



Public Service Commission

Florida

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: March 18, 1999

TO: William B. Graham
Phillip Carver

FROM: Beth Keating, Staff Counsel *BK*

RE: DOCKET NO. 990149-TP - PETITION BY MEDIAONE FLORIDA TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. FOR ARBITRATION OF AN INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. PURSUANT TO SECTION 252(B) OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996.

VIA FACSIMILE

Attached are the issues identified at the Issues Identification meeting on Wednesday. Please note that Issue 13 has been reworded. Upon review of the wording developed at the workshop, staff believes that this revised version of Issue 13 will more clearly and concisely identify the issue to be resolved by the Commission. Please contact me as soon as possible if you have a question or concern regarding this change.

- ACK _____
- AFA _____
- APP _____
- CAF _____
- CMU _____
- CTR _____
- EAG _____ BK/anr
- LEG _____
- LIN _____
- OPC _____
- RCH _____
- SEC 1
- WAS _____
- OTH _____

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03735 MAR 23 99

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

TENTATIVE LIST OF ISSUES

1. Should the audit provisions in the parties' Interconnection Agreement include auditing of services other than billing?
2. Should calls originated from or terminated to Internet Service Providers ("ISPs") be defined a "local traffic" for purposes of the MediaOne/BellSouth Interconnection Agreement?
3. Should calls that originate from or terminate to ISPs be included in the reciprocal compensation arrangements of the Interconnection Agreement?
4. What is the appropriate price for Calling Name ("CNAM") data base queries?
5. What is the appropriate manner for MediaOne to have access to network terminating wire ("NTW") in multiple dwelling units ("MDU")?
6. What is the appropriate demarcation point for BellSouth's network facilities serving multiple dwelling units?
7. What, if anything, should BellSouth be permitted to charge MediaOne for access to NTW?
8. How many call paths should BellSouth be required to provide to MediaOne, at no cost to MediaOne, for customers who are porting telephone numbers through interim number portability?
9. What rate, if any, should BellSouth be allowed to charge for additional call paths provided to MediaOne for customers who are porting telephone numbers through interim number portability?
10. In implementing Local Number Portability ("LNP"), should BellSouth and/or MediaOne be required to notify the Number Portability Administration Center ("NPAC") of the date upon which BellSouth will cut-over MediaOne customer numbers at the MediaOne requested time concurrent with BellSouth's return of a Firm Order Commitment ("FOC") to MediaOne?
11. Should BellSouth be required to provide a point of contact to intervene in the execution of LNP orders when changes or supplements are necessary for customer-related reasons, and, if so, what charge, if any, should apply?
12. What, if any, performance measurements are appropriate with respect to the provision of stand-alone LNP for MediaOne?
13. Should the Florida Public Service Commission arbitrate performance incentive payments and/or liquidated damages for purposes of the MediaOne/BellSouth Interconnection Agreement? If so, what performance incentive payments and/or liquidated damage amounts are appropriate, and in what circumstances?