

Section 1 - Bureau of Records Complete

Docket No. 041054-TC Date Docketed: 09/08/2004 Title: Compliance investigation of T & P Enterprises of Bay County, Inc. d/b/a Laguna Beach Christian Retreat for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

Company: T & P Enterprises of Bay County, Inc

Official Filing Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Expiration: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Last Day to Suspend: \_\_\_\_\_

Referred to: \_\_\_\_\_  
 ("O" indicates OPR)

CCA	(CMP)	ECR	FLL	GCL	MMS	PIF	RCA	SCR
X	X			X				

Section 2 - OPR Completes and returns to CCA in 10 workdays. Time Schedule

Program Module A18(a); A10

**WARNING: THIS SCHEDULE IS AN INTERNAL PLANNING DOCUMENT  
 IT IS TENTATIVE AND SUBJECT TO REVISION.  
 FOR UPDATES CONTACT THE RECORDS SECTION: (850) 413-6770**

Staff Assignments

OPR Staff

Current CASR revision level

Due Dates  
 Previous Current

	1.			
	2.			
	3.			
	4.			
	5.			
	6.			
	7.			
<u>Staff Counsel</u>	8.			
	9.			
<u>OCRs</u>	10.			
	11.			
	12.			
	13.			
	14.			
	15.			
	16.			
	17.			
	18.			
	19.			
	20.			
	21.			
	22.			
	23.			
	24.			
	25.			
	26.			
	27.			
	28.			
	29.			
Recommended assignments for hearing and/or deciding this case:	30.			
	31.			
	32.			
Full Commission _____ Commission Panel _____	33.			
Hearing _____ Staff _____	34.			
	35.			
Date filed with CCA: _____	36.			
	37.			
Initials OPR _____	38.			
Staff Counsel _____	39.			
	40.			

Section 3 - Chairman Completes

Assignments are as follows:

- Hearing Officer(s)

Commissioners						Hrg Exam	Staff
ALL	BZ	DS	JB	BD	DV		

Prehearing Officer

Commissioners					ADM
BZ	DS	JB	BD	DV	

DOCUMENT NO  
 12322-05

Where panels are assigned the senior Commissioner is Panel Chairman: the identical panel decides the case.  
 Where one Commissioner, a Hearing Examiner or a Staff Member is assigned the full Commission decides the case.

Approved: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Section 1 - Bureau of Records Completes

Docket No. 041054-TC Date Docketed: 09/08/2004 Title: Compliance investigation of T & P Enterprises of Bay County, Inc. d/b/a Laguna Beach Christian Retreat for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.  
 Company: T & P Enterprises of Bay County, Inc

Official Filing Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Expiration: \_\_\_\_\_

Last Day to Suspend: \_\_\_\_\_

Referred to:

("O") indicates OPR

CCA	(CMP)	ECR	FLL	GCL	MMS	PIF	RCA	SCR
X	X			X				

Section 2 - OPR Completes and returns to CCA in 10 workdays.

Time Schedule

Program Module A18(a); A10

WARNING: THIS SCHEDULE IS AN INTERNAL PLANNING DOCUMENT  
 IT IS TENTATIVE AND SUBJECT TO REVISION.  
 FOR UPDATES CONTACT THE RECORDS SECTION: (850) 413-6770

Staff Assignments

OPR Staff	P Isler	<input type="checkbox"/> Current CASR revision level	Due Dates	
			Previous	Current
		1. Staff Recommendation	NONE	11/23/2004
		2. Agenda	NONE	12/07/2004
		3. PAA Order - Compliance Investigation	NONE	12/27/2004
		4.		
		5.		
		6.		
		7.		
Staff Counsel	D Rockette-Gray	8.		
		9.		
OCRs		10.		
		11.		
		12.		
		13.		
		14.		
		15.		
		16.		
		17.		
		18.		
		19.		
		20.		
		21.		
		22.		
		23.		
		24.		
		25.		
		26.		
		27.		
		28.		
		29.		
		30.		
		31.		
		32.		
		33.		
		34.		
		35.		
		36.		
		37.		
		38.		
		39.		
		40.		

Recommended assignments for hearing and/or deciding this case:

Full Commission  Commission Panel   
 Hearing  Staff

Date filed with CCA: 09/15/2004

Initials OPR \_\_\_\_\_  
 Staff Counsel \_\_\_\_\_

Section 3 - Chairman Completes

Assignments are as follows:

- Hearing Officer(s)

Commissioners						Hrg Exam	Staff
ALL	BZ	DS	JB	BD	DV		
X							

Prehearing Officer

Commissioners					ADM
BZ	DS	JB	BD	DV	
	X				

Where panels are assigned the senior Commissioner is Panel Chairman: the identical panel decides the case.  
 Where one Commissioner, a Hearing Examiner or a Staff Member is assigned the full Commission decides the case.

Approved: BB/Am  
 Date: 09/15/2004

Section 1 - Bureau of Records Complete

Docket No. 041054-TC Date Docketed: 09/08/2004 Title: **Compliance investigation of T & P Enterprises of Bay County, Inc. d/b/a Laguna Beach Christian Retreat for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.**

Company: **T & P Enterprises of Bay County, Inc**

Official Filing Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Expiration: \_\_\_\_\_

Last Day to Suspend: \_\_\_\_\_

Referred to:

("O" indicates OPR)

CCA	(CMP)	ECR	FLL	GCL	MMS	PIF	RCA	SCR
X	X			X				

Section 2 - OPR Completes and returns to CCA in 10 workdays.

Time Schedule

Program Module A18(a); A10

**WARNING: THIS SCHEDULE IS AN INTERNAL PLANNING DOCUMENT IT IS TENTATIVE AND SUBJECT TO REVISION. FOR UPDATES CONTACT THE RECORDS SECTION: (850) 413-6770**

Staff Assignments

OPR Staff	P Isler	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Current CASR revision level	Due Dates		
			Previous	Current	
		1.	Staff Recommendation	NONE	02/17/2005
		2.	Agenda	NONE	03/01/2005
		3.	Order Approving Settlement	NONE	03/21/2005
		4.			
		5.			
		6.			
		7.			
Staff Counsel	D Rockette-Gray	8.			
		9.			
		10.			
OCRs		11.			
		12.			
		13.			
		14.			
		15.			
		16.			
		17.			
		18.			
		19.			
		20.			
		21.			
		22.			
		23.			
		24.			
		25.			
		26.			
		27.			
		28.			
		29.			
		30.			
Recommended assignments for hearing and/or deciding this case:		31.			
		32.			
Full Commission <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commission Panel <input type="checkbox"/>		33.			
Hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Staff <input type="checkbox"/>		34.			
		35.			
Date filed with CCA: <u>01/13/2005</u>		36.			
		37.			
Initials OPR _____		38.			
Staff Counsel _____		39.			
		40.			

Section 3 - Chairman Completes

Assignments are as follows:

- Hearing Officer(s)

Commissioners						Hrg Exam	Staff
ALL	BZ	DS	BD	DV	ED		
X							

Prehearing Officer

Commissioners					ADM
BZ	DS	BD	DV	ED	
	X				

Where panels are assigned the senior Commissioner is Panel Chairman:  
the identical panel decides the case.  
Where one Commissioner, a Hearing Examiner or a Staff Member is assigned the full Commission decides the case.

Approved: 133/Am  
Date: 01/13/2005

**Marguerite Lockard**

PSC-05-0101-FOF-TC

**From:** LaSandra Givens  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 25, 2005 9:56 AM  
**To:** CCA - Orders / Notices  
**Subject:** Order / Notice Submitted

**Date and Time:** 1/25/2005 9:53:00 AM

2 pgs

040990-TA 040990V.DRG.DOC  
041031-TC 041031V.DRG.DOC  
041032-TC 041032V.DRG.DOC  
041054-TC 041054V.DRG.DOC

1/0

~~AMENDATORY ORDER VACATING ORDER~~

DOCUMENT NO.  
12322-05

## Marguerite Lockard

---

**From:** Kay Flynn  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 25, 2005 11:46 AM  
**To:** Beth Keating  
**Cc:** Dovie Rockette-Gray; Marguerite Lockard  
**Subject:** RE: Orders vacating

Ok. We'll delete it in the orders and go ahead and issue them today.

Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Beth Keating  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 25, 2005 11:28 AM  
**To:** Kay Flynn  
**Cc:** Dovie Rockette-Gray  
**Subject:** RE: Orders vacating

Well, if no one has complained about us 'vacating' before now, just go with dropping the amendatory.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Kay Flynn  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 25, 2005 11:27 AM  
**To:** Beth Keating; Dovie Rockette-Gray  
**Subject:** RE: Orders vacating

Beth, I checked back through orders done in the last 2-3 years, and found that we've issued orders vacating that come both from a vote and from trying to correct the situation these orders are correcting--but of course just because we've done it that way doesn't mean it's right, esp. with a strict reading of the APM.

If we are going to title them "amendatory", would we want to use "amend" or some variation of that word in the ordering paragraph?

Kay

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Beth Keating  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 25, 2005 10:47 AM  
**To:** Dovie Rockette-Gray; Kay Flynn  
**Subject:** RE: Orders vacating

Hi, Kay. That was me that stuck that in there. I guess I was looking at it from the perspective that usually, it is the Commission that "vacates" orders, while we are technically only authorized to issue amendatory orders. In my mind, this was a way to ensure that we complied with the APM...in other words, we are issuing an amendatory order that vacates the underlying consummating order, because it was erroneously issued due to scrivener's error. I guess I don't feel strongly about this point, I just didn't want to give any appearance that we were exceeding our delegated authority, not that I think we are. If you prefer to remove Amendatory, I'll be o.k. with it.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Dovie Rockette-Gray  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 25, 2005 10:30 AM  
**To:** Beth Keating  
**Subject:** RE: Orders vacating

Hi Beth,

Beth,

What's you think? Thanx, dovie

-----Original Message-----

From: Kay Flynn

Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2005 10:22 AM

To: Dovie Rockette-Gray

Cc: LaSandra Givens; Marguerite Lockard

Subject: Orders vacating

Dovie, on these orders vacating, the title of each includes "amendatory" but I'm thinking that word wouldn't be appropriate here, since the order doesn't amend, it vacates. Do you agree? May we delete the word "amendatory" in the order titles?

Kay