ORIGINAL

Ţ	BEFOR	L THE FLORIDA PU	BLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2			
3	In Re: Request for I	Review of Proposed	Docket 99-0223-TL
4	Numbering Plan Relief for the 941		
5	Area Code		
6		PRE-FILEI	O TESTIMONY
7		OF JAMES	R. EWING, ESQ.
8	Q	Please state your n	ame and the name and address of the group
9		that you represent.	
10	A.	James R. Ewing, Es	q, Southwest County Alliance of Homeowners
11		Associations, P.O. I	Box 263, Englewood, FL 34295-0263.
12	Q.	What is your posit	ion with the Southwest County Alliance of
13		Homeowners?	
14	A.	I am the Chairman.	
15	Q.	This Docket No. 9	9-0223-TL is before the Public Service
16		Commission to con	sider which portions of the current 941
17		Area Code service	area should retain the 941 designation in
18		light of the immine	ent area code split. The <u>941 NPA Exhaust</u>
19		Recommended Rel	ief Plan recommends that the Northwest
20		split combine Sara	sota County with Bartow, Bradenton,
21		Haines City, Lake	Wales, Lakeland, Palmetto, Venice and
22		Winter Haven, and	I that the 941 Area Code be retained for that
23		portion of the split	. The balance of the current 941 Area Code

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
03674 MAR 22 %
FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

i		service area, consisting of Arcadia, Avon Park, Bonita Springs,
2		Cape Coral, Fort Myers, Naples, North Naples, North Fort
3		Myers, Okeechobee, Port Charlotte and Sebring, the Southeast
4		split, would be given a new area code. According to the Relief
5		Plan, the exhaust period for the revised 941 Area Code service
6		area is predicted to be 5.2 years. A formal complaint has been
7		filed by Cellular One in Ft. Myers, contesting the decision to
8		retain the 941 Area Code in the Northwest split rather than in
9		the Southeast split. Does the Southwest County Alliance of
10		Homeowners Associations have any concerns about this
11		proposed split and the predicted exhaust period?
12	A.	Yes. There is no question that the present area will be split some
13		time later in 1999. The Association has been informed that it is
14		probable that further split(s) will be needed within approximately
15		three (3) years. Newly assigned area codes require that business
16		and professional establishments place the new code on letterheads,
17		business cards, brochures and other business forms. Therefore,
18		such a change can be expensive to these organizations, as well as
19		being burdensome to all persons affected. The fewer changes, the
20		better. Let us plan ahead and try to forestall a second split.
21	Q.	If the Commission were to consider alternatives to the
22		proposed Northwest/Southeast split, would you have any
23		recommendation?

Yes. The Association recommends a split of the current 941 Area 1 Α. Code into three or even four areas. The split, which I will discuss 2 in more detail, would solve the problem that has arisen about 3 dividing Englewood into two area codes and lengthen the exhaust 4 period. It is realized that the first, and current, suggested split is on 5 6 the basis of the service areas of GTE and Sprint. By using their 7 service areas as the basis for the split, the Englewood community and Charlotte County are split. Therefore, the Association 8 9 suggests the following split: (1) Manatee, Hardee and Polk 10 Counties; (2) Sarasota, Charlotte and DeSoto Counties; (3) Lee, 11 Collier and mainland Monroe Counties. This leaves Glades, 12 Hendry, Highlands and Okeechobee counties unassigned. They 13 could be left with area (3) as they now are, or be divided as eastern 14 extensions of each area (1), (2) and (3), 15 It is recognized that each of our proposed areas will encompass part of GTE and part of Sprint territory. However, it will solve the 16 17 proposed split of Englewood and of Charlotte County and will also 18 solve the problem of the objection by south-central Polk County 19 that it is to be separated from the major part of its county. Does this conclude your testimony? 20 Q. Yes, it does. 21 Α.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 99-0223-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by Federal Express or facsimile the <u>42</u> day of March, 1999 to the following:

Mr. Frank Heaton Cellular One 2100 Electronics Lane Fort Myers, FL 33912

Ms. Beverly Y. Menars c/o Ms. Margo B. Hammar GTE Florida Inc. 106 East College Ave. - Ste. 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7704

F.B. (Ben) Poag Sprint-Florida, Inc P.O. Box 2214 (MS FLTLH00107) Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

Marty Burton, Esq. Charlotte County Attorney's Office 18500 Murdock Circle Port Charlotte, FL 33948-1094

Kathleen F. Schneider, Esq.