#### VOTE SHEET

#### AUGUST 14, 2001

RE: Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of pay telephone certificates for violation of Rules 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies, and 25-24.520, F.A.C., Reporting Requirements.

DOCKET NO. 010594-TC - Lukas Botha

DOCKET NO. 010596-TC - JASZ Communications Inc.

DOCKET NO. 010600-TC - Zev Inc. d/b/a World Wide Telecommunications

DOCKET NO. 010602-TC - Suleiman Inc.

DOCKET NO. 010609-TC - Florida Equipment Management, Inc.

DOCKET NO. 010619-TC - SoTel Communications, Inc.

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission impose a \$500 fine or cancel each telecommunications company's respective certificate as listed on Attachment A of staff's August 2, 2001 memorandum for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Commission should impose a \$500 fine or cancel each company's respective certificate as listed on Attachment A if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

### COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY .	DISSENTING
Anilad A Palet	
Slat. John	
an Jema Desar	,
New more	
1, 00 4 7	

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

09943 AUG 15 =

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

VOTE SHEET AUGUST 14, 2001

Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of pay telephone certificates for violation of Rules 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies, and 25-24.520, F.A.C., Reporting Requirements.

(Continued from previous page)

Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received, the certificate numbers listed on Attachment A should be canceled administratively and the collection of the past due fees should be referred to the Office of the Comptroller for further collection efforts.

# **APPROVED**

<u>ISSUE 2</u>: Should the Commission impose a \$500 fine or cancel each telecommunications company's respective certificate as listed on Attachment A for apparent violation of Rule 25-24.520, Florida Administrative Code, Reporting Requirements?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Commission should impose a \$500 fine or cancel each company's respective certificate as listed on Attachment A if the information required by Rule 25-24.520, F.A.C., and fine are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and required information are not received, the certificate numbers listed on Attachment A should be canceled administratively.

# APPROVED

VOTE SHEET
AUGUST 14, 2001
Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of pay telephone certificates for violation of Rules 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory
Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies, and 25-24.520, F.A.C., Reporting Requirements.

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 3: Should these dockets be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Order issued from this recommendation will become final upon issuance of a Consummating Order, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the Proposed Agency Action Order. The dockets should then be closed upon receipt of the fines, fees, and required information or cancellation of the certificate. A protest in one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final.

### **APPROVED**