Printed by Kay Flynn 9/13/99 7:38am	
rom: Todd Brown o: Linda Williams ubject: fwd: 991320-TP	
==NOTE=========9/10/99==9:41am====================================	
Please revise the title on the docket referenced above to reflect that it is a doption instead of a request for approval of agreement.	
he revised title appears below:	
Obtice by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. of adoption of an approved interconnection, unbundling and resale agreement between BellSouth and imerican Communication Services of Jacksonville, Inc. (ACSI) d/b/a e.spire Communications, Inc. by Light Networks, Inc.	
hanks for your assistance.	
'wd=by:=Kay=Flynn=====9/10/99=12:39pm====================================	
Codd, Linda pulled this filingwe weren't able to find a specific request from BellSouth concerning adoption of an approved agreement. Can you tell mewhere to look in the agreement for it? (They usually state it in the cover setter, but we didn't see it there.) Kay	
wd=by:=Todd=Brown====9/10/99==2:08pm====================================	
cellSouth did not specifically make reference to the fact that this was an adoption. In this case I looked to the fact that that Exhibit 1 contains the cellSouth/ACSI d/b/a e.spire agreement and its amendments. I also looked to the Attachment to Transmittal Letter/Adoption Papers, pg. 2, para. 3, which states that the effective date is 7/25/96, as contained in Article XVII of the e.spire Interconnection Agreement.	AFAAPPCAFCMUCTREAG
sellSouth has done the same thing on several other occassions. Typically, we have had to look through the first few pages following the cover letter to make a determination on what they were actually trying to do. Hope this selps.	MAS OPC PAI
wd=by:=Kay=Flynn=====9/10/99==2:19pm====================================	SEC WAW
know you've had us change titles before, and I haven't asked about them intil now. Wouldn't it be appropriate for Bell to submit a letter clarifying their intent?	
wd=by:=Todd=Brown====9/10/99==2:54pm====================================	
We have previously asked that Bell state specifically what action they are requesting when filing. Most of the time Bell is pretty good about doing this in the notices/cover letters that they submit. As stated previously, every now and then they slip up. On the rare occassions that they do, it is usually pretty obvious to us what their intent is based on the documentation and the attachments included.	
t should be noted that this case is the exception, and not the rule.	
'wd=by:=Kay=Flynn=================================	
Ve'll change the title based on your e-mail.	-DATE

10924 SEP 10 8