State of Florida



ORIGINAL

Hublic Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:

July 25, 2007

TO:

William B. McNulty, Public Utilities Supervisor, Division of Economic Regulation

FROM:

Denise N. Vandiver, Chief of Auditing, Division of Regulatory Compliance &

Consumer Assistance

RE:

Docket No: 070007-EI; Company Name: Tampa electric Company;

Audit Purpose: Environmental Cost Recovery Clause;

Audit Control No: 07-071-2-1

Attached is the final audit report for the utility stated above. I am sending the utility a copy of this memo and the audit report. If the utility desires to file a response to the audit report, it should send the response to the Division of Commission Clerk. There are no confidential work papers associated with this audit.

DNV:sbj Attachments

Copy: Division of Regulatory Compliance and Consumer

Assistance (Hoppe, District Offices, File Folder)

Division of Commission Clerk (2)

Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement (Harvey)

General Counsel

Office of Public Counsel

Ms. Paula K. Brown Tampa Electric Company P.O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111

Lee Willis / James D. Beasley Ausley Law Firm P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06419 JUL 26 5



FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND CONSUMER ASSISTANCE BUREAU OF AUDITING

TAMPA DISTRICT OFFICE

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE AUDIT

HISTORICAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

DOCKET NO. 070007-EI

AUDIT CONTROL NO. 07-071-2-1

Daniell Acheampone, Audit Staff

Jøcelyn Y. Stephens, Audit Manager

Joseph W. Rohrbacher, Tampa District Supervisor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AUD	ITOR'S REPORT	PAGE
I.	PURPOSE	1
II.	OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES	2
ш.	EXHIBITS	
	CALCULATION OF FINAL TRUE-UP FOR PERIOD (Sch 42-2A)	5
	CALCULATION OF O&M ACTIVITIES (Sch 42-5A)	6
	CAPITAL INVEST PROJECTS – RECOVERABLE COSTS (Sch 42-7A)	7

DIVISION OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND CONSUMER ASSISTANCE AUDITOR'S REPORT

July 20, 2007

TO: FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES

We have performed the procedures enumerated later in this report to meet the agreed upon objectives set forth by the Division of Economic Regulation in its audit service request. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Tampa Electric Company in support of its filing for Environmental Cost Recovery in Docket 070007-EI.

This audit was performed following general standards and field work standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. This report is based on agreed upon procedures which are only for internal Commission use.

OBJECTIVES AND PROCEDURES:

Objective:

Verify all negative depreciation expense amounts reported by TECO for any of its ECRC projects regardless of whether the negative depreciation expense amount is shown or noted on Form 42-8A. Review TECO's justification for each negative depreciation amount including applicable workpapers.

Procedures:

We requested that the company provide instances of negative depreciation recorded during the audit period. The Company responded that there was no negative depreciation for any of the ECRC projects in 2006. This was verified in the next objective.

Objective:

Using sampling procedures, reconcile Plant in Service (line 2) and Depreciation Expense (line 8a) for the capital projects listed in Form 42-8A. Verify that the investment is recorded in the correct plant account(s). Verify that the most recent Commission approved depreciation rate(s) or amortization period(s) is used in calculating the depreciation/amortization expense (line 8a, 8b). Verify that dismantlement expense (line 8c) is not included in the depreciation expense (line 8b and line 3).

Procedures:

To reconcile Plant In Service (PIS), per filing, to the General Ledger, staff examined a summary of ECRC capital expenditures for 2006. Based upon the level of dollars charged, four projects representing 95% of ECRC capital expenditures, were selected for analysis. Four samples, based upon transactions over a selected threshold, were created for further analysis. This additional analysis included the examination of selected company expenditures. The expenditures were extracted from the general ledger using queries. The queries listed all capital expenditures for designated FERC accounts, subpoints and resources applicable to ECRC. Based upon dollar amount, several items were selected for testing. The test included tracing amounts to vendor vouchers and/or contracts to determine if items purchased were properly includible as ECRC investment. All transactions tested were properly charged to ECRC.

Using beginning of period and end of period PIS balances by project and by account, we calculated average PIS for the year and applied PSC authorized depreciation rates. We compared the resulting computation to the depreciation expense recorded by the company. The company calculated depreciation expense based upon the monthly average of PIS. No difference existed between staff computation and the company's computation for depreciation expense. We determined that no dismantlement expense is included in 6epreciation expense.

Objective:

Verify that where an ECRC project involves the replacement of existing plant assets, the company is retiring the installed costs of replaced units of property according to Rule 25-6.0142(4)(b), F.A.C. [Book cost of retirement shall be credited to plant and debited to accumulated depreciation; cost of removal shall be debited to accumulated depreciation]

Procedures:

We requested that the company provide a schedule and supporting documentation of all units of property replacing retired plant.

We determined that there was no replacement of existing plant for any of the ECRC projects in 2006.

Objective:

Verify calculations of the monthly depreciation expense offsets required by Order No. PSC-99-2513-FOF-EI to adjust ECRC costs for retirements and replacements recovered through base rates.

Procedures:

We determined that all ECRC Plant was placed in service subsequent to TECO's latest rate case. As a result, there is no ECRC PIS being recovered through base rates and no adjustment is necessary for the company to be in compliance with Commission Order PSC-99-2513-FOF-EI.

Objective:

Verify the accuracy of recoverable Operation and Maintenance (O&M) expenses recorded in the ECRC filing.

Reconcile actual O&M project costs for a statistical sample or judgment sample of the O&M projects listed in Form 42-4A.

Procedures:

Using judgmental and block sampling, we traced selected O&M costs for the projects listed in Form-42A. The sample items were taken from general ledger queries for ECRC accounts, subpoints and resource codes.

Immaterial differences occurred. No exceptions made.

Objectives:

Report the monthly SO2 allowance expenses for 2006 including revenues, inventory amounts (tonnages and dollars), expensed amounts (tonnages and dollars), and the amount included in working capital.

Procedures: We obtained inventory schedules for SO2 allowances for each month in the test period and selected two months for analysis. We traced SO2 allowance expense to SO2 emissions from market based sales, co-generation purchases and consumption by Big Bend and Polk.

Objectives:

To verify that that True-Up and Interest were properly calculated.

Procedures:

We recomputed the 2006 ECRC True-Up and Interest using the approved recoverable True-Up amount per Commission Order PSC-05-1251-FOF-EI and 30-day commercial interest rates.

Objectives: Verify the accuracy of recoverable revenues recorded in the ECRC filing.

Procedures: Using KWH's for recoverable sales and Commission approved ECRC rates, we recalculated 2006 ECRC revenues billed. We compared this balance to the ECRC filing. Minor differences occurred as a result of rounding.

We adjusted 2006 ECRC revenues, per the filing, using the Regulatory Assessment Fee. This balance was compared to the 2006 ECRC revenues recorded in the General Ledger (G/L). Minor differences were noted as a result of manual adjustments to customer billing posted in the G/L.

No exception was made for the above immaterial differences.

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Final True-Up Amount for the Period January 2006 to December 2006

Current Period True-Up Amount (in Dollars)

Line	_	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Total	
1.	ECRC Revenues (net of Revenue Taxes)	(\$5,479,828)	(\$4,961,738)	(\$4,928,007)	(\$5,213,642)	(\$5,897,235)	(\$6,569,531)	(\$6,962,431)	(\$6,915,668)	(\$6,910,722)	(\$6,346,325)	(\$5,276,664)	(\$5,467,424)	(\$70,929,215)	
2.	True-Up Provision	8,424,774	8,424,774	8,424,774	8,424,774	8,424,774	8,424,774	8,424,774	8,424,774	8,424,774	8,424,774	8,424,774	8,424,777	101,097,291	
3.	ECRC Revenues Applicable to Period (Lines 1 + 2)	2,944,946	3,463,036	3,496,767	3,211,132	2,527,539	1,855,243	1,462,343	1,509,106	1,514,052	2,078,449	3,148,110	2,957,353	30,168,078	
4.	Jurisdictional ECRC Costs a. O & M Activities (Form 42-5A, Line 9)	(31,161,586)	(5,699,263)	1.073.611	1,471,083	(213,103)	1,058,592	720,203	1,067,592	(2,160,724)	1,195,715	760.026	1,319,389	(30,568,465)	
	b. Capital Investment Projects (Form 42-7A, Line 9)	1,446,774	1,470,116	1,466,431	1,472,115	1,485,710	1,481,709	1,479,114	1,466,403	1,481,401	1,480,912	1,469,139	1,484,658	17,684,483	
	c. Total Jurisdictional ECRC Costs	(29,714,812)	(4,229,147)	2,540,042	2,943,198	1,272,607	2,540,301	2,199,317	2,533,995	(679,323)	2,676,627	2,229,165	2,804,047	(12,883,982)	
	-,						-1-1-1-1	1,100,011		(4.0,020)	2,0.0,021	2,220,100	2,00 1,0 11	(12,000,002)	
5.	Over/Under Recovery (Line 3 - Line 4c)	32,659,758	7,692,183	956,725	267,934	1,254,932	(685,058)	(736,974)	(1 024 889)	2,193,376	(598,178)	918,945	153,306	43,052,060	
6.	Interest Provision (Form 42-3A, Line 10)	329,006	383,513	380,282	367,749	345,624	323,847	296,045	255,466	219,860	187,342	151,538	117,650	3,357,922	
7.	Beginning Balance True-Up & Interest Provision a, Deferred True-Up from January to December 2005	101,097,291	125,703,024	125,353,946	118,266,179	110,477,088	103,652,870	94,866,884	86,001,181	76,806,984	70,795,446	61,959,835	54,605,544	101,097,291	
	(Order No. PSC-06-0972-FOF-EI)	(23,609,173)	(23,609,173)	(23,609,173)	(23,609,173)	(23,609,173)	(23,609,173)	(23,609,173)	(23,609,173)	(23,609,173)	(23,609,173)	(23,609,173)	(23,609,173)	(23,609,173)	
8.	True-Up Collected/(Refunded) (see Line 2)	(8,424,774)	(8,424,774)	(8,424,774)	(8,424,774)	(8,424,774)	(8,424,774)	(8,424,774)	(8,424,774)	(8,424,774)	(8,424,774)	(8,424,774)	(8,424,777)	(101,097,293)	
15	End of Period Total True-Up (Lines 5+6+7+7a+8)	102,052,108	101,744,773	94,657,006	86,867,915	80,043,697	71,257,711	62,392,008	53,197,811	47,186,273	38,350,662	30,996,371	22,842,550	22,800,807	. L
10.	Adjustment to Period True-Up Including Interest	41,743	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41,743	
11.	End of Period Total True-Up (Lines 9 + 10)	\$102,093,851	\$101,744,773	\$94,657,006	\$86,867,915	\$80,043,697	\$71,257,711	\$62,392,008	\$53,197,811	\$47,186,273	\$38,350,662	\$30,996,371	\$22,842,550	\$22,842,550	

Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Final True-Up Amount for the Period January 2006 to December 2006

O&M Activities (in Dollars)

Line	_	January	February	March	April	Мау	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Total	Method of Demand	Classification Energy
1.	Description of O&M Activities															
	a. Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration b. Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Flue Gas Conditioning c. SO ₂ Emissions Allowances d. Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD e. Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD f. Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring f. Big Bend NQ, Emissions Reduction g. NPDES Annual Surveillance Fees h. Gannon Thermal Discharge Study i. Polk NQ, Reduction j. Bayside SCR and Ammonia k. Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA l. Big Bend Unit 1 Pre-SCR m. Big Bend Unit 2 Pre-SCR n. Big Bend Unit 3 Pre-SCR o. Clean Water Act Section 316(b) Phase II Study p. Arsenic Groundwater Standard Program	\$350,532 0 (33,886,042) 514,156 960 7,524 34,500 0 7,897 0 (158) 1,544 2,070	\$412,304 0 (6,800,603) 375,246 14,604 44,098 0 (338) 2,101 0 6,048 0 1,065 0 (10,176)	\$394,918 0 50,518 434,394 13,497 204,311 0 5,750 4,801 8,896 0 0 1,677 0 4,111	\$552,336 0 45,425 437,111 34,797 316,660 0 22,831 1,851 0 5,748 0 2,751 0	\$469,195 0 (1,375,078) 541,765 27,214 49,264 0 0 7,362 18,187 0 0 224 0 41,309	\$387,486 0 50,157 511,954 16,048 26,752 0 46,880 4,088 9,061 0 (1,544) (7,787) 0 48,454	\$301,441 0 1,678 377,726 12,023 2,538 0 5,556 3,579 0 0 0 0 0 37,677	\$386,024 0 24,740 622,443 14,741 45,723 0 0 4,300 7,876 0 0 300 0 1,400	\$188,180 0 (2,825,009) 313,635 11,399 (46) 0 4,602 1,338 8,365 0 0	\$301,677 0 (21,109) 888,135 24,930 (6,232) 0 5,971 1,437 0 0 0 1,600 0 34,797	\$227,673 0 26,351 407,579 33,236 30,952 0 11,952 1,368 15,704 0 0 2,444 0 29,421	\$476,899 0 18,752 597,626 12,582 82,402 0 4,664 4,074 0 0 0 0 0 0 (4,344) 0	\$4,448,666 0 (44,690,220) 6,021,770 216,031 803,946 34,500 107,868 44,196 68,089 11,638 0 0	34,500 107,868 536,437	\$4,448,666 0 (44,690,220) 6,021,770 216,031 803,946 44,196 68,089 11,638 0 0
2.	Total of O&M Activities	(32,963,195)	(5,955,651)	1,122,874	1,530,217	(219,074)	1,091,549	742,218		2,380	0	2,031	0	11,406	11,406	/\$00 07F 00 II
3. 4. 5. 6.	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	(33,001,517) 38,322 0,9453687 0.9641722	(5,945,137) (10,514) 0.9569378 0.9641722	1,113,013 9,861 0.9560560 0.9641722	1,396,679 133,538 0.9610864 0.9641722	(261,867) 42,793 0.9713433 0.9641722	996,215 95,334 0.9703464 0.9641722	698,985 43,233 0.9707197	1,107,547 1,106,147 1,400 0.9639242 0.9641722	(2,219,746) (2,302,138) 82,392 0.9730798 0.9641722	1,231,206 1,190,438 40,768 0.9714136 0.9641722	788,711 745,307 43,404 0.9635994 0.9641722	1,357,671 1,187,991 169,680 0.9728931 0.9641722	(32,385,673) (33,075,884) 690,211	\$690,211	(\$33,075,884)
7. 8. 9.	Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (A) Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (B) Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for O&M Activities (Lines 7 + 8)	(31,198,535) 36,949 (\$31,161,586)	(5,689,126) (10,137) (\$5,699,263)	1,064,103 9,508 \$1,073,611	1,342,329 128,754 \$1,471,083	(254,363) 41,260 (\$213,103)	966,674 91,918 \$1,058,592	678,519 41,684 \$720,203	1,066,242 1,350 \$1,067,592	(2,240,164) 79,440 (\$2,160,724)	1,156,408 39,307 \$1,195,715	718,177 41,849 \$760,026	1,155,788 163,601 \$1,319,389	(31,233,948) 665,483 (\$30,568,465)		

Notes: (A) Line 3 x Line 5 (B) Line 4 x Line 6

<u>Tampa Electric Company</u> Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (EGRC) Calculation of the Final True-Up Amount for the Period January 2006 to December 2006

Capital Investment Projects-Recoverable Costs (in Dollars)

Line		Description (A)	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	Oclober	November	December	End of Period Total	Method of C	assification Energy
1.	a.b.c.d.e.f. 9-h.i.j.k.l.m.n.o.p.q.r.s.t.u.v.w.x.v	Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Gas Conditioning Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors Big Bend Fuel Coil Tank # 1 Upgrade Big Bend Fuel Coil Tank # 2 Upgrade Big Bend Fuel Coil Tank # 2 Upgrade Philips Upgrade Tank # 4 for FDEP Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement Big Bend Section 114 Morcumy Testing Platform Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement Big Bend Guilás 1 & 2 FOD Big Bend FGD Optimization and Utilization Big Bend Unit 5 For Section and Monitoring Polk NO, Emissions Reduction Big Bend Minimization and Monitoring Polk NO, Emissions Reduction Big Bend Unit 4 SOFA Big Bend Unit 1 SPR-SCR Big Bend Unit 2 FR-SCR Big Bend Unit 3 SCR Big Bend Unit 4 SCR Big Bend Unit 5 SCR Big Bend Unit 5 SCR Big Bend Unit 6 SCR Big Bend Unit 7 SCR Big Bend Unit 8 SCR Big Bend Unit 8 SCR Big Bend Unit 9 SCR Big	\$75,679 45,532 7,807 4,981 8,192 563 884 13,633 10,311 1,246 887,186 887,186 237,874 69,560 101,420 18,445 29,615 9,461 15,648 2,258 0 0 0 0 (10,202)	\$75,492 45,373 7,788 4,971 8,174 562 882 13,593 10,278 1,243 884,423 237,383 79,483 101,171 18,403 29,551 15,479 2,388 0 0 0 0 0	\$75,306 45,213 7,770 4,960 8,157 559 880 13,553 10,245 815,673 236,890 79,282 100,921 18,362 29,506 9,3898 0 0 0	\$75,120 45,052 7,750 4,950 8,140 8,140 8,177 13,512 10,214 1,239 878,924 236,398 79,051 100,672 18,320 29,452 9,663 15,479 6,000 0 0 0 (9,698)	\$74,933 44,892 7,731 4,999 8,123 557 874 13,471 10,181 1,237 876,170 235,906 78,834 100,422 18,279 29,399 11,119 15,479 6,708 0 0 0 (9,605)	\$74,746 44,733 7,713 4,929 8,106 555 872 13,431 10,148 1,234 873,433 235,414 78,637 100,173 18,237 29,345 12,580 15,479 6,849 0 0 0 0 0 (9,532)	\$74,560 44,573 7,693 4,918 8,089 13,391 10,115 1,232 870,714 234,923 78,442 99,924 18,195 29,291 12,555 15,479 6,912 0 0 0 722 (9,324)	\$74,373 44,413 7,675 4,907 8,071 52 868 13,350 10,063 1,230 866,326 234,431 78,247 90,674 18,154 22,237 12,433 15,479 6,924 0 0 0 1,921	\$74,187 44,254 7,656 4,897 8,054 550 865 13,310 10,050 1,227 865,977 233,939 78,051 98,425 18,112 29,184 15,483 15,479 6,923 0 0 0 3,799 (8,907)	\$74,000 44,094 7,637 4,886 8,037 549 863 13,269 10,017 1,226 863,842 233,446 77,856 99,175 18,070 29,130 0 0 0 0 5,497 (8,461)	\$73,814 43,933 7,618 4,876 8,020 13,228 9,984 1,223 861,722 232,954 77,661 98,926 18,028 29,076 6,909 0 0 0 8,303 (8,163)	\$73,627 43,773 7,599 4,865 8,003 546 857 13,189 9,952 1,221 859,047 232,463 77,464 98,677 17,987 29,022 19,599 15,479 6,896 0 0 0 13,996 (8,110)	\$895,837 535,835 92,437 55,079 97,166 6,652 10,451 160,930 121,578 14,800 10,471,438 2,822,021 932,568 1,200,580 218,592 351,818 160,765 185,917 69,588 0 0 0 34,238 (110,920)	\$ 59,079 97,166 6,652 10,451	\$895,837 535,835 92,437 160,930 121,578 14,800 10,471,438 2,872,021 932,568 1,200,580 218,592 351,818 160,765 185,917 69,588 0 0 0 34,238 (110,920)
2. 33 4. 56 66 77 8		Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (C) Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (D) Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for Investment Projects (Lines 7 + 8)	1,530,093 1,515,473 14,620 0.9453667 0.9641722 1,432,678 14,096	1,536,161 1,521,572 14,589 0,9569378 0,9641722 1,456,050 14,066	1,533,710 1,519,154 14,556 0.9560560 0.9641722 1,452,396 14,034 \$1,466,431	1,531,673 1,517,148 14,525 0.8610864 0.9641722 1,458,110 14,005 \$1,472,115	1,529,649 1,515,156 14,493 0,9713433 0,9641722 1,471,737 13,974 \$1,485,710	1,527,082 1,512,620 14,462 0.9703464 0.9641722 1,467,765 13,944 \$1,481,709	1,523,827 1,509,397 14,430 0.9707197 0.9641722 1,465,201 13,913	1,521,281 1,506,883 14,398 0.9639242 0.9641722 1,452,521 13,882 \$1,466,403	1,522,515 1,508,149 14,366 0,9730798 0,9641722 1,467,549 13,851	1,524,599 1,510,264 14,335 0.9714136 0.9641722 1,467,091 13,821	1,524,628 1,510,325 14,303 0.9635994 0.9641722 1,455,348 13,791 \$1,469,139	1,526,152 1,511,881 14,271 0.9728931 0.9641722 1,470,899 13,760 \$1,484,658	16,351,405 18,158,022 173,348 17,517,346 167,137 \$17,684,483	\$ 173,348 .	18,158,022

Notes:

(A) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expenses on Form 42-8A, Line 9
(B) Project's Total Return Component on Form 42-8A, Line 6
(C) Line 3 x Line 5
(D) Line 4 x Line 6