

Legal Department

NANCY B. WHITE Assistant General Counsel-Florida

- 14

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Room 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 347-5558

April 10, 1998

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 980119-TP (Supra Complaint)

Dear Ms. Bayó:

BellSouth fifteen copies of original and Enclosed is an Telecommunications, Inc.'s Prehearing Statement, which we ask that you file in the captioned matter.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

lancy B. White (Ke)

Nancy B. White

Mann CTR Enclosures EAG LEG cc: All parties of record A. M. Lombardo LIN R. G. Beatty OPC William J. Ellenberg II RCH SEC WAS __ OTH ___

ACK

AFA

APP CAF

CMU

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 04133 APR 108 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 980119-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by Federal Express this 10th day of April, 1998 to the following:

Beth Keating Legal Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Suzanne Fannon Summerlin, Esq. 1311-B Paul Russell Rd., #201 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Tel. No. (850) 656-2288 Fax. No. (850) 656-5589

Vancy B. White (KR)

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Complaint of Supra Telecommunications) Docket No.: 980119-TP and Information Systems, Inc., Against) BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.) Filed: April 10, 1998

PREHEARING STATEMENT OF BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth"), in compliance with the Order Establishing Procedure (Order No. PSC-98-0323-PCO-TP), issued January 14, 1998 and the Order on Disputed Issues (Order No. PSC-98-0416-PCO-TP), issued on March 24, 1998, hereby submits its Prehearing Statement for Docket No. 980119-TP.

A. Witnesses

BellSouth proposes to call the following witnesses to offer direct testimony on the issues in this docket:

Witness	<u>lssue(s)</u>
Patrick C. Finlen (Direct)	2, 3, 4, 5, and 6
W. Keith Milner (Direct)	1

Rebuttal testimony is due in this matter on April 15, 1998. Concurrent with the filing of its rebuttal testimony, BellSouth will file an amended witness and exhibit list for the prehearing order.

BellSouth reserves the right to call additional witnesses, witnesses to respond to Commission inquiries not addressed in direct or rebuttal testimony and witnesses to address issues not presently designated that may be

> DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE U4133 APRID # EPSC RECORDS (REPORTING

designated by the Prehearing Officer at the prehearing conference to be held on April 17, 1998.

B. <u>Exhibits</u>		
Patrick C. Finlen	PCF-1	Pages from BellSouth Interconnection Services Website
	PCF-2	Attachment 7 of the BellSouth- Supra Interconnection Agreement
	PCF-3	October 6, 1997 letter from R. J. Campbell
W. Keith Milner	None	

BellSouth reserves the right to file exhibits to any additional testimony that may be filed under the circumstances identified in Section "A" above. BellSouth also reserves the right to introduce exhibits for cross-examination, impeachment, or any other purpose authorized by the applicable Florida Rules of Evidence and Rules of this Commission.

C. Statement of Basic Position

On November 24, 1997, BellSouth and Supra filed a request for approval of a resale, interconnection, and unbundling agreement under the Act. The agreement covers a two-year period and governs the relationship between BellSouth and Supra regarding resale, unbundling, and interconnection pursuant to the Act. On February 3, 1998, this Commission approved the BellSouth-Supra Agreement in Order No. PSC-98-0206-FOF-TP. The Commission found that the agreement complied with the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

BellSouth has used its best efforts to assist Supra in implementing the various provisions of the agreements. BellSouth has made a good faith effort to comply with all the requirements and obligations of the BellSouth-Supra Interconnection Agreement. BellSouth is fully committed to continue, cooperative efforts.

D. BellSouth's Position on the Issues

Issue No. 1: Has BST failed to properly implement the following

provisions of its Interconnection, Collocation and Resale agreements with

Supra such that Supra is able to provide local exchange service on parity

with that which BellSouth provides:

- a. Billing requirements;
- b. telephone number access;
- c. provision of dial tone;
- d. Electronic access to Operations Support Systems (OSS) and OSS interfaces (Ordering and provisioning, Installation, maintenance and repair);
- e. Notification requirements;
- f. timeliness of installation, repair, and maintenance.

Position: a. No. BellSouth has provided Supra with the information needed by Supra in order to bill its customers.

- b. No. BellSouth has provided Supra with access to telephone numbers available at parity with itself.
- c. No. BellSouth is aware of one incident in which the dial tone for Supra's corporate offices was disconnected as a result of a location move by Supra. The cause was human error.

- d. No. BellSouth has provided Supra access to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems.
- e. No. BellSouth notifies all ALECs of changes in its Operational Support Systems via written notification and BellSouth's Interconnection Services Website.
- f. No. BellSouth has provided Supra with timely provisioning, maintenance, and repair services.

Issue No. 2: Has BellSouth provided adequate written rules, regulations, codes, instructions, descriptions of procedures, other written materials, technical guidance, and actual support service, or made any modifications of procedures, if necessary, in timely fashion, to permit Supra to understand and utilize effectively BellSouth's procedures for billing, ordering, provisioning, installation, repair, etc., that are essential to Supra's ability to provide local exchange service on parity with BellSouth?

<u>Position</u>: Yes. BellSouth has provided Supra with sufficient information for Supra to provide local exchange service on parity with BellSouth.

Issue No. 3: Has BellSouth acted appropriately in its billing of Supra and has Supra timely paid its bills to BellSouth?

<u>Position</u>: BellSouth has billed Supra in an appropriate fashion. Supra has a history of untimely payment of those bills.

4

Issue No. 4: Has BellSouth appropriately applied Sections A2.3.8A

and A2.3.8B of its General Subscriber Services Tariff to Supra?

<u>Position</u>: Yes. BellSouth appropriately applied the tariff sections to Supra in an appropriate manner.

Issue No. 5: Has BellSouth responded appropriately to consumer

queries regarding Supra?

<u>Position</u>: Yes. BellSouth has responded reasonably and responsibly to consumer queries regarding Supra.

Issue No. 6: What relief, if any, should the Commission order for

Supra or BellSouth?

Position: The Commission should order no relief for Supra and should order Supra to pay BellSouth's bill in a timely manner.

E. Stipulations

There are no stipulations of which BellSouth is aware.

F. Pending Motions

BellSouth's Motion to Strike Portions of Supra's Amended Direct Testimony filed on April 9, 1998. BellSouth's Motion to Strike Portions of Supra's Direct Testimony filed on

April 3, 1998.

G. Other Requirements

BellSouth knows of no requirement set forth in any prehearing order with

which it cannot comply.

Respectfully submitted this 10th day of April, 1998.

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

(KR ROBERT G. BEATTYC NANCY B. WHITE c/o Nancy Sims 150 South Monroe Street, #400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (305) 347-5555

William J. Ellenberg I (KR

WILLIAM J. ELLENBERG II 675 West Peachtree Street, #4300 Atlanta, Georgia 30375 (404) 335-0711