## **LOWNDES** DROSDICK DOSTER **KANTOR &** REED, P.A.

Attorneys at Law

Frnest R. Drosdick (1936-1982)

Kenneth R. Artin James Balletta Matt E. Beal William A. Beckett William R. Bird, Ir. Kathi W. Borkholder Matthew G. Brenner Dale A. Burket Charles C. Carrington Casey M. Cavanaugh W. Michael Clifford W. Terry Costolo Janet M. Courtney William E. Doster William T. Dymond, Ir. Michael V. Elsberry Richard J. Fildes

Miranda F. Fitzgerald Thomas E. Francis Julia L. Frey Louis Frey, Jr Barry L. Goff Aaron J. Gorovitz Linda C. Hankins lames F. Heekin, Ir. Robert F. Higgins James J. Hoctor Loran A. Johnson Gary M. Kaleita Hal H. Kantor James G. Kattelmann Joseph G. Kern Joseph A. Lane Harry W. Lawrence R. Kimbark Lee Peter L. Lopez John F. Lowndes

Timothy I. Manor Daniel F. McIntosh H. Gregory McNeill David E. Peterson T. Todd Pittenger Nicholas A. Pope Shawn G. Rader Morey Raiskin John A. Reed, Jr. Patrick K. Rinka Michael Ryan Margaret H. Schreiber Cleatous J. Simmons Gary R. Soles James M. Spoonhour Scott C. Thompson James S. Toscano Bradford D. West Julian E. Whitehurst David G. Williford

Jon C. Yergler Terry C. Young Bradley K. Alley Lisa M. Coamey Stacey L. Collard Glinton R. Darien, Jr. Darrell D. Garvey Michael R. Gibbons Jeff P. Jacobs Thomas K. Johnson Amy S. Lowndes Keith Manzi Matthew R. O'Kane Michelle R. Rencoret John D. Ruffier I. Brennan Ryan Leza S. Tellam Christopher P. Tessitore Todd D. Walker Taylor D. Ward John T. Wettach, Jr. Karen A. Williams Andrew E. Worrell

Of Counsel: Stephen E. Cook Richard D. Davidson Thomas F. Diorio Teresa B. Finer Brian D. Forbes Gregg R. Lehrer Jennifer R. Lynch Charles H. Webb

Cleat Simmons' Direct Dial: (407) 418-6359

Direct Fax: (407) 843-4444 E-Mail: taelc@iag.net

May 8, 1998

#### FEDERAL EXPRESS

Ms. Blanca Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission Capital Circle Office Center 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Mr. John Guastella (w/enclosure)

Re:

Docket No. 971186-SU - Application for approval of reuse project plan and increase in wastewater rates in Seminole County by Sanlando Utilities Corporation.

Dear Ms. Bayo:

(001080/54729)

Enclosed for filing with the Public Service Commission is the original and 15 copies of Sanlando Utilities Corporation's Response to Commission Staff's Memorandum dated April 30, 1998.

ACK	If you have any questions, please give me	e a call.				
Afa	3	. 11				
APP		Very truly yours,				
CAF	-	Charles				
CMU	- Carlotte	Cleatous J. Simmons			ဗ္ဗ	67.
CTR		1/		3.		
FALL	CJS/tli	V		<u> </u>	7	
·	C: A Mr. Robert Mandell (w/enclosure)			1 1		
LEG	Mr. Hamp Conley (w/enclosure)			€:		
LIN	Mr. Jerry Salsano (w/enclosure)			41.5 22		
OPC	John F. Lowndes, Esquire (w/enclosure)			<u></u>	څ	
RCH	Jennifer Springfield, Esquire (w/enclosure	e)	-		100	
	Stephen C. Reilly, Esquire (w/enclosure)		72		*****	
SEC.	Jack Shreve, Public Counsel (w/enclosure	2)				. •
WASO	Rosanne Gervasi, Esquire (w/enclosure)(F	ederal Express)	20	CIIM	ENT	IUMRER-D

215 NORTH EOLA DRIVE • POST OFFICE BOX 2809 • ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32802 TELEPHONE: 407-843-4600 • TELECOPIER: 407-423-4495 • E-MAIL lddkr@lowndes-law.com DOCUMENT HUMBER-DATE

05251 MAY 11 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORIGINAL

#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application for approval	)	
of reuse project plan and	)	DOCKET NO. 971186-SU
increase in wastewater rates in	)	
Seminole County by Sanlando	)	
Utilities Corporation	)	
	)	

## SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S MEMORANDUM DATED APRIL 30, 1998

The Staff filed its Memorandum of Recommendations ("Recommendations") regarding issues 1 through 33 in the above docket on April 30, 1998 and furnished a copy of the same by Federal Express to Sanlando Utilities Corporation (the "Utility") which was received on Tuesday, May 5, 1998. The following is the Utility's response to the Staff's Recommendations.

Rather than addressing each issue, which will serve no purpose if the fundamental policy issues embodied in the Recommendations are not resolved, this response shall deal only with what the Utility believes are fundamental policy issues and the Utility's position on those issues.

#### I. SECTION 367.0817 SCOPE

The Staff has by its recommendation effectively engaged in a rate making process which is outside the scope of Section 367.0817 (Florida Statutes 1997), and therefore is inconsistent with the intent of Section 367.0817. The Utility believes that Section 367.0817 was intended by the Legislature to provide a simple, inexpensive, and focused procedure to establish rates for a proposed reuse project. Specifically, Section 367.0817 provides that the Commission review the Utility's reuse project plan and determine whether the projected costs are prudent and the proposed rates are reasonable and in the public interest.

Rather than reviewing the Utility's filing and recommending rates based upon the prudent costs of the reuse project, the Staff has instead engaged in a far more expansive limited proceeding, more in the nature of that provided for in Section 367.0822 (adjusting utility rates) or Section 367.081(8) (PAA for a general rate case). Neither of those sections are intended to be applied to reuse projects in light of the Legislature's specific mandate set out in Section 367.0817 as to how to consider a reuse project, and what to consider in setting rates for that project.

384303\IRVINTL

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05251 MAY 11 8

#### II. IMPRACTICABLE CALCULATION OF REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

The Staff through adjustments in rate base, adjustments in the filing made by the Utility, adoption of a return on equity which is different than that which was approved in the last general rate case for the Utility in 1990, a significant reduction in working capital, and various other assumptions and accounting procedures has concluded in its Recommendation that the Utility is over earning FIVE HUNDRED TWENTY-ONE THOUSAND AND TWENTY-FIVE AND NO/100 The end result of the Staff's DOLLARS (\$521,025.00) per year. Recommendation is to allocate THREE HUNDRED NINETY-SIX THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED THIRTY-FOUR AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$396,134.00) of those alleged overearnings to the cost of the reuse project and to allocate the balance of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY-FOUR THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED NINETY-ONE AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$124,891.00) of the alleged overearnings to an escrow account until a later determination regarding its application. From a purely accounting viewpoint this may be appealing and even appear logical, but the result fails to recognize the practical effects of operating the Utility, or for that matter any utility.

As an example, in making its various adjustments the Staff failed to consider almost \$400,000 in capital expenses which were incurred by the Utility in 1997 and will continue to be incurred on an annual basis to maintain an aging physical plant, a significant portion of which is twenty-five (25) years or more old. This fact alone would make a significant difference in the revenue allowed the Utility if taken into account.

However, this fact, as well as other facts germane to a full rate analysis, has not been considered by the Staff in its limited scope rate making analysis. This is illustrated by the Staff's comment in Issue 6 at page 22 of the Recommendation which states "Although staff agrees that there are existing utility plant costs and other expenses which may apply to the reuse project, allocation of existing utility plant costs and other expenses is beyond the scope of this limited filing and should be examined in the utility's next full rate case."

#### III. FAILURE TO REALIZE SPECIAL NATURE OF REUSE PROJECT

The Utility, while not unique, is certainly not an average utility. It has plant in service totalling \$25,541,220, over \$20,000,000 of which is CIAC, and only \$2,707,956 in rate base if the Staff's calculations are used. Without considering the special nature of the Utility, the Staff applied the Commission's leverage formula established June 10, 1997, to determine appropriate ROE for the Utility.

This ignores the fact that a Utility with a disproportionately large CIAC when compared to rate base is affected

far more dramatically when the Commission's leverage formula is applied without consideration for the special nature of the Utility. Section 367.081(4)(f) states that:

"The commission may regularly . . . establish by order a leverage formula or formulae that reasonably reflect the range of returns on common equity for an <u>average</u> water, or wastewater utility which, for purposes of <u>this section</u>, shall be used to calculate the last authorized rate of return on equity for any utility <u>which otherwise would have no established rate of return on equity.</u>" Section 367.081(4)(f)(Florida Statutes 1997) [emphasis added]

Several parts of the quoted language are pertinent and have been underlined. The Utility is not average. The calculations made for a reuse facility are not being made for the purposes of Section 367.081, but are being made for the purposes of Section 367.0817. Finally, the Utility already has an established rate of return on equity.

The Staff has inappropriately applied the leverage formula in this proceeding. The Utility should be entitled to use of the established return on equity until a full rate case or a specific limited proceeding establishes another rate of return on equity for the Utility.

#### IV. ABILITY TO ATTRACT CAPITAL

By making its determination that the Utility is overearning and then recommending the alleged overearning be used to fund the reuse project, the Staff has advanced a plan that will prevent construction of the reuse project. The Utility does not reasonably believe that a lender will make an approximately \$2,200,000 loan to the Utility to build the reuse facility when the only revenue available to repay the loan is existing revenue of the Utility. This is especially true when existing revenue is generating only \$210,620 in net income on an annual basis as reported by the Utility in its 1996 Annual Report. If all the net income was applied to the debt service on the loan for the reuse facility (assuming a loan could be obtained) there would still be a real cash shortfall of almost \$180,000. There is no practical way that a lender would make a loan to the Utility under this set of facts.

The United States Supreme Court established the criteria for determining the return on investment in <u>Federal Power Commission</u> v. <u>Hope Natural Gas Co., City of Cleveland</u>, 320 U.S. 591, 64 S.Ct. 281 (US 1943) when it stated:

"The return should be sufficient to assure confidence in the financial integrity of the

enterprise, so as to maintain its credit and to attract capital." *Id.* at 601. [emphasis added]

The Staff has recommended a rate structure which negates any ability of the Utility to attract capital. If the Staff's analysis is correct, then the Utility did not need to file a limited proceeding for rates at all. Instead, it needed only to ask the Commission to authorize it to reallocate existing rates to the reuse project. It should have been able to go out in the capital market place and borrow money on its current revenue stream and net income. The simple fact is, it could not, and will not be able to do so without a rate being established for the reuse project

#### V. CONCLUSION

The Staff's Recommendation is counter the law, and counter any practical business logic. Further, the Staff's Recommendation sends the message to all utilities that it is perilous and counterproductive to make a reuse project filing. The Utility believes that such a message is counter to Commission's stated policy, and the Legislature's intent in adopting Section 367.0817, to encourage reuse.

The Utility respectfully requests that the Commission determine that the Staff's Recommendation is flawed, and instead issue a PAA which establishes a fair rate of return for the reuse project.

If the Commission is convinced that the Utility is overearning, it is well within the Commission's authority to order a limited proceeding under Section 367.0822 to deal specifically with overearning, or to order a full rate making proceeding under Section 367.081. The establishment of rates for the reuse project should be, and must be, a separate proceeding as stated above.

The Utility would respectfully request a deferment of this matter for two (2) months. The Utility will, and hereby does, voluntarily request a two (2) month extension of the mandatory five (5) month period provided by statute. This will enable the Utility time to respond more fully to the Staff's Recommendation and also to provide additional information regarding financing requirements and other matters which the Utility believes will be helpful to the Commission in deciding the relevant issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Cleatous J. Simmons Florida Bar No. 240737

Lowndes, Drosdick, Doster,

Kantor & Reed, P.A.

P. O. Box 2809

Orlando, Florida 32802-2809

(407) 843-4600

Attorneys for

Sanlando Utilities Corporation.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been mailed by U.S. Mail to Jennifer B. Springfield, Esquire, St. Johns River Water Management District, Post Office Box 1429, Palatka, Florida 32178-1429 and to Stephen C. Reilly, Esquire, Office of Public Counsel, c/o the Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400; this day of May, 1998.

#### SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION

DOCKET NO. 971186-SU

#### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCUMENT / RECORD REQUEST

Respondent: Gary C. White

Guastella Associates, Inc.

Request:

Charles H. Hill (FPSC) letter of 9/23/97.

For Schedule No. 4:

#1 Several of the schedules and supporting schedules contain illegible numbers. Provide revised schedules for the above referenced schedules which contain legible numbers.

Response:

Please see the attached copies. These documents are a reprint of the originally submitted schedules.

> **EXHIBIT** Schedule 4

#### Summary of Revenue Requirement Allocations

Lina	Col. 1	Col. 2 Test Year	Col. 3	Col. 4 ProForma	Col. 5 Support	Cal. 6 Alloc	Col. 7 Effluent F	Col. 8	Col. 9
Line No.	December	1996	Adjustmts	Test Year	Sched	Symbol	rrigation	Disposai	Other
NO.	Description	1990	Adjustmis	estiteal	Scried	Symbol	irigation	Olsposal_	<u> </u>
1	O & M Expense	\$ 2,157,902 \$	77.754 <b>\$</b>	2,235,656	F		\$ 0\$	97,644 \$	2,138,012
2	Depreciation, Net	160,436	93,373	253.809	G		0	96,137	157,672
3	Taxes, Other	227,931	14,066	241 997	Н		0	35,510	206,487
4	Income Taxes	56,645	(26,076)	30,569		1	0	14,575	15,994
5	Return Requirement	281,898	123,863	405,761	В		٥	193,467	212,294
•	recurr requirement								
6	Revenue Requirement	\$ <u>2,884,812</u> \$	<u>282,980</u> \$	3.167,792			\$ <u></u> \$_	<u>437,333</u> \$	2,730,459
7	Percentage						0.00%	13.81%	86,19%
Efflu	GATION CUSTOMERS ent Reuse Cost per 1,000 ent Reuse Cost per Base	\$0 / Facility and Us	<u>OR</u> age Charges	Charge per ERC	= = =	\$0.00 \$0.00	per month		
EFF	UENT DISPOSAL CUSTO	OMERS							
Effic	ent Disposal Cost per 1,0		1,039,367	thousand gals.	=	\$0.27	per 1,000 gals	i.	
			OR						
Efflu	ent Disposal Cost per Ba			<b>es:</b> Charge per ER(	) =	\$1.46	per month		
			Usage Charge	•	<b>≈</b>	\$0.11	per 1,000 gals	i.	

#### Rate Base Allocation

Line	Col, 1	Cal. 2 12/31/96	Cal. 3	Col. 4 ProForma	Col. 5 Support	Col. 6 Allocation		Col. 7 Effluent f	Col. 8 Reuse	Col. 9
No.	Description	Balance	Adjustmts	Balance	Schedule	Symbol	lr	rigation	Disposal	Other
1	Utility Plant in Service-Sewer	\$ 13.540.094 <b>\$</b>	1,850,052 \$	15,390,146	С		\$	0 \$	1,921,281 \$	13,468,865
2	Construction Work in Progress	0	0	0	С			0	0	0
3	Accumulated Depreciation	(6,601,807)	(41,968)	(6,643,775)	D			D	(66,237)	(6,577,538)
4	Contributions in Aid of Constr.	(10,334,989)	` oʻ	(10.334,989)	Ε			0	0	(10,334,989)
-	Accum. Amortization of CIAC	5.247.478	ō	5 247,478	Ë			0	0	5,247,478
9	Avg Unamortized Rate Case Exp.		20.000	20,000	See note	i		o	20,000	0
6 7	Working Capital Allowance	269,738	9,719	279,457	F	•		0	12,206	267,251
8	Rate Base	\$ <u>2,120,514</u> \$	1,837,803 \$	3,958,317			\$	<u> </u>	1,887,250 \$	2,071,067
9	Percentage						-	0.00%	47.68%	52.32%

Note: Based on \$40,000 of Rate Case Expense, amortized over 4 years.

#### Utility Plant in Service by Primary Account

Line	Col. 1	Col. 2 12/31/96	Col. 3	Col. 4 Adjusted	Col. 5 Allac.		Col. 6 Effluent	Cal. 7 Reuse	Col. 8
No.	Account No. and Name	Balance	Adjustmt	Balance	Symbo	<u>.                                    </u>	Irrigation	Disposal	Other
	INTANOISI E DI ANIT.								
1	INTANGIBLE PLANT:	• ^	s 0 \$	a	f	\$	0 \$	0.\$	0
2	351.1-Organization	\$ 0	•	0	f	Ψ	0	0	ŏ
3	352.1-Franchises	0	-	0	f		ŏ	ā	õ
4	389.1-Other Plant & Misc. Eqpmt	U	U	U	1		•	· ·	•
5	COLLECTION PLANT:	0	0	o	С		0	0	0
6	353.2-Land & Land Rights	0	-	0	c		ŏ	ŏ	ŏ
7	354.2-Structures & Improvements	_	_	63,772	c		ŏ	õ	63,772
8	360.2-Collection Sewers-Force	63,772		6,642,677	c		Ŏ	0	6,642,677
9	361.2-Collection Sewers-Gravity	6,642,677		0,042,017	c		g	ă	0,042,017
10	362.2-Special Collection Struct.	0	_	0	c		0	ő	Ŏ
11	363.2-Services to Customers	0	-	0	c		Ö	ŏ	0
12	364.2-Flow Measuring Devices	0	_	0	_		0	0	0
13	365.2-Flow Measuring Install.	0		0	C		0	a	0
14	389.2-Other Plant & Misc. Eqpmt	0	0	U	C		U	U	U
15	SYSTEM PUMPING PLANT:		•	•	_		0	a	0
16	353.3-Land & Land Rights	0	-	0	c		0	0	0
17	354.3-Structures & Improvements	0	-	0	C		0	0	0
18	370.3-Receiving Wells	0	•	0	С		0	0	1,211,330
19	371.3-Electric Pumping Eqpmt	1,211,330	_	1,211,330	C		0	0	1,211,330
20	389.3-Other Plant & Misc. Eqpmt	0	0	0	C		U	U	U
21	TREATMENT/DISPOSAL PLANT:		_				•	24.054	107 553
22	353.4-Land & Land Rights	202,207		202,207	ь		0	34,654	167,553
23	354.4-Structures & Improvements	248,782		248,782	С		0	0	248,782
24	380.4-Treatment/Disposal Equip.	3,918,098		3,918,098	С		0	0	3,918,098
25	381.4-Plant Sewers	19,560		19,560	С		0	0	19,560
26	382.4-Outfail Sewers	644,005		1,491,991	а		0	847,986	644,005
27	389.4-Other Plant & Misc. Equip	14,647	1,002,066	1,016,713	а		0	1,002,066	14,647
28	GENERAL PLANT:				_		_	_	_
29	353.5-Land & Land Rights	0	_	0	f		0	0	0
30	354.5-Structures & Improvements	9,971		9,971	f		0	634	9,337
31	390.5-Office Furniture & Equip.	52,467		52,467	f		Ō	3,337	49,130
32	391.5-Transportation Equipment	150,611		150,611	f		0	9,580	141,031
33	392.5-Stores Equipment	317	0	317	f		0	20	297
34	393.5-Tools, Shop, Garage Equip.	65,620	0	65,620	f		0	4,174	61,446
35	394.5-Laboratory Equipment	57, <b>53</b> 9	0	57,539	f		0	3,660	53,879
36	395.5-Power Operated Equip	91,169		91,169	f		0	5,799	85,370
37	396.5-Communication Equipment	14,728		14,728	f		0	937	13,791
38	397.5-Miscellaneous Equipment	12,570		12,570	f		0	800	11,770
40	398.5-Other Tangible Plant	120,024		120,024	f f	-	0	7,634	112,390
41	Total	\$ 13,540,094	\$ <u>1,850,052</u> \$	15,390,146	:	<b>\$</b> _	0.5	1,921,281	13,468,865
42	Percentage					_	0.00%_	12.48%	87.52%

#### Accumulated Depreciation Allocation

	Cald	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Cal. 5		Col. 6	Col. 7	Col. 8
	Col. 1	12/31/96	001. 0	Adjusted	Alloc		Effluent F	leuse	
Line	Assessed No. and Nome	Balance	Adjustmt	Balance	Symbo	_	Irrigation	Disposal	Other
<u>No.</u>	Account No. and Name	Salatice	Adjustini	Dararros	<u> </u>				
1	INTANGIBLE PLANT:					•	0\$	0 \$	. 0
2	351.1-Organization	\$ 0.\$		0	f	\$		0	ŏ
3	352.1-Franchises	0	٥	0	f		0		0
4	389.1-Other Plant & Misc. Eqpmt	σ	0	0	f		0	0	U
5	COLLECTION PLANT:			_			_	•	0
6	353.2-Land & Land Rights	0	٥	Ō	С		0	0	0
7	354.2-Structures & Improvements	0	0	0	C		0	0	_
8	360.2-Collection Sewers-Force	13,441	σ	13,441	С		0	0	13,441
9	361.2-Collection Sewers-Gravity	2,628,042	0	2,628,042	С		0	0	2,628,042
10	362.2-Special Collection Struct.	0	0	0	С		0	0	0
11	363.2-Services to Customers	0	0	0	C		0	0	0
12	364.2-Flow Measuring Devices	0	0	0	C		0	0	0
13	365.2-Flow Measuring Install.	٥	0	٥	C		0	0	0
14	389.2-Other Plant & Misc. Eqpmt	Q	0	0	С		0	0	0
15	SYSTEM PUMPING PLANT:						_	_	_
16	353.3-Land & Land Rights	0	0	0	С		0	0	0
17	354.3-Structures & Improvements	0	0	O	С		0	0	0
18	370.3-Receiving Wells	0	0	0	C		0	0	0
19	371.3-Electric Pumping Eqpmt	922,471	0	922,471	C		0	0	922,471
20	389.3-Other Plant & Misc. Eqpmt	Ď	0	0	C		0	0	0
21	TREATMENT/DISPOSAL PLANT:						_	_	_
22	353.4-Land & Land Rights	0	0	0	ь		٥	0	0
23	354.4-Structures & Improvements	118,084	O	118,084	C		0	0	118,084
24	380,4-Treatment/Disposal Equip.	2.176,603	0	2,176,603	C		0	0	2,176,603
25	381.4-Plant Sewers	10,850	0	10,850	С		0	0	10,850
26	382.4-Outfall Sewers	339,546	14,133	353,679	а		0	14,133	339,546
27	389.4-Other Plant & Misc. Equip	11,212	27,835	39,047	а		Đ	27,835	11,212
28	GENERAL PLANT:	(	,,-	·					
29	353.5-Land & Land Rights	0	0	0	h		0	0	O
30	354.5-Structures & Improvements	4,733	0	4,733	h		0	301	4,432
31	390,5-Office Furniture & Equip.	34,281	Ō	34,281	h		0	2,180	32,101
32	391.5-Transportation Equipment	93,335	Ŏ	93,335	h		0	5,937	87,398
33	392.5-Stores Equipment	317	ō	317	h		0	20	297
34	393.5-Tools, Shop, Garage Equip.	32.482	Ö	32,482	h		0	2,066	30,416
35	394.5-Laboratory Equipment	33,114	Ŏ	33,114	h		0	2,106	31,008
36	395.5-Power Operated Equip	59,790	Ö	59,790	h		0	3,803	55,987
37	396.5-Communication Equipment	4,213	Ö	4,213	h		0	268	3,945
38	397.5-Miscellaneous Equipment	2,777	Ö	2,777	h		0	177	2,600
38 39	397.5-Miscellaneous Equipment 398.5-Other Tangible Plant	116,516	٥	116,516	'n		Õ	7,411	1 <u>09,105</u>
39	390.3-Other Langible Flatit	110,310			• ,•	-			
40	Total	\$ <u>6,601,807</u> \$	41,968	6,643,775	=	<b>\$</b> _	<u> </u>	66,237 \$	6,577,538
41	Percentage					=	0.00%	1.00%	99.00%

#### CIAC and Accumulated Amortization of CIAC Allocation

1:00	Col. 1		Col. 2 12/31/96	Col. 3 Allocation		Col. 4 Efflue	nt F	Col. 5	Col. 6
Line <u>No.</u>	Account No. and Name		Balance	Symbol	-	Irrigation		Disposal	Other
1	Contributions in Aid of Construction:								
2	Plant Capacity Fees	\$	3,918,098	С	\$	0	\$	0	3,918,098
3	Main Extensions	Ť	6,416,891	C	•	0	•	0	6,416,891
4	Contributed Lines		0	c		0		0	0
5	Other Contributed Property		Ŏ	C		0		0	0
6	Service Installation Fees		0	C	-	0		0	0
7	Total CIAC	\$	10,334,989		\$_	0	\$	0	10,334,989
8	Percentage				=	0.00%	:	0.00%	100.00%
8	Accum, Amortization of CIAC:								
9	Plant Capacity Fees	\$	2,176,603	С	\$	0	\$	0	2,176,603
10	Main Extensions	·	3,070,875	С		0		0	3,070,875
11	Contributed Lines		0	С		0		0	0
12	Other Contributed Property		0	С		0		0	0
13	Service Installation Fees		0	С	_	0	-	0	0
14	Total Amortization of CIAC	\$	5,247,478		\$_	0	\$	0	5,247,478
15	Percentage				=	0.00%	3	0.00%	100.00%

Line	Col. 1	Allocation Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4 Adjusted	Cal. 5 Alloc	Col. 6 Effluer	Col. 7 nt Reuse	Col. 8
No.	Acct. No. and Description	1996	Adjustmts	Total	Symbol	Irrigation	Disposal	Other
1	COLLECTION EXPENSE:		rajasants		37111001			
2	701-Salaries & Wages	\$ 33,745	\$ 0	\$ 33,745	С	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 33,745
3	704-Employee Benefits	6,874	Ō	6.874	c	0	0	6,874
4	715-Purchased Power	0	0	0	C	0	0	0
5	720-Materials & Supplies	16,140	0	16,140	С	0	0	16,140
6	731-Contract Services, Engr.	9	0	9	C	0	0	9
7	742-Equipment Rental	315	0	315	С	0	0	315
8	750-Transportation Exp.	9,076	0	9,076	C	0	0	9,076
9	775-Misc. Expenses	46,979	0	46,979	С	0	0	46,979
10	PUMPING EXPENSE:							
11	701-Salaries & Wages	74,718	0	74,718	C	0	0	74,718
12	704-Employee Benefits	15,221	0	15,221	С	0	0	15,221
13	715-Purchased Power	59,756	0	59,756	С	0	0	59,756
14	718-Chemicals	3,843	0	3,843	C	0	0	3,843
15	720-Materials & Supplies	8,640	0	8,640	C	0	0	8,640
16	731-Contract Services, Engr.	3	0	3	C	g	g	3
17	750-Transportation Exp.	11,791	0	11,791	C	0	0	11,791
18 19	775-Misc. Expenses TREATMENT PLANT EXPENSE:	32,947	0	32,947	C	0	0	32,947
20	701-Salaries & Wages		23,015	318,590	d	0	22 015	20E E7E
21	701-Salanes & VVages 704-Employee Benefits	295,575 60,214	23,015	60,214	a i	0	23,015 4,350	295,575 55,864
22	710-Purchased Treatment	149,289	0	149,289	C	Ö	4,350	149,289
23	711-Sludge Removal	109,796	0	109,796	c	ő	ő	109.796
24	715-Purchased Power	163,365	30,934	194,299	d	ő	30.934	163,365
25	718-Chemicals	116,127	3,413	119,540	d	0	3,413	116,127
26	720-Materials & Supplies	24,359	3,545	27.904	ď	ő	3,545	24,359
27	731-Contract Services, Engr.	58,969	977	59,946	ď	ŏ	977	58,969
28	735-Contract Services, Other	30,440	3,989	34,429	ď	ō	3,989	30,440
29	742-Equipment Rental	0	46	46	ď	ō	46	0
30	750-Transportation Exp.	6,040	1,214	7,254	ď	ō	1,214	6,040
31	775-Misc. Expenses	27,256	621	27,877	d	Ō	621	27,256
32	CUSTOMER BILLING:	,		,				,
33	701-Salaries & Wages	77,334	0	77,334	g	0	0	77,334
34	704-Employee Benefits	15,754	0	15,754	g	0	0	15,754
35	720-Materials & Supplies	0	a	a	g	Đ	0	0
36	742-Equipment Rental	0	0	0	g	0	0	0
37	770-Bad Debt Expense	2,151	0	2,151	C	0	0	2,151
38	775-Misc. Expenses	30,760	0	30,760	g	0	0	30,760
39	GENERAL & ADMINISTRATIVE:							
40	701-Salaries & Wages	86,553	0	86,553	f	0	2,006	84,547
41	703-Officers Compensation	139,364	0	139,364	f	0	3,231	136,133
42	704-Employee Benefits	17,632	0	17,632	f	0	409	17,223
43	715-Purchased Power	7,316	0	7,316	f	0	170	7,146
44	720-Materials & Supplies	0	0	0	f	0	0	0
45	732-Contract Services, Acctg.	6,332	0	6,332	f	0	147	6,185
46 47	733-Contract Services, Legal	41,485	0	41,485	f	0	962	40,523
47 40	734-Contract Serv, Mgmt Fee	109,799	0	109,799	Ţ	0	2,545	107,254
48 49	735-Contract Services, Other 741-Property Rental	7,685	0	7,685	Ţ	0	178	7,507
<del>49</del> 50	742-Equipment Rental	87,458 49	0	87,458 49	T #	0	2,02 <b>7</b> 1	85,431 48
51	750-Transportation Exp.	3,059	0	3,059	· ·	0	71	2,988
52	756-Insurance, Vehicle	15,328	Ö	15,328	f	Ö	355	14,973
53	757-Insurance, Gen. Liability	41,896	ŏ	41,896	f	ő	971	40,925
54	758-Workman's Comp. Ins.	29,436	ŏ	29,436	f	ō	682	28,754
55	759-insurance, Other	25,450	ő	25,435	f	ő	0	20,104
56	760-Advertising	ŏ	· ŏ	ŏ	f	ŏ	ŏ	ő
57	766-Rate Case Exp.	ō	10,000	10,000	i	ō	10,000	ŏ
58	767-Reg. Commission Exp.	ō	0	0	f	ŏ	0	ō
59	775-Misc. Expenses	77,022	Ō	77,022	f	0	1,78 <u>5</u>	75,237
62	Total	\$ 2,157,902	\$77,754	\$ 2,235,656		\$0	\$ 97,644	\$ 2,138,012
63	Percentage		·			0.00%	4.37%	95.63%

#### **Depreciation Expense Allocation**

	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3		Col. 4	Col. 5		Col. 6
Line			Allocation			nt Reuse		
No.	Description	1996	Symbol	<u>lr</u>	rigation	Disposal		Other
1	Intangible Plant	\$ 0	f	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0
2	Collecting System	149,740	C		0	0		149,740
3	Pumping System	67,297	С		0	0		67,297
4	Treatment and Disposal	332,225	е		0	90,781		241,444
5	General Plant:							
6	Other Than Transportation	39,715	f		0	3,282		36,433
7	Transportation Equipment	25,102	f		0	2,074		23,028
8	Total Depreciation-UPIS	614,079			0	96,137		517,942
9	Amortization of CIAC	(360,270)	Sch. E		0	0		(360,270)
10	Total Annual Depreciation	\$ <u>253,809</u>		\$	0	\$ 96,137	_ \$	157,672
11	Percentage				0.00%	<u>37.88%</u>		62.12%

	Depreciation Expense b	y Primary Accou	nt	
	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4
Line		UPIS Balance	Deprec.	Annual
No.	Account No. and Name	Adj 12/31/96	Rate	Expense
	INTANGIBLE PLANT:			<del> </del>
2	351.1-Organization	\$ 0	2.5000%	\$ 0
3	352.1-Franchises	0	2.5000%	0
4	389.1-Other Plant & Misc. Equipment	0	5.5556%	0
5	COLLECTION PLANT:			
6	353.2-Land & Land Rights	0	n/a	0
7	354.2-Structures & Improvements	0	3.1250%	0
8	360.2-Collection Sewers-Force	63,772	3.3333%	2,126
9	361.2-Collection Sewers-Gravity	6,642,677	2.2222%	147,614
10	362.2-Special Collection Struct.	0	2.5000%	. 0
11	363.2-Services to Customers	0	2.6316%	0
12	364.2-Flow Measuring Devices		20.0000%	0
13	365.2-Flow Measuring Install.	Ō	2.6316%	0
14	389.2-Other Plant & Misc. Equipment	Ö	5.5556%	0
15	SYSTEM PUMPING PLANT:	_		
16	353.3-Land & Land Rights	0	n/a	0
17	354.3-Structures & Improvements	Ō	3.1250%	Ö
18	370.3-Receiving Wells	Ö	3.1250%	Ŏ
19	371.3-Electric Pumping Equipment	1,211,330	5.5556%	67,297
20	389.3-Other Plant & Misc. Equipment	1,211,000	5.5556%	0,20.
21	TREATMENT/DISPOSAL PLANT:	J	0.000070	•
22	353.4-Land & Land Rights	202,207	n/a	0
23		248,782	3.1250%	7,774
	354.4-Structures & Improvements	3,918,098	5.5556%	217,674
24	380.4-Treatment/Disposal Equip.	19,560	2.8571%	559
25 26	381.4-Plant Sewers	1,491,991	3.3333%	49,733
26	382.4-Outfall Sewers	• •	5.5556%	56,485
27	389.4-Other Plant & Misc. Equipment	1,016,713	3.333076	30,403
28	GENERAL PLANT - SEWER:	0	n/a	0
29	353.5-Land & Land Rights	9, <b>9</b> 71	2.5000%	249
30	354.5-Structures & Improvements	•	6.6667%	3,498
31	390.5-Office Furniture & Equip.	52,467	16.6667%	25,102
32	391.5-Transportation Equipment	150,611		23,102
33	392.5-Stores Equipment	317	5.5556%	
34	393.5-Tools, Shop, Garage Equip.	65,620	6.2500%	4,101
35	394.5-Laboratory Equipment	57,539	6.6667%	3,836 5,609
36	395.5-Power Operated Equipment	91,169	6.2500%	5,698
37	396.5-Communication Equipment	14,728	10.0000%	1,473 838
38	397.5-Miscellaneous Equipment	12,570	6.6667%	20,004
39	398.5-Other Tangible Plant	120,024	16.6667%	\$ 614,079
40	Subtotal	\$ <u>15,390,146</u>		\$ 014,079
41	CIAC Amortization:			
42	Plant Capacity Fees	\$ 3,918,098	5.5556%	\$ 217,674
43	Main Extensions	6,416,891	2.2222%	142,5 <del>96</del>
44	Contributed Lines	0	3.3333%	0
45	Other Contributed Property	0	3.9900%	0
46	Service Installation Fees	0	2.6316%	0
47	Subtotal	\$ <u>10,334,989</u>		\$ 360,270
48	Net, Depreciation Expense			\$ 253,809

#### Allocation of Taxes, Other Than Income Tax

Line	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4 ProForma	Col. 5 Allocation	Col. 6 Effluent l	Col. 7 Reuse	Col. 8
No.	Description	1996	Adjustmt	Amount	Symbol	Irrigation	Disposal	Other
1	Payroll Taxes \$	46,480 S	0 \$	46,480	k \$	0 \$	1,968 \$	44,512
2	Property Taxes	32,365	0	32,365	Sch. C	0	4,039	28,326
, 3	Other Tax & Licenses	20,601	0	20,601	Sch. B	0	9,823	10,778
4	Revenue Taxes	128,485	14,066 (1)	142,551	Sch. A	0	19,680	122,871
5	Total Taxes, Other \$	<u>227,931</u> \$	14,066 \$	241,997	<b>\$</b> _	0 \$	<u>35,510</u> \$	206,487
6	Percentage				=	0.00%	14.67%	85.33%

Note: (1) Revenue Tax Calculation:

4.50%
142,551
(128,485)
14,066

Cost	Allocation	T to	lucat Davis Al	Heartian Sum	holo						
Line No.	Allocation Symbols	Effluent Reuse Allocation Symbols  Description									
						<del>_</del>	Factor				
1	a	Items bearing this syn									
2		Reuse facility with a w									
3		customer base.		ADF	Hydraulic	Benefit					
4				(kgals)	Share	Weighing					
5		Irrigation Demand		0	0.00%	0%	0.00%				
4 5 6		Reuse Disposal Capa	city	2,200	100.00%	100%	100.00%				
7		_									
8	b	Treatment & Disposal				hare of T&D					
9		plant costs (excluding		golf courses)	•						
10			& D Plant Cost			5,847,158					
11		Eff	luent Reuse, Ir	rigation Plant		0	0.00%				
12		Eff	luent Reuse, D	isposal Plant		1,002,066	17.14%				
13											
14	С	These items are not n	ecessary for pro	oviding effluen	t service and	do not	0.00%				
15		impact effluent costs.									
16		•									
17	d	Items bearing this syn	nbol are allocate	ed based on th	e relative effl	uent reuse					
18		flow to total effluent re									
19			luent Reuse Ca		kgals)	2,200.0					
20			gation Demand			0.0	0.00%				
21			use Disposal C			2,200.0	100.00%				
22			200 2.0,000.0		(9)	_,					
23	е	Items bearing this syn	nhoi are allocati	ed hased on th	e relationship	of effluent					
24	ŭ	reuse T & D facilities t									
25			tal T & D Plant	it dita diopoodi	, piu	6,897,351					
26			luent Reuse Fa	cilities		0,007,007	0.00%				
27			luent Disposal			1,884,706	27.33%				
28		البا	ineiir Dishosai	racillities		1,004,700	21.5070				
29	f	Items bearing this syn	abol are allocate	ad based on a	50% weighing	of all other					
30	'	(effluent reuse disposa									
31		(emuent reuse disposa	ai) iterris and ut	not mibact en	iiueiit ieuse ii	rigation costs					
32	<b>a</b>	Items bearing this syn	shoi neo olloooti	ad to affluent r	auca bacad oi	n judgement	0.00%				
32 33	g	items bearing this syn	ibbi are allocati	en to eniment i	euse based of	i juugement.	0.0070				
34	h	Accumulated deprecia	tion for gonora	I plant itame ar	e allocated h	seed on the					
35	11	UPIS (general plant) a		i pianii items ai	e allocated be	asea on the					
		OPIS (general plant) a	illocation.								
36		Treatment 9 Disposal	hanniita and ac	:	are allegated	on the basis					
37	i	Treatment & Disposal	benenis and ci	mp modance	are anocated	Off the Dasis					
38		T & D labor costs.									
39	;	Rate case related cos	ia are allaamiad	to officent rou	on disposal se	rete					
40	j	Rate case related cos	is are allocated	to emuent reu	se disposai co	)5l5.					
41	la.	Payroll taxes are alloc		is of the ellege	ntion of total l	shor costs					
42 43	k	-	tal Labor	os of the anoca	ation of total in	590,940					
43 44			lai caboi Iuent Reuse La	hor Costs		0 0	0.00%				
						25,021	4.23%				
45 46		EII	luent Disposal	Lappi Costs		23,021	7.2370				
40 47	1	Income taxes are calc	ulatad on falla	e and allaceta	d on the besi-	of the Date Bace					
47 48	1					Vgt Cost of Debt	=				
40 49		Rate of Return	10.25%	Less		=	=				
<del>49</del> 50		Wgt Cost Equity	0.00%	X	3,958,317 160,33%	Gross-Up	- =				
50 51		Debt Coverage Rq	50,667	X	37.630%	Tax Rate	=				
		Pre-tax Coverage	81,236	×		FIT	-				
52					30,569	FII					

#### SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION Effluent Disposal Rate Study Rate Development Schedule Disposal Rates

	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6
Line		Total	Base	Usage	Base	Usage
No.	Effluent Reuse Disposal Costs	Cost	Facility	Charge	Cost	Cost
1	701-Salaries & Wages	25,021	50%	50%	12,511	12,511
2	703-Officers Compensation	3,231	50%	5 <b>0</b> %	1,616	1,616
3	704-Employee Benefits	4,759	50%	50%	2,380	2,380
4	715-Purchased Power	31,104		100%	0	31,104
5	718-Chemicals	3,413		100%	0	3,413
6	720-Materials & Supplies	3,545	50%	50%	1,773	1,773
7	732-Contract Services, Acctg.	147	50%	5 <b>0</b> %	74	74
8	733-Contract Services, Legal	962	50%	50%	481	481
9	734-Contract Serv, Mgmt Fee	2,545	50%	50%	1,273	1,273
10	735-Contract Services, Other	5,144	50%	50%	2,572	2,572
11	741-Property Rental	2,027	100%		2,027	0
12	742-Equipment Rental	47	50%	50%	24	24
13	750-Transportation Exp.	1,285	100%		1,285	0
14	756-Insurance, Vehicle	355	50%	50%	178	178
15	757-Insurance, Gen. Liability	971	50%	50%	486	486
16	758-Workman's Comp. Ins.	682	50%	50%	341	341
17	759-insurance, Other	0	50%	50%	0	0
18	760-Advertising	0	50%	50%	0	0
19	766-Rate Case Exp.	10,000	50%	50%	5,000	5,000
20	767-Reg. Commission Exp.	0.	50%	50%	0	0
21	775-Misc. Expenses	2,406	50%	50% _	1,203	1,203
22	O & M Expense	97,644		_	33,220	64,425
23						
24						
25 26	Depreciation, Net	96,137	100%		96,137	0
27	Payroil Taxes	1,968	50%	50%	984	984
28	Property Taxes	4,039	100%		4,039	0
29	Other Tax & Licenses	9,823	100%		9,823	0
30	Income Taxes	14,575	50%	50%	7,288	7,288
31	Return Requirement	193,467	50%	50%	96,733	96,733
32	·					
33	Revenue Taxes	19,680	59%	41%	11,611	8,069
34				_		
35	Total Revenue Requiremer	nt 437,333		_	259,835	177,498
				<del></del>	59.41%	40.59%
36	Credit from Existing Revenu	e (154,353)			(91,707)	(62,647)
37	Net Revenue Requiremen	nt 282,980		_	168,128	114,852
38				<del></del>	59.41%	40.59%

## **SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION** Effluent Rate Study Billing Analysis - Effluent Reuse Disposal Customers

	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7	Col. 8	Col. 9
							Base Facility	Usage Chg.	Total
Line	Meter	Mor	nthly	Meter	Meter	Base Facility	Monthly Rev.	Monthly Rev.	Annual
No.	Size	Customers	Usage	Factor	Equivalents	Mo. Rate	<u>\$1.46</u>	\$0.11	Revenues
1	Res.	7,583	63,151,224	1.0	7,583.0	\$1.46	\$11,071.18	\$6,946.63	\$216,214
2	5/8 x 3/4	79	1,038,253	1.0	79.0	\$1.46	115.34	114.21	2,755
3	1	56	1,099,720	2.5	140.0	\$3,65	204.40	120.97	3,904
4	1 1/2	86	3,294,216	5.0	430.0	\$7.30	627.80	362.36	11,882
5	2	91	6,582,583	8.0	728.0	\$11.68	1,062.88	724.08	21,444
6	3	18	3,650,355	16.0	288.0	\$23.36	420.48	401.54	9,864
7	4	5	2,784,051	25.0	125.0	\$36.50	182.50	306.25	5,865
8	6	2	4,748,250	50.0	100.0	\$73.00	146.00	522.31	8,020
9	8	2	265,250	80.0	160.0	\$116.80	233,60	29.18	3,153
10		7,922	86,613,902		9,633.0		\$14,064.18	\$9,527.53	\$283,101
	Gallonage	e Charge Only	86,613,902				\$0.00	\$0.27	\$280,629

## SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION CAPITAL STRUCTURE

	Amount	Ratio	Cost	WCC
DEBT - Existing	2,108,265	53.26%	8.40%	4.47%
DEBT - New	1,850,052	46.74%	12.36%	5.78%
EQUITY	0	0.00%	13.51%	0.00%
	3,958,317			10.25%

## SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION Effluent Rate Study

Annual Financing Costs of New Construction and Debt Coverage Requirement

	Col. 1		Col. 2	Col. 3
Line		Constuction	20 Yr Fi	nancing
No.	Customer	Cost	Annual Cost	Cost per TG
1	Effluent Disposal Plant	\$1,002,066	\$109,773	\$0.137
2	Effluent Disposal Mains	847,986	92,894	\$0.116
3	Total Capital Project Costs	\$1,850,052	<u>\$202,667</u>	\$0.252
4	Coverage Requirement @	1.25	<u>\$50,667</u>	\$0.063
5	Effective Debt Service Cost		\$253,334	
6	Effective Interest Rate		12.36%	

Note: Terms of finance costs are calculated at 9.0% interest with annual payments.

# Exhibit "A" Schedule 4, Page 15 of 25

## **SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION**

Effluent Rate Study-Sewer Operations
Billing Analysis - Sewer Customers
Reuse Revenue Requirement

	Meter A		Avg Month	Monthly	Monthly	Gallonage	Мо	onthly Reveni	ne
	Size	Bills	Usage (gals)	(1,000 g.)	Base Chg	Charge	Base	Gallonage	Total
Residential	All	7,583	8,328	63,151.2	<b>\$</b> 1.46	\$0.11	\$11,071	\$6,947	\$18,018
General Service	5/8" x 3/4"	60	13,863	831.8	1.46	0.11	88	92	179
	1"	47	21,542	1,012.5	3.65	0.11	172	111	283
	1 1/2"	18	56,396	1,015.1	7.30	0.11	131	112	243
	2"	24	90,256	2,166.1	11.68	0.11	280	238	519
	3"	3	389,750	1,169.3	23.36	0.11	70	129	199
	4"	3	133,323	400.0	36.50	0.11	110	44	154
	6"	1	3,673,750	3,673.8	73.00	0.11	73	404	477
	8"	1	51,000	51.0	116.80	0.11	117	6	122
Total G.S.		157		10,319.6			\$1,040	\$1,135	\$2,175
Multi-Family	5/8" x 3/4"	' 19	10,867	206.5	1.46	0.11	28	23	50
,	1"	9	9,694	87.2	3.65	0.11	33	10	42
	1 1/2"	68	33,516	2,279.1	7.30	0.11	496	251	747
	2"	67	65,917	4,416.4	11.68	0.11	783	486	1,268
	3"	15	165,407	2,481.1	23.36	0.11	350	273	623
•	4"	2	1,192,041	2,384.1	36.50	0.11	73	262	335
	6"	1	1,074,500	1,074.5	73.00	0.11	73	118	191
	8"	1	214,250	214.3	116.80	0.11	117	24	140
Total Multi	i	182		13,143.2			\$1,953	\$1,446	\$3,399
Sewer System To	otal	7,922		86,614.0			\$14,064	\$9,528	\$23,592
Annual Total (Dis	posal)	7,922	:	1,039,368			\$168,770	\$114,330	\$283,101

Total Annual Revenue \$2,856,424 Booked Revenues 2,855,217

Immaterial Difference

\$1,207

#### SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION **Effluent Rate Study-Sewer Operations** 1996 Billing Analysis - Sewer Customers

	Meter	Avg #	Avg Month	Monthly	Monthly	y Rate		onthly Reven	iue	Jan-Sep
Period (Jan-Sep)	Size	Meters	Usage (gals)	(1,000 g.)	Base Chg	Gallonage	8ase	Gallonage	Total	Revenue
Residential	All	7,583	8,328	63,151.2	\$10.06	\$1.33	\$76,285	\$83,991	\$160,276	\$1,442,485
General Service	5/8" x 3/4"	60	13,863	831.8	\$10.06	\$1.60	604	1,331	1,934	17,410
	1"	47	21,542	1,012.5	25.14	1.60	1,182	1,620	2,802	25,214
	1 1/2"	18	56,396	1,015.1	50.29	1.60	905	1,624	2,529	22,764
	2"	24	90,256	2,166.1	80.46	1.60	1,931	3,466	5,397	48,571
	3"	3	389,750	1,169.3	160.91	1.60	483	1,871	2,354	21,182
	4"	3	133,323	400.0	251.43	1.60	754	640	1,394	12,549
	6" 6"	1	3,673,750	3,673.8	502.86	1.60	503	5,878	6,381	57,428
Total G.S.	8"	156	0	10,268.6	804.56	1.60	<del></del>	\$16,430	\$22,791	\$205,120
Total G.S.		130		10,200.0			φ0,501	\$10,400	322,131	9200, 120
Multi-Family	5/8" x 3/4"		10,867	206.5	\$10.06	\$1.60	191	330	522	4,694
	1"	9	9,694	87.2	25.14	1,60	226	140	366	3,292
	1 1/2"	68	33,516	2,279.1	50.29	1.60	3,420	3,647	7,066	63,597
	2"	67	65,917	4,416.4	80.46	1.60	5,391	7,066	12,457	112,114
	3"	15	165,407	2,481.1	160.91	1.60	2,414	3,970	6,383	57,451
	4" 6 <b>"</b>	2 1	1,192,041 1,074,500	2,384.1	251.43	1.60	503 503	3,815	4,317	38,857
	8"	1	214,250	1,074.5 214.3	502.86 804.56	1.60 1.60	805	1,719 343	2, <b>222</b> 1,147	19,999 10,327
Total Multi	0	182	214,250	13,143.2	504,50	1.00	\$13,452	\$21,029	\$34,481	\$310,329
Sewer System Tot	al	7,921		86,563.0			\$96,098	\$121,450	\$217,548	\$1,957,933
Period (Oct-Dec)										Revenue
Residential	All	7,583	8,328	63,151.2	\$10.16	\$1.34	\$77,043	\$84,623	\$161,666	\$484,998
General Service	5/8" x 3/4"	60	13,863	831.8	\$10.16	\$1.62	610	1,348	1,957	5,871
General Service	1"	47	21,542	1,012.5	25.40	1.62	1,194	1,640	2,834	8,502
	1 1/2"	18	56,396	1,012.3	50.80	1.62	914	1,644	2,559	7,677
	2"	24	90,256	2,166.1	81.28	1.62	1,951	3,509	5,460	16,379
	3"	3	389,750	1,169.3	162.55	1.62	488	1,894	2,382	7,146
	4"	3	133,323	400.0	253.99	1.62	762	648	1,410	4,230
	6"	1	3,673,750	3,673.8	507.99	1.62	508	5,952	6,460	19,379
(Dec. Only)	8"	1	51,000	51.0	812.77	1.62	813	83	895	895
Total G.S.		157		10,319.6			\$7,239	\$16,718	\$23,957	\$70,079
Multi-Family	5/8" x 3/4"	19	10,867	206.5	\$10.16	\$1.62	193	335	528	1,583
,	1"	9	9,694	87.2	25.40	1.62	229	141	370	1,110
	1 1/2"	68	33,516	2,279.1	50.80	1.62	3,454	3,692	7,1 <b>47</b>	21,440
	2"	67	65,917	4,416.4	81.28	1.62	5,446	7,155	12,600	37,801
	3"	15	165,407	2,481.1	162.55	1.62	2,438	4,019	6, <b>458</b>	19,373
	4"	2	1,192,041	2,384.1	253.99	1.62	508	3,862	4,370	13,111
	6"	1	1,074,500	1,074.5	507.99	1.62	508	1,741	2,249	6,746
Total Multi	8"	182	214,250	214.3 13,143.2	812.77	1.62	\$13,589	347 \$21,292	1,160 \$34,881	3,480 \$104,642
Sewer System Tota	ai	7,922		86,614.0			\$97,871	\$122,632	\$220,503	\$659,719
							Annual	Sewer Servi	ce Revenue	\$2,617,653
								Sales to Pub	lic Authority	225,643
								Other Sewe	er Revenues	13,128

Exhibit "A" Schedule 4, Page 16 of 25

# Exhibit "A" Schedule 4, Page 17 of 25

### **SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION Effluent Rate Study-Sewer Operations**Annualized Billing Analysis

	Meter	Avg#	Avg Month	Monthly	Month	y Rate	Monthly Revenue		Annual	
	Size	Meters	Usage (gals)	(1,000 g.)	Base Chg	Gallonage	Base	Gallonage	Total	Revenue
Residential	All	7,583	8,328	63,151.2	\$10.16	\$1.34	\$77,043	\$84,623	\$161,666	\$1,939,991
General Service	5/8" x 3/4"	' 60	13,863	831.8	\$10.16	\$1.62	610	1,348	1,957	23,485
	1"	47	21,542	1,012.5	25.40	1.62	1,194	1,640	2,834	34,009
	1 1/2"	18	56,396	1,015.1	50.80	1.62	914	1,644	2,559	30,706
	2"	24	90,256	2,166.1	81.28	1.62	1,951	3,509	5,460	65,518
	3"	3	389,750	1,169.3	162.55	1.62	488	1,894	2,382	28,583
	4"	3	133,323	400.0	253.99	1.62	762	648	1,410	16,920
	6"	1	3,673,750	3,673.8	507.99	1.62	508	5,952	6,460	77,515
	8"	1	51,000	51.0	812.77	1.62	813	83	895	10,745
Total G.S.		157		10,319.6			\$7,239	\$16,718	\$23,957	\$287,480
Multi-Family	5/8" x 3/4"	19	10,867	206.5	\$10.16	\$1.62	193	335	528	6,331
	1"	9	9,694	87.2	25.40	1.62	229	141	370	4,438
	1 1/2"	68	33,516	2,279.1	50.80	1.62	3,454	3,692	7,147	85,758
	2"	67	65,917	4,416.4	81.28	1.62	5,446	7,155	12,600	151,204
	3"	15	165,407	2,481.1	162.55	1.62	2,438	4,019	6,458	77,492
	4"	2	1,192,041	2,384.1	253.99	1.62	508	3,862	4,370	52,443
	6"	1	1,074,500	1,074.5	507.99	1.62	508	1,741	2,249	26,984
	8"	1	214,250	214.3	812.77	1.62	813	347	1,160	13,919
Total Multi		182		13,143.2			\$13,589	\$21,292	\$34,881	\$418,569

Annual Sewer Service Revenue	\$2,646,040
Sales to Public Authority	225,643
Other Sewer Revenues	13,128
Total Annual Revenue	\$2,884,811
Booked Revenues	2,855,217
Additional Revenue	29,594

# Exhibit "A" Schedule 4, Page 18 of 25

# SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION Effluent Rate Study-Sewer Operations

Billing Analysis With Reuse Revenue

	Meter	Avg#	Avg Month	Monthly	Month	ly Rate	Monthly Revenue		Annual	
	Size	Meters	-	(1,000 g.)	Base Chg	Gallonage	Base	Gallonage	Total	Revenue
Residential	Ali	7,583	8,328	63,151.2	\$11.25	\$1.41	\$85,309	\$89,043	\$174,352	\$2,092,223
General Service	5/8" x 3/4'	' 60	13,863	831.8	\$11.25	<b>\$</b> 1.69	675	1,406	2,081	24,969
<del>-</del>	1"	47	21,542	1,012.5	28.13	1.69	1,322	1,711	3,033	36,399
	1 1/2"	18	56,396	1,015.1	56.25	1.69	1,013	1,716	2,728	32,736
	2"	24	90,256	2,166.1	90.00	1.69	2,160	3,661	5,821	69,849
	3"	3	389,750	1,169.3	179.99	1.69	540	1,976	2,516	30,193
	4"	3	133,323	400.0	281.24	1.69	844	676	1,520	18,237
	6"	1	3,673,750	3,673.8	562.49	1.69	562	6,209	6,771	81,255
	8"	1	51,000	51.0	899.97	1.69	900	86	986	11,834
Total G.S.		157	,	10,319.6			\$8,016	\$17,440	\$25,456	\$305,471
Multi-Family	5/8" x 3/4'	' 19	10,867	206.5	\$11.25	<b>\$</b> 1.69	214	349	563	6,753
	1"	9	9,694	87.2	28.13	1.69	253	147	401	4,806
	1 1/2"	68	33,516	2,279.1	56.25	1.69	3,825	3,852	7,677	92,120
	2"	67	65,917	4,416.4	90.00	1.69	6,030	7,464	13,494	161,925
	_ 3"	15	165,407	2,481.1	179.99	1.69	2,700	4,193	6,893	82,715
	4"	2	1,192,041	2,384.1	281.24	1.69	562	4,029	4,592	55,099
	6"	1	1,074,500	1,074.5	562.49	1.69	562	1,816	2,378	28,541
	8"	1	214,250	214.3	899.97	1.69	900	362	1,262	15,146
Total Mult	i	182	•	13,143.2			\$15,047	\$22,212	\$37,259	\$447,105
Irrigation	All	3		33,488.8	\$0.00	\$0.21	\$0	\$7,033	\$7,033	\$84,392

Annual Sewer Service Revenue \$2,929,191
Sales to Public Authority 225,643
Other Sewer Revenues 13,128

Total Annual Revenue \$3,167,962

Revenue Requirement 3,167,792 Difference 170

#### SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCUMENT / RECORD REQUEST

DOCKET NO. 971186-SU

Respondent: Gary C. White

Guastella Associates, Inc.

Request:

Charles H. Hill (FPSC) letter of 9/23/97.

For Schedule No. 4:

#3 a. Provide the source for the 1,039,367 gallons. This should include a billing analysis for the wastewater customers broken down by customer class and meter size.

Response:

The 1,039,367 thousand gallons of usage reflect the annualized usage for the number of year—end 1996 customers. The source information was compiled from the Company's billing records. Please see the attached customer summary schedule and annualized billing analysis.

#### SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION Effluent Rate Study-Sewer Operations Billing Analysis - Sewer Customers

Work Paper

	Meter Size	Avg # Meters	Avg Month Usage (gais)	Total Mo. Demand
Residential	All	7,583	8,328	63,151,224
General Service	5/8° x 3/4°	60	13,863	831,780
	1*	47	21,542	1,012,474
	1 1/2"	18	56,396	1,015,128
	2•	24	90,256	2,166,144
	3*	3	389,750	1,169,250
	4*	3	133,323	3 <b>99</b> ,969
	6*	1	3,673,750	3,673,750
	8° (a	a) 1	51,000	51,000
Total G.S.		157		10,319,495
Multi-Family	5/8° x 3/4°	19	10,867	206,473
	1*	9	9,694	87,246
	1 1/2"	68	33,516	2,279,088
	2"	67	65,917	4,416,439
	3*	15	165,407	2,481,105
	4*	2	1,192,041	2,384,082
	6*	1	1,074,500	1,074,500
	8*	1	214,250	214,250
Total Multi		182		13,143,183
Sewer System Total		7,922		86,613,902
Annual Flow (1,000 gais.) ADF (1,000 gais.)				1,039,367 <b>2,847</b> .6

Note (a): New Customer at December 1, 1996.

Exhibit "A" Schedule 4, Page 21 of 25

#### SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION Effluent Rate Study—Sewer Operations Annualized Billing Analysis

	Meter	Avg #	Avg Month	Monthly	Month	nly Rate	M	onthly Revenu	e	Annual
	Size	Bills	Usage (gals)	(1,000 g.)	Base Chg	Gallonage	Base	Gallonage	Total	Revenue
Residential	All	7,583	8,328	63,151.2	\$10.16	\$1.34	\$77,043	\$84,623	\$161,666	\$1,939,991
General Service	5/8" x 3/4"	60	13,863	831.8	\$10.16	\$1.62	610	1,348	1,957	23,485
	1"	47	21,542	1,012.5	25.40	1.62	1,194	1,640	2,834	34,009
	1 1/2"	18	56,396	1,015.1	50.80	1.62	914	1,644	2,559	30,706
	2"	24	90,256	2,166.1	81.28	1.62	1,951	3,509	5,460	65,518
	<b>3</b> °	3	389,750	1,169.3	162.55	1.62	488	1,894	2,382	28,583
	4"	3	133,323	400.0	253.99	1.82	762	648	1,410	16,920
	6"	1	3,673,750	3,673.8	507.99	1.62	508	5,952	6,460	77,515
	8*	1	51,000	51.0	812.77	1.62	813	83	895	10,745
Total G.S.		157		10,319.6	•		\$7,239	\$16,718	\$23,957	\$287,480
Multi-Family	5/8" x 3/4"	19	10,867	208.5	\$10.16	\$1.62	193	335	528	6,331
•	1"	9	9,694	87.2	25.40	1.62	229	141	370	4,438
	1 1/2"	68	33,516	2,279.1	50.80	1.62	3,454	3,692	7,147	85,758
	2"	67	65,917	4,416.4	81.28	1.62	5,446	7,155	12,600	151,204
	3*	15	165,407	2,461.1	162.55	1.62	2,438	4,019	8,458	77,492
	4"	2	1,192,041	2,384.1	253.99	1.62	508	3,862	4,370	52,443
	<b>6</b> *	1	1,074,500	1,074.5	507.99	1.62	508	1,741	2,249	26,984
	8"	1	214,250	214.3	812.77	1.62	813	347	1,160	13,919
Total Multi		182	•	13,143.2	•		\$13,589	\$21,292	\$34,881	\$418,569
Monthly Totals		7,922		86,614.0			\$97,871	\$122,632	\$220,503	
Annual Totals		95,064		1,039,367			Anni	ual Sewer Serv	rice Revenue	\$2,646,040
								Sales to Pul	blic Authority	225,643
								Other Sew	er Revenues	13,128
								Total Ann	nual Revenue	\$2,884,811
								Book	ed Revenues	2,855,217
								Additio	nal Revenue	29,594

#### SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
DOCUMENT / RECORD REQUEST

DOCKET NO. 971186-SU

Respondent: Gary C. White

Guastella Associates, Inc.

Request:

Charles H. Hill (FPSC) letter of 9/23/97.

For Schedule No. 4:

#3 b. Provide the ERCs and gallons used to calculate the base facility and usage charges.

Response:

Please see the attached work paper (Billing Analysis - Effluent Reuse Disposal

Customers).

#### Work Paper

#### **SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION**

#### **Effluent Rate Study**

#### Billing Analysis - Effluent Reuse Disposal Customers

	Col. 1 Meter	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7 Base Facility	Col. 8 Usage Chg.	Col. 9 Total
Line		Monthly		Meter	Meter	Base Facility	Monthly Rev.	Monthly Rev.	Annual
No.	Size	Customers	Usage	Factor	Equivalents	Mo. Rate	\$1.46	\$0.11	Revenues
1	Res.	7,583	83,151,224	1.0	7,583.0	\$1,48	\$11,071.18	\$6,946.63	\$216,214
2	5/8 x 3/4	79	1,038,253	1.0	79.0	\$1.46	115.34	114.21	2,755
3	1	56	1,099,720	2.5	140.0	\$3.65	204.40	120.97	3,904
4	1 1/2	86	3,294,216	5.0	430.0	\$7.30	627.80	362.36	11,882
5	2	91	6,582,583	8.0	728.0	\$11.68	1,062.68	724.08	21,444
6	3	18	3,650,355	16.0	288.0	\$23.36	420.48	401.54	9,864
7	4	5	2,784,051	25.0	125.0	\$36.50	182.50	306.25	5,865
8	6	2	4,748,250	50.0	100.0	\$73.00	146.00	522.31	8,020
9	8	2	265,250	80.0	160.0	\$116.80	233.60	29.18	3,153
10		7,922	86,613,902		9,633.0		\$14,064.18	\$9,527.53	\$283,101

#### SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCUMENT / RECORD REQUEST

DOCKET NO. 971186-SU

Respondent: Gary C. White

Guastella Associates, Inc.

Request:

Charles H. Hill (FPSC) letter of 9/23/97.

For Schedule No. 4:

#3 c. Provide the percentages used to allocate the reuse revenue requirement to the base facility and gallonage charges for the effluent disposal customers.

Response: Please see the attached work paper (Rate Development Schedule - Disposal Rates).

# SANLANDO UTILITIES CORPORATION Effluent Disposal Rate Study Rate Development Schedule Disposal Rates

	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6
Line		Total	Base	Usage	Base	Usage
No.	Effluent Reuse Disposal Costs	Cost	Facility	Charge	Cost	Cost
1	701 – Salaries & Wages	25,021	50%	50%	12,511	12,511
2	703-Officers Compensation	3,231	50%	50%	1,616	1,616
3	704-Employee Benefits	4,759	50%	50%	2,380	2,380
4	715-Purchased Power	31,104		100%	0	31,104
5	718-Chemicals	3,413		100%	0	3,413
6	720 – Materiais & Supplies	3,545	50%	50%	1,773	1,773
7	732-Contract Services, Acctg.	147	50%	50%	74	74
8	733-Contract Services, Legal	962	50%	50%	481	481
8	734-Contract Serv, Mgmt Fee	2,545	50%	50%	1,273	1,273
10	735-Contract Services, Other	5,144	50%	50%	2,572	2,572
11	741 - Property Rental	2,027	100%		2,027	0
12	742-Equipment Rental	47	50%	50%	24	24
13	750-Transportation Exp.	1,285	100%		1,285	0
14	756-insurance, Vehicle	355	50%	50%	178	178
15	757-Insurance, Gen. Liability	971	50%	50%	488	486
16	758-Workman's Comp. Ins.	682	50%	50%	341	341
17	759-Insurance, Other	0	50%	50%	0	0
18	760 - Advertising	0	50%	50%	0	0
19	788-Rate Case Exp.	10,000	50%	50%	5,000	5,000
20	767-Reg. Commission Exp.	. 0	50%	50%	0	0
21	775-Misc. Expenses	2,406	50%	50%	1,203	1,203
22	O & M Expense	97,644		-	33,220	64,425
23	·	·				
24						
25	Depreciation, Net	96,137	100%		96,137	0
26	•	•				
27	Payroil Taxes	1,988	50%	50%	984	984
28	Property Taxes	4,039	100%		4,039	0
29	Other Tax & Licenses	9,823	100%		9,823	0
30	Income Taxes	14,575	50%	50%	7,288	7,288
31	Return Requirement	193,467	50%	50%	96,733	96,733
32						
33	Revenue Taxes	19,680	59%	41%	11,611	8,069
34	_	<del></del>		_		
35	Total Revenue Requirement_	437,333		_	2 <b>59</b> ,835	177,498
	<del>-</del>			-	59.41%	40.59%
36	Credit from Existing Revenue	(154,353)			(91,707)	(62,647)
37	Net Revenue Requirement	282,980		-	168,128	114,852
38	· -			=	59.41%	40.59%