

- Problem on 1941 / Problem 1981 | 196

## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION SEP-4 PM 4:40

In Re: Joint Petition for Determination of Need for an Electrical Power Plant in Volusia County by the Utilities Commission, City of New Smyrna Beach,	)	OCKET		98104		
Florida, and Duke Energy New Smyrna Beach Power Company Ltd., L.L.P.	) F ) )	TILED:	SEPI	. 4,	1998	

## PRELIMINARY ISSUES LIST OF THE UTILITIES COMMISSION, CITY OF NEW SMYRNA BEACH, FLORIDA AND DUKE ENERGY NEW SMYRNA BEACH POWER COMPANY LTD., L.L.P.

The Utilities Commission, City of New Smyrna Beach, Florida ("UCNSB" or "Utilities Commission") and Duke Energy New Smyrna Beach Power Company Ltd., L.L.P. ("Duke New Smyrna"), pursuant to the Case Assignment and Scheduling Record for this docket, hereby respectfully submit this preliminary list of issues to be addressed in this need determination proceeding.

- 1. Is the New Smyrna Beach Power Project consistent with the need of the UCNSB for electric system reliability and integrity? UCNSB/Duke New Smyrna: Yes.
- 2. Is the New Smyrna Beach Power Project consistent with the need of the UCNSB for adequate electricity at a reasonable cost?

  UCNSB/Duke New Smyrna: Ves

ACK	UCNSB/Duke New Smyrna:	Yes.		
AFA		to meet the	ect the most cost-effective UCNSB's power supply needs?	
EAGHUTULE.			ct consistent with the need ic system reliability and	
LIN <u>5</u>	UCNSB/Duke New Smyrna:	Yes.		
RCH	RECEIVED & FILED	1	DOCUMENT HUMBER DATE	
<b>SEC</b>	OF PECORDS	_	09679 SEP-4 60016	8

\_\_ HTC

5. Is the New Smyrna Beach Power Project consistent with the need of Peninsular Florida for adequate electricity at a reasonable cost?

UCNSB/Duke New Smyrna: Yes.

6. Is the New Smyrna Beach Power Project the most cost-effective alternative available to Duke New Smyrna to meet its obligations under the Participation Agreement with the UCNSB and to satisfy its anticipated wholesale power supply commitments?

UCNSB/Duke New Smyrna: Yes.

7. Is the New Smyrna Beach Power Project consistent with Peninsular Florida's needs for cost-effective power supply resources?

UCNSB/Duke New Smyrna: Yes.

8. Has Duke New Smyrna provided sufficient information on the site, design, and engineering characteristics of the New Smyrna Beach Power Project to evaluate the proposed Project?

UCNSB/Duke New Smyrna: Yes.

9. Has Duke New Smyrna adequately considered other reasonably available generation technologies as alternatives to the proposed Project?

UCNSB/Duke New Smyrna: Yes.

10. Have adequate assurances been provided regarding (a) the sufficiency of supplies of natural gas; (b) the commitment of natural gas supplies to the New Smyrna Beach Power Project; and (c) the availability either of gas transportation capacity or of commitments to build sufficient gas transportation capacity to serve the needs of the New Smyrna Beach Power Project?

UCNSB/Duke New Smyrna: Yes.

11. Have the costs of environmental compliance associated with the New Smyrna Beach Power Project been adequately considered by Duke New Smyrna?

UCNSB/Duke New Smyrna: Yes.

12. Are there likely to be any adverse consequences on the UCNSB if the New Smyrna Beach Power Project is not constructed and brought into commercial operation in the amount and time sought?

UCNSB/Duke New Smyrna:

Yes. If the New Smyrna Beach Power Project is not constructed and brought into commercial operation in the amount and time sought, the UCNSB's ability to meet its obligation to provide adequate and reliable electricity will be impaired, and the cost of power to the UCNSB and its customers will be greater than if the Project is brought into operation in the amount and time sought.

13. Are there likely to be any adverse consequences on Peninsular Florida if the New Smyrna Beach Power Project is not constructed and brought into commercial operation in the amount and time sought?

UCNSB/Duke New Smyrna:

Yes. If the New Smyrna Beach Power Project is not constructed and brought into commercial operation in the amount and time sought, the reliability of Peninsular Florida's electric supply system will be less than if the Project is built as planned and proposed. Moreover, if the Project is not constructed and brought into commercial operation in the amount and time sought, the overall cost of power in Peninsular Florida will be greater than if the Project is built as planned and proposed. Finally, if the Project is not constructed and brought into commercial operation in the amount and time sought, environmental pollution from electricity generation in Florida will be greater than if the Project is built as planned and proposed.

14. Based on the resolution of the foregoing issues, should the petition of the UCNSB and Duke New Smyrna for determination of need for the New Smyrna Beach Power Project be granted?

UCNSB/Duke New Smyrna: Yes.

Respectfully submitted this \_\_\_4 th day of September, 1998.

Robert Scheffel Wright
Florida Bar No. 966721

John T. LaVia, III
Florida Bar No. 853666

LANDERS & PARSONS, P.A.
310 West College Avenue (ZIP 32301)

Post Office Box 271
Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Attorneys for the Utilities Commission, City of New Smyrna Beach, Florida,

and

Duke Energy New Smyrna Beach Power Company Ltd., L.L.P.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 981042-EU

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served by hand delivery (\*) or by United States Mail, postage prepaid, on the following individuals this 4th day of September, 1998:

Leslie J. Paugh, Esquire\*
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Gunter Building
Tallahassee, Florida 32399

000172