ANDREWS & KURTH L.L.P.

ATTORNEYS

HOUSTON WASHINGTON, D.C. DALIAS LOS ANGELES NEW YORK THE WOODLANDS LONDON 1701 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, D.C 20006.5805



TELEPHONE 202.662 2700 FACSIMILE: 202 662 2739

MARK F. SUNDBACK DIRECT 202 662.2755

Email address MSUNDBACK@AKLLP.COM@AKLLP.COM

December 6, 2001

Via Federal Express

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850



Re: Review of Florida Power & Light Company's proposed merger with Entergy Corporation, the formation of a Florida transmission company ("Florida Transco"), and their effects on retail rates, Docket No. 001148-EI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing are the original and fifteen (15) copies of the Answer of South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Association, to Florida Power & Light's Motion to Strike Answer of South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Association To Motion for Reconsideration of Order Establishing Procedure in the above referenced docket. Also enclosed is an extra copy of the filing to be date stamped and returned to us in the enclosed self-addressed envelope.

Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned if you have any questions regarding the above.

Very truly yours,

Muck F. Sudback

Mark F. Sundback An Attorney For South Florida Hospital & Healthcare Association and the Hospitals

Enclosures cc: Partice 2 fee for L - J30 L0 83LN3D NOILN818LSIO	RECE



DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 15304 DEC-75

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

§

§

§

§

§

§

In re: Review of Florida Power & Light Company's proposed merger with Entergy Corporation, the formation of a Florida **Transmission company ("Florida** transco"), And their effect on FPL retail rates

Docket No.: 001148-EI Date Filed: December 7, 2001

ANSWER OF SOUTH FLORIDA HOSPITAL AND HEALTHCARE **ASSOCIATION TO FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT'S MOTION TO** STRIKE ANSWER OF SOUTH FLORIDA HOSPITAL AND HEALTHCARE ASSOCIATION TO MOTION FOR **RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE**

Pursuant to Rules 25-22.060, 28-106.103, and 28-106.303 of the Florida Administrative Code, the South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Association and supporting members (collectively, the "Hospitals") hereby answer and oppose the "Motion To Strike Answer of South Florida Hospital and Healthcare Association To Motion For Reconsideration of Order Establishing Procedure" filed by Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") in the captioned proceeding ("FPL Motion"). The Hospitals show as follows:

1. FPL urges that the Commission strike the Hospitals' request for a 75 day period between the filing of utility and intervenor testimonies. Several points bear mentioning. First, FPL fails to note that the Hospitals initially had sought, in discovery requests served October 10, 2001, responses on less than a 30 day deadline. However, FPL rejected a less than 30 day turn-around period for discovery responses. Thereafter, the Hospitals supported the OPC's motion, and urged that sufficient time be incorporated into the modification of the procedural schedule so as to recognize the practical effect on filing prepared testimony given the interval FPL demanded for discovery responses.

2. An alternative, which would leave intact OPC's request for a sixty day period between FPL testimony and intervenor testimony, would be to direct FPL to produce DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

5304 DEC

discovery responses on a more expeditious basis, for instance within twenty (instead of thirty) days of receipt of the request. In this way, the OPC-requested interval would not need to be changed.

3. Evidently, FPL does not contest many of the underlying facts identified in the Hospitals' original pleading. This is consistent with FPL's apparent strategy of trying to use procedural detours to avoid lower rates.

4. For instance, FPL's Motion does not deny that FPL has demanded a thirty day period in which to respond to discovery requests served upon it. In fact, with respect to many of FPL's responses, the Hospitals did not receive responses until 36 days after the requests were transmitted via facsimile to FPL. In other words, even a nominal 30 day response interval can result in responses not materializing until the beginning of the *sixth* week after they were requested.

5. FPL's Motion also does not deny that for the original six discovery requests of the Hospitals, FPL lodged at least *22 objections* (counting generic objections only once, rather than presuming that each generic objection could apply to multiple discovery requests).

6. FPL's Motion also ignores the fact that with respect to the Hospitals' second set of discovery requests, FPL lodged at least *37 objections* to a total of 21 discovery requests (once again counting generic objections only once, rather than presuming that each generic objection could apply to multiple discovery requests).

7. While the Hospitals are working with FPL to resolve some of FPL's objections, the foregoing data speak for themselves. FPL is engaged in finding reasons to avoid or seriously limit its obligation to provide data in its first full rate case undertaken for the better part of twenty years. Simply working through this series of objections, and . countering FPL's efforts to limit or delay discovery responses, takes time.

2

8. Procedural considerations also are affected because at present, FPL's position is unknown and may be a moving target. FPL may concede that current rates are too high, but not offer to reduce rates to the appropriate level. FPL also may attempt to maintain its current rate based upon "ratemaking strategies" that are inconsistent with general ratemaking principles. However, FPL's position will not be known by intervenors until FPL files its direct case. Discovery prior to that point could be of only limited value in attempting to test FPL's position because prior discovery may not reveal which of the many different potential sources of rate reductions might have been relied upon (or ignored) by FPL.

9. It is appropriate for the Commission to consider this information, which serves as the basis for the Hospitals' Answer, because it bears on the propriety of the procedural schedule established by the Commission, as well as on the propriety of the proposed modifications to that schedule that were proposed by the OPC.

10. Further, FPL's Motion fails to comport with the requirements of the Florida Administrative Code, and thus is procedurally infirm and warrants rejection. *See* Rule 28-106.303(2).

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, the Hospitals oppose FPL's Motion and respectfully request the grant of relief summarized herein and as requested in OPC's November 5, 2001, Motion and in the Hospitals' November 14, 2001 Answer thereto.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark F. Sudback

Mark F. Sundback Kenneth L. Wiseman Andrews & Kurth L.L.P. 1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20006 Ph. (202) 662-3030: Fax (202) 662-2739

ATTORNEYS FOR THE HOSPITALS

December 7, 2001

3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 001148-EI

I HERBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been

furnished by U.S. Mail to the following parties, this 6th day of December, 2001.

Robert V. Elias, Esquire	David L. Cruthirds, Esquire
Division of Legal Services	Attorney for Dynegy, Inc.
Florida Public Service Commission	1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5800
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard	Houston, TX 77002-5050
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850	
John T. Butler, P.A.	William G Walker, III
Steel Hector & Davis, LLP	Vice President
215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 601	Florida Power & Light Company
Tallahassee, Florida 32301	215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810
	Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859
R. Wade Litchfield	Michael B. Twomey, Esquire
Attorney	Post Office Box 5256
Florida Power & Light Company	Tallahassee, Florida 32314-5256
700 Universe Boulevard	
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420	
Thomas A. Cloud/W. Christopher Browder	Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esquire
Gray, Harris & Robinson, P.A.	Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esquire
Post Office Box 3068	Attorneys for FIPUG
Orlando, Florida 32802-3068	McWhirter Reeves
	117 S. Gadsden Street
	Tallahassee, Florida 32301
John W. McWhirter, Jr., Esquire	Mr. Jack Shreve
Attorney for FIPUG	John Roger Howe
McWhirter Reeves	Office of Public Counsel
400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450	c/o The Florida Legislature
Tampa, Florida 33601-3350	111 West Madison Street, Room 812
	Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

INTERESTED PARTIES:

Lee E. Barrett	Melissa Lavinson
Duke Energy North America	PG&E National Energy Group Company
5400 Westheimer Court	7500 Old Georgetown Road
Houston, Texas 77056-5310	Bethesda, Maryland 20814
Mr. Paul Lewis, Jr.	Jon C. Moyle, Esquire
Florida Power Corporation	Cathy M. Sellers, Esquire
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800	118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301-7740	Tallahassee, FL 32301
CPV Atlantic, Ltd	Frederick M. Bryant
145 NW Central Park Plaza, Suite 101	Florida Municipal Power Agency
Port Saint Lucie, FL 34986	2061-2 Delta Way
	Tallahassee, FL 32303

Steven H. McElhaney	Homer O. Bryant
2448 Tommy's Turn	3740 Ocean Beach Blvd., Unit 704
Oviedo, FL 32766	Cocoa Beach, FL 32931
Ovica0, 1 E 52700	
Richard Zambo, Esq.	Beth Bradley
Florida Industrial Cogeneration Assoc.	Director of Market Affairs
598 SW Hidden River Ave.	Mirant Americas Development, Inc.
Palm City, FL 34990	1155 Perimeter Center West
	Atlanta, GA 30338-5416
Linda Quick	Diane K. Kiesling, Esquire
South Florida Hospital and Healthcare	Landers Law Firm
6363 Taft Street	P.O. Box 271
Hollywood, FL 33024	Tallahassee, FL 32303-6290
	Lee L. Willis
Harry W. Long, Jr.	James D. Beasley
Tampa Electric Company	Ausley & McMullen Law Firm
Post Office Box 111	227 South Calhoun Street
Tampa, Florida 33601	Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Leslie J. Paugh, Esquire	Ms. Angela Llewellyn
Landers & Parsons, P.A.	Tampa Electric Company
310 West College Avenue	Post Office Box 111
Tallahassee, Florida 32301	Tampa, Florida 33601
Myron Rollins	Jennifer May-Brust, Esq.
Black & Veatch	Colonial Pipeline Company
Post Office Box 8405	945 East Paces Ferry Road
Kansas City, MO 64114	Atlanta, GA 30326
G. Garfield/R. Knickerbocker/S. Myers	Michelle Hershel
Day, Berry Law Firm	Florida Electric Cooperatives Association, Inc.
CityPlace 1	2916 Apalachee Parkway
Hartford, CT 06103-3499	Tallahassee, FL 32301
Themas I. Maida NJ. Was Strictland	Druce May, Francisco
Thomas J. Maida/N. Wes Strickland	Bruce May, Esquire
Foley & Lardner Law Firm	Holland Law Firm Post Office Drawer 810
300 East Park Avenue	
Tallahassee, FL 32301	Tallahassee, FL 32302-0810
James J. Presswood, Jr.	Michael Briggs
Legal Environmental Assistance Foundation	Reliant Energy Power Generation, Inc.
1114 Thomasville Road	801 Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 620
Tallahassee, FL 32303-6290	Washington, DC20004
Sofia Solemou	Thomas W. Kaslow
526 15 Street, Apt. 14	Calpine Eastern
Miami Beach, FL 33139	The Pilot House, 2 nd Floor
	Boston, Massachusetts 02110
Bill L. Bryant, Jr., Esquire	Marchris Robinson
Natalie B. Futch	Manager, State Government Affairs
Katz, Kutter, Haigler, Alderman, Bryant & Yon, P.A.	Enron Corporation
106 East College Avenue, 12 th Floor	1400 Smith Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301	Houston, Texas 77002-7361

Thomas J. Maida, Esquire	Timothy S. Woodbury
Foley & Lardner	Vice President - Strategic Services
106 East College Avenue, Suite 900	Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc.
Tallahassee, FL 32301	16313 North Dale Mabry Highway
	Tampa, Florida 33688-2000
Daniel Doorakian	
Moyle, Flanigan, Katz, Raymond & Sheehan,	
P.A.	
The Perkins House	
118 North Gadsden Street	
Tallahassee, Florida 32301	

Mark F. Sudback

- •

.