

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Complaint of Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc., Against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for violation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; petition for resolution of disputes as to implementation and interpretation of interconnection, resale and collocation agreements; and petition for emergency relief.

) Docket No. 980119-TP

RECORDS AND REPORTING

99 SEP -2 AM 11:15

RECEIVED-FPSC

Petition for Emergency Relief of Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc., Against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

) Docket No. 980800-TP

) Filed: September 2, 1998

SUPRA TELECOMMUNICATIONS & INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC.'S MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc., ("Supra") pursuant to Rule 25-22.058, Florida Administrative Code, hereby files this Motion for Oral Argument on its Motion to Dismiss BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s ("BellSouth's") Motion for Reconsideration and Clarification of Order No. PSC-98-1001-FOF-TP and Motion to Strike BellSouth's Answer in Docket No. 980800-TP for Misconduct and as grounds therefor, states as follows:

1. Supra has previously filed a Response to BellSouth's Motion for Reconsideration and Clarification of Order No. PSC-98-1001-FOF-TP, but upon learning that BellSouth has offered a position to a key staff person assigned to Dockets Nos. 980119-TP and 980800-TP, Supra found it imperative to file its Motion to Dismiss BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Motion for Reconsideration and Clarification of Order No. PSC-98-1001-FOF-TP

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

09589 SEP-28

RECORDS AND REPORTING

WCK
VFA
APP
JAF
CMU
JTR
JAG
JEG
JIN
JPC
JCH
JEC
JAS
JTH

and Motion to Strike Bellsouth's Answer in Docket No. 980800-TP.


2. It is within the Commission's discretion to grant oral argument to any party in a Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, proceeding.

3. Supra is alleging that an adverse party is guilty of misconduct that should result in the dismissal of that party's motion for reconsideration in one proceeding, as well as the striking of that party's answer in another proceeding.

4. Because of the seriousness of the misconduct alleged in Supra's motions and the actions Supra is requesting the Commission to take in response, Supra believes oral argument is necessary and appropriate.

WHEREFORE, Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc., respectfully requests the Commission to grant oral argument on its Motion to Dismiss BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Motion for Reconsideration and Clarification of Order No. PSC-98-1001-FOF-TP and Motion to Strike BellSouth's Answer in Docket No. 980800-TP for Misconduct.

Respectfully submitted this 2nd day of September, 1998.



Suzanne Fannon Summerlin
Attorney for Supra Telecommunications
and Information Systems, Inc.
1311-B Paul Russell Road, Suite 201
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(904) 656-2288
Florida Bar No. 398586

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by hand delivery to the following parties of record this 2nd day of September, 1998:

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
c/o Nancy H. Sims
150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Beth Keating, Esq.
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850



Suzanne Fannon Summerlin