

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition for Determination)
of Need for an Electrical Power) DOCKET NO. 991462-EU
Plant in Okeechobee County) FILED: Oct. 25, 1999
by Okeechobee Generating)
Company, L.L.C.)
_____)

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

RONALD L. VADEN

ON BEHALF OF

OKEECHOBEE GENERATING COMPANY, L.L.C.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
13118 OCT 25 1999
FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: PETITION FOR DETERMINATION OF NEED FOR THE
OKEECHOBEE GENERATING PROJECT, FPSC DOCKET NO. 991462-EU

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RONALD L. VADEN

1 Q: Please state your name and business address.

2 A: My name is Ronald L. Vaden, and my business address is
3 Utilities Commission, City of New Smyrna Beach, 200 Canal
4 Street, New Smyrna Beach, Florida 32168.

5

6 Q: By whom are you employed and in what position?

7 A: I am employed as Utilities Director by the Utilities
8 Commission, City of New Smyrna Beach, Florida.

9

10 Q: Please describe your duties with the Utilities
11 Commission, City of New Smyrna Beach, Florida.

12 A: As Utilities Director of the Utilities Commission, City
13 of New Smyrna Beach ("UCNSB"), my responsibilities
14 include the general administration of the combined
15 electric, water, wastewater and reuse water systems, as
16 well as Internet access services provided by the UCNSB.
17 More specifically, my duties involve the presentation of
18 budgets, rates, tariffs, rules, regulations, long and
19 short range plans, financing and capital improvements,

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RONALD L. VADEN

1 staffing, consulting services and related items requiring
2 UCNSB action.

3

4

QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE

5 **Q: Please summarize your educational background and**
6 **experience.**

7 **A: In January 1987, shortly after receiving my B.S.E.E.**
8 **degree from North Carolina State University, I was**
9 **employed by the UCNSB as an Electrical Engineer. In**
10 **January 1988, I was promoted to Electrical Engineer II,**
11 **and in October 1992, to Supervising Engineer, Electrical.**
12 **In December 1993, I was again promoted, this time to**
13 **Supervising Engineer, Power Supply and Planning. From**
14 **January to March 1996, I served as Assistant Director of**
15 **Utilities, and in March 1996, I assumed my present**
16 **position as Utilities Director.**

17

18 **Q: Have you previously testified before regulatory**
19 **authorities or courts?**

20 **A: Yes. I testified in Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**
21 **("FERC") Docket No. ER93-327-000, regarding Florida Power**

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RONALD L. VADEN

1 & Light Company; in Volusia County Circuit Court, in the
2 condemnation trial for Florida Power Corporation's
3 Smyrna-Cassadaga 115 kV transmission line; and before the
4 Florida Public Service Commission in Docket No. 981042-
5 EM, In Re: Joint Petition For Determination of Need for
6 an Electrical Power Plant in Volusia County by the
7 Utilities Commission, City of New Smyrna Beach, Florida,
8 and Duke Energy New Smyrna Beach Power Company Ltd.,
9 L.L.P.

11 PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY

12 Q: What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

13 A: The purpose of my testimony is to support the application
14 of Okeechobee Generating Company for a determination of
15 need for the Okeechobee Generating Project. In my role
16 as Utilities Director for the UCNSB, I am testifying as
17 a potential wholesale purchaser of the power generated by
18 the plant.

19
20 Q: Please summarize your understanding of the Okeechobee
21 Generating Project.

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RONALD L. VADEN

1 A: My understanding of the Okeechobee Generating Project
2 ("the Project") is that it will be a 550 megawatt
3 (nominal) gas-fired combined cycle power plant that will
4 be located near the City of Okeechobee, Florida. I
5 further understand that the Project is intended to be a
6 "merchant" power plant that will sell its output at
7 wholesale to other utilities and power marketers in
8 Peninsular Florida. Finally, I understand that the
9 Project will be interconnected to the Peninsular Florida
10 bulk transmission system by looping the 230 kilovolt
11 Sherman-to-Martin line of Florida Power & Light Company
12 ("FPL") into the switchyard of the Project.

13

14 **WHOLESALE COMPETITION AND BENEFITS OF MERCHANT PLANTS**

15 Q: Does the UCNSB support an active wholesale electricity
16 market? Why or why not?

17 A: Yes. The UCNSB supports an active wholesale electricity
18 market in Peninsular Florida because the presence of
19 numerous suppliers in the market will give the UCNSB, as
20 well as other retail-serving utilities, additional
21 options for meeting our customers' needs. An active,

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RONALD L. VADEN

1 competitive wholesale market will also tend to stabilize
2 and reduce wholesale prices, thus enabling us to reduce
3 our electric rates to our retail customers. It is my
4 opinion that without wholesale merchant power competitors
5 like Duke New Smyrna and Okeechobee Generating Company,
6 municipalities like New Smyrna Beach will continue to
7 suffer under artificially high, monopolistically-
8 controlled fuel and purchased power costs. The simple
9 truth is that the three investor-owned, retail-serving
10 utilities in Peninsular Florida effectively control the
11 price of wholesale power because they control the vast
12 majority of generation in Peninsular Florida. The IOUs'
13 plants are generally less efficient than the proposed
14 Duke and OGC merchant plants and their fuel costs tend to
15 be higher than that which the merchant plants are
16 projecting. Those higher costs are passed directly on to
17 UCNSB's ratepayers. While it is true that there is some
18 movement by the retail-serving investor-owned utilities
19 to more fully utilize natural gas, the change is modest
20 and probably will not have any price reduction impacts on
21 UCNSB's power supply costs in the foreseeable future. As

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RONALD L. VADEN

1 Utilities Director, I am obligated to contract for the
2 most reliable, least-cost power supply possible for the
3 citizens of New Smyrna Beach. True competition in the
4 wholesale power market is the only mechanism I know of to
5 reduce UCNSB's purchased power costs.

6

7 **Q: Does UCNSB have a projected need for electric capacity**
8 **and energy?**

9 **A:** Yes. The UCNSB needs approximately 69 MW to 74 MW of
10 firm electric generating capacity to serve our customers'
11 needs over the 2000-2002 period. Even after the New
12 Smyrna Beach Power Project comes on line in 2002, the
13 UCNSB will continue to be active in the wholesale power
14 market to obtain cost-effective wholesale power.

15

16 **Q: Is the proposed Okeechobee Generating Project the type of**
17 **facility that the UCNSB would consider utilizing to meet**
18 **a portion of its future power supply needs?**

19 **A:** Yes. The Okeechobee Generating Project is a highly
20 efficient and reliable generation resource, and the UCNSB

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RONALD L. VADEN

1 would welcome the opportunity to add the Project to its
2 supply side options.

3

4 **Q: How will the Project benefit the UCNSB's customers?**

5 **A:** By its presence in the Peninsular Florida market, the
6 Okeechobee Generating Project will benefit the UCNSB's
7 customers in at least two ways, lower power supply costs
8 (and thus lower retail rates) and enhanced reliability.

9

10 **Q: How will the Okeechobee Generating Project lower**
11 **electricity prices for UCNSB's customers?**

12 **A:** The presence and availability of the Okeechobee
13 Generating Project will result in lower retail electric
14 rates for the UCNSB's customers by reducing our wholesale
15 power supply costs. This will happen in one or both of
16 two ways: (1) wholesale competition resulting in lower
17 overall wholesale power costs in Peninsular Florida, and
18 (2) direct cost-effective purchases by the UCNSB from the
19 Project. As regards the first, the Project will put
20 competitive pressure on all wholesale power suppliers in
21 Peninsular Florida, thereby resulting in lower wholesale

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RONALD L. VADEN

1 prices than if the Project is not brought into service.
2 In other words, even if the UCNSB doesn't buy power from
3 the Project, we expect to pay less for wholesale power
4 just because the Project is operating in the Peninsular
5 Florida wholesale market.

6 As regards the second, when a purchase from the
7 Project is the most cost-effective alternative available
8 to the UCNSB, we would make the purchase, thereby
9 minimizing our power supply costs and our retail rates.

10

11 **Q: Will the Okeechobee Generating Project enhance the**
12 **reliability of electric service to UCNSB's customers?**

13 **A:** Yes. The presence and availability of the Okeechobee
14 Generating Project will enhance electric system
15 reliability by providing an additional cushion of
16 electric generating capacity that retail-serving
17 utilities in Peninsular Florida will be able to call upon
18 during times of need. Even if another utility actually
19 buys the power from the Okeechobee Generating Project,
20 the UCNSB will be able to buy power from other resources
21 that would otherwise be committed. In addition, existing

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RONALD L. VADEN

1 power plants will serve as a hedge against price spikes
2 in power emergencies. The Project will enhance the
3 reliability of the Peninsular Florida grid. It is common
4 knowledge that Peninsular Florida's electricity reserve
5 margins have declined dramatically over the last 10
6 years. In fact, the Public Service Commission is so
7 concerned about reserve margins that it opened up an
8 investigatory docket on that subject. As a net purchaser
9 of wholesale power, UCNSB shares this concern. For
10 example, we are concerned about how Peninsular Florida
11 will fare if there is another unusual weather event
12 during either peak summer or winter conditions or during
13 an off-peak period when plants are down for maintenance.
14 It is not uncommon for relatively extreme weather events
15 (cold fronts or heat waves) to occur in the early spring,
16 such as the one that necessitated a capacity alert in
17 April of 1999. The state doesn't have any experience
18 with sustained low reserve margins like we are currently
19 experiencing. Reliable, inexpensive wholesale power
20 will go a long way toward relieving Peninsular Florida's

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RONALD L. VADEN

1 reserve margin plight and can only benefit UCNSB's
2 customers.

3

4 Q: Are you concerned that the power from the Project will be
5 exported out of Florida, leaving it unavailable to
6 benefit the UCNSB?

7 A: No. In my opinion, and based on my experience, it is not
8 likely that power from the Project would be exported out
9 of Florida. In the first place, I believe that the
10 prospect of any merchant plant's exporting any
11 significant amount of power outside Florida is highly
12 unlikely, for several reasons. To transmit any
13 substantial amount of power out of Florida, such power
14 would, at a minimum, have to be wheeled over Florida
15 Power & Light Company's system. This would add wheeling
16 and ancillary services charges to the cost of the
17 electricity sold to Georgia. In addition, Florida
18 generators must incur higher fuel transportation costs
19 than their out-of-state competitors. There simply will
20 not be a market in Georgia for more expensive power than
21 Georgia's native utilities can generate domestically.

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RONALD L. VADEN

1 The reverse is also true: since wholesale power producers
2 can earn more for their power in Florida where
3 electricity costs and rates are higher, they are far more
4 likely to sell here in Florida. Finally, the Project's
5 location in South Florida makes the prospect of its
6 selling out-of-state even more unlikely.

7

8 **Q: Please comment on the status of wholesale competition in**
9 **the Peninsular Florida wholesale power market.**

10 **A:** While the Peninsular Florida wholesale power market is
11 technically a competitive market, actual competition is
12 very limited. For example, the UCNSB has been paying
13 approximately \$40 and \$25 per megawatt hour for power
14 purchased from Florida Power Corporation and Tampa
15 Electric Company, respectively, for wholesale power. Due
16 to delays in the permitting of the Duke New Smyrna Beach
17 Power Project, UCNSB has had to turn to the wholesale
18 power market and is projecting an increase in its
19 wholesale power costs of approximately 25 percent. This
20 price is substantially higher than it would have been if
21 there were additional wholesale suppliers such as the

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RONALD L. VADEN

1 Okeechobee Generating Project in the Peninsular Florida
2 wholesale power market.

3

4 Q: Will the presence of the Okeechobee Generating Project
5 and other merchant power plants enhance competition in
6 the Peninsular Florida wholesale power market?

7 A: Absolutely. As I previously testified, Florida is
8 essentially a "closed competition" state. By that, I
9 mean that the wholesale power market is controlled by the
10 three large retail-serving, investor-owned utilities thus
11 rendering wholesale power prices artificially high. Add
12 to that the fact that our generation reserve margins are
13 dangerously low, and you're left with what real estate
14 agents call a "sellers' market." Supplies are short and
15 demand is high and growing over time as the state's
16 population continues to explode. Without additional
17 supply side resources, especially resources like the Duke
18 New Smyrna and OGC merchant plants that are basically
19 free of long-term commitment from ratepayers, wholesale
20 power prices will continue to rise. Based on my
21 experience in the electric industry, I can testify that

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF RONALD L. VADEN

1 an increase in sources of supply over what would
2 otherwise be available will put downward pressure on
3 prices. That is simple economics, the law of supply and
4 demand. Additional merchant generation supply sources
5 can only benefit Peninsular Florida's retail customers
6 because of the price reduction effects those very
7 efficient plants will have on the wholesale power market
8 and because of the lack of long-term cost responsibility
9 for the plants. Merchant plants present Peninsular
10 Florida's ratepayers with a rare win-win situation and,
11 to date, I have heard no plausible argument why we, as a
12 state, should not take advantage of it.

13

14 **Q: Does this conclude your testimony?**

15 **A: Yes, it does.**