

Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M

Sk

DATE:

January 7, 1999

TO:

DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYO)

FROM:

DIVISION OF ELECTRIC AND GAS (DRAPER) EID

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (JAYEAR

RE:

DOCKET NO. 981567-EM - PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF REVISED

LIGHTING TARIFFS BY CITY OF LAKELAND

AGENDA:

1/19/98 - REGULAR AGENDA - TARIFF FILING - INTERESTED

PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\EAG\WP\981567.RCM

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

<u>ISSUE 1:</u> Should the Commission approve the City of Lakeland's proposed changes to its Private Area Lighting (OL) rate schedules?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes, the revised tariff should be approved with an effective date of December 1, 1998.

STAFF ANALYSIS: The City of Lakeland (Lakeland) has proposed a number of revisions to its private area lighting rate schedule. The changes include the revision of the charge per fixture for some of Lakeland's existing fixtures, and the addition of 16 new fixtures and eight new poles. Lakeland states that it conducted a detailed review of all its material and labor costs which resulted in the revision of the charge per fixture for certain fixtures. The differences between the old and the updated charges are minor. The new fixture and pole offerings are a result of customer demand for more choice.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00267 JAN-78

DOCKET NO. 981567-EM DATE: January 7, 1999

The charge per fixture includes three components: a fixture charge, a maintenance charge, and an energy charge.

Pursuant to Section 366.04(2)(b), Florida Statutes, the Commission has authority over the rate structure of municipal electric utilities. Staff has reviewed the supporting cost data used to develop the fixture and pole charges, and believes that they are not unduly discriminatory. Staff recommends that the revised tariff be approved with an effective date of December 1, 1998.

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's order in this docket files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this docket should be closed. If a protest is timely filed, the tariff should remain in effect pending resolution of the protest.

STAFF ANALYSIS: If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's order in this docket files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this docket should be closed. If a protest is timely filed, the tariff should remain in effect pending resolution of the protest.