

LYNWOOD F. ARNOLD, JR.

Vicki Gordon Kaufman

JOSEPH A. McGLOTHLIN JOHN W. McWHIRTER, JR.

JOHN W. BAKAS, JR.

C. THOMAS DAVIDSON

STEPHEN O. DECKER

RICHARD W. REEVES

FRANK J. RIEF, III DAVID W. STEEN

PAUL A. STRASKE

LINDA E. JORGE

Law Offices



McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A.

100 North Tampa Street, Suite 2800

TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602-5126

Mailing Address: Tampa

P.O. Box 3350, Tampa, Florida 33601-3350

TELEPHONE (813) 224-0866

Fax (813) 221-1854

CABLE GRANDLAW

PLEASE REPLY TO: TALLAHASSEE

January 21, 1998

TALLAHASSEE OFFICE 117 S. GADSDEN TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301

TELEPHONE (850) 222-2525 FAX (850) 222-5606

HAND-DELIVERED

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870

Re: Docket Nos. 971004-EG, 971005-EG, 971006-EG & 971007-EG

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing and distribution are the original and fifteen copies of Florida Industrial Power Users Group's Comments in the above dockets.

Please acknowledge receipt of the above on the extra copy enclosed herein and return it to me. Thank you for your assistance.

UK	Sincerely,
FA	
PP	- Clicki Horaon Laufman
AF	Vicki Gordon Kaufman
MU	-
IR.	VGK/pw
AG	<u>turel</u> Enclosures
EG	
141	white the same of
)PC	
₹८∺	and the second second
3EC	

WAS _____

OTH ____

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
0 | 135 JAN 21 #

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Adoption of Numeric Conservation

Goals by Florida Power & Light Company.

In Re: Adoption of Numeric Conservation

Goals by Florida Power Corporation.

In Re: Adoption of Numeric Conservation

Goals by Gulf Power Company.

In Re: Adoption of Numeric Conservation

Goals by Gulf Power Company.

In Re: Adoption of Numeric Conservation

Goals by Tampa Electric Company.

Filed: January 21, 1998

THE FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP'S COMMENTS

The Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG), pursuant to Staff's request at the workshop held in this docket on January 7, 1998, files the following comments on issues raised at that workshop.

- 1. As has been discussed at some length in the two workshops held in this proceeding, the prior conservation goals proceeding was lengthy, time-consuming and expensive. FIPUG would point out that this was the case not only for the utilities (who, of course, did the analysis of the various measures and programs) but for Intervenors, such as FIPUG, as well. The amount of material generated, the number of meetings and depositions held and the length of the hearing itself made participation in the prior goals proceeding very costly.
- 2. FIPUG suggests that the Commission not repeat the prior goals process but rather build on it and use what was learned in that docket to move forward in this docket. To that end, FIPUG believes, as it appears that the other parties do, that it is unnecessary to repeat the SRC analysis. FIPUG further believes, again as most of the parties do (with nachment NUMBER-DATE)

01135 JAN 218

the exception of LEAF) that it is unnecessary to "reanalyze" measures that did not pass the RIM test in the last proceeding. Given the utilities' declining costs, as illustrated by the FPL handout, it is very unlikely that measures that did not pass RIM in the prior proceeding will now pass. It will greatly increase the burden on parties without any concomitant benefit if time is spent analyzing measures that cannot possibly be cost-effective. Thus, FIPUG supports narrowing the measures for analyses.

3. As to any cost-effectiveness analysis that is performed, FIPUG agrees that such analysis should be made only on the basis of the RIM test. The Commission made it clear in its last goals order that RIM, not TRC, is the appropriate cost-effectiveness standard:

We find that goals based on measures that pass TRC but not RIM would result in increased rates and would cause customers who do not participate in a utility DSM measure to subsidize customers who do participate.

Order No. PSC-94-1313-FOF-EG at 22. Thus, RIM should be the standard used in this proceeding.

Joseph A. McGlothlin Vicki Gordon Kaufman

McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A. 117 South Gadsden Street

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

John W. McWhirter, Jr. McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A. Post Office Box 3350 Tampa, Florida 33601-3350

Attorneys for Florida Industrial Power Users Group

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group's Comments has been furnished by Hand Delivery* or by U.S. Mail to the following parties of record, this 21st day of January, 1998:

Leslie Paugh*
Florida Public Service Commission
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Room 390Q
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Kenneth A. Hoffman
Rutledge Ecenia, Underwood,
Purnell & Hoffman, P.A.
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 420
Post Office Box 551
Tallahassee, Florida 32302-0551

Jeffrey A. Stone Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, Florida 32576

Charles A. Guyton Steel Hector & Davis 601 First Florida Bank Building 215 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1804 James A. McGee Florida Power Corporation 3201 34th Street South (33711) Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, Florida 33733-4042

James D. Beasley
Ausley & McMullen
227 South Calhoun Street (32301)
Post Office Box 391
Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Gail Kamaras
Legal Environmental
Assistance Foundation
1115 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32303

Vicki Gordon Kaufman