ORIGINAL

Legal Department

NANCY B. WHITE Assistant General Counsel-Florida

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 347-5558

September 29, 1997

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 971058-TP (305 NPA)

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed is an original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Rebuttal Testimony of Daniel M. Baeza, which we ask that you file in the captioned matter.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Nancy B. White (KR)

Nancy B. White

Enclosures EAG LEG 0 c: All parties of record LIN A. M. Lombardo OPC R. G. Beatty William J. Ellenberg II RCH SEC WAS _____

ACK

AFA APP

CAF

CMI

CTR

OTH ____

Ŀ.

arees

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 09970 SEP 295 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 971058-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by Federal Express this 29th day of September, 1997 to the following:

John Bowman, Esq. Martha Brown, Esq. Staff Counsel-FPSC 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 Tel No. (850) 413-6199

Mark Herron, Esq. E. Gary Early, Esq. Akerman, Senterfitt & Edison, P.A. P.O. Box 10555 Tallahassee, FL 32302-2555 Tel. No. (850) 222-3471

Thomas K. Bond MCI Telecommunications Corp. 780 Johnson Ferry Road Suite 700 Atlanta, GA 30342

Richard D. Melson Hopping Green Sams & Smith Post Office Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314

Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq. William B. Willingham, Esq. Rutledge, Ecenia, Underwood, Purnell & Hoffman, P.A. P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Tel. No. (850) 681-6788 Fax. No. (850) 681-6515 Mr. Paul Kouroupas Teleport Communications Group, Inc. TCG-Washington 2 Lafayette Centre 1133 Twenty-First Street, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel. No. (202) 739-0030 Fax. No. (202) 739-0044

Mark K. Logan Bryant, Miller & Olive 201 South Monroe Street Suite 500 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Tel. No. (850) 222-8611

Marsha Rule Tracy Hatch 101 North Monroe Street Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Tel No. (850) 425-6364

Lee L. Willis J. Jeffry Wahlen Ausley & McMullen P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Attys. for Sprint

Sprint Thomas C. Foley 850 East Altamonte Drive Altamonte Springs, FL 32716 Tony Key 3100 Cumberland Circle # 802 Atlanta, GA 30339

Nancy B. White U.R.

ORICINAL

| 1 | | BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DANIEL M. BAEZA |
| 3 | | BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |
| 4 | | DOCKET NO. 971058-TL |
| 5 | | SEPTEMBER 29, 1997 |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | Q. | Please state your name, address and position with BellSouth |
| 9 | | Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth" or "The Company"). |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | Α. | My name is Daniel M. Baeza. I am a Director in the Infrastructure |
| 12 | | Planning Department. My business address is 6451 North Federal |
| 13 | | Highway, Fort Lauderdale, Fla. |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | Q. | Are you the same Daniel Baeza that filed direct testimony in this |
| 16 | | hearing? |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | Α. | Yes, I am. |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | Q. | What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony? |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | Α. | The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to clarify and set the record |
| 23 | | straight on a variety of points put forward by intervenors to this docket. |
| 24 | | Specifically, I will discuss: |
| 25 | | - what happens in an industry meeting on NPA relief; and |
| | | |

٢

:

h

 DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

| 1 | | -who did and did not show up to be heard; |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | -whether "warehousing" of NXXs is taking place; |
| 3 | | -number pooling; |
| 4 | | -rate center consolidation; |
| 5 | | -AT&T and MCI recommended "steps" to promote local competition |
| 6 | | under an overlay; and |
| 7 | | -TCG comments on porting unused telephone numbers and on new |
| 8 | | technology that would alleviate the need for eventual 10-digit dialing. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | Q. | MCI and TCG consistently refer to this NPA relief proposal as "BST's |
| 11 | | proposal. Is that a true statement? |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Α. | No. The proposal put before this Commission is an Industry proposal. |
| 14 | | BellSouth, as the dominant Local Exchange Carrier in the 305 NPA, |
| 15 | | must currently act as Code Administrator. In that capacity, it is required |
| 16 | | that BellSouth track the use of NXX codes and, when code utilization |
| 17 | | reaches a certain point, call for NPA relief. |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | When an NPA exhaust is determined, BellSouth is required to call a |
| 20 | | meeting to discuss relief proposals. Invitations to this meeting must |
| 21 | | include all known code holders. The list provided as Exhibit DMB-3 |
| 22 | | reflects those notified of the meeting. Exhibit DMB-4 shows those |
| 23 | | actually in attendance. Approximately 59 individuals representing |
| 24 | | approximately 37 companies were invited to the industry meeting on |
| 25 | | |

t

:

ŝ

14

•

-2--2--2-

305 NPA Relief. 23 individuals representing 10 companies actually
 attended.

3

1

At the industry meeting, BellSouth acts as moderator. BellSouth
presents illustrative relief plan proposals to facilitate industry review and
industry discussion. Those proposals are determined by using the NPA
Code Relief Planning and Notification Guidelines (current issue dated
April 4, 1997). Industry representatives are invited and encouraged to
offer alternatives and/or present discussion on those plans provided by
BellSouth. Obviously, if an ALEC fails to attend after being notified,
that ALEC's point of view cannot influence industry peers.

- 11 that ALEC's point of view cannot influence industry pe
 - 12

While the views of one ALEC may be known by its industry peers
(Kistner, page 4, lines 17 and 18), it is that ALEC's responsibility to
persuade the other industry representatives to its point of view. An
industry meeting isn't an oligarchy ruled by ILECs and ALECs. It is
a democratic environment were those present may make their positions
known and the industry recommendation is determined by consensus of
those industry members present.

20

Q. Intervenors to this docket point out a variety of problems with an
overlay solution for the NPA exhaust. Can you comment on these
problems?

-3-

-1

- 24
- 25

Yes. First, let me discuss the situation of a new code from a geographic A. 1 perspective. MCI, AT&T and TCG put forth the argument that they will 2 be disadvantaged because the new code will be unfamiliar to callers 3 outside the area and, as such, create a sub-class of underprivileged 4 ALECs. It is true that NPAs become associated with geographic areas. 5 It must be considered, however, that the geography originally 6 encompassed by the 305 NPA has changed dramatically over the last 7 several years. First, the 407 NPA removed part of the upper 305 area. 8 Next, the 954 NPA eliminated Ft. Lauderdale from 305. Finally, the 561 9 NPA changed the Boca Raton area, originally associated with 305, from 10 the 407 NPA. The new NPA, with a geographic split decision, will 11 eliminate up to one half of the Miami metropolitan area from what was 12 previously know as "305". If a caller can identify specific geography 13 associated with the 305 NPA today, the future of that possibility is 14 unlikely to continue with a geographic split. With the overlay, at least, 15 existing customers (ILEC, ALEC or Wireless) would keep a vast part of 16 their supposed geographic identity since they will keep their existing 17 telephone numbers regardless of who serves them. 18

19

Secondly, the truth about "warehousing" needs to be provided.
BellSouth does not and will not warehouse NXX codes. Such an
allegation is a pure red herring. BellSouth should not have to apologize
for providing service to the vast majority of current 305 NPA subscribers.
In its capacity as dominant LEC, BellSouth must make the same

4

requests of its own code administrators with the same substantiation as an ALEC does. Warehousing codes serves no purpose for BellSouth.

- MCI promotes the idea that one NXX per rate center should be made 4 5 available in order to match BellSouth. It is true that the FCC only requires a single NXX in the old area code be reserved for new entrants 6 when an overlay is to be the NPA relief option. Under the code 7 assignment guidelines developed by industry consensus, the only 8 distinction made between code holders is that entities requesting a 9 growth code need to certify that their existing numbering resources will 10 exhaust within six months and new entrants may request an initial code 11 per rate center, without stipulating an anticipated exhaust period. Any 12 service provider may request additional numbering resources in 13 accordance with the code assignment guidelines currently in effect. 14
- 15

1

2

3

16 Q. MCI apparently considers BellSouth's plan to try and assign additional
 17 numbers based on a customer's existing NPA a ploy to retain
 18 domination of the 305 NPA. Do you have any comments on that
 19 notion?

20

A. Yes. The idea is patently ridiculous. BellSouth seeks to provide good
 customer service, nothing more. We are only trying to provide a certain
 amount of consideration by checking for the availability of an additional
 number in a customer's existing NPA. MCI can certainly do the same

-5-

4

with the NXXs it holds in the 305 area, as can any ALEC with 305
 numbers.

3

Q. Is AT&T and TCG correct in stating that grandfathering of wireless
customers' telephone numbers is a requirement for a geographic
split?

7

No, grandfathering is not a requirement. If a wireless or cellular 8 Α. carrier's Mobile Switching Center is located in the area designated 9 to receive a new NPA, should a geographic split be ordered, the 10 telephone numbers originating in that switch will have to change. The 11 same is true for any wireline customers located in the new NPA. That 12 is the major drawback of a geographic split. In this instance, the 13 optimum geographic split will cause the number change of greater than 14 three-quarters of a million subscrit ers in the Miami metropolitan area. 15 The intervening ALECs in this docket seem to downplay that aspect of 16 the geographic split option, but it is of monumental significance to those 17 customers. An overlay prevents such a phenomenon. 18

19

Q. AT&T and MCI both recommend four conditions to promote competition
 if the overlay option is selected by this Commission. Can you comment
 on those conditions?

23

24 A. Yes. Let me discuss them in an orderly fashion, taking the like

25 conditions first, then following up with the conditions that differ.

-6-

| reflected in the FCC's schedule for the Miami MSA. 2. <u>Mandatory 10 digit dialing for local calls</u>- BellSouth knows of no other way to provide an overlay other than to require 10 digit dialing between and among old and new area codes. In fact, in the event that a geographic split is ordered, 10 digit dialing will more than likely have to be implemented between the old and new area codes as well. 3. <u>Rate Center Consolidation (MCI)</u>- The number of rate centers held by BellSouth is not entirely germane to this docket. Granted, the ALECs feel a need to match BellSouth's rate centers and that requires NXXs. They are welcome to reserve NXXs. Rate center consolidation will require significant work on BellSouth's behalf as well as significant expenditures to change billing systems. While we have no stringent objections to an analysis of such an effort, its purpose seems vague. 4. <u>Number pooling workshop (MCI)</u>- BellSouth is not opposed to the concept of number pooling. BellSouth is, however, extremely opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to an unber pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 1 | 1. Local Number Portability schedule- BellSouth will meet the date |
|--|----|--|
| other way to provide an overlay other than to require 10 digit dialing between and among old and new area codes. In fact, in the event that a geographic split is ordered, 10 digit dialing will more than likely have to be implemented between the old and new area codes as well. 3. <u>Rate Center Consolidation (MCI)</u>- The number of rate centers held by BellSouth is not entirely germane to this docket. Granted, the ALECs feel a need to match BellSouth's rate centers and that requires NXXs. They are welcome to reserve NXXs. Rate center consolidation will require significant work on BellSouth's behalf as well as significant expenditures to change billing systems. While we have no stringent objections to an analysis of such an effort, its purpose seems vague. 4. <u>Number pooling workshop (MCI)</u>- BellSouth is not opposed to the concept of number pooling. BellSouth is, however, extremely opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to number pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 2 | reflected in the FCC's schedule for the Miami MSA. |
| between and among old and new area codes. In fact, in the event that a geographic split is ordered, 10 digit dialing will more than likely have to be implemented between the old and new area codes as well. 3. <u>Rate Center Consolidation (MCI)</u>- The number of rate centers held by BellSouth is not entirely germane to this docket. Granted, the ALECs feel a need to match BellSouth's rate centers and that requires NXXs. They are welcome to reserve NXXs. Rate center consolidation will require significant work on BellSouth's behalf as well as significant expenditures to change billing systems. While we have no stringent objections to an analysis of such an effort, its purpose seems vague. 4. <u>Number pooling workshop (MCI)</u>- BellSouth is not opposed to the concept of number pooling. BellSouth is, however, extremely opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to .number pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 3 | 2. Mandatory 10 digit dialing for local calls- BellSouth knows of no |
| that a geographic split is ordered, 10 digit dialing will more than likely have to be implemented between the old and new area codes as well. 3. <u>Rate Center Consolidation (MCI)</u>- The number of rate centers held by BellSouth is not entirely germane to this docket. Granted, the ALECs feel a need to match BellSouth's rate centers and that requires NXXs. They are welcome to reserve NXXs. Rate center consolidation will require significant work on BellSouth's behalf as well as significant expenditures to change billing systems. While we have no stringent objections to an enalysis of such an effort, its purpose seems vague. 4. <u>Number pooling workshop (MCI)</u>- BellSouth is not opposed to the concept of number pooling. BellSouth is, however, extremely opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution tc number pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 4 | other way to provide an overlay other than to require 10 digit dialing |
| have to be implemented between the old and new area codes as well. <u>Rate Center Consolidation (MCI)</u>- The number of rate centers held by BellSouth is not entirely germane to this docket. Granted, the ALECs feel a need to match BellSouth's rate centers and that requires NXXs. They are welcome to reserve NXXs. Rate center consolidation will require significant work on BellSouth's behalf as well as significant expenditures to change billing systems. While we have no stringent objections to an enalysis of such an effort, its purpose seems vague. <u>A. Number pooling workshop (MCI)</u>- BellSouth is not opposed to the concept of number pooling. BellSouth is, however, extremely opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to humber pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 5 | between and among old and new area codes. In fact, in the event |
| 8 3. <u>Rate Center Consolidation (MCI)</u>- The number of rate centers held by BellSouth is not entirely germane to this docket. Granted, the ALECs feel a need to match BellSouth's rate centers and that requires NXXs. They are welcome to reserve NXXs. Rate center consolidation will require significant work on BellSouth's behalf as well as significant expenditures to change billing systems. While we have no stringent objections to an analysis of such an effort, its purpose seems vague. 4. <u>Number pooling workshop (MCI)</u>- BellSouth is not opposed to the concept of number pooling. BellSouth is, however, extremely opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to number pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 6 | that a geographic split is ordered, 10 digit dialing will more than likely |
| by BellSouth is not entirely germane to this docket. Granted, the ALECs feel a need to match BellSouth's rate centers and that requires NXXs. They are welcome to reserve NXXs. Rate center consolidation will require significant work on BellSouth's behalf as well as significant expenditures to change billing systems. While we have no stringent objections to an enalysis of such an effort, its purpose seems vague. 4. <u>Number pooling workshop (MCI)</u>- BellSouth is not opposed to the concept of number pooling. BellSouth is, however, extremely opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to an umber pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 7 | have to be implemented between the old and new area codes as well. |
| ALECs feel a need to match BellSouth's rate centers and that requires NXXs. They are welcome to reserve NXXs. Rate center consolidation will require significant work on BellSouth's behalf as well as significant expenditures to change billing systems. While we have no stringent objections to an enalysis of such an effort, its purpose seems vague. 4. <u>Number pooling workshop (MCI)</u> - BellSouth is not opposed to the concept of number pooling. BellSouth is, however, extremely opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to number pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 8 | 3. Rate Center Consolidation (MCI)- The number of rate centers held |
| requires NXXs. They are welcome to reserve NXXs. Rate center consolidation will require significant work on BellSouth's behalf as well as significant expenditures to change billing systems. While we have no stringent objections to an analysis of such an effort, its purpose seems vague. 4. Number pooling workshop (MCI)- BellSouth is not opposed to the concept of number pooling. BellSouth is, however, extremely opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to number pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 9 | by BellSouth is not entirely germane to this docket. Granted, the |
| consolidation will require significant work on BellSouth's behalf as well as significant expenditures to change billing systems. While we have no stringent objections to an enalysis of such an effort, its purpose seems vague. 4. <u>Number pooling workshop (MCI)</u>- BellSouth is not opposed to the concept of number pooling. BellSouth is, however, extremely opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to an under pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 10 | ALECs feel a need to match BellSouth's rate centers and that |
| well as significant expenditures to change billing systems. While we have no stringent objections to an enalysis of such an effort, its purpose seems vague. 4. <u>Number pooling workshop (MCI)</u>- BellSouth is not opposed to the concept of number pooling. BellSouth is, however, extremely opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to number pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 11 | requires NXXs. They are welcome to reserve NXXs. Rate center |
| have no stringent objections to an enalysis of such an effort, its purpose seems vague. 4. Number pooling workshop (MCI)- BellSouth is not opposed to the concept of number pooling. BellSouth is, however, extremely opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to number pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 12 | consolidation will require significant work on BellSouth's behalf as |
| 15purpose seems vague.164. Number pooling workshop (MCI)- BellSouth is not opposed to the17concept of number pooling. BellSouth is, however, extremely18opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state19basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national20forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC)21has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an22initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When23the studies are completed, an industry solution to number pooling will be24the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 13 | well as significant expenditures to change billing systems. While we |
| 4. <u>Number pooling workshop (MCI)</u>- BellSouth is not opposed to the concept of number pooling. BellSouth is, however, extremely opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to number pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 14 | have no stringent objections to an analysis of such an effort, its |
| concept of number pooling. BellSouth is, however, extremely opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to number pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 15 | purpose seems vague. |
| opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to number pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 16 | 4. Number pooling workshop (MCI)- BellSouth is not opposed to the |
| basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to number pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 17 | concept of number pooling. BellSouth is, however, extremely |
| forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to number pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 18 | opposed to studying and implementing ad hoc solutions on a per state |
| has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to number pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 19 | basis. Currently, the number pooling issue is under study in national |
| initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When the studies are completed, an industry solution to number pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 20 | forums. In particular, the North American Numbering Council (NANC) |
| the studies are completed, an industry solution to number pooling will be the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 21 | has requested the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) to submit an |
| 24 the result. AT&T and MCI are active participants in these activities. | 22 | initial report on this matter to the NANC at its October meeting. When |
| the second s | 23 | the studies are completed, an industry solution to number pooling will be |
| as When those studies are complete an industry solution for number | 24 | |
| 25 When those studies are complete, an industry solution for manipor | 25 | When those studies are complete, an industry solution for number |

.7. 4

pooling will be provided. That industry solution will be the most efficient 1 and technologically accurate arrangement. Number pooling, 2 unfortunately, will not offer any longevity to the 305 NPA, even if it were 3 available today. 4 5. Availability of unused telephone numbers (AT&T)- By asking that 5 all unused numbers in the existing code held by the incumbent LEC be 8 made available, AT&T is requesting porting of vacant numbers. It has 7 already been agreed, at the North American Numbering Council 8 (NANC), that vacant numbers will not be ported. Porting of reserved 9 numbers will be accommodated. Those numbers must be reserved by a 10 service order and noted on the customer service record. They will be 11 ported at the time the existing numbers are ported. TCG makes the 12 same request in Mr. Hirsch's testimony (page 12, line 8). Mr. Hirsch 13 does point out that he is referencing reserved numbers. 14 6. Allocating remaining NXXs to competing carriers excluding the LEC-15 This condition is blatantly untenable. First, it cannot be supposed 16 that all competing carriers in the 305 NPA require additional NXXs. 17 Secondly, it would be irresponsible of this Commission to deny an 18 incumbent LEC access to an NXX code if it were required. Finally, 19 sufficient rules are in place in the form of the Central Office Code 20 Assignment Guidelines, INC 95-0407-008, to prevent any miscarriage 21 of administration. 22 23 Do you agree with TCG's contention that technology will alter the 24 Q.

25 utilization of numbering resources?

-8-

÷_

| 2 | Α. | No, not necessarily. Mr. Hirsch seeks to mitigate the fact that, at |
|----|----|--|
| 3 | | a certain point in the numbering scheme, an overlay solution to an |
| 4 | | NPA exhaust will be all that is left. Any technology or new numbering |
| 5 | | assignment plan that would eliminate the overlay would be costly to say |
| 6 | | the least and, at best, too far in the future to be of any use in this |
| 7 | | current environment. |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | Q. | Please summarize your testimony. |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | Α. | My rebuttal testimony covers a number of issues raised by the |
| 12 | | intervenors to this docket. Many of these issues, such as number |
| 13 | | pooling and rate center consolidation merely obscure the issue of |
| 14 | | which relief option would be better for the ratepayers of Florida and |
| 15 | | offer no concrete aid to extending the life of the 305 NPA. Other |
| 16 | | intervenor issues like the linking of an NPA to a recognizable |
| 17 | | geographic area or the warehousing of code seem aimed at |
| 18 | | undermining BellSouth's credibility rather than seeking an optimum |
| 19 | | solution. I believe I have provided sufficient additional information on |
| 20 | | these various issues that the Commission can consider itself in |
| 21 | | possession of all the facts and can make a judgment on that basis. |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | Q. | Does that conclude your testimony? |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | Α. | Yes, it does. |
| | | |

-9-

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Florida PSC Docket 971058-TL Exh?bit No. DMB-3

305 NPA RELIEF 6/30/97 INDUSTRY MEETING DISTRIBUTION LIST

| HAME | REPRESENTING | ADDRESS | TEL & FAX Nos 305-621-6000 Tel 305-620-4724 Fax | |
|------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Paul Klugarman | ABC Paging | 16500 NW 52nd Ave. Warni, FL 33014 | | |
| Chris Horton | ArTouch Cellular | 4151 Ashford Dunwoody Rd. Atlanta, GA 30319 | 404-257-5314 Tel 404-257-5066 Fax 561-994-3800 Tel 561-994-5975 Fax | |
| Rick Birtwistle | ArTouch Paging | 6420 Congress Ave. Suite 1800 Boce Raton, FL 33487 | | |
| Jerry Sutton | AirTouch Paging | Three Forest Plaze 12221 Ment Dr., Suite 800 Dallas, TX 75251 | 972-880-3200 Tel 972-880-3248 Fax | |
| Jim Cigler | AirTouch Paging | 6420 Congress Ave. Suite 1600 Boos Raton, FL 33487 | 561-994-3800 Tel 561-994-5975 Fax | |
| Bill Stipe | ACSI of AL | 131 National Bus Pitwy Suite 100 Annapolia J metion, MD 20701 | 301-517-4220 Tel 301-483-7667 Fax | |
| Doug Thompson | Ameripage, Inc. | 6043 NW 167th Street Miami, FL 33015 | 305-231-8008 Tel 305-827-4906 Fax | |
| Sandy Furness | AT&T Numbers Administration | One Oak Way Room ZWA169 Berkeley Heights, NJ 07922 | 908-771-4387 Tei 908-771-8268 Fax | |
| Char Mains | ATAT Wreless HQ Est. Affairs | 5000 Carllon Point Kinkland, WA 98033 | 206-803-1232 Tel 206-828-8609 Fax | |
| Bubba Phillips | AT&T Long Distance | 2315 Salem Road Conyers, GA 30208 | 770-785-5773 Tel 770-929-4348 Fax | |
| Dave Eicheikraut | | Room C5001 2121 E. 63 rd Street Kanses City, MO 64130 | 816-895-3230 Tel 816-822-6790 Fax | |
| Richard Cahali | | 1920 Corporate Orive Boynton Beach, FL 33426 | 561-375-8715 Tel 561-375-8528 Fax | |
| John Glannella | AT&T Wreisss | 250 Australian Ave. So. 9th Floor Wast Palm Beach, FL 33401 | 661-655-7444 Tel 661-653-7403 Fax | |
| tulian Gonzalez | Beep-Net | 226 SW 22nd Ave. Miami, FL 33135 | 305-388-3100 Tel 305-388-9130 Fax | |

-1

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc Florida PSC Docket 971058-TL Exhibit No. DMB-3

| Charles M. Lewis | BelSouth - IT | 13C55 BSC 675 W. Peschiree St. | 404-529-0936 Tel 404-523-7871 Fa |
|------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| | | Atlanta, GA 30375 | |
| Gene Lunceford | BelSouth - Ind. Rel. | 600 N. 19th St., 3rd Floor | 205-321-2013 Tel |
| | | Birmingham, AL 35203 | 205-321-4002 Fa |
| Stan Washer | BelSouth - NPA | North W1C | 205-977-2668 Tel |
| | Code Administration | 3535 Colonnede Parkway Birmingham, AL 36243 | 205-977-7877 Fax |
| Tany Bal | BellSouth - IFP | 6451 No. Federal Hwy FL Lauderdala, FL 33308 | 954-492-1773 Tel |
| Larry Whipple | BelSouth - IFP | 18560 MW 27th Ave | 305-622-3263 Tel |
| | 11 | Room 330 Miemi, FL 33056 | 305-822-3292 Fax |
| George Frazee | BelSouth - Reg & Ext | 150 S. Monroe Street | 904-224-5139 Tel |
| Nomin | Affaira | Suite 400 Talahassee, FL 32301 | 904-222-8840 Fax |
| udy Childens | BellSouth - Reg. & Ext. | 150 W. Flagler Street | 305-347-5414 Tel |
| | Affairs | Suite 1801 Miami, FL 33130 | 305-577-3027 Feb |
| Asivin McCowan | BellSouth Long | 32A Perimeter Center East | 770-352-3032 Tel |
| | Distance, inc. | Atlenta, GA 30346 | 770-362-3184 Feb |
| tich Silvestri | BellSouth Mobility | 5201 Congresis Ave | 561-477-4777 Tel |
| | k: | Sulle D-1 Bocs Raton, FL 33487 | 681-477-4777 Fax |
| Ron Burtleson | BellSouth Cellular | 1100 Peachtree Street | 404-249-0455 Tel |
| | | Suite 809 Alianta, GA 30309 | 404-248-0453 Fax |
| Susan Israel | BellSouth Cellular | 1100 Peechtree St | 404-249-0478 Tel |
| | | Suite 809 Atlanta, GA 30309 | 404-249-0453 Fax |
| iii Hopter | Continental FL Telecom | 4455 Bay Meadows Road | 904-731-8899 Tel |
| | | Jacksonville, FL 32257 | 904-731-8899 Fax |
| Aarzie Robertson | CONXUS Network, Inc | 15 S. Main Street | 854-241-5453 Tel |
| | | Greenville, SC 29801 | 664-241-5483 Fex |
| tan Greer | Florida PBC | 2045 Shumard Oak Blvd | 850-413-6562 Tel |
| | | Tallehaasse, FL 32399 | 850-413-6563 Fax |
| ohn Chavaz | General Paging | 518 NW 57th Ave., #207 | 305-267-5455 Tel |
| | | Miami, FL 33126 | 305-267-5654 Fax |
| ndy Fredlund | Interlink Paging (Arch | 401 West Linton Blvd | 561-243-1114 Tel |
| | SE Comm) | Suite 300 Del Rey, FL 33444 | 561-243-7909 Fax |
| ce Klein | Intelcom Group Access | 9805 East Maroon Circle | 303-576-6203 Tel |
| | Svca | Englewood, CO 60112 | 303-572-2001 Fax |

•

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc Florida PSC Docket 971058-TL Exhibit No. DMB-3

| Staven Brownworth | Interstate FloerNet / Deltacom | 205 West 9th Street West Point, GA 31833 | 708-845-8565 Tel 708 645-8989 Fax |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| | | | 100 043-0808 P 20 |
| Karen Harvey | MCIMetro | 8521 Leesburg Pike Vienne, VA 22182 | 703-918-8648 Tel 703-918-8617 Fax |
| Fredenck Williams | MCI Long Distance | 2270 Lakeside Bivd Richardson, TX 75082 | 972-918-1816 Tel 972-918-1821 Fax |
| Scott Brown | MCIMetro | 780 Johnson Ferry Road Sén Floor Alianta, GA 30342 | 404-267-5936 Tel 404-825-5092 Fax |
| Anita Amos | MobleComm | 1800 E. County Line Suite 300 Ridgeland, MS 39157 | 601-977-1562 Tel 601-977-1748 Fax |
| Wendy Hauk | MPC | 1301 W. Copans RD. # F1 Pompano Beach, FL 33084 | 954-968-1913 Tel 954-968-5392 Fax |
| Gus Roby | NEXTEL Comm. | 851 Trafelgar Court Suite 430 East Maitland, FL 32751 | 407-867-1200 Tel 407-667-1242 Fax |
| Arlane Charity | PageMart | 6688 N. Central Expressway Suite 600 Daflas, TX | 214-706-8209 Tel 214-750-9201 Fex |
| Dennis Grant | PageNet Fiorida Systems | 2 Oakwood Bivd Suite 100 Hollywood, FL 33020 | 854-922-9644 Tel 854-922-9118 Fax |
| Bill Wiginton | PageNat | 4985 Preston Park Blvd Sufte 600 Plano, TX 75053 | 972-885-5162 Tel 972-985-6519 Fax |
| Garey Merritt | PageNet of America | 1000 Legion Place Suite 100 Orlando, FL 32801 | 407-849-8007 Tel 407-872-8218 Fax |
| Eric Belasert | Paging Network, Inc | 3500 Parkway Bivd Sulle 450 Norcross, GA 30092 | 770-368-1011 Tel 770-368-1048 Fax |
| lohn J. Murray, III | Payphone Consultants, Inc | 3431 NW 55th Street Ft Lauderdale, FL 33309 | 954-454-2500 Tel 954-714-0005 Fax |
| lanet Pierre | Primos PCS, L.P. | 225 Westmonte Dr. Room 2080 Attemonte Springs, FL 32714 | 407-786-4019 Tel 407-916-0084 Fax |
| eremy Azif | Primeco Personal Communications | 6 Campus Circle Room 6421 Westiske, TX 76262 | 817-258-1241 Tel 817-258-1805 Fax |
| iohn Prefer | Priority Comm. | 1499 W. Pelmetto Park Road Suite 405 Boca Raton, FL 33434 | 561-750-8599 Tel 561-391-4705 Fax |
| d Lezcano | Rainbow Paging | 8337 NW 12th Street Miami, FL 33126 | 305-593-8844 Tel 305-594-7711 Fax |

.

۱

BellSouth Telecommunications, In Florida PSC Docket 971058-TL Exhibit No. DMB- 3

| Diane Little | Sprint PCS | 4900 Main Street | 816-559-5053 Te |
|-------------------|------------------------|---|------------------|
| | | Kanaas City, MO 64112 | 816-559-5093 Fa |
| Beth Marsie-Hazen | Sprint PCS | 444 Metroplax Drive | 615-833-4848 Tel |
| | | Bidg. B. Suite 102 Nashville, TN 37211 | 615-833-6796 Fax |
| Tom Foley | Sprint | Box 166000, M/C 4007 | 407-830-2749 Tel |
| _ | | 850 E. Altamonte Springs Dr. Altamonte Springs, FL 32716 | 407-430-3675 Fax |
| Tony Key | Sprint Comm. Co. | 3100 Cumberland Circle | 404-649-5144 Tel |
| | | Alientz, GA 30339 | 404-549-5174 Fat |
| Kathy Eichholz | Sprint | Malistop : KSOPKC 0801 | 913-534-2005 Tel |
| | | 9350 Metcalf Overland Park, KS 6212 | 913-534-5365 Fax |
| Staven Valenzi | Sprint Spectrum L.P. | 1280 N. University Drive | 954-423-5250 Tel |
| | | Suite 200 Plantation, FL 33322 | 954-423-5267 Fax |
| Angela Webster | Sprint | Mailstop: MOKCMP 0501 | 913-624-6016 Tel |
| | | 8140 Ward Parkway Kenses City, MO 64114 | 913-624-5504 Fax |
| John Krug | TCG Fiorida | Two Teleport Drive | 718-355-2762 Tel |
| | | Staten Island, NY 10311 | 718-355-4804 Fax |
| Richard | Time Warner AXS of | 2251 Lucian Way | 407-660-5555 Tel |
| Gersterneier | Fiorida | Suite 320 Maitland, FL 32751 | 407-660-6068 Fax |
| Dens Huntar | Time Wemer Comm. | 5680 Greenwood Plaze Blvd | 303-705-1818 Tel |
| | | Englewood. CO 80111 | 303-705-1814 Fax |
| any Reuter | United States Cellular | 6110 N.W. 4th Place | 352-665-4332 Tel |
| | | Gainesville, FL 32607 | 352-332-0726 Fax |
| Sherita Roberts | Worldcom | One Williams Center | 918-590-8529 Tel |
| | | MD 27-2 Tulsa, OK 74172 | 918-590-5598 Fax |
| amela Kenworthy | Worldoom | 3 Wing Drive, Suite 200 | 201-938-7387 Tel |
| | | Cedar Knolls, NJ 07927 | 201-938-7439 Fax |
| im Desk | NANPA | PYA 1G-278 | 732-699-6612 Tel |
| | | 6 Corporate Place | 732-336-3293 Fex |
| | | Pieceleway, NJ 08854-4187 | |

4

BellSouth Telecommunications. In Florida PSC Docket 971058-TL Exhibit No. DMB-4

305 NPA Relief Industry Meeting June 30, 1997 Miami, Florida

| NAME | COMPANY | TEL NO | FAX NO |
|-----------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Bubba Phillips | AT&T Long Distance | 770-785-5773 | 770-929-4348 |
| Richard Cahall | AT&T Wireless | 561-375-6715 | 561-375-6528 |
| Rey Luis | AT&T Wireless | 305-775-0015 | 305-592-5036 |
| Ron Burleson | BellSouth Cellular | 404-249-0455 | 404-249-0453 |
| Susan Israel | BellSouth Cellular | 404-249-0478 | 404-249-0453 |
| Mario Jardon | BellSouth Mobility | 561-995-3583 | 561-995-3567 |
| Miles Simons | BellSouth Mobility | 561-477-4411 | 561-477-4411 |
| Terry Ball | BellSouth Telecom | 954-492-1773 | |
| Dan Baeza | BellSouth Telecom | 954-492-3875 | 954-492-1752 |
| Judy Childers | BellSouth Telecom | 305-347-5414 | 305-577-3027 |
| George Frazee | BellSouth Telecom | 850-224-5139 | 850-227-8260 |
| Wayne Gray | BellSouth Telecom | 770-391-4366 | |
| Charles M Lewis | BellSouth Telecom | 404-529-0936 | 404-523-7871 |
| A W Tubaugh | BellSouth Telecom | 850-224-5128 | |
| Larry Whipple | BellSouth Telecom | 305-622-3263 | 305-622-3292 |
| Ralph Widell | Florida PSC | 850-413-6550 | |
| Carl Salpietra | NEXTEL | 407-948-2142 | 407-667-1240 |
| Stan Washer | NPA Code Administrator | 205-977-2668 | 205-977-7877 |
| Doug LaPlante | PageNet | 954-922-9644 | 954-922-9118 |
| Bill Wiginton | PageNet | 972-985-5162 | 972-985-6519 |
| Paul Goodell | Priority Comm | 561-750-8899 | 561-391-4705 |
| Thomas C. Foley | Sprint | 407-830-2749 | 407-830-3675 |
| Steve Valenzi | Sprint PCS | 954-423-5250 | 954-423-5267 |