ORIGINAL TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 950379-EI FILED: 4/17/00

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	1	PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		CHARLES R. BLACK
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name, address, occupation and employer.
7		
8	A.	My name is Charles R. Black. My business address is 702
9		North Franklin Street, Tampa, Florida 33602. I am Vice
LO		President-Energy Supply, Engineering & Construction for
Ļ 1		Tampa Electric Company ("Tampa Electric" or "company").
.2		
13	Q.	Please provide a brief outline of your educational
L4		background and business experience.
15		
16	A.	I graduated from the University of South Florida in
۱7		August 1973 with a Bachelor of Science degree in
18		Engineering, majoring in Chemical Engineering. I am a
19		Registered Professional Engineer in the State of Florida.
20		I began my career with Tampa Electric in September 1973
21		as a staff engineer in the Production Department.
22		Between 1973 and 1989, I held various engineering and
23		management positions in the Production Department, Power
24		Plant Engineering Department, and the Budget Department.
25		In March of 1989, I joined our affi DOSUMENT COMPANY, TECO

FPSC-RECURDS/REPORTING

04672 APR 178

Power Services as Director Engineering and Construction.

In December of 1990, I was elected Vice President of Engineering and Construction. In December of 1991, I returned to Tampa Electric as Vice President of Project Management. In December 1996 I assumed the role of Vice President, Energy Supply and currently serve as Vice President, Energy Supply, Engineering & Construction.

Q. Have you previously testified before the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission")?

A. Yes. I testified in support of the prudence of Polk Unit One in Docket No. 960409-EI and in support of cost estimates associated with the proposed flue gas desulfurization system in Docket No. 980693-EI. I also testified in Docket No. 990001-EI regarding the Gannon Unit 6 accident.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to support the final capital cost of the Polk Power Station project included in rate base and confirm that this amount was within the amount identified in Order No. PSC-96-1300-EI ("Stipulation") dated October 24, 1996 as described by

Tampa Electric witness Barringer in his direct testimony.

Q. Have you prepared an exhibit in support of your testimony?

A. Yes. My exhibit (CRB-1) entitled "Polk Power Station Project Final Capital Cost Summary" was prepared under my direction and supervision.

10 Q. Please describe the Polk Power Station project.

A. The Polk Power Station project was established in 1994 to bring Polk Unit One into commercial operation. Polk Unit One is a 250 MW integrated coal-gasification combined cycle unit. The unit represents the largest commercial application of this coal-gasification technology in the world. Because of the developmental nature of this project, the U.S. Department of Energy awarded \$150 million of co-funding through a cooperative agreement.

Q. What was the actual final capital cost of the Polk Power Station project that was included in Tampa Electric's rate base?

A. The actual final cost of the Polk Power Station project that was placed in service and included in rate base was \$509.9 million, after recording normal accruals for completions and final billings.

5

6

1

2

3

Q. Has Tampa Electric properly reflected the amount of the Polk Power Station project in rate base as prescribed by the Stipulation?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

8

As described in Tampa Electric witness Barringer's Α. testimony, the Stipulation between the company, the Office of Public Counsel and the Florida Industrial Power Users Group required that the final capital cost for the Polk Power Station project to be included in rate base should not exceed \$506.165 million plus one percent. The final capital cost of \$509.9 million is within one percent ο£ the \$506.165 million prescribed bу the Stipulation. This amount represents the capital needed for the plant to become operational and \$18.9 million for land.

21

22

23

Q. What criteria does Tampa Electric use to determine that a unit is ready for commercial operation and when did Polk Power Station project meet this criteria?

25

A.	Tampa	Electric	places	a	project	in	service	when	it	is
٠	ready	to funct	ion as	an	integrat	ed	facility	. Th	e P	olk
	Power	Station	project	be	gan com	nerc	ial oper	ation	as	an
	integr	cated faci	lity on	Ser	otember 3	30,	1996.			

Q. Was the criteria used to place the Polk Power Station project into service consistent with the method Tampa Electric used to place other capital projects into service?

A. Yes.

Q. What is the source of the \$506.165 million estimate used in the Stipulation and what is the importance of this source?

A. Tampa Electric identified in Docket No. 960409-EI that the expected cost for the Polk Power Station project would be approximately \$506 million. This \$506 million estimate was important because it was the basis for the provision in the Stipulation that stated that the final capital cost for the Polk Power Station project included in rate base should not exceed \$506 million plus one percent.

	Q.	How did Tampa Electric's actual capital spending for the
2		Polk Power Station project compare to the estimate
3		provided in Docket No. 960409?
<u>.</u>		
5 1	Α.	As shown on my exhibit, the actual capital spending for
5		this project was within one percent of the \$506.165

million contemplated in Docket No. 960409 and agreed upon

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

in the Stipulation.

A. Yes, it does.

EXHIBIT NO. _____(CRB-1)
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 950379-EI
WITNESS: BLACK

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

EXHIBIT

OF

CHARLES R. BLACK

EXHIBIT NO. (CRB-1)
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 950379-EI
WITNESS: BLACK

INDEX

Document No.	Title	<u>Page</u>	
1	Polk Power Station Project Final Capital	1	
	Cost Summary		

EXHIBIT NO. (CRB-1)
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 950379-EI
WITNESS: BLACK
PAGE 1 OF 1

Polk Power Station Project Final Capital Cost Summary (Thousands)

			Actual			
	Docket No. 960409-El <u>Estimate</u>	<u>L50</u>	Land	<u>Total</u>		
1992	\$15,295	\$15,295	\$1,452	\$16,747		
1993	75,527	55,816	18,259	74,076		
1994	102,273	103,065	(793)	102,273		
1995	215,380	217,716	0	217,716		
1996	97,690	97,634	0	97,634		
1997	<u>0</u>	<u>1,464</u>	. <u>O</u>	<u>1,464</u>		
Total	\$506,165	\$490,990	\$18,919	\$509,909		