ORIGINAL

DOCKET NO.: 960444-WU - [Lake Utility Services, Inc.]

WITNESS: Direct Testimony Of Charleston J. Winston, Appearing On Behalf Of The Staff Of The Florida Public Service Commission. Division Of Auditing And Financial Analysis

DATE FILED: February 8, 1999

O 1630 FEB-8 #

FPSC+RECORDS/REPORTING

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CHARLESTON J. WINSTON

2 Q. Please state your name and business address.

1

- 3 A. My name is Charleston J. Winston and my business address is Hurston N.
- Tower, Suite N512, 400 W. Robinson Street, Orlando, FL 32801-1775.
- 5 Q. By whom are you presently employed and in what capacity?
- Analyst IV in the Division of Auditing and Financial Analysis.
- 8 Q. How long have you been employed by the Commission?
- 9 A. I have been employed by the Florida Public Service Commission since 10 January, 1986.
- 11 Q. Briefly review your educational and professional background.
- 12 A. I have a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting and Finance from the University of South Carolina.
- 14 Q. Please describe your current responsibilities.
- A. Currently, I am a Regulatory Analyst IV with the responsibilities of planning and directing audits of regulated companies, and assisting in audits of affiliated transactions. I am also responsible for creating audit work programs to meet a specific audit purpose and I have specific authority to direct and control assigned staff work as well as participate as a staff auditor and audit manager.
- 21 Q. Have you presented expert testimony before this Commission or any other regulatory agency?
- A. Yes. I testified in the Southern States Utilities, Inc., rate case,
 Docket No. 950495-WS and the United Telephone Company rate case, Docket
 No. 910980-TC.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony today?

- A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor specific findings in the staff audit report of Lake Utility Services, Inc., Docket No. 960444-WU. I am sponsoring Audit Exceptions 1, 10, 11, and 12. These findings are filed with my testimony and are identified as CJW-1.
- Q. Please review the audit exceptions you are sponsoring.
 - A. Audit Exception No. 1 addresses the utility's books and records. Commission Rule 25-30.115(1), Florida Administrative Code, requires that water and wastewater utilities shall maintain accounts and records in conformity with the NARUC Uniform Systems of Accounts (USOA) adopted by the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners. The USOA, Accounting Instructions 2.A., requires:

Each utility shall keep its books of account, and all other books, records, and memoranda which support the entries in such books of account so as to be able to furnish readily full information as to any item included in any account. Each entry shall be supported by such detailed information as will permit a ready identification, analysis, and verification of all facts relevant thereto.

Also, Commission rule 25-30.450, Florida Administrative Code, states:

The work sheets, etc., supporting the schedules and data submitted must be organized in a systematic and rational manner so as to enable Commission personnel to verify the schedules in an expedient manner and minimum amount of time.

I believe that Lake Utility Services, Inc.'s books and records are

in violation of the above NARUC Instruction and Commission Rule. The books, records, and Minimum Filing Requirements (MFRs) of the utility did not enable Commission personnel to verify the schedules in an expedient manner and with the minimum amount of time. The following specific items were found to be in violation of the above rules:

- Accumulated depreciation at December 31, 1994, Schedule A-9 of the MFRs, is not in agreement with the general ledger.
- Some plant-in-service items are not supported by proper documentation, invoices, canceled checks, etc.
- The utility is not properly recording its CIAC and advances for construction. There are no ledgers for advances other than the developer/purchase agreements.
 - Revenues were misstated in the MFRs due to misclassifications.

Audit Exception No. 10 addresses Allowance for Funds Prudently Invested (AFPI) revenues. The utility included \$32,912 in AFPI revenues in its miscellaneous revenues. A breakdown of this amount, by system. is shown below:

<u>System Number</u>	<u>System Name</u>	<u>Amount</u>
0661	Crescent Bay	\$1,839
0666	Preston Cove	12.800
0667	South Clermont Region	<u>18,273</u>
	Total:	\$32,912

AFPI revenues should not be recorded in revenues for ratemaking purposes. Therefore, I recommend that miscellaneous revenues be reduced by \$32,912.

Audit Exception No. 11 addresses deposit interest. In the MFRs, the Cost of Capital Schedule includes a cost rate of eight percent for customer deposits. However, in the company's billing registers, the interest paid on customer deposits was six percent. In addition, Commission rule 25-30.311(4), Florida Administrative Code, requires six percent. Therefore, I recommend that the customer deposit rate used in the MFRs should be reduced to six percent.

Audit Exception No. 12 addresses CIAC and advances. The utility's MFRs included the following amounts for 1995:

CIAC \$1,058,113

CIAC Accumulated Amortization \$ 126,729

CIAC Expense \$ 34,599

Advances \$

The audit staff found several recording errors, misclassifications, and unrecorded advances by developers. Therefore, I recommend that CIAC be increased by \$188,478 and advances be increased by \$405,520. The utility also used a composite amortization rate of 3.1%. To be consistent with the auditors' recalculation of accumulated depreciation I used a composite amortization rate of 2.7% for 1995 and 2.5% for all other years. Based on this adjustment and the previous adjustment, I recommend that accumulated amortization be increased by \$8,673 and that CIAC amortization expense be decreased by \$6.258.

- Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

EXHIBIT NO.:

WITNESS: CHARLESTON J. WINSTON

DOCKET NO.: 960444-WU

Application for rate increase by

LAKE UTILITY SERVICES, INC.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DESCRIPTION:

Exhibit CJW-1: Audit Exceptions 1, 10, 11 and 12 of Staff Audit Reports

SUBJECT: COMPANY'S BOOKS AND RECORDS

FACTS: Per NARUC, National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, Accounting Instructions 2.A.,

Each utility shall keep its books of account, and all other books, records, and memoranda which support the entries in such books of account so as to be able to furnish readily full information as to any item included in any account. Each entry shall be supported by such detailed information as will permit a ready identification, analysis, and verification of all facts relevant thereto.

Per Commission Rule 25-30.450,

The work sheets, etc. supporting the schedules and data submitted must be organized in a systematic and rational manner so as to enable Commission personnel to verify the schedules in an expedient manner and minimum amount of time.

OPINION AND RECOMMENDATION: Lake Utility Services, Inc.'s books and records are in violation of the above NARUC and Commission Rule. The books, records, and MFRs of the company did not enable Commission personnel to verify the schedules in an expedient manner and with the minimum amount of time. The following specific items were found to be in violation of the above rules:

- 1. Accumulated depreciation at December 31, 1994, Schedule A-9 of the MFRs, is not in agreement with the general ledger.
- 2. Some plant-in-service are not supported by proper documentation, invoices, cancelled checks, etc.
- 3. The company is not properly recording its CIAC and advances for construction. There are no ledgers for advances other than the developer/purchase agreements. See Audit Exception Number 12.

AUDIT EXCEPTION NUMBER 1 (cont'd.)

4. Revenues were misstated in the MFRs due to misclassifications. See Audit Exception Number 10.

The Commission should require the company to maintain its books and records per NARUC and Commission Rules.

SUBJECT: AFPI

FACTS: The company included \$32,912 in its MFRs as a portion of the miscellaneous revenues. A breakdown of the above amount by system is shown below:

System Number	System Name	Amount		
0661	Crescent Bay	\$ 1,839		
0666	Preston Cove	12,800		
0667	South Clermont Region	18,273		
	Total:	\$ 32,912		

OPINION AND RECOMMENDATION: The above amounts are for AFPI, Allowance for Funds Prudently Invested; therefore, they should not be recorded in revenues for ratemaking purposes. However, they are used to determined Regulatory Assessment Fees.

The company's miscellaneous revenues for the year ended 1995 should be reduced by \$32,912.

SUBJECT: DEPOSIT INTEREST

FACTS: Per the MFRs Cost of Capital Schedule a cost rate of eight percent was used for customer deposits.

In the company's billing registers the interest paid on customer deposits was six percent.

OPINION AND RECOMMENDATION: The customer deposits' rate used in the MFRs should be reduced to six percent.

SUBJECT: CIAC AND ADVANCES

FACTS: The company's MFRs included the following amounts for 1995:

CIAC	\$ 1,058,113
CIAC Accumulated Amortization	\$ 126,729
CIAC Expense	34,599
Advances	-0-

The company used a composite amortization rate of 3.1%.

OPINION AND RECOMMENDATION: Due to various recording errors, misclassifications, and unrecorded advances made by developers, the audit staff is recommending the following adjustments to the above amounts:

	Per Audit Per MFR 12/31/95 12/31/95		Audit <u>Adjustment</u>	
CIAC	\$ 1,246,591 S	\$ 1,058,113	\$ 188,478	
CIAC Acc. Amtz.	135,402	126,729	8,673	
CIAC Expense	28,341	34,599	(6,258)	
Advances	405,520	-0-	405,520	

For a breakdown of the above amounts refer to the attached schedule.

The audit staff used a composite amortization rate of 2.7% for 1995 and 2.5% for all other years.

Included in the above advances amount is \$35,000 that was misclassified as miscellaneous revenues in the MFRs. The above adjustments reclassified this amount to advances.

However, miscellaneous revenues should be reduced by \$35,000 and Regulatory Assessment Fees reduced by \$1,575 (35,000 x 4.5%). The company should file a Notice of Regulatory Assessment Fee Adjustment form with the Commission.

System	CIAC Per	CIAC Per	CIAC	CIAC Expense	Advances	Advances	Advances	Acc Amtz	Acc Amtz	Acc Amtz
&	Audit	Audit	Average	Per Audit	Per Audit	Per Audit	Average	Per Audit	Per Audit	Average
Number	1994	1995	Balance	1995	1994	1995	Balance	1994	1995	Balance
Clermont #0628	71,663	75,013	73,338	1,980	5,320	3,520	4,420	34,266	36,246	35,256
Amber Hill #0631	78,641	79,586	79,114	2,136	-	•	•	16,336	18,472	17,404
Highland Point #0632	61,150	61,850	61,500	1,661	11,850	11,750	11,800	12,251	13,911	13,081
The Oranges #0633	36,350	37,850	37,100	1,002	16,850	15,950	16,400	3,674	4,676	4,175
Lake Ridge Club #0634	16,250	22,350	19,300	521	65,200	61,600	63,400	704	1,225	965
The Vistas #0636	27,150	36,900	32,025	865		35,000	17,500	831	1,695	1,263
Crescent Bay #0661	53,515	58,115	55,815	1,507	125,350	121,050	123,200	5,190	6,697	5,944
Crescent West #0662	90,920	94,420	92,670	2,502		•	•	11,066	13,568	12,317
Four Lakes #0663	26,770	14,390	20,580	556				10,953	11,509	11,231
Lake Saunders #0664	50,213	50,913	50,563	1,365				6,712	8,077	7,395
Crescent Hills #0665	23,750	27,600	25,675	693				943	1,636	1,290
Preston Cove #0666	23,081	70,551	46,816	1,264	15,369		7,685	295	1,559	927
South Clermont #0667	293,260	617,053	455,157	12,289	107,050	156,650	131,850	3,842	16,131	9,987
Total:	852,713	1,246,591	1,049,652	28,341	346,989	405,520	376,255	107,063	135,402	121,233
- Per Company:	704,294	1,058,113	881,203	34,599	0	0	0	92,130	126,729	109,430
Difference:	148,419	188,478	168,449	(6,258)	346,989	405,520	376,255	14,933	8,673	11,803

Schedule of Water Rate Ease

Florida Public Service Commission

EXHIBIT I

Company: Lake Utility Services, Inc. Docket No.: 960444-WU Schedule Year Ended: 12/31/95 Interim [] Pinal DQ Historic DQ Projected [] Schedule A-1 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: MFK

Explanation: Provide the calculation of average rate base for the test year, showing all adjustments. All non-used and useful items should be reported as Plant Held For Pubure Use. If method other than formula approach (1/8 O&M) is used to determine working capital, provide additional schedule showing detail calculation.

	(U	(2) Balance	(3)	(4) Adjusted	(5)	(6) Test	n
Line No.	Description	Per Books YE 12/31/95	Utility Adjustments	Utility Balance YE 12/31/95	Year End 12/31/94	Year Average 12/31/95	Supporting Schedule(s)
ι	Utility Plant in Service	\$1,979,991	0	\$1,979,991	\$1,912,124	\$1,945,058	A-5
2	Utility Land & Land Rights	3,730	0	3,730	3,730	3,730	A-5
3	Less: Non-Used & Useful Plant	٥	(49,361)	(49,361)	0	(49,361)	A-7
4	Construction Work in Progress	0	0	0	o	0	-
5	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(157,183)	0	(157,183)	(106,325)	(131,754)	A-0
6	Less: CIAC	(1,058,113)	0	(1,058,113)	(704,294)	(881,203)	A-12
7	Accumulated Amortisation of CIAC	126,729	0	126,729	92,130	109,430	A-14
. 8	Acquisition Adjustments	(70, 169)	0	(70, 169)	(70, 169)	(70, 169)	•
9	Accum. Amort. of Acq. Adjustments	8,162	0	8,182	6,007	7,095	•
10	Advances For Construction	0	0	0	0	0	A-16
11	Positive Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	250,916	0	250.916	(17,633)	116,542	C-6
12	Working Capital Allowance	27,3 71	3,471	30.841	24,815	27,828	A-17
13	Total Rate Base	\$1,111,454	(945,890)	\$1,065,564	\$1,140,185	\$1,078,194	

EXHIBIT CJW - 1 (PAGE 8 OF 9)

Schedule of Water Net Operating Income

Florida Public Service Commission

Company: Lake Utility Services, Inc. Docket No.: 960444-WU

Schedule Year Ended: 12/31/95

Interim [] Pinal [X] Historic [X] Projected []

Schedule: B-1 Page 1 of 1 Preparer: MFK EXHIBIT II

Explanation: Provide the calculation of net operating income for the test year. If amortization (Line 4) is related to any amount other than an acquisition adjustment, submit an additional schedule showing a description and calculation of charge.

Line No.	(i) Description	(2) Udility Test Year 12/31/95	(3) Utility Test Year Adjustments	(4) Utility Adjusted Test Year 12/31/95	(5) Requested Revenue Adjustment	(6) Requested Annual Revenues	Supporting Schedule(a)
1	OPERATING REVENUES	\$339,294	(\$25,348)	#313,946	\$133,236	8447,182	B-3 & B-4
2	Operation & Maintenance	218,965	27,767	246,732	0	246,732	B-3 & B-5
3	Depreciation	64,177	(1,724)	62,453	0	62,453	B-3 & B-13
4	Acq. Adj. Amortization	(2,175)	0	(2,175)	0	(2,175)	
5	CIAC Amortization	(34,5 99)	0	(34,599)	0	(34,599)	B-3
6	Taxes Other Than income	35,332	2,256	37,588	5,996	43,583	B-3 & B-15
7	Provision for Income Taxes	9,066	(7,645)	1,421	19,353	20.774	B-3 & C-1
	OPERATING EXPENSES	290,766	20,653	311,419	25.348	336,768	
9	NET OPERATING INCOME	\$48,528	(\$46,001)	\$2,527	\$107,886	\$110,414	•
13	RATE BASE	\$1,078,194		\$1,078,194	•	\$1,078,194	
14	RATE OF RETURN	4.50%		0.23%		10.24%	

Schedule of Requested Cost of Capital Beginning and End of Year Average

Florida Public Service Commission

EXHIBIT III

Schedule: Utilities, Inc. (Parent Company)

Company: Lake Utility Services, inc.
Decket No.: 960444-WU
Test Year Ended: 12/31/95

Schedule: D-1 Page 1 of 2 Preparer: MFK

Test Year Ended: 12/31/95 Schedule Year Ended: 12/31/95 Batoric DQ Projected []

Subsidiary [X] or Consolidated []

Simple average capital structure.

Explanation: Provide a schedule which calculates the requested Cost of Capital on a beginning and end of year surage basis. If a year-end basis is used, submit an additional schedule reflecting year-end calculations.

		(I) Reconciled	(51)	(3)	(4)
line No.	Clase of Capital	To Requested Rate Base AYE 12/31/95	Ratio	Cost Rate	Weighted Cost
1	Long-Term Debt	\$ 503,195	46.67%	9.19%	4.29%
2	Short-Term Debt	91,427	8.48%	9.12%	0.77%
3	Preferred Stock	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
4	Customer Deposits	14,518	1.35%	8.00%	0.11%
5	Common Equity	469,055	43.50%	11.65%	5.07%
6	Tax Credits - Zero Cost	O ·	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
7	Tax Credits - Wid. Cost	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
8	Accum. Deferred Income Taxes	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
9	Other (Explain)	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
10	Total	\$1,078,194	100.00%		.10.24%

Supporting Schedules: D-2 Recap Schedules: A-1, A-2

Note: Leverage Formula: 9.05+(1.131/43.5%)