ORIGINAL

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

OCT 24 8

-RECORDS/REPORTING

669

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for Determination of Need of Hines Unit 2 Power Plant.

imation
)

ower Plant.
)

Docket No.:
001064-EI

)
Submitted for Filing: October 24, 2000

)
Particular Structure

)
Submitted for Filing: October 24, 2000

)
Particular Structure

)
Submitted for Filing: October 24, 2000

)
Particular Structure

)
Submitted for Filing: October 24, 2000

)
Particular Structure

)
Parti

Florida Power Corporation ("FPC" or the "Company"), through its undersigned attorneys and pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376 and Rule 25-22.058, F.A.C., requests oral argument on FPC's Motion for Reconsideration of the Prehearing Officer's Order Granting its Motion to Strike Staff's Preliminary Issue Number 6 and Denying its Motion to Strike the Direct Testimony of Billy R. Dickens before the full panel of the Commission at the outset of the final hearing in this proceeding scheduled for October 26-27, 2000 or, if need be, after the final hearing but before the Commission rules on FPC's petition in this proceeding, at some later, mutually agreeable

date and time.

APP

CAF CMP COM

CTR

OPC PAI BGC FPC believes that oral argument on its motion will assist the Commissioners in understanding the nature of the policy and jurisdictional issues raised by Staff's preliminary issue number 6 and Mr. Dickens' corresponding proposal such that the reasons for FPC's position that its Motion to Strike should have been granted in its entirety will become evident. Simply put, this issue and Mr. Dickens' corresponding proposal have nothing to do with the issues properly before the Commission in this proceeding. Further, the Prehearing Officer in her Order

overlooked the fact that Staff's issue 6 is a separate and distinct issue from the other issues in this proceeding, that Mr. Dickens offered testimony only on issue 6 and not on any other issue in this proceeding, and that to the extent Mr. Dickens addressed the issues in this proceeding in his

C.BUREAU OF RECORDS

deposition he agreed with FPC that its proposed Hines 2 power plant was the most cost-effective alternative available to FPC to meet its reliability need and that FPC had met all the requirements of Section 403.519, Florida Statutes necessary for the Commission to grant FPC's petition for a determination of need with respect to its Hines 2 plant. For all of these reasons, FPC believes that oral argument before the Commission panel would assist the Commissioners and is warranted.

Respectfully submitted this <u>24th</u> of October, 2000.

Gary L. Sasso

J. Michael Walls Jill H. Bowman **Carlton Fields** P. O. Box 2861 St. Petersburg, Florida 33731-2861 Telephone: (727) 821-7000 Facsimile: (727) 822-3768

and

Robert A. Glenn Director, Regulatory Counsel Group Florida Power Corporation P.O. Box 2861 St. Petersburg, FL 33731 Telephone: (727) 820-5184 Facsimile: (727) 820-5519

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by facsimile and U.S. Mail to Deborah Hart, Esq., as counsel for the Public Service Commission, and by U.S. Mail to all other interested parties of record as listed below on this day of October, 2000.

ttorney

PARTIES OF RECORD:

Deborah Hart, Esq. Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Myron Rollins Black & Veatch P.O. Box 8405 Kansas City, MO 64114 Buck Oven Siting Coordination Office Department of Environmental Protection 2600 Blairstone Road Tallahassee, FL 32301

Paul Darst Strategic Planning Department of Community Affairs 2740 Centerview Drive Tallahassee, FL 32399-2100