363	325,633	969,631	294.9	1.9254	1.0301	(0.1087)	(6.7)
7 ENERGY COST OF SCH C,X ECONOMY PURCH - BROKER (SCH AS)	12,008,005	7,043,927	4,364,150	57.1	424,253	309,205	115,048	37.2	2.8304	2.4721	0.3683	14.5
1 ENERGY COST OF ECONOMY PURCHASES - NON-BROKER (SCH AS)	0,921,906	806,976	6,044,928	601.5	290,600	42,658	247,922	579.2	2.3047	2.0094	0.3191	15.2
9 ENERGY COST OF SCHIE PURCHASES (SCH AR)			•	0.0	•			0.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0
10 CAPACITY COST OF ECONOMY PURCHASES (SICH AS)	691,600	681,600		0.0		24,968	(24,858)	(100.0)	0.0000	2.7420	(2.7420)	(100.0)
11 PAYMENTS TO QUALIFYING FACILITIES (SCH AR)	76,600,292	73,322,010	3,170,232	4.3	3,541,005	3,705,732	(164,087)	[4.4]	2.1000	1,0786	0,1914	8.2
12 TOTAL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	119,585,341	60,633,865	30,751,476	34.6	5,541,961	4,383,327	1,158,634	28.4	2.1678	2.0264	0,1312	6.5
13 TOTAL AVAILABLE NWM					15,449,449	16,230,356	(780,907)	(4.0)				
14 FUEL COST OF ECONOMY SALES (BROKER) (SCH AS)	(1,249,441)	(12,040,410)	10,791,909	(92.6)	(67,962)	(660,000)	682,938	(99.7)	1,9016	1.8524	0.0002	0.6
14a GAIN ON ECONOMY SALES (BROKER) - 80% (SCH A6)	(177,887)	(2,075,700)	1,097,973	(91.4)	(67,082)	(650,000)	682,938	(89.7)	0.2053	9.3193	(0.0540)	(16.0)
15 FUEL COST OF OTHER POWER SALES (SCH AR)	(4,479,673)		(4,479,673)	0.0	(201,383)		(201,303)	0.0	2.2240	0.0000	2.2240	0.0
15a GAIN ON OTHER POWER SALES - 100% (SCH AII)	(784,746)		(794,746)	0.0	(201,383)		(201,383)	0.0	0.3046	0.0000	9.3946	0.0
18 FUEL COST OF SEMINOLE BACK-UP SALES (SCH AS)				0.0			0	0.0	0.0000	9.9000	0.0000	0.0
17 FUEL COST OF SUPPLEMENTAL SALES	(5,917,347)	[8,890,650]	2,973,303	[33.4]	[280,606)	(341,362)	50,745	(14.9)	2.0362	2.6045	(0.6063)	(21.0)
18 TOTAL FUEL COST AND GAINS ON POWER SALES 19 NET INADVERTENT AND WHEELED INTERCHANCE	(12,017,006)	(23,006,820)	10,369,725	(46.2)	(668,061) 8,414	(891,362)	432,301 8,414	(43.6)	2.2569	2.3208	(0.0630)	(2.0)
	***		*****		200000000000000000000000000000000000000							
29 TOTAL FUEL AND NET POWER TRANSACTIONS	324,630,231	202,296,960	72,342,271	28.7	14,898,912	15,238,004	(340, 192)	(2.2)	2.1790	1,000€	0.5234	31.8
21 HET UNBILLED	(12,926,930)	(6,471,590)	(6,466,231)	99.6	593,246	405,135	188,111	40.4	(0.0nss)	(0.0430)	(0.0449)	102.3
22 COMPANY USE	1,730,050	1,554,904	165,746	10.6	(79,424)	(34,500)	15,076	(16.0)	0.0119	0.0106	0.0013	12.3
23 T & D LOSSES	18,478,177	13,662,670	4,913,400	34.2	[847,920]	(010,307)	(28,623)	3.5	3,1208	0.0021	0.0340	27.0
24 ADJUSTED SYSTEM KWH SALES (SCH A2 PG 2 OF 4)	324,636,221	252,295,860	72,342,271	20.7	14,584,714	14,730,242	(105,628)	(1.1)	2.2280		0.6101	30.1
26 WHOLESALE KWH SALES (EXCLUDING SUPPLEMENTAL SALES)	(12,408,248)	(7,643,144)	(4,843,104)	63.4	(683,027)	[450,023]	(113,004)	28,1	2.2177	1,0004	0.5163	30.6
26 JURISDICTIONAL KWH SALES (SCH A2 PG 2 OF 4)	312,101,003	244,002,010	67,499,167	27.8	14,001,687	14,260,210	(278,532)	[2.0]	2.2294	1,7132	0.8102	30.1
27 JURISDICTIONAL KWH SALES ADJUSTED FOR LINE LOSS - 1.0013	312,672,640		67,601,677	27.6	14,001,007	14,200,210	(278,532)	(2.0)	2.2324	Control of the control	0.0100	30.1
29 PRIOR PERIOD TRUE-UP	40,040,035	40,040,008		0.0	14,001,687	14,290,219	(278,532)	(2.0)	0.3346	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF	0.0065	2.0
289 MARKET PRICE TRUE-UP	•	(235,010)	235,010	(100.0)	14,001,687	14,280,219	(278,502)	(2.0)	0.0000	(0.0016)	0.0018	(100.0)
20 TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL PUEL COST	359,419,226	291,682,639	67,834,697	23.3	14,001,087	14,286,219	(278,632)	(2.6)	2,6670	2.0420	0.8260	26.7
30 REVENUE TAX FACTOR									1,00083	1,00083	0.0000	0.0
31 FUEL COST ADJUSTED FOR TAXES									2.5691	2.0437	0.5254	25.7
32 GPF	1,627,604	1,498,216			14,001,687	14,290,219			0,0100	0.0105	0.0004	3.0
33 FUEL FACTOR ADJUSTED FOR TAXES INCLUDING GPIF		0							7972-00	AC 2022	NGS STATE	20200
34 TOTAL FUEL COST FACTOR ROUNDED TO THE NEAREST .001 CENTS	MKWH								2.590	2.054	0,526	25.6

SCHEGULE AZ PAGE 1 OF 4

CALCULATION OF TRUE-UP AND INTEREST PROVISION FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION MARCH 1997

	CURRENT MONTH				PERIOD TO DATE			
	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	DIFFERENCE	PERCENT	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	DIFFERENCE	PERCENT
FUEL COSTS AND NET POWER TRANSACTIONS								
FUEL COST OF SYSTEM NET GENERATION	\$35,311,790	\$29,451,352	\$5,880,438	19.9	\$214,879,153	\$181,313,052	\$33,366,101	10
NUCLEAR FUEL DISPOSAL COST	0	501,542	(501,542)	(100.0)	0	3,013,932	(3,013,832)	(10
NUCLEAR DECOM & DECON	7,941	7,941	0	0.0	1,438,388	1,435,770	2,816	
FUEL COST OF POWER SOLD	(1,552,889)	(1,779,300)	226,411	(12.7)	(5,727,115)	(12,040,410)	6,313,295	e
GAIN ON POWER SALES	(187,704)	(290,880)	103,178	(35.5)	(972,635)	(2,075,760)	1,103,125	(5
FUEL COST OF PURCHASED POWER	4,352,701	1,212,940	3,139,761	258.9	23,463,459	6,299,350	17,164,109	2
ENERGY PAYMENTS TO QUALIFYING FAC.	11,447,832	13,885,530	(2,237,898)	(16.4)	78,500,292	73,322,010	3,178,282	
DEMAND & NON FUEL COST OF PURCH POWER	113,600	113,800	0	0.0	881,600	681,600	0	
ENERGY COST OF ECONOMY PURCHASES	2,648,198	2,294,462	381,738	15.8	18,938,991	8,530,905	10,409,086	1
TOTAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS	52,139,289	45,187,187	6,952,082	15.4	329,003,131	260,480,449	68,522,682	
ADJUSTMENTS TO FUEL COST:								
FUEL COST OF SUPPLEMENTAL SALES	(1,151,083)	(1,830,950)	679,857	(37.1)	(5,917,346)	(8,890,650)	2,973,304	
OTHER-JURISDICTIONAL ADJUSTMENTS (100 detail below)	(136,882)	115,758	(252,638)	(218.3)	1,552,448	708,181	848,285	1
OTHER - PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENT	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	6	
ADJUSTED TOTAL FUEL & NET PWR TRRS	\$50,851,294	\$43,471,983	\$7,379,301	17.0	\$324,638,231	\$252,295,980	872,342,271	
FOOTNOTE: DETAIL OF LINE 88 ABOVE		172						e de la companya de l
INSPECTION & FUEL ANALYSIS REPORTS	1,448	0	1,448		9,827		9,827	180
PIPELINE EXPENSES APPLICABLE TO WHOLESALE	3,973	0	3,873		(32,861)		(32,681)	
UNIV. OF FLA. STEAM REVENUE ALLOCATION	3,280	0	3,280		22,930		22,930	
ADD'L ADJUSTMENT FOR 518.13 CLEANUP	(7,941)	0	(7,941)		(50, 185)		(50, 185)	
INTERCESSION CITY GAS CONV. (DEPRECIATION & RETURN)	87,739	115,758	(28,017)	ľ.	537,249	708,161	(168,912)	
INTERCESSION P11 STARTUP INEFFICIENCY	0	0	0		(213,528)		(213,528)	
EMISSIONS	0	0	0		743,750		743,750	
TANK BOTTOM ADJUSTMENT	(225,380)	0	(225,380)	1	537,990		537,990	
TANK CLEANING & WASTE WATER REMOVAL	0	0	0		(2,928)		(2,926)	
SUBTOTAL LINE 6B SHOWN ABOVE	(\$136,892)	\$115,758	(\$252,638	1	\$1,552,448	\$708,161	\$848,285	
BritisEUP/MARCHS7,IE59A2LK, XLE Revised to Exchale Lake Capan Sottlement Payment	19-May-97							

CALCULATION OF TRUE-UP AND INTEREST PROVISION FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION MARCH 1997

SCHEDULE A2 PAGE 2 OF 4

		ст	URRENT MONTH -			PERIOD TO DATE			
		ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	DIFFERENCE	PERCENT	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	DIFFERENCE	PERCENT
В	. SALES REVENUES (EXCLUDE REVENUE TAXES)								
1	. JURISDICTIONAL SALES REVENUE								
1:	n. BASE FUEL REVENUE	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0	‡ 0	\$0	\$0	u.0
1	. FUEL RECOVERY REVENUE	43,288,568	50,090,184	(6,801,618)	(13.6)	286,598,525	291,582,539	(4,984,014)	(1.7)
1	a. JURISDICTIONAL FUEL REVENUE	43,288,566	50,090,184	(6,801,618)	(13.6)	286,598,525	291,582,539	(4,984,014)	(1.7)
1	d. MON FUEL REVENUE	110,592,116	110,480,816	111,300	0.1	709,370,516	734,606,461	(25,235,845)	(3.4)
1	. TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL SALES REVENUE	153,880,682	160,571,000	(6,690,318)	(4.2)	995,969,141	1,026,189,000	(30,219,859)	(2.9)
2	. NON JURISDICTIONAL SALES REVENUE	14,238,738	13,561,000	677,736	5.0	63,707,117	67,980,000	(4,272,883)	(6.3)
3	. TOTAL SALES REVENUE	\$168,119,418	\$174,132,000	(\$8,012,582)	(3.5)	\$1,059,676,258	\$1,094,169,000	(\$34,492,742)	(3.2)
С	. KWH SALES								
1	. JURISDICTIONAL SALES	2,181,122,307	2,220,280,000	(39,157,693)	(1.8)	14,001,687,339	14,280,219,000	(278,531,661)	(2.0)
2	. NON JURISDICTIONAL (WHOLESALE) SALES	73,865,640	83,317,000	10,548,640	16.7	563,034,727	450,023,000	113,011,727	25.1
3	. TOTAL SALES	2,254,987,947	2,283,597,000	(28,609,053)	(1.3)	14,564,722,066	14,730,242,000	(165,519,934)	(1.1)
4	. JURISDICTIONAL SALES % OF TOTAL SALES	96.72	97.23	(0.51)	(0.5)	96,13	96.94	(0.81)	(0.8)
	D-TRHEUPAMARCHGZ, ISSBAZIK XI S	19-May-97							

CALCULATION OF TRUE-UP AND INTEREST PROVISION FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION MARCH 1997

SCHEDULE A2 PAGE 3 OF 4

		CURRENT MONTH			PERIOD TO DATE				
		ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	DIFFERENCE	PERCENT	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	DIFFERENCE	PERCENT
D .	TRUE UP CALCULATION								
1.	JURISDICTIONAL FUEL REVENUE (LINE B1e)	\$43,288,566	\$50,090,184	(\$6,801,618)	(13.6)	\$286,598,525	\$291,582,539	(14,984,014)	(1.7)
2.	ADJUSTMENTS: PRIOR PERIOD ADJ	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0
2a.	TRUE UP PROVISION	(7,807,781)	(7,807,781)	0	0.0	(46,846,686)	(46,846,686)	0	0.0
2b.	INCENTIVE PROVISION	(254,383)	(249,494)	(4,889)	2.0	(1,526,298)	(1,498,974)	(29,324)	2.0
2v.	OTHER: MARKET PRICE TRUE UP	0	39,170	(39,170)	(100.0)	0	235,010	(235,010)	(100.0)
3.	TOTAL JURISDICTIONAL FUEL REVENUE	35,226,402	42,072,079	(6,845,677)	(16.3)	238,225,541	243,473,889	(5,248,348)	(2.2)
4.	ADJ TOTAL FUEL & MET PWR TRMS (LINE A7)	50,851,294	43,471,993	7,379,301	17.0	324,638,231	252,295,960	72,342,271	28.7
5.	JURISDICTIONAL SALES % OF TOT SALES (LINE C4)	96.72	97.23	(0.51)	(0.5)				
8.	JURISDICTIONAL FUEL & NET POWER TRANSACTIONS								
	(LINE D4 * LINE D5 * .13% "LINE LOSSES")	49,247,310	42,321,573	6,925,737	16.4	312,572,540	244,970,863	67,601,677	27.6
7.	TRUE UP PROVISION FOR THE MONTH OVER/(UNDER)								
	COLLECTION (LINE D3 - D6)	(14,020,908)	(249,494)	(13,771,414)	0.0	(74,346,999)	(1,496,974)	(72,850,025)	0.0
8.	INTEREST PROVISION FOR THE MONTH (LINE E10)	(396,814)				(2,106,458)			
9.	TRUE UP & INT PROVISION SEG OF MONTH/PERIOD	(82,046,732)				(59,049,902)			
18	TRUE UP COLLECTED (REFUNDED)	7,807,781				48,848,686			
11	END OF PERIOD TOTAL NET TRUE UP								
	(LINES D7 + D8 + D9 + D10)	(88,656,673)				(88,656,673)			
12	OTHER: TRUE-UP FOR PASCO COGEN 72/28								
	CAPACITY ENERGY SPLIT NOV-FEB	(908,954)				(908,954)			
13	END OF PERIOD TOTAL NET TRUE UP								
	(LINES D11 + D12)	(89,565,627)				(89,565,627)			

19-May-97

D:STRUEUPMARCH97LJESBAZLK.XLS

CALCULATION OF TRUE-UP AND INTEREST PROVISION FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION MARCH 1897

SCHEDULE A2 PAGE 4 OF 4

		CI	JRRENT MONTH				PERIOD TO DATE	***************************************
		ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	DIFFERENCE	PERCENT	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	DIFFERENCE
Ε.	INTEREST PROVISION							
١.	BEGINNING TRUE UP (LINE D9)	(\$82,046,732)	NIA		**			
2.	ENDING TRUE UP (LINES D7 + D9 + D10)	(88,259,859)	N/A	-	**		NOT	
3.	TOTAL OF BEGINNING & ENDING TRUE UP	(170,306,591)	N/A	**	**			
4.	AVERAGE TRUE UP (50% OF LINE E3)	(85, 153, 296)	N/A	(34)	***			
5.	INTEREST RATE - FIRST DAY OF REPORTING MONTH	5.750	N/A	**	in the second			
6.	INTEREST RATE - FIRST DAY OF SUBSEQUENT MONTH	5.430	N/A		340			
7.	TOTAL (LINE E5 + LINE E6)	11.180	N/A	-	548		APPLICABLE	
8.	AVERAGE INTEREST RATE (50% OF LINE E7)	5.590	N/A	-	**			
9.	MONTHLY AVERAGE INTEREST RATE (LINE E8/12)	0.466	NIA		-			
10.	INTEREST PROVISION (LINE E4 * LINE E9)	(\$396,814)	N/A	-	**			
	D-1TRUEUPMARCH97LESSA ELK.XLS	19-May-97						

Printed: 5/19/97 11:59:29 AM

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION GENERATING SYSTEM COMPARATIVE DATA

Oct 96 Thru Mar 97 FINAL

Schedule A-3

UEL COST OF S	YSTEM	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	DIFFERENCE	DIFFERENCE (%)
NET GENERATI	ON (\$)				
1	HEAVY OIL	55,172,465	20,973,101	34,199,364	163.1%
2	LIGHT OIL	11,143,642	10,088,100	1,055,542	10.5%
3	COAL	129,590,744	133,027,929	-3,437,185	-2.6%
4	GAS	18,772,302	6,112,316	12,659,986	207.1%
5	NUCLEAR	. 0	11,111,606	-11,111,606	-100.0%
6					
7	M				
8	TOTAL (\$)	214,679,153	181,313,052	33,366,101	18.4%
SYSTEM NET C	GENERATION (MWH)				
9	HEAVY OIL	2,006,781	808,874	1,197,907	148.1%
1	0 LIGHT OIL	174,982	163,694	11,288	6.9%
1	1 COAL	7,171,939	7,429,853	-257,914	-3.5%
1	2 GAS	553,787	221,152	332,635	150.4%
1	3 NUCLEAR	0	3,223,456	-3,223,456	-100.0%
1	14				
1	15				
•	6 TOTAL (MWH)	9,907,489	11,847,029	-1,939,540	-16.4%
UNITS OF FUE	L BURNED				
	17 HEAVY OIL (BBL)	3,113,248	1,275,307	1,837,941	144.1%
	18 LIGHT OIL (BBL)	385,395	390,747	-5,352	-1.4%
1	19 COAL (TON)	2,711,018	2,762,445	-51,427	-1.9%
	20 GAS (MCF)	6,065,830	2,629,301	3,436,529	130,7%
	21 NUCLEAR (MMBTU)	0	33,671,536	-33,671,536	-100.0%
	22				
	23				

Printed: 5/19/97 12:00:11 PM

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION GENERATING SYSTEM COMPARATIVE DATA

Oct 96 Thru Mar 97 FINAL

Schedule A-3

FUEL COST OF SY	STEM	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	DIFFERENCE	DIFFERENCE (%)
BTUS BURNED (MILLION BTU)					
24	HEAVY OIL	20,219,564	8,161,963	12,057,601	147 7%
25	LIGHT OIL	2,251,672	2,266,328	-14,656	-0.6%
26	COAL	67,763,868	69,412,501	-1,648,633	-2.4%
27	GAS	6,337,678	2,629,301	3,708,377	141.0%
28	NUCLEAR	0	33,671,535	-33,671,535	-100.0%
29					
30					
31	TOTAL (MILLION BTU)	96,572,782	116,141,628	-19,568,846	-16.8%
GENERATION MI	X (% MWH)				
32	HEAVY OIL	20.3	6.8	13.4	196.7%
33	LIGHT OIL	1.8	1.4	0.4	27.8%
34	COAL	72.4	62.7	9.7	15.4%
35	GAS	5.6	1.9	3.7	199.4%
36	NUCLEAR	0.0	27.2	-27.2	-100.09
37					
38	i,				
39	TOTAL (% MWH)	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0%

Printed 5/19/97 12:00:53 PM

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION GENERATING SYSTEM COMPARATIVE DATA

Oct 96 Thru Mar 97 FINAL

Schedule A-3

		ociloadi	0.7-0		
UEL COST OF SY	STEM	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	DIFFERENCE	DIFFERENCE (%)
FUEL COST PER	UNIT (\$)				
40	HEAVY OIL (\$/BBL)	17.72	16.45	1.28	7.8%
41	LIGHT OIL (\$/BBL)	28 91	25.82	3.10	12.0%
42	COAL (\$/TON)	47 70	48.16	-0.45	-0.9%
43	GAS (\$/MCF)	3.09	2.32	0.77	33.1%
44	NUCLEAR (\$/MBTU)	0.00	0.33	-0.33	-100.0%
45					
46					
FUEL COST PER	MILLION BTU (\$/MILLION BTU)				
47	HEAVY OIL .	2.73	2.57	0.16	6.2%
48	LIGHT OIL	4.95	4.45	0.50	11.2%
49	COAL	1.91	1.92	-0.01	-0.4%
50	GAS	2.96	2.32	0.64	27.4%
51	NUCLEAR	0.00	0.33	-0.33	-100.0%
52					
53	i e				
54	SYSTEM (\$/MBTU)	2.22	1.56	0.66	42.2%
BTU BURNED PE	ER KWH (BTU/KWH)				
55	HEAVY OIL	10,076	10,091	-15	-0.1%
56	LIGHT OIL	12,868	13,845	-977	-7.06%
57	COAL	9,448	9,342	106	1.1%
58	GAS	11,444	11,889	-445	-3.7%
59	NUCLEAR	0	10,446	-10,446	-100.0%
60					
61					
62	SYSTEM (BTUKWI)	9,747	9,803	-56	-0.6%

Printed: 5/19/97 12:01:56 PM

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION GENERATING SYSTEM COMPARATIVE DATA

Oct 96 Thru Mar 97 FINAL

Schedule A-3

FUEL COST OF SYS	STEM	ACTUAL	ESTIMATED	DIFFERENCE	DIFFERENCE (%)
GENERATED FUE	L COST PER KWH (CENTS/KWH)				
63	HEAVY OIL	2.75	2.59	0.16	6.0%
64	LIGHT OIL	6.37	6.16	0.21	3.3%
65	COAL	1.80	1.79	0.01	0.7%
66	GAS	3.39	2.76	0.63	22.6%
67	NUCLEAR	0.00	0.34	-0.34	-100.0%
68					
69					
70	SYSTEM (CENTS/KWH)	2.16	1.53	0.63	41.4%

Printed 5/19/97 4:03:48 PM

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION SYSTEM NET GENERATION AND FUEL COST Schedule A-4

(A)	(B) NET	(C) NET		(E) EQUIV	(F) NET	(G) AVG NET	(H) FUEL	(I) FUEL	(J) FUEL	(K) FUEL	(L) AS BURNED		
PLANT	(MW)	GENERATION (MWH)	FAC (%)			(BTU/KWH)	TYPE	BURN (UNITS)	(BTU/UNIT)	BURNED (MMBTU)	FUEL COST (\$)	PER KWH CENTS/KWH	PER UNIT
Steam													
Anciote													
UNIT 1	511	590,749.00	26			9,914				5,856,488	16,644,011	2.817	
		587,577.12					#6	895,510	6,504,721	5,825,043	16,486,614	2.806	18.410
		3,171.88					#2	5,440	5,780,331	31,445	157,397	4.962	28.933
UNIT 2	511	526,263.00	24			9,921				5,221,298	13,931,818	2.647	
		522,423.44					#6	756,250	6,509,518	5,183,204	13,738,54	2.630	17.254
		3,839.56					#2	6,580	5,789,362	38,094	193,27	5.034	29.372
Bartow													
UNIT 1	107	200,541.00	43			10,469				2,099,443	5,754,86	2.870	10
(CT(700.0) 0)		200,429.43					#6	324,617	6,463,848	2,098,275	5,748,76		
		111.57					#2	200	5,840,000	1,168	6,09	5.466	
UNIT 2	117	222,642.00	44			10,323				2,298,272	6,173,49	9 2.773	1
		222,642.00					#6	353,700	6,497,800	2,298,272	6,173,49	9 2.773	17.454
UNIT 3	210	617,480.00	67			10,042				6,200,734	16,304,46	2 2.640)
11-11-11-11-1		443,925.62					#6	687,100	6,487,994	4,457,901	11,899,42	1 2.680	17.318
		173,554.38					GS	1,663,500	1,048	1,742,833	4,405,04	1 2.538	2.648
Crystal River 1 & 2													
UNIT 1	372	935,832.00	58			9,815				9,108,837	15,302,28	8 1.635	5
7.77	107/07/0	4,585.13				1232121	#2	7,590	5,879,974	44,629	230,17		
		931,246.87					CA	361,999	12,520	9,064,208	15,072,11	2 1.610	
UNIT 2	468	1,218,695.00	60			9,771				11,779,177	19,984,78	6 1.640)
		1,735.05					#2	2,850	5,884,211	16,770	89,98	6 5.18	31.57
		1,216,959.95					CA	468,402	12,556	11,762,407	19,894,80	0 1.63	5 42.47
Crystal River 4 & 5													
UNIT 4	697	2,330,234.00	77			9,277				21,768,521	44,313,15	4 1.90	2
	3/8/7	5,209.82					#2	8,280	5,877,899	48,669	243,56		
		2,325,024.28					CA	869,838	12,485	21,719,853	44,069,59		
UNIT 5	697	2,706,479.00	89			9,290				25,294,818	50,941,77	1 1.88	2
		8,283.52					#2	13,160	5,882,827	77,418	387,52	9 4.67	8 29.44
		2,698,195.48					CA	1,010,784	12,474	25,217,400	50,554,24	1.87	4 50.01

Printed:

5/19/97 4:15:08 PM

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION SYSTEM NET GENERATION AND FUEL COST Schedule A-4

						SCI	equie A	4					
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
	NET	NET	CAP	EQUIV	NET	AVG NET	FUEL	FUEL	FUEL	FUEL			
125102020	(MW)	GENERATION (MWH)	FAC (%)			(BTU/KWH)	TYPE	BURN (UNITS)	(BTU/UNIT)	(MMBTU)	FUEL COST	PER KWH CENTS/KWH	PER UNIT
PLANT	(MIVV)	(MIVIT)	(~)	170(2)	110(11)	(crontin)		(0.1.1.0)	(510/0/1/1)	(1111010)	(4)	CENTORITH	(\$)
Suwannee Plant													
UNIT 1	33	7,711.00	5			13,381				103,183	318,052	4.125	
3000		6,466.95					#6	13,560	6,381,711	86,536	271,236	4.194	20.003
		1,178.06					GS	15,440	1,021	15,764	42,322	3 593	2.741
		65.99					#2	150	5,886,667	883	4,494	6.810	29.960
UNIT 2	32	7,202.00	5			13,123			•()	94,511	293,678	4.078	
		6,093.17					#6	12,570	6,361,177	79,960	252,13	4 4.138	20.058
		1,037.12					GS	13,330	1,021	13,610	36,73	3.542	2.756
		71.71					#2	160	5,881,250	941	4,80	6.702	30.038
UNIT 3	80	53,541.00	15			11,407				610,730	2,131,94	3 3.982	
		16,689.56					#6	29,970	6,352,152	190,374	602,25	3.609	20.095
		36,701.97					GS	410,040	1,021	418,651	1,521,01	8 4.144	3.709
		149.56					#2	290	5,882,759	1,706	8,67	5 5.800	29.914
TOTAL	3,835	9,417,369.00				9,593				90,436,013	192,094,32	3 2.040	
Nuclear													
Crystal River 3													
UNIT 3	743	0.00	0							2,518	14,89	3 0.000)
31111	11-13-22	0					NF	0	0	0		0.00	0.000
		0					#2	433	5,800,000	2,518	14,89	3 0.00	34,395
TOTAL	743	0.00								2,518	14,89	3 0.000)

Printed: 5/19/97 4:04:28 PM

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION SYSTEM NET GENERATION AND FUEL COST Schedule A-4

(A)	(B) NET CAP	(C) NET GENERATION	(D) CAP FAC	(E) EQUIV	(F) NET	(G) AVG NET HEAT RATE	(H) FUEL TYPE	(I) FUEL BURN	(J) FUEL HEAT VALUE	(K) FUEL BURNED	(L) AS BURNED FUEL COST	(M) FUEL COST PER KWH	(N) FUEL COST PER UNIT
PLANT	(MW)	(MWH)	(%)			(BTU/KWH)		(UNITS)	(BTU/UNIT)	(MMBTU)		CENTS/KWH	PER UNIT
Gas Turbine													
Avon Park Peaker	50	6,047.00	3			16,660				100,740	343,877	5.687	
		356.79					#2	1,010	5,885,149	5,944	25,919	7.264	25.662
		5,690.21					GS	90,290	1,050	94,796	317,958	5.588	3.522
Bartow Peaker	176	5,557.00	1			14,595				81,105	421,859	7.591	
		5,557.00					#2	13,850	5,855,957	81,105	421,859	7.591	30.459
Bayboro Peaker	184	15,950.00	2			13,184				210,284	1,167,172	7.318	
		15,950.00					#2	36,180	5,812,161	210,284	1,167,172	7.318	32.260
Debary Peal or	614	62,601.00	2			13,901				870,222	4,245,602	6.782	
		62,601.00					#2	149,190	5,832,978	870,222	4,245,602	6.782	28.458
Higgins Peaker	110	25,405.00	5			15,972				405,757	1,300,745	5.120	
		905.61					#2	2,470	5,855,870	14,464	65,285	7.209	26.431
		24,499.39					GS	372,820	1,050	391,293	1,235,460	5.043	3.314
Intercession City Peaker	767	187,767.00	6			13,251				2,488,007	8,865,985	4.722	
		39,775.93					#2	90,130	5,847,676	527,051	2,521,259	6.339	27.974
		147,991.15					GS	1,869,650	1,049	1,960,957	6,344,726	4.287	3.394
Port St. Joe Peaker	15	252.00	0			17,782				4,481	20,821	8.262	
		252.00					#2	770	5,819,481	4,481	20,821	8.262	27.040
Rio Pinar Peaker	14	142.00	0			18,841				2,675	12,175	8.574	
		142.00					#2	460	5,815,217	2,675	12,175	8.574	26.467
Suwannee Peaker	159	13,121.00	2			13,309				174,626	900,030	6.859	
		13,121.00					#2	29,670	5,885,608	174,626	900,030	6.859	30.335
Turner Peaker	158	7,009.00	1			13,771				96,521	422,580	6.029	1 Jan 5
		7,009.00					#2	16,490	5,853,305	96,521	422,580	6.029	25.626
Univ of Florida Cogen	45	166,269.00	85			10,223				1,699,833	4,869,094	2.928	
		5.77	1				#2	10	5,900,000	59	53		5,300
		166,263.23					GS	1,630,760	1,042	1,699,774	4,869,041	2.929	2.986
TOTAL	2,292	490,120.00				12,516				6,134,252	22,569,94	0 4.605	5
SYSTEM TOTAL	6,870	9,907,489.00				9,737				96,572,783	214,679,15	56 2.16	7

Printed: 5/19/97 4:05:58 PM

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION SYSTEM NET GENERATION AND FUEL COST Schedule A-4

(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(1)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
	NET	and the second s	CAP	EQUIV	NET	AVG NET	FUEL	FUEL	FUEL	FUEL	AS BURNED	FUEL COST	FUEL COST
	CAP	GENERATION	11.00			HEAT RATE		BURN	HEAT VALUE	BURNED	FUEL COST		
PLANT	(MW)	(MWH)	(40)	FAC (%)	FAC (%)	(BTU/KWH)		(UNITS)	(BTU/UNIT)	(MMBTU)	(\$)	CENTS/KWH	(\$)

NOTE: Includes the folio	wing aerial surv	ey adjustment:	
Plant	Tons	Dollars	MBTU
Crystal River 1 & 2	-8,219	-342,485.00	-204,932.55
Crystal River 4 & 5	12,232	617,710.00	304,185.38

Printed: 5/19/97 1:15:22 PM

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION SYSTEM GENERATION FUEL COST Schedule A-5

			Actual	Estimated	Difference	Difference (%)	
HEAVY OIL	1	PURCHASES					
	2	Units (BBL)	3,278,653	1,320,000	1,958,653	148.4%	
	3	Unit Cost (\$/BBL)	17.65	16.61	1.04	6.3%	
	4	Amount (\$)	57,884,274	21,929,600	35,954,674	164.0%	
	5	BURNED					
	6	Units (BBL)	3,113,248	1,275,307	1,837,941	144.1%	
	7	Unit Cost (\$/BBL)	17.72	16.45	1.28	7.8%	
	8	Amount (\$)	55,172,465	20,973,101	34,199,364	163.1%	
	9	ADJUSTMENTS					
	10	Units (BBL)	-24,843				
	11	Amount (\$)	-1,455,599				
	12	ENDING INVENTORY					
	13	Units (BBL)	704,453	543,462	160,991	29.6%	
	14	Unit Cost (\$/BBL)	15.78	16.62	-0.84	-5.1%	
	15	Amount (\$)	11,114,678	9,032,715	2,081,963	23.0%	
	16						
	17	DAYS SUPPLY	0	0	0	0.0%	
LIGHT OIL	18	PURCHASES					
	19	Units (BBL)	421,041	315,000	106,041	33.7%	
	20	Unit Cost (\$/BBL)	31.05	26.75	4.30	16.1%	
	21	Amount (\$)	13,073,476	8,425,090	4,648,386	55.2%	
	22	BURNED					
	23	Units (BBL)	385,395	318,333	67,062	21.1%	
	24	Unit Cost (\$/BBL)	28.91	26.24	2.68	10.2%	
	25	Amount (\$)	11,143,642	8,352,342	2,791,300	33,4%	
	26	ADJUSTMENTS					
	27	Units (BBL)	302				
	28	Amount (\$)	-20,071				
	29	ENDING INVENTORY					
	30	Units (BBL)	437,829	305,326	132,503	43.4%	
	31	Unit Cost (\$/BBL)	28.60	26.17	2.43	9.3%	
	32	Amount (\$)	12,523,153	7,991,468	4,531,685	56.7%	
	33	wast receive \$05				20.7 70	
	34	DAYS SUPPLY	0	0	0	0.0%	

Printed: 5/19/97 1:15:49 PM

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION SYSTEM GENERATION FUEL COST Schedule A-5

			Actual	Estimated	Difference	Difference (%)	
CCAL	35	PURCHASES					
	36	Units (TON)	2,884,116	2,760,000	124,116	4.5%	
	37	Unit Cost (\$/TON)	47.43	48.26	-0.83	-1.7%	
	38	Amount (\$)	136,780,685	133,195,400	3,585,485	2.7%	
	39	BURNED					
	40	Units (TON)	2,711,018	2,762,445	-51,427	-1.9%	
	41	Unit Cost (\$/TON)	47.80	48.16	-0.35	-0.7%	
	42	Amount (\$)	129,590,744	133,027,929	-3,437,185	-2.6%	
	43	ADJUSTMENTS					
	44	Units (TON)	0				
	45	Amount (\$)	-5,438				
	46	ENDING INVENTORY					
	47	Units (TON)	650,782	398,091	252,691	63.5%	
	48	Unit Cost (\$/TON)	46.41	48.01	-1.59	-3.3%	
	49	Amount (\$)	30,205,702	19,110,944	11,094,758	58.1%	
	50						
	51	DAYS SUPPLY	0	0	0	0.0%	
OTHER	52						
o man	53						
	54						
	55						
	56						
	57						
	58						
	59						
	60						
	61						
	62						
	63						
	64						
	65						

Printed: 5/19/97 1:16:00 PM

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION SYSTEM GENERATION FUEL COST Schedule A-5

Oct 96 Thru Mar 97 FINAL

			Actual	Estimated	Difference	Difference (%)	
GAS	66	BURNED					
	67	Units (MM BTU)	6,065,830	2,629,301	3,436,529	130.7%	
	68	Unit Cost (\$/MM BTU)	3.09	2.32	0.77	33.1%	
	69	Amount (\$)	18,772,302	6,112,316	12,659,986	207.1%	
NUCLEAR	70	BURNED					
	71	Units (MM BTU)	0	33,671,535	-33,671,535	-100.0%	
	72	Unit Cost (\$/MM BTU)	0.00	0.33	-0.33	-100.0%	
	73	Amount (\$)	0	11,111,606	-11,111,606	-100.0%	

NOTE: Purchase dollars and units do not include plant to plant transfers. See schedule A-5, Attachment #1 for detail of adjustments.

SCHEDULE A-5

OCTOBER THROUGH MARCH, 1997

UNITS	AMOUNT	ADJUSTMENTS EXPLANATION
(4,667)	(\$80,891) (\$578) (\$2,154)	Tank Farm Heating @ Bartow Plant - steam used to keep the oil heated that is stored in tanks. Non recoverable expense of analysis reports. Non recoverable expense of Fuel Additives
	(\$871,495)	Non recoverable expense for pipeline accounts 151.11 and 151.12.
(20,176)	(\$500,481)	Tank Bottom Adjustments-due to tank cleaning Physical Inv Adj-due to temperature variation
(24,843)	(\$1,455,601)	TOTAL

UNITS	AMOUNT	ADJUSTMENTS EXPLANATION
(27)	(\$826)	Bartow Plant maintenance per Tech Services- auxiliary power being diverted to provide generation service to Anclote Pipeline.
1	(\$74)	Non recoverable expense of analysis reports.
670		Physical Inv Adj - due to temperature variation
	(\$1,504)	Non recoverable expense of Fuel Additives
(576)	(\$17,666)	Tank Bottom Adjustments-due to tank cleaning
235		Physical Inv Adj - due to yearly pipe pressure test valve left open.
302 *	(\$20,071)	*TOTAL

^{*} Period to date light oil adjustments do not include Crystal River Participants share amounting to (47) barrels and (\$1,572)

UNITS	AMOUNT	ADJUSTMENTS EXPLANATION
	(\$5,439)	Non recoverable expense of inspection reports.
0	(\$5,439)	TOTAL

© COAL ADJUSTMENTS DO NOT INCLUDE CRYSTAL RIVER PARTICIPANTS SHARE AMOUNTING TO 103 TONS AND \$4,391 FOR STEAM TRANSFER.

D:USSMITHIA_SCHEDICUM_ADJ.WK4

670	(9"18)	(64.0)	(979)	13.11	16.2	(4.88)	0	(T.88)	OFFERENCE %
922,887	(518,188,1)	(100, T00, T)	(8.313,286)	6.3	E.0	(999,180)	0	(999'180	01FFERENCE
8CZ,881	LBB'LLL	801,161,0	\$11,151,8	372	17	208,885	0	203,645	CUMULATIVE TOTAL

8CZ,88T	0	800,885,8	518,818,8			ESE, FOS		C8C,10S	,	BEJAR REWOY RENTO NO NIAD - JATOTEUS
PZZ'E		000'LZ	Terrei	100/Z	12822	000	To .	000	SCHEDNIE OR	MEZLEUM DVZ PEZONUCE" MC
994		III'Z	0500	390°Z	2,105	Z0C	0	ZOC	SCHEDNIE OR	TAMPA ELECTRIC CO.
816,1		199725	199799	800°C	LOUZ	10051	0	1451	r magacs	SAMPA ELECTRIC CO.
		573,11	819'8	2,550	2.083	099	0	099	SO FINGBASS	TALLANASSEE
2,058	<u> </u>	STA.	3214	ZSL'y	TERE	1.6	0	1.6	V 37ng3H28	TALLASSEE
999		795'989	E9/, 688	2,836	1256 2358	DOL'SZ	10	007,cs	\$0 370039(25	CONTRACTO
CT8,0CT			THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE		201.5	Zai'ei	10	Z81'C1	SO BINGBHOS	SOMAT POWER MARKETING CORP.
CSZ'V9		818,000	582,235	2,649		_	0		SCHEDNIE OR	Yels
cot,t		11,625	C88,01	095.1	30C.1	092	0	094		TOMBUS
0		99Z LZV	992 124	2,528	2.528	16,904	0	3-00, BT	DMMOTTOS GVOT	
952'8	• 781	996'LE	31,708	897.8	3,964	000	0	000	SCHEDALE OS	THEMTSULDA HTM ROLPY - DOMAJRO
812,22		210/902	182,794	2,929	119.5	000,7	0	000,7	SCHEDNIE OS	34NOHT3J80
095'96		989'9Z1	828,78	2,050	CIOZ	80C.5	0	895,5	SO FINDRICE OR	NORAM ENERGY
0		6150	615,0	0000	000.0	10	0	0	1 NOTTAJUB3#	NEM ZULLUNY BEYCH
1859		908,AC	BIZBZ	37,833	20,672	28	0	85	1 37003HOS	DEM SPANINY BEVCH
218,851	 : -	180,001,1	691,468	CIP.S	2,108	021,89	0	46,729	SCHEDULE OS	CONSAUTE BAS & ELECTRIC PWR MKTG WC.
909'08		892,889	157,160	\$239	C0-0.1	C3-C'0Z	0	20,243	SCHEDNIE OR	LOUIS DREYFUS ELECTRIC POWER BIC.
		E78,80E	318,780	2766	LLEZ	44174	0	14,11	SCHEDNIE OZ	KOCH POWER SERVICES, INC.
CB1,CT	· ·		300000000000000000000000000000000000000	_	LIIZ	\$65° (3)	0	885,13	SCHEDNIE OS	BIRGN FOWER MARKETING, MC.
00,871		785,181,1	T15,000,1	2,603	_	_	0	3,732	SCHEDNIE OS	
601,81	NOT APPICABLE	100,911	990'68	3,190	878.5	SET,E	0	ELL E	90 3 KN23M39	ALE SOLIDIOMORALLY IN SUCCESSION

AS YORKS, CO. ENERGY SA	837	290,78		590,78			599,895,1	108,010,1	TOO,TT!	•
HÓV38 OB	3-AMONO33	508	To	602	2,263	1000.0	1800'>	(10/9	CSC,1	
ANPA ELECTRIC	2-ANOND23	815	0	era	SPLZ	CILE	11,136	951,81	(10)	<u> </u>
3355910777	2-AJNOND33	999'S	0	105'5	1-00.f	096.1	026'00	621'601	78C,81	<u> </u>
IXW	2-ANOND33	1	0	17	2223	3,494	1991	342	14	
UTHERN CO.	D-APROMODES	92	0	52	2224	2,436	996	600	20	•
HINDLE	X 37003H2S	196'5	10	196'5	2,185	2417	SCR.651	605 CP L	110,11	
TONEY	2-AFION003	9,180	0	081,8	101.5	120/2	606,581	028 222	SHE'CZ	<u> </u>
EDA CREEK	2-ANOH033	19	0	95	S/8.1	1,880	108	SIGI	60	•
TYMEO DITITLES COMMY	2-J/40N023	CBI'I	0	1,183	DLUZ	2390	008°CZ			·
MICHLIT	2-A90N023	009	10	000	872.1		1000 00	28,514	3,628	· ·
M SWARIN BEYCH	2-WIONO33	92	- 10	_	_	618.1	509'5	07A,0	ш	
HTROW 3X	2-AMOM033	1000	0	9Z	2,380	LEST	089	bei'i	SEV	
CH POWER			10	1/05	OTT.1	2.250	228'8	006,11	1,834	
A MEST	2-AMON023	6,824	0	6,924	1,03.1	2,489	131,984	825,071	858,00	
	2-APRONO33	90	0	30	2,190	3.083	199	928	PLZ	
CKSOWNLLE ELECT, AUTH	2-MM0M033	0	0	0	0000	0000.0	10	0	0	
CKSONVALLE ELECT, AUTH,	2-AFF0M023	410,1	0	\$10,f	C86.f	2008	780,81	PRC CZ	169'6	
QV3L\$3PW	2-M40M033	091	0	051	1102	9897	3'101	Z10'V	80-1	
THAS SAFTE	X 37AQ3H3S	out	0	190	995'L	807.1	STLS	OLU'E	CCZ	•
3TBAS3NIT	3-AMONO33	\$20°C	0	\$20°C	992'L	2,134	895,88	046,07	P/0,01	· ·
THOU & REWOR ACIRO	SCONONA:C	CCO, FC	0	31,633	1007.1	1002	010,028	000'296	919'49	
HTUA REWOR JANJAHUM AGISO	2-AMONO33	900	0	900	2,083	2352	955'9	210.0	2,048	NOT APPICABLE

•	2,876,760	14,636,110	819,880,51	2527	290'1	000,830	•	100,030		G3TAMITE3
NON FUEL AMOUNT FOR LOA 13UT	EMERGA SVIES ECONOMA BOX GVM ON	1000 1000	AATOT	TSO3 HWW.	FUEL	WWO MORR MONTARRED FD001	FROM OTHER SYSTEMS FROM	JATOT GJOS HWY 6000)	TYPE &	OT 0JOS
(DE)	ø	to .		ω	60	KAX	KANN MHEEFED HØ	60	22	w

POWER SOLD FOR THE PERIOD OF: TEST RAM - 8881 TOO

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION SCHEDULE A7

PURCHASED POWER EXCLUSIVE OF ECONOMY PURCHASES FOR THE PERIOD OF: OCT 1996 - MAR 1997

(1)	(2)	(2)	(4)	(5)	(0)	(7)	(8)	(9)
PURCHASED FROM	TYPE & SCHEDULE	TOTAL KWH PURCHASED (000)	KWH FOR OTHER UTILITIES (000)	KWH FOR INTERRUPTIBLE (000)	KWH FOR FIRM (000)	FUEL COST C/KWH	TOTAL COST CAKWH	TOTAL AMOUNT FOR FUEL ADJ
ESTIMATED		325,632			325,532	1.935	1.935	3,299,350
ACTUAL								
GLADES SOUTHERN CO SOUTHERN CO SOUTHERN CO - Prior Mth. Adj. TAMPA ELECTRIC TAMPA ELECTRIC - Prior Mth. Adj.	Firm Schedule R UPS (Unit Power Sales) UPS (Unit Power Sales) AR1 AR1	52 257,853 971,708 0 55,327 425			52 257,853 971,706 0 55,327 425	9.533 1.735 1.796 0.000 2.855 2.795	9.533 1.735 1.796 0.000 2.855 2.795	4,957 4,472,578 17,455,416 (80,899) 1,579,330 11,879

CUMULATIVE DIFFERENCE DIFFERENCE % 1,285,363 959,831 294.9 1,285,363 858,831 294.9 1.830 (0.105) (5.4) 1.825 23 (0.110) 17 (5.7)

23,463,460 17,164,110 272.5

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION SCHEDULE AS

ENERGY PAYMENT TO QUALIFYING FACILITIES FOR THE PERIOD OF: OCT 1886 - MAR 1897

(1) PURCHASED FROM	TYPE A SCHEDULE	(3) TOTAL KWH PURCHASED (000)	(4) KWH FOR OTHER UTILITIES (000)	(5) KWH FOR INTERPUPTIBLE (000)	(6) KWH FOR FIRM (000)	(7) ENERGY COST CIKWH	(B) TOTAL COST C/KWH	(S) TOTAL AMOUNT FOR FUEL ADJ \$
ESTIMATED		3,705,732		0	3,705,732	1.979	1.979	73,322,010
ACTUAL					951	2,919	2.919	27,771
OCCIDENTAL CHEMICAL	CO-GEN	951	0	0				
NRG/RECOVERY GROUP	CO-GEN	41,818	0	0	41,818	7.921	1.921	803,440
U.S. AGRI-CHEM	CO-GEN	50,623	0	0	50,623	2.787	2.787	1,410,978
GENERAL PEAT	CO-GEN	541,198	0	0	541,196	1.932	1.032	10,458.507
PINELLAS COUNTY	CO-GEN	165,199	0	0	165,199	1.902	1.902	3,142,241
ST. JOE PAPER	CO-GEN	8,066	0	0	8,068	2.708	2.708	218,466
LFC POWER SYSTEMS	CO-GEN	43,805	0	0	43,605	0.255	0.255	111,369
BAY COUNTY	CO-GEN	37,814	0	0	37,614	1,888	1,888	710,260
TIMBER ENERGY	CO-GEN	45,206	0	0	45,205	1.947	1,947	889,243
PASCO COUNTY	CO-GEN	85,881	0	0	85,881	1.923	1.923	1,647,243
SEMINOLE FERTILIZER	CO-GEN	60,512	0	0	60,512	1.595	1.595	965,291
DADE COUNTY	CO-GEN	141,227	0	0	141,227	2.039	2.039	2,879,348
LAKE COGEN LIMITED	CO-GEN	400,816	0	0	409,816	1.868	1.868	7,854,374
PASCO COGEN LIMITED	CO-GEN	403,988	0	0	403,966	3.246	3.248	13,115,143
ORLANDO COGEN	CO-GEN	352,927	0	0	352,927	2.431	2.431	8,579,942
RIDGE GENERATING	CO-GEN	104,773	0	0	104,773	2.554	2.554	2,678,402
MULBERRY ENERGY	CO-GEN	174,643	0	0	174,643	1.535	1.535	2,680,116
AUBURNDALE (ELDORADO)	CO-GEN	458,502	0	0	458,502	2.422	2.422	11,058,548
ORANGE COGEN	CO-GEN	203,053	0	0	203,853	2.081	2.061	4,201,815
TIMBER 2	CO-GEN	18,923	0	0	18,823	1.952	1.952	369,283
ECOPEAT	CO-GEN	128,828	0	0	128,628	1,481	1,461	1,849,853
ROYSTER ENERGY	CO-GEN	67,917	0	0	87,817	1.561	1,581	1,060,048
CITRUS WORLD	CO-GEN	1	0	0	1	2.816	2.615	28
CUMULATIVE TOTAL DIFFERENCE DIFFERENCE %		3,541,685 (164,667) (4.4)	0 0 0.0	0 0 0.0	3,541,665 (164,667) (4.4)	2.160 8.181 8.1	2,160 0,181 8,1	76,500,282 3,178,282 4.3

ECONOMY ENERGY PUNCHASES INCLUDING LONG TERM PUNCHAS FOR THE PERIOD OF: GCT 1889 - MAR 1897

3	T E	TOTAL KNAI	BHENOY	THROUGH TUTOL	COST IF	COST IF	Bus
FURCHASED FROM	SCHEDULE	PURCHASED		FOR FUEL ADJ	CHOMI	0 GENERALES	6 SAVINGS
ESTIMATED		370,821	2.444	9,212,505	2.444	9,212,506	•
ACTUAL							
FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT	ECONOMY-C	86,140	3,729	3,600,667	4.539	4,454,529	794,844
BANKSWILL	ECONOMY-C	11,560	BUTT BUTT	379, 193	4.276	494,430	7.811
HOMESTEAD ACCIONNILE ELECT AUTH	ECONOMY-C	7,865	4.577	350,000	4.887	300,417	8,434
KEY WEST	ECOMOMY-C	797	3.570	28,454	4,540	39,443	10,989
LAKE WORTH	ECONOMY-C	780	3,732	29,112	5,148	40,158	11,045
NEW SMYRNA BEACH	ECONOMY-C	574	3.807	22,030	81018	28,502	211.207
ORLANDO UTILITIES COMM	ECONOMY-C	21,162	CHRT	004/778	7007	1,000,747	211,507
PECO.	ECONOMY-C	11,601	2.00	84,000	4.500	71 568	17.976
REEDY CREEK	ECONOMY-C	100.1	2 176	200,00	2,480	882.006	861 36
SCHOOLE SERVICES INC	D-LWONDON	200,10	2,000	2.897	3.071	3,071	218
TALLAHASSEE	ECONOMY-C	28,147	3.67.6	900,804	4317	1,216,144	249,540
TAMPA ELECTRIC	ECONOMY-C	212,672	2.181	4,637,620	2,364	6,063,017	1,425,207
SUB TOTAL ENERGY PURCHASES - BROKER		424,253	2,830	12,000,000	1.807	16,132,362	1,124,270
CORAL POWER	SCHEDULE OS	1,200	2,076	26,912	2.501	30,972	6,000
DELHI ENERGY SERV	SCHEDULE OS	500	1.780	8,780	2.187	10,105	2 2 2 2
ELECTRIC CLEARING HOUSE	SCHEDULE OF	2,901	2800	182 283	3.890	252.914	70,651
FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT	SCHEDULE OS	28,042	411	1,252,902	5.473	1,425,357	172,455
JACKSONVILLE ELECT AUTH	SCHEDULE J	1,600	2,500	40,000	2,946	47,136	7,136
JACKSONVILLE ELECT AUTH	SCHEDULE OS	1,925	2,540	40,075	1007	57,500	110,0
KOCH POWER SERVICES INC.	SOUTHWEST OF	12,760	2445	348,628	2.674	520,540	172,021
LOUISVILLE GAS & ELECT PWR MKTG INC.	SCHEDULE OS	10,062	2,050	219,524	2,940	300,7	84, 199
DOLETHORPE	SCHEDULE OS	25,919	2,498	647,536	3.679	953,626	180,800
DOLETHORPE	SCHEDULE R	3,220	2.105	69,892	1007	000 1CB	0
ORLANDO UTILITIES COMM.	SOUTHWEST T	43.872	2,866	1,255,768	2.975	1,303,623	48,065
PECO ENERGY	SCHEDULE OS	65,606	2.312	1,516,650	3,005	2,024,029	807,379
SEMINOLE	LOAD FOLLOWING	2,765	2,760	74,560	2,780	76,669	. 0
SEMINOLE	SCHEDULE 7	5 e	5.545	5,546	1,700	1,700	D.845
SONAT POWER MARKETING CORP.	SCHEDULE OS	0.023	2.557	253,705	2,442	341,512	87,807
SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMIN.	KYDRO	10,619	1,044	110,851	1,044	110,851	0
TALLAHASSEE	ECHEDULE 1	4,162	1,041	120,500		17.667	PER 70
TALLAHASSEE	SCHEDULE 03	720	1187	25,000	2,440	2.848	300,000
TALLAHASSEE	SCHEDULE R		2000	DMC OPC	2 982	486.747	146.961
TAMPA ELECTRIC	SCHEDULE J	16,322	2,000	340,786	2,882	400,747	140,301
SUB TOTAL ENERGY PURCHASES - MON BROKES		290,600	2,610	7,811,505	1.774	8,814,381	1,802,670
PIENE ATELE POTAL		714.933	2.745	10.021,591	1,448	24,648,743	6,027,162
DIFFERENCE		230,012	2	10,400,000	5	16,436,238	8,027,162
DIFFERENCE %		887	Ē	1123		4791	