STEEL HECTOR DAVIS INTERNATIONAL"



Steel Hector & Davis LLP 200 South Biscayne Boulevard Suite 4000 Miaml, FL 33131-2398 305.577.7000 305.577.7001 Fax www.steelhector.com

DENIED THE W. 31
2 JUL 26 PH 4: 31
COMMISSION

John T. Butler, P.A. 305.577.2939 jtb@steelhector.com

July 25, 2002

-VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS-

Blanca S. Bayó Director, Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

> Re: Docket No. 011605-EI

Dear Ms. Bayó:

I am enclosing for filing in the above docket the original and sever (7) copies of Florida Power & Light Company's Prehearing Statement, together with a diskette containing the electronic version of same. The enclosed diskette is HD density, the operating system is Windows 2000, and the word processing software in which the document a pears is Word 2000.

If there are any questions regarding this transmittal, please contact rue at 305-577-2939.

Sincerely,

Korel M. Dukin for John T. Butler, P.A. 973

Enclosure

AUS

MMS SEC OTH

cc: Counsel for Parties of Record (w/encl.)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 07876 JUL 26 8

San Pauloc - Citing de la neiro ON Clarito Domingo Tallaha39ee Naples Key West Caracas London

Miaml

West Palm Beach

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Review of investor-owned)	Docket No. 011605-EI
electric utilities' risk management)	Dated: July 25, 2002
policies and procedures.)	
)	

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

In compliance with Order No. PSC-02-0192-PCO-EI, dated February 12, 2002, Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") hereby files this its Prehearing Statement in the above docket.

(a) The name of all known witnesses that may be called by the party, and the subject matter of their testimony:

<u>Witness</u> Korel M. Dubin	Subject Matter Direct Approval/implementation process for FPL's proposed Risk Sharing Program and impact of the Program on the fuel cost recovery process.
	Rebuttal Comments on portions of testimony of Bryan Stone and Todd Bohrmann
Joseph P. Stepenovitch	Direct Description of FPL's proposed Risk Sharing Program and response to Commission Issues 1A, 1B, 1C, 2, 3, 4, and 7.
	Rebuttal Comments on portions of testimony of Bryan Stone and Todd Bohrmann

(b) A description of all known exhibits that may be used by the party, whether they may be identified on a composite basis, and the witness sponsoring each:

FPL has prefiled the following documents that comprise composite exhibits to the testimony of Ms. Dubin and Mr. Stepenovitch:

Document	Content	Sponsoring Witness
KMD-1	FPL's Proposed Risk Sharing Program	Dubin (direct)
JPS-1	FPL's Proposed Risk Sharing Program	Stepenovitch (direct)
JPS-2	Sample Calculations of Fuel Charges	Stepenovitch (direct)
	Under Status Quo (Current Actual Cost	
	Recovery Mechanism) and FPL's Propose	ed
	Risk Sharing Plan	
JPS-3	Forward Price As A Percentage Above	Stepenovitch (rebuttal)
	or Below Spot at Time of Maturity	
JPS-4	FPL Proposed Risk Sharing Program	Stepenovitch (rebuttal)
	Cost/Benefit Analysis	
	DC	DOUMENT NUMPER - DATE

07876 JUL 26 8

(c) A statement of basic position in the proceeding:

The Commission should approve FPL's proposed Risk Sharing Program, which will provide an appropriate mechanism to limit the impact on customers of volatility in the cost of natural gas and residual fuel oil and is projected to reduce customers' overall cost for those fuels compared to the current actual-cost recovery mechanism.

d) A statement of each question of fact the party considers at issue, the party's position on each such issue, and which of the party's witnesses will address the issue:

ISSUE 1A: What role should the Commission take concerning the manner in which each investor-owned electric utility manages risks associated with fuel procurement?

FPL: The Commission should approve plans to provide incentives to utilities to engage in an appropriate level of fuel hedging to reduce fuel cost volatility to customers. FPL believes that its proposed Risk Sharing Program will best meet the objective of reducing fuel cost volatility to the customer. (Stepenovitch)

ISSUE 1B: Is each investor-owned electric utility taking reasonable steps to manage the price risk associated with its natural gas and residual oil transactions, as well as purchased power transactions based on natural gas prices, through the use of physical, operational, or financial hedging practices, or a combination of those practices?

FPL: Yes. FPL continually manages natural gas, residual oil and wholesale energy price risk through multiple hedging practices, including diversification of its generation mix, use of short-, mid- and long-term physical fuel and purchased power transactions, fuel switching, optimization of fuel storage and transportation, and wholesale power trading. FPL believes that approval of its proposed Risk Sharing Plan would provide incentives to maximize the benefits from these hedging practices. (Stepenovitch)

ISSUE 2:

FPL:

What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for gains and losses an investorowned electric utility incurs from hedging fuel and purchased power transactions through futures contracts?

If FPL's proposed Risk Sharing Program is approved, then FPL will recover the commodity portion of natural gas and residual fuel oil costs based on approved market-based fixed prices and spot price indices, so there will be no occasion for FPL to recover its actual gains or losses on futures contracts for such fuels. For other fuel types and for purchased power (and if the Commission does not permit FPL to implement the proposed Risk Sharing Program and continues current actual-cost recovery mechanism for the commodity portion of natural gas and residual fuel oil), the gains from futures contracts should be credited to the fuel adjustment clause and losses from futures contracts should be charged to the fuel adjustment clause. (Stepenovitch)

ISSUE 3:

What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for the premiums an investor-owned electric utility receives and pays for hedging fuel and purchased power transactions through options contracts?

FPL:

If FPL's proposed Risk Sharing Program is approved, then FPL will recover the commodity portion of natural gas and residual fuel oil costs based on approved market-based fixed prices and spot price indices, so there will be no occasion for FPL to recover premiums it pays or receives for options contracts on such fuels. For other fuel types and for purchased power (and if the Commission does not permit FPL to implement the proposed Risk Sharing Program and continues current actual-cost recovery mechanism for the commodity portion of natural gas and residual fuel oil), the premiums received for options contracts should be credited to the fuel adjustment clause and premiums paid for options contracts should be charged to the fuel adjustment clause. (Stepenovitch)

ISSUE 4:

What is the appropriate regulatory treatment for the transaction costs an investorowned electric utility incurs from hedging its fuel and purchased power transactions through futures and options contracts?

FPL:

Transaction costs are a component of the non-commodity costs associated with hedging fuel and purchased power. They should be recovered through the fuel adjustment clause irrespective of whether the Commission approves FPL's proposed Risk Sharing Program. (Stepenovitch)

ISSUE 7A:

What incentive(s), if any, should the Commission establish to encourage investorowned electric utilities to optimally manage the risks to ratepayers associated with fuel and purchased power price volatility?

FPL:

The Commission should approve FPL's proposed Risk Sharing Program. (Stepenovitch)

ISSUE 7B:

If the Commission were to approve any utility's incentive plan for optimally managing fuel price risk which includes a change in the method for calculating shareholder gains on wholesale sales as specified in Order Nos. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI and PSC-01-2371-FOF-EI, what changes, if any, should be made to the requirements of those orders?

FPL:

The Commission should approve FPL's proposal to share the gains on all wholesale power transactions (*i.e.*, purchases and sales), pursuant to which 80% of such gains are credited to FPL's customers and 20% of the gains are retained for FPL's shareholders. This would provide a symmetry in the incentives for wholesale power transactions that is currently missing and would encourage FPL to maximize its gains from all types of wholesale power transactions. (Stepenovitch)

e) A statement of each question of law the party considers at issue and the party's position on each such issue:

FPL is not aware of any questions of law at issue.

f) A statement of each policy question the party considers at issue, the party's position on each such issue, and which of the party's witnesses will address the issues:

FPL is not aware of any policy issues that are contested, except to the extent contained in the Issues addressed above.

g) A statement of issues that have been stipulated to by the parties:

Issues 5 and 6, concerning the prudence of FPL's and Florida Power Corporation's past fuel-procurement practices, have been resolved and need not be considered further in this proceeding. FPL is not aware of any stipulations concerning the Issues addressed above.

h) A statement of all pending motions or other matters the party seeks action upon:

FPL has no pending motions or other matters upon which it seeks action other than its pending request for confidentiality addressed in the next section.

i) A statement identifying the party's pending requests for confidentiality:

FPL filed on July 18, 2002, Florida Power & Light Company's Request for Confidential Classification of Certain Documents and Information Responsive to Staff's Second Set of Interrogatories and Second Request for Production of Documents. FPL does not believe there has been a ruling on this request.

j) A statement as to any requirement set forth in this order that cannot be complied with, and the reasons therefore:

FPL believes it has complied with all requirements of Order No. PSC-02-0192-PCO-EI.

Respectfully submitted,

R. Wade Litchfield, Esq.
Senior Attorney
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, Florida 33403-0420
Telephone: 561-691-7101

Steel Hector & Davis LLP
Attorneys for Florida Fower & Light
Company
200 South Biscayne Boulevard
Suite 4000
Miami, Florida 33131-2398

Telephone: 305-577-2939

By: Novel M. Derbin for OTB

John T. Butler, P.A.

Fla. Bar No. 283479

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 011605-EI

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was served by Federal Express (*) or United States mail on this 25th day of July, 2002, to the following persons:

Susan D. Ritenour Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780

Angela Llewellyn Regulatory Affairs Tampa Electric Company P.O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111

James Beasley/Lee Willis Ausley & McMullen Law Firm 227 South Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

William Cochran Keating IV*
Senior Attorney
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0350

James A. McGee P.O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042

Paul Lewis, Jr., Esq. Florida Power Corporation 106 E. College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, FL 32330-7740

John Attaway
P.O. Box 32015
Lakeland, FL 33802-2018

Florida Industrial Power Users Group c/o John McWhirter, Jr., Esq. McWhirter Reeves Law Firm P.O. Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601-3350

Jeffrey Stone/Russell A., Badders, Beggs and Lane Law Firm P.O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576-2950

Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esq. Vicki Gordon Kaufma I, Esq. McWhirter Reeves 117 South Gadiden Tallahassee, FL 32301

Robert Vandiver, Esq.
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Michael G. Briggs Reliant Energy, Inc. 801 Pennsylvania Ave. Suite 620 Washington, D.C. 200 04

Myron Rollins P.O. Box 8405 Kansas City, MO 64114

By: Korel M. Dr. bin for JTB

John T. Butler, P.A.