

- RE: DOCKET NO. 981158-TC CANCELLATION BY FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF PAY TELEPHONE CERTIFICATE NO. 3096 ISSUED TO BEUFORD B. WENTWORTH, FOR VIOLATION OF RULE 25-4.0161, F.A.C., REGULATORY ASSESSMENT FEES; TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES.
- AGENDA: 12/01/98 REGULAR AGENDA INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

ł.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\CMU\WP\981158.RCM

## CASE BACKGROUND

Beuford B. Wentworth, obtained Florida Public Service Commission Pay Telephone Certificate Number 3096 on August 28, 1992.

On December 11, 1997, the Division of Administration mailed the regulatory assessment fee (RAF) notice by certified mail. Staff received the return receipt from the United States Postal Service (USPS) which showed that the RAF notice was signed for and delivered on December 13, 1997.

The Division of Administration advised staff by memorandum that this company had not paid its 1997 RAF, plus statutory penalties and interest for the years 1992, 1995, and 1997.

DOCUMENT WE MARKE DATE

1303 | NOV 19 8

JUST OPEND RESERVING

After the docket was opened, Mr. Wentworth called staff and stated that his records show that he had mailed his 1997 RAF form and check on January 15, 1998, which was by the January 30, 1998 (ATTACHMENT A) However, Mr. Wentworth's form and check deadline. was never received by the Commission. As soon as Mr. Wentworth confirmed with his bank that the check had not been cashed by the Commission, he immediately paid all past due charges and refiled In addition, Mr. Wentworth authored a letter dated the form. October 22, 1998, (ATTACHMENT B) and assured the Commission he would not only pay regulatory assessment fees in a timely manner in the future, but would follow up to insure that the fees were received by the Commission. Therefore, staff believes the following recommendations are appropriate.

## DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

**ISSUE 1:** Should the Commission accept the settlement offer proposed by Beuford B. Wentworth to resolve the apparent violations of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?

**RECOMMENDATION:** Yes. The Commission should accept Beuford B. Wentworth's settlement proposal to pay regulatory assessment fees by January 30 of each year and follow up to insure that the fees were received. (Isler)

**STAFF ANALYSIS:** Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, requires the payment of regulatory assessment fees by January 30 of the subsequent year for telecommunications companies, and provides for penalties and interest as outlined in Section 350.113, Florida Statutes, for any delinquent amounts.

The Division of Administration notified staff by memorandum that Beuford B. Wentworth had not submitted the regulatory assessment fees for 1997, along with statutory penalties and interest charges for the years 1992, 1995, and 1997.

After staff opened this docket but prior to filing the recommendation, Mr. Wentworth called staff on September 28, 1998, and stated that his records showed that he had sent his 1997 RAF form and check to the Commission on January 15, 1998, which was by

DOCKET NO. 98115 C DATE: NOVEMBER 19, 1998

the January 30, 1998 deadline. On October 5, 1998, Mr. Wentworth called back and streed that he had gone through all of his records, including bank statements, and found that the check to the Commission never cleared his bank. He stated that he would immediately pay what was due and make a settlement proposal. On October 6, 1998, the company paid the full amount due and owing for 1997 regulatory assessment fees, plus all statutory penalties and interest.

Mr. Wentworth wrote the Commission a letter dated October 22, 1998, and stated, in part:

I have never been fined, ordered to show cause for any rule violation or subject to any adverse action by any authority. Please be assured that in the future I will follow up to ensure that any and all returns and fees due are paid on time, received by the Commission and properly recorded. I would also request, first, that you NOT impose any fine since I did indeed file my return in good faith. Or, secondly, if the Commission feels that a fine is in order, that it be minimal, i.e. no more than \$100.00.

Due to the extenuating circumstances, staff believes that Beuford B. Wentworth did attempt to comply with Commission rules by paying the regulatory assessment fees by January 30, 1998. Although, the Commission did not receive Mr. Wentworth's check and 1997 RAF form, staff believes it would serve no purpose to fine Mr. Wentworth.

Accordingly, staff believes the terms of the settlement agreement as summarized in this recommendation should be accepted.

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

**<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>**: Yes, if the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, this docket should be closed. (K. Peña)

**STAFF ANALYSIS:** If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, this docket should be closed.

DOCKET NO. 9811 DATE: NOVEMBER ATTACHMENT A		Fee Return	
TATUS.	Florida Public Service Commission (See Fling Instructions on Alexand Parties	TOE PSP USE ONLY Check#	-
Асций Ксили Ратитател Ксили	TE660 P173 997 159 Beuford B. Wentworth 12609 Condor Drive	5P	9396832 9176951 903002
PERIOD COVERED: 11/01/1497 TO 12/31/1997	Jacksonville, PL 32223-2715	Enstatis or Preparet	
	Plane Complete Balow If Address Has Changed		

	(Name of Company)	(Address)	(City/Sterr)	(Z.40)
INE NO	ACCO	UNT CLASSIFICATION	AMOUN	T
1.	Gross Operating Revenue		\$ 107.4	60_
7	Gross Intrastate Revenue		75.3	<u>fe1_</u>
٤.	LESS: Amounts Paid for Scr (Attach Listing)*	vices to Local Telephone Companies	<u> </u>	<u>[</u> 1 1
ц <sup>.</sup>	TOTAL REVENUES for Re (Line 2 less Line 3)	gulatory Assessment Fee Calculation	\$ 43	<u>. 22</u>
5	Regulatory Assessment Fee D	ue (Multiply Line 4 by 0.0015)	# <u>64</u>	5
5.	Penality for 1 atc Payment	D. 1 . 15.98	······································	
7.	Interest for Late Payment	and HITI		
¥.	TOTAL AMOUNT DUE	Parid 1-15.98 #= 4177	\$ 104 65	

AS PROVIDED IN SECTION DELIGS FLORIDA STATUTES, THE MINIMUM ANNUAL FEE IS \$59.

THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED AND RETURNED REGARDLESS OF THE AMOUNT OF REVENUES REPORTED

9.	Number of pay	telephones in	operation at clo	use of period cove	errai	95
	isy alite Return	Paid	10-5-98	CK# #33	\$76.19)	

\*Each amount part by a pay resonance containing to a relevant minimized of party providing forest transfer ten up of the local network shall be deduced from unrestate revenue for purposes of determining the strange of the regulatory for accessed the pay why hope exception.

. .

4 the understatut owner/official of the above named company, nave read the foregoing and declare that to be best of my knowledge and heard, the arove information is a use and correct minimum. I am aware the purmane in metion 837.06, Florida Statutes, where reinformingly makes a take statement in writing with the intent to motion a use and correct minimum. I am aware the purmane in metion 837.06, Florida Statutes, where reinformingly makes a take statement in writing with the intent to motion to motion.

is mathing periodical on the performance of his official gues blad be guildy of a minder	meriol of the scong goliter	
Baugard B. Wenterronth	1-15-48	
(Signature of Company Official)	(Thic)	(Drate)
BELIGHTER B. WENTWORTH	THEPHONE NUMBER (904) 268-9169	
	F.E.I. No	
_ 4		

DOR KET NO. 98115 DATE: NOVEMBER 19, 1998 ATTACHMENT B

TE660 Beuford B. Wentworth 12609 Condor Drive Jacksonville, FL 32223 Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd Tailahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket 981158-TC

October 22, 1998

Dear Commissioners,

it has come to my attention that you did not have a record of my filing of my PAY TELEPHONE. SERVICE PROVIDER REGULATORY ASSESSMENT FEE RETURN for the period of 01/01/97 to 12/31/97; and therefore my Pay Telephone Certificate No. 3098 is subject to cancellation.

Please be advised that I mailed my Return with payment in the amount of \$84.65(check #4177) on January 15, 1998. It never occurred to me to follow up to ensure that it was received. I have checked my records and although I conceed that the check never cleared my bank, I DID pay fee and filed my return in good faith. Upon learning of this, I immediately mailed a copy of my return and promotiy paid the appropriate fee, penalities and interest in the amount of \$76.19 (check #4755) on October 5. 1998. Please see copy enclosed.

i plead with you to not cancel my certificate. I have tried to operate my business in a professional manner, providing the public with pay telephone service at charges ives than the market average. My equipment is regularly maintained in a clean and operable condition. Each of my phones are visited by me at least every seven to ten days. I have been responsive to all directives and notices issued by the Commission, FCC and other regulatory agencies. I have never been fined, ordered to show cause for any rule violation or subject to any adverse action by any authority. Please be assured that in the future I will follow up to ensure that any and all returns and fees due are paid on time, received by the commission and property recorded. I would also request, first, that you NOT impose any fine since i did indeed file my return in good faith. Or, secondly, if the Commision feels that a fine is in order, that it be minimal, i.e. no more than \$100,00.

Your consideration in this matter is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sentor to Wartwork

Beuford R. Wentwor

RECEIVED CII 20 K