

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Initiation of show cause proceedings against Hasan Akhtar for apparent violation of Rule 25-24.515, F.A.C., Pay Telephone Service.

DOCKET NO. 990736-TC
ORDER NO. PSC-99-1394-SC-TC
ISSUED: July 20, 1999

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

JOE GARCIA, Chairman
J. TERRY DEASON
SUSAN F. CLARK
JULIA L. JOHNSON
E. LEON JACOBS, JR.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

BY THE COMMISSION:

Hasan Akhtar, is a provider of pay telephone service, certificate number 3251. This certificate was issued on February 12, 1993. This Commission is unable to determine the number of pay telephones operated by Mr. Akhtar. As a provider of pay telephone service in Florida, Hasan Akhtar is subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission.

During routine service evaluations performed by Public Service Commission staff on February 23, 1999, apparent violations of the Commission Pay Telephone Rules were observed, and Mr. Akhtar was notified of those violations. On March 29, 1999, Mr. Akhtar advised the Commission that all apparent violations were corrected. On April 30, 1999, Commission staff reevaluated the pay telephone station in question and identified the same apparent violations.

Rule 25-24.515, Florida Administrative Code, sets forth the requirements for pay telephone service in Florida. The portions of this rule which were apparently violated by Hasan Akhtar and specific instances of violations are as follows:

(9) Except as provided in paragraph (9)(c), each pay telephone station shall be equipped with a legible sign, card, or plate of reasonable permanence which shall identify the following:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08576 JUL 20 99

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

(a) The telephone number and location address of the pay telephone station, name and certificate number of the certificate holder, the party responsible for repairs and refunds, address of responsible party, free phone number of responsible party, clear dialing instructions (including notice of the lack of availability of local or toll service), and the local coin rate.

On pay telephone station number 954-563-9580, the evaluation disclosed that the correct address of the location was not listed and, also, the local coin rate was not posted.

Pursuant to Section 364.285, Florida Statutes, we are authorized to impose upon any entity subject to our jurisdiction a penalty of not more than \$25,000 for each day a violation continues, if such entity is found to have refused to comply with, or to have willfully violated any lawful rule or order of the commission, or any provision of Chapter 364, Florida Statutes. Utilities are charged with knowledge of the Commission's rules and statutes. Additionally, "[i]t is a common maxim, familiar to all minds, that 'ignorance of the law' will not excuse any person, either civilly or criminally," Barlow v. United States, 32 U.S. 404, 411 (1833).

We believe that Hasan Akhtar's apparent conduct in failure to post the required information on his telephone pay stations has been "willful" in the sense intended by Section 364.285, Florida Statutes. In Order No. 24306, issued April 1, 1991, in Docket No. 890216-TL titled In re: Investigation into the Proper Application of Rule 25-14.003, Florida Administrative Code, Relating to Tax Savings Refund for 1988 and 1989 For GTE Florida, Inc., having found that the company had not intended to violate the rule, the Commission nevertheless found it appropriate to order it to show cause why it should not be fined, stating that "In our view, willful implies intent to do an act, and this is distinct from intent to violate a rule." Thus, any intentional act, such as Hasan Akhtar's conduct at issue here, would meet the standard for a "willful violation."

Hasan Akhtar shall have 21 days from the date of this order to respond in writing why he should not be fined \$200 for apparent

ORDER NO. PSC-99-1394-SC-TC
DOCKET NO. 990736-TC
PAGE 3

violation of Rule 25-24.515(9)(a), Florida Administrative Code, or why certificate number 3251 should not be canceled if the fine is not submitted within five business days after the Order is issued. If Hasan Akhtar timely responds to the show cause order, this docket shall remain open, pending resolution of the show cause proceeding. If Hasan Akhtar does not respond to the Commission's Order to Show Cause, the fine shall be deemed assessed. If Hasan Akhtar fails to respond to the Order to Show Cause, and the fine is not received within five business days after the expiration of the show cause response period, certificate number 3251, issued to Hasan Akhtar, shall be canceled and this docket closed.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Hasan Akhtar shall show cause in writing within 21 days of the date of this Order why he should not be fined \$200 for apparent violation of Rule 25-24.515(9)(a), Florida Administrative Code, or have his certificate canceled if the fine is not submitted within five business days after the Order is issued. It is further


ORDERED that any response to the Order to Show Cause filed by Hasan Akhtar shall contain specific allegations of fact and law. It is further

ORDERED that failure to respond to this Order to Show Cause in the manner and by the date set forth in the "Notice of Further Proceedings or Judicial Review," attached hereto, shall constitute an admission of the violations described in the body of this Order, as well as a waiver of right to a hearing, and will result in the automatic assessment of the appropriate fine and cancellation of certificate. It is further

ORDERED that in the event Hasan Akhtar fails to respond to this Order and the fine is not received within five business days after the expiration of the show cause response period, certificate 3251 shall be canceled and this docket closed administratively.

ORDER NO. PSC-99-1394-SC-TC
DOCKET NO. 990736-TC
PAGE 4

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 20th
day of July, 1999.



BLANCA S. BAYÓ, Director
Division of Records and Reporting

(S E A L)

CLF

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

This order is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by this show cause order may file a response within 21 days of issuance of the show cause order as set forth herein. This response must be received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on August 10, 1999.

ORDER NO. PSC-99-1394-SC-TC
DOCKET NO. 990736-TC
PAGE 5

Failure to respond within the time set forth above shall constitute an admission of all facts and a waiver of the right to a hearing and a default pursuant to Rule 28-106.111(4), Florida Administrative Code. Such default shall be effective on the day subsequent to the above date.

If an adversely affected person fails to respond to this order within the time prescribed above, that party may request judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of any electric, gas or telephone utility or by the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.