FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

VOTE SHEET

MAY 18, 1999

RE: DOCKET NO. 981345-TL - Investigation into telephone exchange boundary issues in South Brevard County.

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission approve the Memorandum of Understanding between the parties? <u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. The proposal identified as the Memorandum of Understanding between the parties should be approved to resolve the

telephone boundary concerns in South Brevard County.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should the Commission require the companies to survey the affected customers to determine if they are in favor of the creation of the new exchange?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, the Commission should require the companies to survey the customers that would be served from the newly created exchange to determine if they are in favor of the plan, including the change to their area code. The survey should be conducted within 45 days from the date that the Order from this recommendation becomes final. The ballot should advise the

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY
Jusan I Clark
Loe Jarcia
MAMA

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

DISSENTING

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

VOTE SHEET MAY 18, 1999 DOCKET NO. 981345-TL - Investigation into telephone exchange boundary issues in South Brevard County.

(Continued from previous page)

subscribers that their seven-digit telephone number would not change, that their calling scope and exchange rates would not change, but that their area code would change from 561 to 321. The survey letter and ballot should be submitted to staff for review prior to distribution to the affected customers.

In order for the survey to pass, the Commission should require that at least 50 percent of the subscribers balloted must respond, and of those responding, at least 60 percent must vote in favor of the boundary change.

50



approved w/ noted modification

<u>Issue 3</u>: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. With approval of staff's recommendation in Issues 1 and 2, this docket should remain open pending the outcome of the Subscriber Survey results.

APPROVED