

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

RECEIVED PSC
AUG -5 AM 11:31
RECORDS AND REPORTING

DATE: AUGUST 5, 1999

TO: DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYÓ)

FROM: DIVISION OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS (ISLER) *Dix*
DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (K. PEÑA) *vmf BR fd Cs*

RE: DOCKET NO. 990433-TC - CANCELLATION BY FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF PATS CERTIFICATE NO. 5835 ISSUED TO TIMOTHY L. VETROMILE, FOR VIOLATION OF RULE 25-4.0161, F.A.C., REGULATORY ASSESSMENT FEES; TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES.

AGENDA: 08/17/99 - REGULAR AGENDA - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION - INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\CMU\WP\990433.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

- **06/05/98** - Timothy L. Vetromile obtained PATS Certificate No. 5835.
- **12/10/98** - The Division of Administration mailed the regulatory assessment fee (RAF) notice.
- **02/01/99** - Payment was due. The Division of Administration's records show that this company had not paid its 1998 RAF, plus statutory penalty and interest charges for 1998.
- **03/12/99** - The Division of Administration mailed a delinquent notice to the company.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

09316 AUG-5 99

PSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

- **03/29/99** - The company responded to the delinquent letter, and stated that the Commission had been notified by letter in October 1998 which requested cancellation of its certificate.
- **04/09/99** - Staff wrote the company and explained that as long as regulatory assessment fees are outstanding, staff could not recommend a voluntary cancellation.

Staff believes the following recommendations are appropriate.

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission grant Timothy L. Vetromile a voluntary cancellation of PATS Certificate No. 5835?

RECOMMENDATION: No. The Commission should not grant the company a voluntary cancellation of its PATS certificate. The Commission should cancel the company's certificate on its own motion. (Isler)

STAFF ANALYSIS: After staff received a letter from this company which advised that it was no longer in the pay telephone business and stated it had notified the Commission in October 1998 to cancel its certificate, staff wrote the company on April 9, 1999, and advised that since the regulatory assessment fees and statutory penalty and interest charges were outstanding, staff could not recommend approval of a voluntary cancellation. Staff has no record of receiving a request for cancellation in 1998 from this company.

Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, requires the payment of regulatory assessment fees by January 30, or the next business day, of the subsequent year for telecommunications companies, and provides for penalties and interest as outlined in Section 350.113, Florida Statutes, for any delinquent amounts.

As of July 27, 1999, the Division of Administration's records do not show payment of the past due amount. Accordingly, the Commission should not grant the company a voluntary cancellation of its certificate. The Commission should cancel the company's certificate on its own motion.

DOCKET NO. 990433-10
DATE: AUGUST 5, 1999

ISSUE 2: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes, this docket should be closed upon issuance of a Consummating Order unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of issuance of the proposed agency action order. (K. Peña)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Whether staff's recommendation on Issue 1 is approved or denied, the result will be a proposed agency action order. If no timely protest to the proposed agency action is filed within 21 days of the date of issuance of the Order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.