JAMES S. ALVES BRIAN H. BIBEAU RICHARD S. BRIGHTMAN KEVIN B. COVINGTON PETER C. CUNNINGHAM RALPH A. DEMEO RANDOLPH M. GIDDINGS WILLIAM H. GREEN WADE L. HOPPING GARY K. HUNTER, JR. JONATHAN T. JOHNSON ROBERT A. MANNING FRANK E. MATTHEWS RICHARD D. MELSON ANGELA R. MORRISON GABRIEL E. NIETO ERIC T. OLSEN

HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS 123 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET POST OFFICE BOX 6526 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32314 (850) 222-7500 FAX (850) 224-8551 FAX (850) 425-3415 Writer's Direct Dial No.

(904) 425-2313

September 22, 1999

ORIGINAL

GARY V. PERKO MICHAEL P. PETROVICH DAVID L. POWELL WILLIAM D. PRESTON CAROLYN S. RAEPPLE DOUGLAS S. ROBERTS GARY P. SAMS TIMOTHY G. SCHOENWALDER ROBERT P. SMITH DAN R. STENGLE CHERYL G. STUART W. STEVE SYKES T. KENT WETHERELL, II

OF COUNSEL Elizabeth C. Bowman

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 980435-TI

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of MCI Telecommunications Corporation are the original and fifteen copies of its Offer of Settlement.

By copy of this letter, these documents are being furnished to the parties on the attached service list.

Very truly yours,

The O.M

Richard D. Melson

RDM/kco Enclos			
AFA Reil A	arties of R	ecord	
CTR			
MAS <u>3</u> OPC			
WAW TIPORT	REC	EIVED & FIL	ED
		DUDEALL	OF RECORDS
	FPS	C-BUREAU	

DOCUMENT BUMPER-DATE

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

In re: Initiation of show cause proceedings against MCI Telecommunications Corporation for) charging FCC universal service assessments on intrastate toll calls.

Docket No. 980435-TI Filed: September 22, 1999

MCI'S OFFER OF SETTLEMENT

WHEREAS, by Order No. PSC-98-0681-SC-TI, issued May 18, 1998, the Commission ordered MCI Telecommunications Corporation (MCI) to show cause in writing why it should not cease to charge FCC universal service assessments on intrastate toll calls and make appropriate refunds, with interest, to its customers; and

WHEREAS, MCI's position is that it lawfully collected its Federal Universal Service Fee (FUSF) and National Access Fee (NAF) pursuant to a federal tariff from interstate customers based on a percentage of the customer's total usage for international, interstate and intrastate usage; and

WHEREAS, prior to the hearing in this case, MCI ceased collecting FUSF and NAF on a basis that included intrastate revenues; and

WHEREAS, after a formal hearing on March 3, 1999, the Commission made a bench decision in which it rejected MCI's position that the charges were lawfully collected pursuant to a federal tariff and required MCI to refund, with interest, the portion of the FUSF and NAF charges which were based on intrastate revenues; and

> 1405 SEP 22 8 FRSCHREDORDS/REPORTING

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

-1-

WHEREAS, that bench decision was reduced to writing in Order No. PSC-99-0613-FOF-TI, issued April 2, 1999 (the "Refund Order"); and

,

WHEREAS, on March 5, 1999, the Federal Communications Commission adopted a decision in a complaint proceeding brought by the Virginia State Corporation Commission (VSCC) challenging the legality of the same recovery methodology that was at issue in these proceedings in which the FCC held that MCI's recovery methodology did not violate the FCC's Universal Service Order; and

WHEREAS, on March 22, 1999, that FCC decision was reduced to writing in a Memorandum Opinion and Order (the FCC Order); and

WHEREAS, on April 16, 1999, MCI filed its Petition for Reconsideration of the Commission's Refund Order based on the FCC Order; and

WHEREAS, the Petition for Reconsideration requested that the Commission withdraw the Refund Order and enter a new order dismissing the underlying show cause proceeding on the grounds that MCI's FUSF and NAF charges were charges for interstate services over which the Commission has no jurisdiction or, in the alternative, vacate the portion of the Refund Order which required refunds on the grounds that in collecting the charges, MCI acted in reliance on a reasonable interpretation of the FCC's Universal Service Order; and

WHEREAS, the VSCC appealed the FCC Order to the 4th Circuit Court of Appeals, which recently remanded the case to the FCC for

-2-

further consideration in light of the 5th Circuit's decision on universal service funding in <u>Texas Office of Public Utility</u> <u>Counsel v. Federal Communications Commission</u>, ____ F.3d. ___ (5th Cir., July 30, 1999); and

WHEREAS, MCI desires to avoid the time and expense of further litigation and appellate proceedings, and to that end has negotiated with the staff of the Commission in an effort to reach a mutually agreeable resolution of the matters at issue in this case.

NOW, THEREFORE, MCI makes the following Offer of Settlement:

1. MCI acknowledges that, regardless of its legal position, it should have recognized that the collection of the FUSF and NAF on a basis that included Florida intrastate revenues would be a matter of serious concern to the Commission and should have consulted with the staff of the Commission prior to filing a tariff for such charges.

2. MCI recognizes that its failure to consult with the Commission staff on this issue resulted in a significant expenditure of Commission and staff time and resources which possibly could have been avoided if MCI had consulted with the staff prior to making its final decision on a cost recovery methodology and on the appropriate jurisdiction in which to file a tariff for such charges.

3. MCI hereby apologizes to the Commission for the inconvenience that has resulted from its failure to consult in advance with the staff regarding this matter. MCI will make

-3-

efforts to ensure that such an oversight does not occur in the future.

4. In recognition of the Commission and staff resources which have been expended in the investigation and prosecution of the show cause proceeding in this docket, MCI offers to make a voluntary contribution of \$10,000 to the General Revenue Fund of the State of Florida. Upon payment of this contribution, MCI will have no further obligation to any party, governmental or private, relating to the charges at issue in this proceeding.

5. If the Commission finds that the acceptance of this Offer of Settlement is in the public interest, the Commission will enter an "Order Approving Settlement Offer" which approves and accepts this Offer of Settlement. This Offer of Settlement will be included as an attachment to that order, and incorporated therein by reference.

 MCI agrees not to appeal or institute other judicial proceedings relating to the final order referred to in Paragraph
5.

7. By making this Offer of Settlement, MCI does not admit to any violation of Florida statutes or Commission rules, does not waive its position that the FCC has exclusive jurisdiction over the charges at issue in this docket, and does not waive its right to assert the primacy of federal jurisdiction in any future case in which it believes such an assertion is appropriate.

8. By accepting this Offer of Settlement, the Commission does not waive its position that it has exclusive jurisdiction

-4-

over the charges at issue in this docket, and does not waive its right to assert the primacy of state jurisdiction in any future case in which it believes such an assertion is appropriate.

·, ·

9. If this Offer of Settlement is not accepted by the Commission in its entirety and without modification, it shall have no further force and effect and shall not be admissible in any further proceedings in this docket, any appeal or other judicial proceedings related to the final order in this docket, or any future judicial or regulatory proceedings.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 22nd day of September, 1999.

HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH, P.A.

By: Nev DI

Richard D. Melson P.O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, FL 32314 (850) 425-2313

DONNA McNULTY MCI WorldCom, Inc. 325 John Knox Road, The Atrium, Suite 105 Tallahassee, FL 32303

ADAM CHARNES MCI WorldCom, Inc. 1133 19th Street NW Washington, DC 20036

MARY L. BROWN MCI WorldCom, Inc. 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20006

Attorneys for MCI Telecommunications Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing was furnished to the following parties by U.S. Mail or Hand Delivery (*) this 22nd day of September, 1999.

Catherine Bedell (*) Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399

* * *

Richard Bellak (*) Division of Appeals Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399

pies O. M

Attorney