BEFORE THE 1 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 DOCKET NO. 060368-WS 3 In the Matter of: 4 APPLICATION FOR INCREASE IN WATER AND WASTEWATER RATES IN ALACHUA, BREVARD, 5 HIGHLANDS, LAKE, LEE, MARION, ORANGE, PALM BEACH, PASCO, POLK, PUTNAM, 6 SEMINOLE, SUMTER, VOLUSIA, AND WASHINGTON COUNTIES BY AQUA UTILITIES FLORIDA, INC. 7 8 9 10 11 ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE 12 A CONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING, 13 THE .PDF VERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY. 14 AGENDA CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS: 15 ITEM NO. 14 16 CHAIRMAN LISA POLAK EDGAR BEFORE: COMMISSIONER MATTHEW M. CARTER, II 17 COMMISSIONER KATRINA J. McMURRIAN COMMISSIONER NANCY ARGENZIANO 18 COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP 19 Tuesday, August 28, 2007 20 DATE: 21 Betty Easley Conference Center PLACE: Room 148 22 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 23 JANE FAUROT, RPR REPORTED BY: 24 Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6732 25

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISS 37976 SEP-45

1	PARTICIPATING:
2	CHARLES BECK, INTERIM PUBLIC COUNSEL, STEPHEN C.
3	REILLY, ESQUIRE, and STEPHEN C. BURGESS, ESQUIRE, representing
4	the Office of Public Counsel.
5	CECILIA BRADLEY, ESQUIRE, representing the Office of
6	the Attorney General.
7	KENNETH A. HOFFMAN, ESQUIRE, KIMBERLY A. JOYCE and
8	CHRIS FRANKLIN, representing Aqua Utilities Florida, Inc.
9	representing Aqua Utilities Florida, Inc.
10	KELLY SULLIVAN and Ron McKay representing customers
11	of Aqua Utilities.
12	ROSANNE GERVASI, ESQUIRE, and MARSHALL WILLIS,
13	representing the staff of the Florida Public Service Commission
14	Staff.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Good afternoon.

We are back on the record for this agenda conference, and as we stated this morning, we had set 2:00 o'clock as the time to come back and hear Item 14 in order to give our staff and Commissioners a little additional time to look over the information that was filed in the docket yesterday, and to also accommodate the schedules of some customers who had requested the opportunity to speak. So we will begin our discussion by asking our staff to give us an overview of Item 14.

MS. GERVASI: Thank you.

Rosanne Gervasi with the Commission legal staff.

Item 14 is staff's recommendation in Issue 2 to grant the Office of Public Counsel and the Office of the Attorney General's joint motion to dismiss Aqua Utilities Florida,

Inc.'s rate case filed in Docket Number 060368-WS for the reasons stated in the joint motion and for additional reasons as set forth in the staff recommendation.

Issue 1 is a recommendation to grant the parties' request for oral argument on the joint motion. Issues 3 and 4 concern the appropriate rates for Aqua going forward and the refund of interim rates. Issues 5 through 7 are show cause issues.

Issue 5 concerns Aqua's apparent violation of Rule 25-30.261(1), which requires the utility to read its service

meters at regular intervals. Issue 6 concerns Aqua's apparent violation of Rule 25-22.032, which requires the utility to respond to customer complaints within 15 working days.

Issue 7 concerns Aqua's apparent failure to comply with an order compelling discovery, and staff will recommend that that issue need not be ruled upon. In the recommendation we recommend that the issue not be ruled upon if the motion to dismiss is granted since the discovery process will cease in that event. And Issue 8 is staff's recommendation to keep the docket open.

However, since the filing of the staff recommendation, the parties to this docket, meaning Aqua Utilities, the Office of Public Counsel, and the Office of the Attorney General, have met in an effort to resolve this case. Just yesterday the parties reached an agreement and submitted a proposed resolution and disposition of the issues contained in the staff recommendation. Additionally, yesterday Aqua filed a notice of voluntary dismissal of the rate case without prejudice, including its application for interim and final rates, increased service availability charges, and approval of AFPI charges.

In light of this new information, staff recommends the following: With respect to Issue 1, the parties agree that oral argument should be granted consistent with the staff recommendation. However, since the joint motion to dismiss

need not be ruled upon, there is no need for oral argument on the joint motion, and instead we recommend that pursuant to Rule 25-22.0021, that parties and interested persons should be allowed an opportunity to address the Commission informally on this item.

Regarding Issue 2, the parties agree that Aqua's notice of voluntary dismissal renders the joint motion to dismiss moot. So Issue 2 of the recommendation need not be ruled upon. Staff recommends that instead of voting on Issue 2 as contained in the recommendation, that the Commission should acknowledge Aqua's notice of voluntary dismissal in this case.

Issues 3 and 4 concerning the appropriate rates for Aqua and the refund of interim rates, staff recommends that those issues should be approved as contained in the staff recommendation, which is consistent with the parties agreement.

Marshall Willis will discuss what Aqua has agreed to do to resolve Issues 5 through 7, as well as an additional matter raised by Aqua to resolve this case.

MR. WILLIS: Commissioners, with respect to Show
Cause Issues 5 and 6, the parties have proposed these issues be
resolved as follows:

One, Aqua will make a voluntary credit to account contributions-in-aid-of-construction for the amount of \$50,000, which will reduce Aqua's investment to the Florida Public

Service Commission regulated jurisdictional systems.

2.3

Two, the company commits to complying with the meter reading frequency requirements of Rule 25-30.261(1), Florida Administrative Code, and on customers' bills to accurately state whether the bills are actual or estimated.

Third, the company will work with staff to establish a single channel of communication for the sole purpose of responding to customer complaints filed with the Commission within the required 15-day deadline. Monthly meetings will also be held between the parties and staff for the period of six months to monitor Aqua's performance.

Fourth, the company commits to ensuring that its customer service representatives are courteous, properly trained, and responsive to all customer inquiries. Call center activities will be monitored and will also be discussed with parties and staff at those monthly meetings.

Last, the company will hold a series of town hall meetings in its service areas to communicate with its customers, provide education on current and future improvements, and address any water quality issues raised. The company also commits to following up promptly on all customer complaints raised during these town hall meetings.

The parties have also included an additional matter which was not addressed in the staff recommendation. The agreement states that the parties will not object when Aqua

requests that the Commission hold a Commission workshop on the issue of rate structure consolidation prior to the end of this year.

Also in Issue 7, which is the show cause issue related to the late filing of discovery, with the company's withdrawal yesterday of its request for a rate case or a rate increase, this issue is now moot and does not require a Commission vote.

Commissioners, after our review, staff believes that the customers are better served by the adoption of the parties' proposed resolution, and we would, therefore, recommend approval of the agreement.

With that, I will turn it back over to Ms. Gervasi.

MS. GERVASI: Commissioners, all parties are represented today and wish to address the Commission on this item, including Mr. Ken Hoffman for Aqua, Mr. Charlie Beck with the OPC, Ms. Cecilia Bradley with the Attorney General's Office. There is also an interested person, Ms. Kelly Sullivan, who is a customer of Aqua who wishes to address the Commission on this item. There may be other Aqua representatives who may wish to speak, as well. Again, we recommend that the parties and interested persons be allowed to speak informally on this item.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Ms. Gervasi and Mr. Willis.

Commissioners, I think in keeping with Ms. Gervasi's overview for us that what I would like to do is first hear from all of those that are here to address us and would like to make comments, and then kind of work our way through the issues as they are identified in the staff recommendation. And I think that can get us to where we need to be to address issues and then, hopefully, also have an orderly vote and motions when we are at that point and ready to.

So I think I would like to suggest Issue 1 as Ms.

Gervasi has shared with us was initially for oral argument. In lieu of oral argument, we can hear informal discussion from the parties and the customers if that meets with your approval.

And so, Mr. Beck, we will begin with you.

MR. BECK: Thank you, Madam Chairman, and good afternoon, Commissioners.

My name is Charlie Beck with the Office of Public Counsel, and with me today is also Steve Reilly and Steve Burgess with the office.

Commissioners, there has been a lot of activity over the past few days. We have been working very hard with the company to try to bring a resolution of the case and at the same time set a framework for addressing pending important issues concerning customer service, billing, water quality, water safety, and affordability of rates.

As a result of our discussions, we have been able to

reach an agreement with the company, and yesterday, in accordance with the agreement we reached, the company filed a voluntary dismissal of the rate case. There are a few important points in the agreement I would just like to mention briefly.

The first thing and very important is that it terminates the rate case. This was a case in which the company was seeking to raise rates by over \$7 million per year, almost double on average for customers. If you are a customer that is unlucky enough to be in one that is above the average, some of the rates were simply astronomical. A 726 percent increase in Sebring Lakes for water in Highlands County; 588 percent, Wootens, in Putnam County; 468 percent in Lake Gibson Estates for wastewater in Polk County, and so on. There were over 49 systems where the proposal was to raise rates by more than doubling them.

By the resolution of the case, this cloud that has been over customers is now lifted, and they are not subject to that request. At the same time there are also over a million dollars in interim rates that will be refunded to customers that has been collected to date. And on top of that for future impact, the \$50,000 contribution to CIAC, we think will benefit customers by reducing the impact of any future rate requests that the company might make.

With regard to customer service, you know, once

resolving the important issue of the pending rate case, we have tried to look forward, and we know there are very important issues still pending by the company.

They have committed to a series of town meetings to address service quality and address issues raised by customers at those town meetings. There will be monthly meetings by the parties to review the complaint process and, again, address service quality issues.

Finally, with respect to rates, the company is going to request a workshop to discuss rate consolidation. We believe the agreement we have had with the company has an immediate financial benefit for customers, and we think it is in their interest. And we hope we have set forth the framework to continue to address any of the pending issues that have been raised by customers.

There is a lot of people I need to thank, because there has been -- there has been a lot of work that is probably not visible to make this happen. First of all, to the customers. I mean, we have quite a few customers who have traveled a long distance to be here today, and they have taken time out in numerous meetings, taken time out of their schedules to attend and testify to the Commission. It's extremely important. I hope -- sometimes I don't think they realize how important it is to the process, and we certainly appreciate it.

In the Chuluota area, Representative Sandy Adams has worked tirelessly on behalf of customers there. Attorney General Bill McCollum and Cecilia Bradley, who is here today, for their involvement and participation in the case. It's been invaluable. In our office, Steve Reilly and Steve Burgess. There is a tremendous amount of work that goes on early in a case in discovery, reviewing it and preparing the case, and they have just been superb. And also the staff of the Commission, Rosanne, Marshall Willis, everyone else on staff has been just terrific to work with to bring this resolution, and it took everybody to bring it. And then, lastly, the company for agreeing to dismiss the case. We are hopeful that we can address some of the issues that have been raised by the customers.

With that, I would like to pass it to Cecilia Bradley.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Beck.

Ms. Bradley.

MS. BRADLEY: Thank you.

Representative Adams brought this to our attention, and we had a staff member that attended the Chuluota hearing.

And we started reading some of the transcripts and looking at all the concerns that were coming forth. And the Attorney General was particularly concerned about the exorbitant rates combined with the health concerns that a lot of people had. So

that's why we intervened in support of Public Counsel in this case.

I know that a lot of people saw comments that were made on MSNBC in Chuluota, and I think that had to be a very embarrassing time for the company and probably a low point for them. So we appreciate that Mr. Franklin and Ms. Joyce and some of the new people that have come on and their commitment to making improvements for their customers, and we think this is very important. They have agreed to do town meetings and to respond promptly to concerns. Some of the concerns that we brought to them they have taken care of and indicated that they will in the future. And we appreciate that.

I am old-fashioned enough to believe that if you have happy customers, then you are going to have strong companies, and, you know, that's important. And they are increasing the confidence of their customers, and what they are doing is one of the most important things we feel like.

The customers are some of the most involved, educated, dedicated customers we have ever come across. We have people all over their territory that are taking pictures of their meter every night, you know, and they have sent me their meter readings. And a lot of times they don't compare very well to the bills that they are getting. And we understand that the company is going to work on that so that they can know what they are getting billed for.

These customers are also very good about conserving water. They probably are an example for the rest of the state to that extent. I think some of them may have had to go way too far. There were various people -- I know in Chipley there was a Mr. Bouchard that had his son on a ten-minute timer. In Oviedo, Mr. Grotkoph, and I apologize for mispronouncing, had family on timers. In Mount Dora, the Kent's poor son was on a three-minute timer for showers. And, you know, most of us would have a hard time with that.

You know, we try to be conservative with our water usage, but these people are really going to extremes to do that. You know, they are decreasing the amount of wash that they do, trying to not wash any more than they just have to. They are trying to -- I mean, I read one person's testimony that they are trying not even to flush the toilet every time.

And while we appreciate the water conservation, people shouldn't have to go that far. You know, by statute people have a right to clean water at reasonable rates, and that is a very, very important thing. And I think probably -- oh, actually they brought me -- for those of you who were not at the hearings, they brought me some clean towels that were washed. And as you can see, they are kind of gray-looking. This is what they are dealing with. And they complain about, they say they smell like mildew as soon as you take them out of the washer. This is what they are dealing with, you know,

constantly in that area. And we are prepared to support the company in their efforts to get things cleaned up and get good water for these people.

I think one of the most important things is the quality of water and the health concerns. I know DEP, they have said, has fined the company or cited them 24 times in the last period, and we think this is a very important area that they need to improve on. We talked about they need to improve the confidence of their customers. And one of the ladies,

Ms. Evans, brought me what is of most concern. This is pictures of Ms. Evans' son, Aiden (phonetic), and their dog,

Maggie. They were both healthy and happy when they moved to this area.

You know, we can't prove that they got sick and that Maggie died because of the water, but the customers feel like they got sick because of the water. And there are other customers that had that same feeling. You know, Maggie suffered quite a bit and died from liver toxins, and that was an important member of their family, and people shouldn't have to be afraid to drink the water. They shouldn't have to feel guilty about moving to an area and concerned that maybe they have caused some problem for their family because they gave them water to drink.

One of the most important things is for people to have plenty of water and clean water at reasonable rates. And

we commend the company's efforts, and we will work with them to make sure that these things are corrected. And we think the public meetings are very important so that the customers can come and talk to them, not have to go through some receptionist somewhere that's answering the phone, but actually talk to, you know, some of the management and tell them what their concerns are and know that they are going to address those concerns, because they are very important to people. And so we are prepared to go forward with this recommended solution, and we hope you support it.

We appreciate the efforts of staff and the Commission in working with us on this, and seeing that the customers get a good result, and certainly the customers, a number of them are here today to let you know that they are committed to this. They are interested in this, and they appreciate your efforts on their behalf. You know, more than one person said we are just happy somebody is listening to us because they felt like they hadn't been listened to.

And so, Commission, we thank you for that and we hope to go forward with making this work and making sure these customers have what they deserve and that's the right to clean water at reasonable rates.

Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Ms. Bradley.

Mr. Hoffman, we do have a number of customers that I

know have come to join us this afternoon. I know that

Ms. Sullivan had asked to speak, so I think what I would like

to do is afford her that opportunity, and then we certainly

would like to hear from the company, as well.

2.2

Ms. Sullivan, welcome. Welcome to Tallahassee.

MS. SULLIVAN: Thank you. And it's good to see the three of you that I met in Oviedo, and it is very good to meet you, Commissioner Edgar, and Commissioner Carter. And I appreciate this time, you know, in your agenda conference, and I appreciate all the courtesies that have been extended to us in order to have folks come today.

When we learned about the settlement agreement yesterday, Ron McKay and I -- Ron is here with me -- had a tough decision to make. We had actually gone to the extraordinary effort of reading the transcripts from all over the state in order to reach out to customers throughout the whole service area. And we had quite a crowd of people that were going to be here today. I'm not sure in this large of a room if it would have been quite as packed as we were in Oviedo, and I'm not sure if we would have to be kicked out at the end of the evening without everybody having the opportunity to speak, but we certainly had a large crowd that was coming.

But because Ron and I are reasonable customers, when we learned of the settlement agreement and its imminent filing, we reached out to our neighbors and the folks across the state

and said, you know, come if you want to, but we think that this settlement agreement is a good thing, and that we will be there to represent you, to speak for you, because we know everybody -- I mean, this is a vacation day for me and my husband, and so we're enjoying Tallahassee. But we understand that this is a burden for a lot of people to have to travel to Tallahassee.

so we left the decision to them, and there are quite a number of people here from all across the state. But the point I want to make is not nearly as many people as would have been here had we not put the word out yesterday that, you know, perhaps you don't need to make that trip today. Gas is expensive, and time is important to all of us.

But that being said, I do have with me today that I will leave with whoever the appropriate person, a letter from our local County Commissioner, Bob Dallari. He couldn't be here today. He wanted to be, but they had their regularly scheduled commission meeting, so I have a letter from him for you all.

And I also -- in the last, gosh, ten days, I think, we have collected this many signatures on a very similar petition to what you saw in Oviedo that I am also going to leave with you today. For those of you that were in Oviedo, you will recall that I said that I have my network behind me as in the Verizon telephone commercial. And I have no stock in

Verizon. I'm not even a Verizon customer, but this is my network.

So I have had folks that have signed on to a little paragraph in support of the dismissal of the joint motion to dismiss and have asked me to speak on their behalf. I'm not representing them as legal counsel, but I am speaking on their behalf as citizens. And so, you know, all of these folks who would have loved to be here today, couldn't be or elected not to come at my suggestion. So I will leave this, as well.

And I want to thank everyone for their patience. We, as citizens, often found ourselves walking in a room, a dark room, looking for the light switch. A couple of times we hit the fire alarm, I think, unintentionally, but we have done the best we can to educate ourselves to meet with representatives from a variety of regulatory bodies. We've made phone calls; we've have done the best we can. And perhaps sometimes we have made some missteps along the way, but always with good intentions.

I want to personally thank the PSC staff who have been very patient, and in particular I know Cheryl Banks has been very patient with me, and I'm sure Ron has his list. But we appreciate their courtesies and their assistance. The Office of Public Counsel, in particular Steve Reilly for my purposes, and I know Charlie Beck, they have all been great, but they have been very responsive. They have called me at

hours of the evening that I would not have otherwise expected a call, particularly for someone who is not on an hourly time clock. And I also have appreciated my conversations with Cecilia Bradley and others.

2.2

And I don't want to waste your time. I just want to sincerely let you know how grateful I am for the effort, and that we citizens are grateful for the effort that has been put forth in this case. Not only has this been a large and complex case for you, but for us as citizens who are paying the monthly bills, with the poor quality water, it has been quite the challenge. And so I even want to go so far as to -- and I'm not sure if congratulate is the correct word, but I appreciate Aqua Utilities coming to this realization that a voluntary dismissal is in the best interest of its company, and in the best interest of the state and the taxpayers who have been supporting this effort, and in the best interest of the citizens.

It's challenging to know what words to say next, and I have thought in the last 24 hours about exactly what I would say next, because I appreciate the company's efforts. We, the citizens, the consumers, however, have -- we believe Aqua Utilities has a credibility problem, a serious credibility problem. And, you know, I could do a parade of horribles for you. I could talk about the misstatements or misrepresentations, whatever, the inaccuracies to the

variety -- not only to your staff, but to the other regulatory agencies.

But just yesterday, as luck would have it, I received a letter from Aqua Utilities. And as I was reading it, and they are telling me, you know, the things they are doing, investing in the system, and I am pleased to know that they are doing that. I know that the new chloromine system that is now under construction was supposed to be completed by June 10th, and that construction didn't begin until June 24th. And so it will at least be later in the year, November or December perhaps if construction goes as planned, that, hopefully, now after many, many years of the disinfectant by-products of being at levels that exceed EPA standards, which these standards have been in place since December of 2002. So here it is August of 2007, maybe by December of 2007 they can meet the EPA quidelines.

So as luck would have it, this letter came in just yesterday, and it further supports why we, as citizens, believe that Aqua has a credibility problem. And, honestly, among ourselves, we don't know if it is an incompetence problem or if it is an intentional misrepresentation problem. And without going through, because I will respond to the letter, I will set the record straight here. But just by way of example, they say here that on July 10th an Aqua representative spoke with you regarding your recent high bill of 73,000 gallons.

You would think that if they were going to send a letter to one of the most vocal residents in this case that they would get the number right. The highest that my bill has ever been was 30,000 gallons. And, yes, I questioned that and they had my meter sent out and had it tested and they say it's running fine. But the point is, that I'm trying to make, is here they have stated that I had a 73,000-gallon. In other words, the number is wrong. And so, you know, this is just one number, but when you are auditing this company, you feel like you have to look at every single detail because this is a consistent theme with this company. They just can't seem to get right.

I will be happy to leave a copy of the letter with you. I do intend to respond to it, because the other problem with this letter is it's inaccurate and it is misleading. And so if you, as the PSC, accept a paper trail from Aqua Utilities that looks like this letter looks, then you are getting not a clear picture of the situation. You would be left to think that I had a leaky toilet that ran so long that I ran up 73,000 gallons. That's what this letter would lead you to believe, if you were reading it.

So what I encourage you in your process and in your proceedings is every time -- we, as citizens, we have quite the network now, and we are meeting people from all across the state, and we want to participate. We want to provide some

oversight from the citizens. We want -- you know, when you get something that has data, ask us. You know, ask us if this is consistent with our experience. Ask us if we have information that is contradictory. Because if you just had this letter, you are not getting the whole -- not only are you not getting the whole picture, you are not getting an accurate picture. So I will leave my bill so that you can see that I have never had a 73,000 gallon. It shows the history. I think I gave you a bill in June.

Oh, one of the other things in here. I just love this line. We did review your account and believe you will be happy to know that an Aqua meter reader actually read your account from at least March through July of this year. Well, every bill I have ever received showed actual reading, not estimated. And if you see the pattern of the usage where it goes up, up, up, down, up, up, up. And so my point is that, you know, just reading this it says to me that this is an admission that anything before March may or may not have been actually read, even though the bill said it was.

And I think -- and I have heard conversation where Aqua Utilities, I think the light has finally come on. This letter was dated August 22nd. So giving them the benefit of the doubt, I assume this letter was written, proofed, and sent out prior to their decision to agree to the settlement agreement.

So in all good faith, I want to hope that as we move forward, that you, as the PSC, will continue to allow us our voice, not just me, not just Ron McKay, but all of the citizens are prepared to respond. And, you know, we have put in countless hours. I haven't kept up with them. We have dedicated ourselves to this process so that we can get exactly what Cecilia Bradley says we're entitled to. We believe quality water at reasonable prices is not an unreasonable demand. And so thank you for your time, and I will be happy to respond to any questions.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Ms. Sullivan.

I have said at many of our meetings before on a variety of issues that our processes work best with full and diverse participation. And so I know that we all thank you and all the customers and all the parties and the company for participating in all of the discussions and the analysis that have gone on and that will continue to go on.

I would like to give the company an opportunity to speak, and then, Commissioners, we can open it up.

Mr. Hoffman.

MR. HOFFMAN: Thank you, Madam Chairman.

Commissioners, my name is Ken Hoffman. I represent

Aqua Utilities Florida. To my right is Chris Franklin. Chris

is the president of Aqua America's south region, which includes

Aqua's Florida operations. Also with me today seated behind me

and to my right is Kimberly Joyce. Ms. Joyce is an attorney with Aqua America and Aqua's manager of regulatory affairs, and Jack Lihvarcik, who is the Chief Operating Officer for Aqua Utilities Florida.

Commissioners, this rate case has been a very difficult undertaking for everyone who has had any involvement in this process, not the least of whom include our customers. The company has incurred enormous time and expense in preparing this filing, in responding to staff audit requests, in responding to the discovery requests of staff, and in responding to the discovery requests of the Office of Public Counsel.

As you know, in view of the totality of the circumstances that have come to surround this case, the company has made the decision, we think a wise decision, to pull back from this pursuit of increased rates and take a voluntary dismissal of our case. We recognize that there have been missteps in dealing with our customers. There have been missteps in providing customers with consistent meter reads, and I'm talking about the terms of the intervals of the billing periods. There have been missteps in responding in a timely manner to this Commission and to the complaints of our individual customers.

But I can tell you this, this company has been working 24/7 on this case and has devoted substantial time and

resources that are focused, that have been and remain focused on tracking down and addressing each and every customer complaint, and in implementing sweeping changes in the various areas of customer service. There is a new regime in Florida. It is headed by Mr. Franklin. Now, although Mr. Franklin has been with Aqua America for some 15 years, he has only been directly and personally involved, and I'm talking about boots on the ground, if you will, in the southern region, including Florida, for about two months.

I am confident that under Mr. Franklin's leadership that this Commission, that each of you are going to see dramatic improvements in the way Aqua approaches its customers, treats its customers. I think that you are going to view Aqua as a model of diligence in the various aspects of customer service, given time to implement our commitments.

emphasize the desire of this company to be a part of Florida's landscape in the long-term. I would respectfully submit to each of you that a company like Aqua Utilities Florida can play a vital role in Florida by stepping up to the plate and taking on the acquisition of smaller systems or distressed systems that may have been abandoned by developers or that otherwise need or require central service, and Aqua can consolidate these systems into their Florida operations. And I think that all of that, all of that type of action furthers the public health and

safety and furthers the public interest.

We are currently operating 81 separate systems across 15 counties of this state that are under your jurisdiction.

And as Mr. Franklin will get into a little further, we feel that we need to move to some form of rate consolidation which is consistent with the advocacy of the staff witness, Mr.

Stallcup, in this case. And that's why we are going to be asking you as part of our agreement and as part of your order to acknowledge the need to investigate alternative forms of rate consolidation for the company in a Commission workshop before the end of this year.

I want to just wrap up by saying that, you know, over the course of the last week the Commission staff, the Office of Public Counsel, the Attorney General's Office have worked very hard, have worked very diligently toward the agreement that is before you. And I want to say that on behalf of the company we appreciate the cooperative efforts of your staff and the Public Counsel and the Attorney General on working on this agreement through the weekend and in reaching an agreement.

So with that, I would like to introduce Mr. Franklin, again, who is president of the south region for Aqua America.

MR. FRANKLIN: Thank you, Ken.

Madam Chairman, Commissioners, thank you for the opportunity this afternoon to address you.

It was back in 1886, believe it or not, when several

college professors put together a small water utility in southeastern Pennsylvania. A hundred and twenty-one years later that company is Aqua America. We now operate in 13 states. I raise that from the beginning just to let you know that we have always been a water company from the very beginning days, and no company that operates in a poor manner would last for 120 years. So during that period I know we have been doing some things right.

We are newcomers to Florida, arriving here first in 2003 with the purchase of water utilities formerly owned by AquaSource, the subsidiary of Duquesne Power and Light. Then we grew a year later with the purchase of Florida Water, which I think it is important to mention we purchased at the PSC established rate base. We didn't pay a premium for those properties. As you are well aware, many of those systems needed significant capital improvements and attention. I think this was evidenced by the fact that no other utility bid for those properties. Although we didn't know it at the time, we were the only bidder in the process.

So some may ask why would we purchase utilities that need such desperate attention and such a great amount of capital. And, frankly, that's what we do. It's our specialty. We typically come in and we purchase systems that need improvement, and we invest the necessary capital to bring them up to our high standard.

Since arriving in Florida only four years ago, Aqua has spent now statewide, here and in the Sarasota area \$30,000,000, four times our depreciation rate, to begin fixing all of the issues we inherited. Let's remember that we only have 40,000 customers statewide in Florida, and only about 25,000 of them are affected by this rate case. We're actually losing money, as anybody who has reviewed the record understands in this state. We will lose a million dollars again this year. There is no profit going back to shareholders from the state of Florida. We actually have negative net income.

1.0

Now, did we solve all the problems in our Florida properties with the capital we have spent? No, certainly not. We still have got a great deal to do. However, we did spend the first few years attempting to focus on environmental and water quality issues. Again, are they all perfectly solved? Not yet.

We have built and reconditioned several water and wastewater plants. As an example of our commitment of doing things right, both our chief engineer and our head of compliance are former Florida DEP officials. This year in 2007 we began to shift our attention to metering, and already this year we've replaced a quarter of all of the meters regulated by the PSC in Aqua Florida. And we intend to continue on with our meter replacement. Each of those meters that we replaced this

year was replaced with a radio frequency device as well to make us more efficient and more accurate in our meter reading.

Now, this case is particularly tough for me because I'm used to being the guy that comes in with the white hat on.

We, Aqua, are the guys that solve problems. In most cases in many states regulators call us to solve the problems.

Typically we bring our own well-financed capital, free and clear of politics that can typically accompany some municipal systems. Although also contrasted with many municipal systems, Aqua, as a private utility, does not ask for government funded bailouts or large grants.

So, let me acknowledge right up front that we have made our mistakes in Florida. I want to express our sincere apologies for the areas where we have fallen short in our customers' expectations. I want you to know that I personally lead the team that investigated every customer complaint from all eleven PSC hearings. Additionally, I plan to be accountable myself along with our Chief Operating Officer, Jack Lihvarcik, sitting behind me here, for the recovery in our areas of deficiency.

Now, I wanted to point out one particular issue because of its prevalence at the hearings. Aqua's billing system was specifically called into question. This particular complaint surprised me, because I knew back in 1999 we purchased and implemented in a large portion of the company one

of the top five billing systems in the country as ranked by Gardner, which is a nationally known publication. So I spoke immediately with our chief financial officer when this complaint was raised, and I said, Dave, we need -- we need to reassure ourselves and the customers that our billing system is not only intact, but operating efficiently.

2.0

Mr. Smeltzer, our CFO, immediately undertook an internal audit of our billing system. What we quickly found was it was not our billing system that was of particular issue. In fact, the billing system seemed to be working very well. However, it was the intervals by which we were reading our meters.

As I think we all understand, a meter reader should read a meter approximately every 30 days. If he reads them on the fifth of the month this month; he should read around the fifth of the month next month. We understand weather, flat tires, other issues that could vary that within a couple of days, but it should be a very regularized interval.

In fact, that's not what was happening. Our intervals in some cases varied to a large degree. We have already addressed this in a significant manner, and in August our results on our meter reading intervals have increased in a positive way and a very significant way. And we will continue to fine tune that process to make sure that our meter reading intervals happen in an appropriate manner.

As for the many other complaints we addressed, every customer who attended the hearings and raised an issue, and whose name and address either we or Commission staff had or had on record, received a letter summarizing the results of our investigation and any work that was done to their bill, property, or their meter.

And I have to apologize to Ms. Sullivan because, obviously, some of those letters in the large volume, there may have been a typo here or there, and I apologize right up front for your letter. We tried to handle that great volume in a very short period of time, and they were proofed multiple times. Sometimes mistakes happen.

I believe one of our challenges in Florida was right from the beginning our lack of communication with not only our customers, but also with the Commission. This will not happen again.

We plan to initiate town meetings as has been mentioned before. This town meeting format will be a continuous effort on our part to stay close to our customers and bring our management team much closer to our customer base.

Now, as Ken mentioned in his introduction, I have only been on this job for a few months, but I have spent literally countless hours on the necessary operational changes, communication improvements, and the addressing of every customer issue. Aqua's reputation in Florida will rise to the

level that we experience in the many other states where we operate. In fact, over the last several years we have tried to make a difference. I know in 2004 when the hurricanes hit in Florida, Aqua brought manpower and equipment from several other states to support the recovery effort and bring back clean potable drinking water in a very fast and efficient manner.

One of the things we have become aware of since we have been doing business in Florida is the tremendous need to stress water conservation. The average customer in our service area in Florida uses between 6,000 and 7,000 gallons of water a month. Compare that to the northern states, about 4,500 to 5,000 gallons a month for family usage.

From the newspaper accounts that I have been reading here in Florida it sounds as though people are complaining about \$300 monthly water bills. I want to make sure we all understand in order to achieve a \$300 monthly water bill, even in the areas with our highest rates in the state of Florida, you would have to use 56,000 gallons of water, almost ten times normal usage. It's for this reason that we have already circulated a draft to Cheryl and the staff, Commission staff, on conservation, a conservation brochure that we plan to circulate so the customers become much more aware of the volume of usage.

Just to give you an example, too, we did some quick calculations. For somebody that has a five zone sprinkler

system, waters only twice a week for 30 minutes each time. I'm sorry, for 20 minutes. Their bill would automatically be \$172.57. They would use 21,453 gallons. If they water for 30 minutes twice a week, again with a zone sprinkler, their bill would be \$229, using 32,000 gallons of water. So I want to make sure we associate volume with cost if we are going to get into the cost discussion.

We believe that the use of town meetings to communicate with our customers will help reinforce this effort of conservation and understanding. We believe that the reinforcement of clear and well-defined meter reading practices, regularized meetings with the PSC staff, and a reinvigorated process for handling customer complaints in a professional and timely basis will pave the way for a more successful relationship with all of our constituencies.

Now, as for the rate case, we are obviously disappointed in the outcome. We would have liked to present our side of this case. It's no secret that we have spent substantial sums in preparing and filing the case and answering more than 1,200 inquiries, interrogatories, document requests, and audit questions. Much of the detail and cost in the filing and in responding to the discovery questions of the staff and intervenors arises from the fact that we have 81 separate rate divisions under the Commission's jurisdiction in Florida.

The volume of work I realize was not only taxing on

the company, but the staff and the intervenors. And we concede now in hindsight that it was a monumental undertaking. But if nothing else, as the leader of our Florida operations, I believe it's critical that the company learn and move forward from past mistakes and work with the Commission in developing a regulatory framework, constructing a regulatory road map, if you will, that will provide the most efficient mechanism in the future pricing of our services and the filing of future rate cases.

In the past this Commission has looked at and explored the benefits of various forms of consolidation. The staff witness in this case, Mr. Stallcup, clearly recognized the benefits in rate consolidation and offered two different alternatives for moving in that direction. That's why the request in front of you is so important.

While we work to make our improvements, we must get some regulatory certainty on the rate consolidation issue. The provision in the agreement of the parties which is supported by the staff to hold a workshop at the end of this -- by the end of this year to address policy issues and the implementation issues that flow from rate consolidation is incredibly important to our company, and I believe to our customers.

Again, I'd like to thank the parties for working with us even through a very hot and long August weekend to come up with a very positive solution going forward. I think

Mr. Beck's comments earlier in this meeting illustrated the need to take a look at this consolidation, the fact that an investment of any kind on a small base of customers can have a dramatic effect on rates.

So we look forward to -- we believe this proposal put before you today is a step in the right direction. It ensures an ongoing dialogue with our customers and your staff, the Office of Public Counsel, and the Attorney General's Office. I am committed, my staff is committed to making this work in Florida, and we are hopeful that the Commission approves our settlement and will support the efforts to set up a workshop where we can create a dialogue on the rate consolidation issue and regulatory certainty for the company going forward.

And I thank you for your time today.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Franklin and Mr. Hoffman.

Commissioners, I'm trying to think through how best to move through some of these issues procedurally. I do think that if we use the item that is before us, the staff recommendation issues as a guide that will lay out and, again, kind of get us to where we need to be and the opportunity to address any questions, concerns, or comments.

Issue 1 we have taken up, which was oral argument by affording the opportunity for comments.

And Issue 2, which would be, I believe, basically to

acknowledge the voluntary withdrawal, if, indeed, that is the will of the Commission. If, indeed, we want to acknowledge that voluntary withdrawal in a positive manner, that to my understanding will basically address Issues 2 and 7. And I, of course, will look to our legal staff if I have misstated that.

Mr. Cooke, does that sound correct to you?

MR. COOKE: Yes, Madam Chair.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

So, Commissioners, I think that if we can discuss or have questions, if there are any on the voluntary withdrawal, and then we see where we are as a body on that, and then we can address the issues in 3, 4, 5, and 6 regarding the interim rate refunds and revenue. And also the show cause items that dealt with the customer service, meter reading, et cetera.

So with that, I think what I would like to do is see if there are questions or discussions on the voluntary withdrawal. Commissioners, any questions or discussion on that point?

Commissioner Skop.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Again, in going through issue-by-issue, I have at the appropriate time just some general comments to make, but I will defer to getting through the issues to the extent that the motion to withdraw came before the joint motion to dismiss, which really is somewhat overcome by events, if you will. But

I would like to make some general comments at the appropriate 2 time. CHAIRMAN EDGAR: You can do that now or do it later. 3 What is your pleasure?

COMMISSIONER SKOP: I will see where we go a little bit, but I do want to make some general comments.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay.

Commissioner Carter.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioners, again, I think the best way to approach it is to see if there is support for the voluntary withdrawal, and if not, we will see where that takes us, as well. So are there questions or comments on that?

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Madam Chairman, I think as I was listening to the parties, OPC, the Attorney General's Office, and the customers, that they were in -- unless I'm putting words in someone's mouth, I thought I heard them to say they were in favor of the notice of voluntary dismissal, so we could move forward with the settlement as presented.

So if no Commissioner has any comments or anything like that, I would move the acceptance of it.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay. We have a motion to acknowledge the voluntary dismissal or withdrawal. I think I have heard both terms used, and I think that they are basically one in the same. Are there questions? Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Second.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay. Commissioners, any discussion 1 on that? 2. Seeing none, all in favor say aye. 3 (Simultaneous affirmative vote.) 4 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Opposed? 5 Show it adopted. 6 That moves us through Issue 1 and through 7 Issue 2 as it is laid out in the item before us. 8 And then Issues 3 and 4, which deal with the interim 9 rates which had been approved according to law prior to this 10 date, and the potential refund of those amounts with dates and 11 numbers and all of those sort of details laid out in the staff 12 recommendation. Is there discussion or questions for any of 13 the parties or for our staff? 1.4 Commissioner Carter. 15 COMMISSIONER CARTER: I think from staff's 16 perspective I believe they said that there will be a refund 17 with interest for the interim rates that were given. Is that 1.8 correct? 19 MR. WILLIS: That's correct, Commissioner. 20 interest rate will be calculated based on the commercial paper 21 rate over the time period the interim rates were collected. 2.2 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Thank you. And there was one 23 other question dealing with rates I had. 24

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay.

25

COMMISSIONER CARTER: They would refund the interim 1 rates, and I quess, then, with the case being withdrawn, then 2 there would be no need to go further with the rates as 3 presented, because there would be no case before us. Is that correct? 5 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: That is my understanding. 6 7 Ms. Gervasi? Mr. Willis? MR. WILLIS: That's correct. 8 MS. GERVASI: (Indicating yes.) 9 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Are you clear? Commissioners, any 11 other questions to make sure that we are all clear with where 12 we are? 13 Okay. Seeing none, my thinking is that Issues 3 and 14 4 go together nicely and neatly. And, again, the detail as to 15 the dates and the specific amounts are laid out in the staff 16 recommendation. So if there are no further questions, is there 17 a motion to adopt the staff recommendation on Issues 3 and 4? 18 COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: So moved. 19 20 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Second. 21 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay. Commissioner Argenziano has 22 made a motion to adopt the staff recommendation in Issues 3 and 23 4, and Commissioner Carter has given us a second. Is there any 24 further discussion? 25 Seeing none, all those in favor of the motion say

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 aye.

2.0

2 (Unanimous affirmative vote.)

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Opposed?

Show it adopted.

Commissioners, that brings us, I believe, to Issues
5 and 6. Issue 7. Okay. Let me finish my thought process and
I will come right back to you, Commissioner Carter.

My thinking is that Issue 7 is moot from the actions we have taken. Issues 5 and 6 kind of go together, but,

Commissioner Carter, do you have a question or a clarification?

COMMISSIONER CARTER: I was thinking that -- from my understanding that 2 was tied up with 7. Is that correct? Did I misread that in staff's recommendation? That if we moved on Issue 2 that would also take care of Issue 7?

MS. GERVASI: Yes, sir, in the sense that we are recommending that both of those two issues are moot at this point because you have acknowledged the voluntary withdrawal. So Issue 7 is moot and need not be ruled upon.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. So that -- I was just trying to get some kind of procedural perspective, because -- so we can get to where we are, and I know that we all have comments on this case, and we want to make those. But I just wanted to kind of see procedurally where we were on that, Madam Chairman. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner

Carter. Comments are always welcome at any time.

I do think that we probably need to address Issues

5 and 6, which again deal with specific complaints and concerns

regarding customer service, meter reading, customer complaints,

et cetera.

Commissioners, are there questions on Issues 5 and 6?

Commissioner McMurrian.

COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: Thank you. I guess this is the appropriate time to ask questions about the proposal we have before us, right?

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Sure.

COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: This is for the company with respect to the town hall meetings. I was wondering if you had a plan for when you were going to start those town hall meetings with the customers?

MR. FRANKLIN: Commissioner, I don't have a date in front of me now, but we would like to begin them as soon as possible.

COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: Okay. I think that's good.

I guess I just wanted to raise that to talk about perhaps
taking into account the schedule of the body of customers you
have. And perhaps if there are large numbers of customers that
are away from their homes in Florida at a certain time, I hope
you would take that into account, because I think that's just
something we may need to go ahead and look at now, so that

customers don't feel like they, once again, missed some opportunity.

I realize with our hearings we have to schedule them within a certain time by statute and things. And we ran into that some with customers that couldn't be there, but I think that's important to try to make sure they have an opportunity. And if they need to be scheduled more timely or sooner than that, and there are customers that have to be away, if there is a way to make clear that there is a way for them to submit comments and make that very easy for them to understand where to submit those so that they feel like they are able to go on record like the other customers who could be in attendance. So I just ask that you consider that.

MR. FRANKLIN: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Skop.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes. I also have one question to the parties, and then that may be an appropriate time after that to get into my perspective.

But with respect to the proposed settlement agreement on Issues 5, 6, and 7, at the end of the first sentence to benefit all AUF customers under the jurisdiction of the PSC, I would ask that the parties agree to insert Florida prior to the word PSC, such that it is the Florida PSC.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Skop, were you talking

about Issue --1 2 COMMISSIONER SKOP: That's on the proposed settlement 3 agreement. 4 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Right. I missed the section -- or the specific issue that you were on. 5 6 COMMISSIONER SKOP: On Issue --7 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Issues 5, 6, and 7? 8 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes, ma'am. 9 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Third line. Thank you. COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes. 10 And just at the end of that 11 first sentence, insert the word Florida to assure that it is 12 the customers within the jurisdiction of the Florida PSC as opposed to potentially other out-of-state areas associated with 13 the voluntary contribution. 14 15 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay. Other language clarifying, technical thoughts? 16 17 COMMISSIONER SKOP: No. I mean, I shared the concern that Commissioner McMurrian had about the tightness of the 18 language. But, again, I will leave that to the parties to hash 19 20 out, but I do have some overarching comments at the appropriate 21 time, but I will defer to other questions at this point. CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioners, any other questions 22 at this point? 23 24 Commissioner Carter. 25 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Just kind of a subpart to

Commissioner McMurrian's question. Obviously, I don't want to try to tell you how to run your business, but in view of the credibility problem that this company has, it may be prudent to have these town hall meetings on a year-round basis. Because if you think about the fact that -- as I see it, it is a tremendous credibility gap here, and in order to restore that and maintain some goodwill, you are going to have to be there more than one shot. If you just held one town hall meeting, you've got 15 counties -- we had hearings all over the state in those areas that are impacted.

You have got 15 counties involved here, and I think that, you know, as someone said, all politics are local, but I think that all utilities are local, too. And if I am living in a subdivision in one county, and you had a hearing in the county next door, and say we got there for this area, then that is not going to please me. So I would hope that you would consider in terms of being able to overcome the credibility lapse that you would have those on an ongoing basis, possibly year-round, because actions speak louder than words. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

Commissioner Skop.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Commissioner Carter always reads my mind, so -- I had to get a chuckle out of that.

But, again, I kind of wrote this perspective as somewhat of an opening statement, but, again, the course that we have taken through your leadership has caused us to address the issues in a timely manner. And I think that now is the appropriate time to probably make some of my comments that I would like to present more specifically to Aqua, but also to the parties.

1.5

As a new Commissioner, I had a unique opportunity to attend 11 of the 12 service hearings associated with this rate case at which countless numbers of consumers lodged sworn complaints regarding quality, customer service, and implicated both metering and billing issues.

First and foremost, I want to commend these consumers for engaging in the process, especially since some of the service hearings lasted over seven and a half hours, i.e., Chuluota. I also recognize that some of the participants have driven more than several hours from their respective service areas to be here today, Ms. Sullivan.

The issues before us today, however, are the remaining items needing to be addressed within the staff recommendation associated with the joint motion to dismiss.

And as noted within Aqua's notice of voluntary dismissal, the petitioner has always had absolute right to withdraw from this case. Accordingly, I am not very sympathetic to the position in which the company finds itself to be in today.

This is an eleventh hour negotiated settlement which not all consumers agree with. I have written correspondence from customers McKay, Oldham, and Schwartz (phonetic) basically questioning the allocation of the funds and how those would be used as proposed in the settlement.

And briefly I would just like to speak to some of the language that Mr. McKay addressed in his correspondence, and this was dated Thursday, August 23rd. Quote, it is apparent they simply want to avoid looking bad for investors as a result of the continuous neglect and disregard of their obligations. It certainly would be nice that if I ever get a speeding ticket that the judge might allow my fines to be directed towards making improvements for my car. I seriously hope the PSC -- I would seriously hope that the PSC will not entertain this idea, end quote.

Quote, I think the PSC has been very lenient and have given Aqua enough opportunities to remedy their violations before being assessed with these fines, end quote. Quote, lack of preparation for the MFRs and the proof needed for this rate case has caused the taxpayers a tremendous amount of money and also wasted a vast amount of time for everyone involved, end quote. Mr. Oldham echoes Mr. McKay's sentiments.

However, as a matter of judicial economy, courts generally favor settlements among the parties, and having reviewed staff's recommendation and the negotiated settlement

agreed to by all the parties, I am willing to vote in favor of the agreement. I do not feel that the agreed settlement, however, has the same force and effect of a show cause determination to the extent that the show cause carries with it a strong regulatory signal and deterrent effect.

2.1

So while supporting the settlement, I want to emphasize -- and, again, Commissioner Carter used my words -- that actions speak louder than words. And I'm encouraged by the steps that Aqua has taken to address and remedy some of the concerns that have been expressed and stepping up to the plate to do right. And I fully expect Aqua to honor the terms of their agreement and sincerely hope they will address these noted problems in a timely manner.

And speaking to my concern, I think, which the parties hopefully will agree to to insert the word Florida before the PSC on Issues 5, 6, and 7, the only other concern that I have with respect to the proposed settlement agreement is the language about the additional matter raised by Aqua.

And first and foremost, I want us to have a stable regulatory environment. And I appreciate the need for regulated entities to earn a return on investment. I guess the trouble I'm having with this is that initially in Aqua's rate case they requested countywide rates. And now again they are relying on staff's testimony to support rate consolidation, which may be in the best interest of everyone involved. You

know, I haven't formed a basis of opinion. We haven't gotten to that point in the process. But, again, at customer service hearings there was customer opposition to a rate structure of that nature. And I think those are things that will be addressed issue -- I mean, later on in the course.

But I just thought that it was a little bit odd. I noted that the parties needed to agree not to object to such a request. But, again, Aqua at any time has had an absolute right to withdraw. It also has a right to request a workshop. So, again, I will acknowledge the agreement to the extent that it contains the stipulation of the parties that they won't object to any such workshop. But, again, I don't want to have the Commission feel bound to be moving in that direction specifically, but I am supportive of holding constructive workshops to address what's in the best needs of customers and consumers, and, certainly your customers in the manner in which you serve them.

So, again, I will support the agreement; but, again, I think the concerns that I have should be duly noted. And, again, I think that the withdrawal probably should have come at a sooner point in time given some of the problems. But, again, I commend the parties for reaching the agreement, and I would like to commend Ms. Sullivan and all the consumers that have taken their time to express their concerns regarding the rate case that was before them. And I sincerely hope again that

actions will speak louder than words, and I look forward to Aqua trying to address these problems in a timely manner.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Carter.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Thank you, Madam Chairman.

I wanted to just kind of speak to the customers we had in all of the hearings that I went to, and all of us went to hearings. And one of the things that really concerned me was a lot of the people saying, you know, people in Tallahassee they just, you know, they make decisions in Tallahassee that impact us and we don't have any input. And all of us, individually and collectively, assured the citizenry that we would be listening to them. And I think that this agreement here today, both from the Office of Public Counsel, from the Attorney General's Office, the company and staff, and all, it shows that when people come together -- I mean, that's what makes America great is that citizens, you know, people come together to say we want our community to be a better place.

At some of the hearings that I attended, some of the people were saying, well, my neighbor couldn't get off work, but these are his concerns, and that happened in more than one instance. And it was just a powerful expression of the good thing that makes Florida what it is. And it just -- I'm so pleased to see -- I know that you had a lot of people lined up to come here today, but your voice speaks very loudly, and we

listen to the people. And I think that the company listened to the people, too.

I know that the Attorney General's Office and Office of Public Counsel and our staff worked at great lengths to let people know that you do make a difference. And I think that the end product that we are looking at here today in this settlement that will be taken up in a few minutes or so, it shows that we did listen to the people. The people's voices were heard. We did listen to the concerns.

None of us were pleased with any of the things that we saw in terms of customer complaints or anything like that. And I think that this agreement gives the people in the community, particularly the ratepayers, an opportunity to say that my voice does matter, you know. And we are talking about quality water, because, I mean, it is the lifeblood of our society. I mean, you can't exist without water. Quality drinking wear, you know, healthy wastewater facilities at an economical and efficient price for the customers, that's what we are really talking about.

And I think that -- Madam Chairman, I think I want to say to the Office of Public Counsel, to the Attorney General's Office, to the ratepayers, to the company, to our staff, I think that this is a -- it is probably the best-case scenario based upon the facts in this case. At the appropriate time, I look forward to supporting the settlement.

1 Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

Commissioner Argenziano, did you have a comment?

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I didn't know if we had voted on our final issues yet.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: We have not.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. I'll reserve my comments until we take care of that anyway.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay.

Commissioner McMurrian, did you have a -- okay.

COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: I did have some. Thank you,
Chairman.

I wanted to echo what Commissioner Carter said about the customer participation. We really appreciated that. And I want to especially thank them for some of their comments about how valuable our process was, too.

And I wanted to quote Mr. Gary Schwartz (phonetic) and I hope I didn't butcher his name. But there was an e-mail that looks like came in today, and he said on a personal note, "I applaud the public process and discourse provided to Florida taxpayers in this matter. For me the experience of becoming active in this issue has been an opportunity to move away from apathy and be engaged. The meeting in Oviedo on 6-26-07 was a wonderful example of democracy in motion and provided an opportunity for firsthand experience in how testimony, facts,

and history can support a PSC decision that serves the good of the state and its citizens." And I thought it said it better, of course, than I could.

2.3

And I think there are several people in this room, including the parties, and especially our staff that I think helped solidify those kind of feelings. I heard it from several people at our customer meetings that they appreciated how we went to them and heard from them.

And first I mentioned the Chairman and her office, especially Roberta and Sandy for scheduling all those service hearings in the service area and giving people a reasonable opportunity to attend. And I wanted to especially thank the staff assigned to this case. I think you have been absolutely swamped in this case, and at every point I always had confidence that you were taking care of all those details.

And I just wanted to mention some of them on the record: Keeping the spreadsheets of all the customer concerns, getting the company to follow up, being there at the hearings to answer all of those customer questions, and a lot of ours, too. We turned to you several times. Also, putting new ideas on the table, and we could probably talk about that a little bit more. And now working to settle the instant matter in a way that is more beneficial to the consumer, I believe, than where we started from. I especially want to mention Marshall, Cheryl, Troy, Rosanne, Catherine, Ralph, Jennie, Paul, Gerald,

Tom and Bev. My most sincere thanks, and I really appreciate it.

And I will support -- I have some of the same concerns that have been mentioned here today and, obviously, by the customers throughout, but I'm optimistic that things are going to get better, and I certainly love to hear about the town hall meetings and the meetings with the parties to hopefully move forward.

So with that, thank you.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

Commissioner Skop.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Madam Chair.

And, again, I would like to also commend staff, all the names that Commissioner McMurrian mentioned, for all the hard work that they have put into it. But, more importantly, for their diligence in following up on the refunds due to the customers and keeping abreast of those and tracking those for me. That has been very, very helpful.

And, also, I inadvertently omitted it, but, again, I want to emphasize with respect to the proposed settlement agreement the inherent intrinsic value in that agreement is there to the extent that the value to the consumer is far in excess of the \$50,000 of the contribution-in-aid-of-construction, because, again, there is carrying costs associated with that. So there is a tremendous value to the

customer for adopting the proposed settlement, and I think that that is one of the things that factored duly in my consideration. But, again, I hope that actions speak louder than words, and I hope that we can correct these problems.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

Commissioner Argenziano.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. I guess we'll vote last.

I guess, you know, when I went to the service hearings and started researching on some of the issues, and I just could not believe what I had heard. And we would all have to have been blind not to see that there were real deficiencies, and that I felt when I heard the customers coming up with really legitimate concerns, and they were -- as we said before, they were trying very hard on conservation methods.

I was impressed to hear some of the measures that they were actually doing with the kid with three-minute showers and the timers and the things that they did to try to conserve. And what I kept thinking of is that, you know, they have, the customers have a reasonable expectation for, you know, not only water quality, good water at reasonable rates, but accurate and timely meter readings, and all the things that I kept hearing and, of course, that was lacking.

And, Mr. Franklin, and I say this with all due

respect, you mentioned that the company had been in business for 120 years, and I was thinking at the time you said that was you think you would have had it down pat a little bit to notice the red flags.

And what I seem to have found is that I really think the company was caught by surprise. Because I thought -Ms. Sullivan said she wasn't sure which it was, was it incompetence or was it intentional? And, you know, I sat in the hearings and thought for a company to come in and ask for a rate increase, and some of these increases, 726 percent, 580 percent, 468 percent, were incredibly high. And I thought for them to come in and not -- you know, if it was intentional, you were broadsided big time, because the consumers definitely came out and let you know.

So I really feel that with the consumers out there really using their government -- and by the way, and I know you don't have to worry about this with this Commission, you never have to ask to be allowed to participate in your government. This is your government. And if you don't participate, then you lose. So what you did was incredible, and the effort does not go unnoticed. But in saying that, you know, I really think that it opened the company's eyes. And having that effect is probably better than anything else the outcome that I could have thought of.

And I am hoping -- and I say this because I also

heard Mr. Franklin say that, you know -- and I know you have a tough job ahead of you, and I'm not envious because you are going to take some lumps, and I know you are going to hear a lot. But I heard you say that your company is used to solving problems, and that regulators in other states usually come to you, come to you. But I will tell you this, and I can give you this guarantee, and I don't say it in a threatening way, I say it as doing my job, is that if you can't correct the problems and the company doesn't, and I think you have every good effort to do that, I will be calling you back, that's for sure.

So I do commend the staff, great information, great work. We need that and I really appreciate that. And I just wanted to say to the citizens, remember, it's your government and you made it happen. You made a thing happen for the company, because, you know, without consumer confidence, the company is just not going to do well. And I think you have a tremendous uphill battle to bring back that confidence. And I think working with your customers is going to do you a great deal of good.

And I wish you great success, because we need you to be supplying water, quality water and doing it in a reasonable way. And that also gives me confidence the next time you come before me, and I hear the consumers say, you know, they are really trying to work, and that's what we are hoping for. So I am very pleased with the outcome at this point, but we are

going to be watching. So, thank you.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Commissioner Argenziano.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Madam Chairman, I'm sorry.

Could I just say one -- I apologize.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: I did want to mention that Representative Sandy Adams really did an awesome job. I think she did a great job in representing the people of her district. And I have been talking with her. I think she is planning to make some statutory changes.

And I just wanted to mention one other thing. It's kind of like off the subject here, but in regards to irrigation, I do believe that Mr. Franklin made a very good point. A lot of people really don't know how much water they are using every time they irrigate. And I had a discussion with Representative Adams, and I think she is going to look into it. There have been a lot of cities and counties who disallow sinking a well just for irrigation purposes. And that may be the wrong thing to do when we are looking at trying to save, you know, and conserve. So you may want to talk to your representative about supporting her on some of those issues, too, in the legislative process.

Thank you, Madam Chairman.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

We have had a lot of thank you's, and so I won't

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

repeat them all, but I will echo all of those. And also I want to add one, which is to say thank you to each of my colleagues.

I know that each of us attended a number of public hearings.

Going to customer meetings is such an important part of our process, and I appreciate the support and enthusiasm that each of you brings to that part of our job.

I know that Commissioner Skop and I were in Gainesville. And when we first walked into the meeting, there were a number of angry customers. And I hope you will agree that the company listened, and OPC was there and was very responsive to questions, as was our staff. And I think that each of the customers that were in attendance felt like they had been heard, and they were not as angry when they walked out of the room, which is a nice feeling.

So with that, Commissioners, I think that where we are is that to address Items 5 -- or Issues 5, 6, and 7 that are before us. We could, if you are amenable, have a motion to adopt the language in the agreement that has been put before us with the addition of the word Florida before Public Service Commission.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: So moved.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Argenziano so moves.

Commissioner Carter seconds. Thank you very much.

Any further discussion?

Seeing none, all in favor of the motion say aye.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 (Unanimous affirmative vote.)

2.1

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Opposed? Show it adopted.

Commissioners, that leaves us just with Issue 8, which was a recommendation to close the docket, but my understanding is that with some of the decisions that have been made today and some of the next steps that have been laid out in the agreement and the discussion, there may be a better way to approach that.

Ms. Gervasi, do you have a suggestion?

MS. GERVASI: Yes, ma'am. I would recommend modifying Issue 8 to keep the docket open. Here is the language, that the docket should remain open long enough for staff to verify that the revised tariff sheets and customer notice have been filed by the utility and approved by staff, that the interim refund has been completed and verified by staff, and that the docket should be closed administratively upon staff's verification that those items have been completed.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you, Ms. Gervasi.

Commissioners?

Commissioner Skop.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Madam Chair.

With respect to the language that we just adopted in the proposed settlement agreement, it does have an Issue 8, where the parties agree that the docket should be closed. So, again, that may conflict with staff, so we may need to strike

that line in the proposed settlement agreement that I think we just adopted.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay. Commissioner Skop, the motion that was before us was to adopt the language in the agreement in regards to 5, 6, and 7, so we did not adopt language on 8 yet.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay. And if there are further questions, we can address those. If there are not, is there a motion to address the remaining issues in the docket as

Ms. Gervasi has suggested or otherwise?

Commissioner Carter.

2.0

COMMISSIONER CARTER: I just wanted to make sure that there is a -- it at least gives us a monitoring function so that we can assure that the terms and conditions of the settlement are carried out. That would be the part that would give me heartburn if we didn't have that. I mean, like Commissioner Argenziano says, trust but verify.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Carter, I understand and concur with that concern. It may be, and I will look to staff and, of course, to suggestions from each of you to maybe at some point forward rather than administratively close the docket, have an item to bring it back with a status report is maybe a suggestion.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Mr. Willis.

MR. WILLIS: We can do that, Commissioner. The proposal itself had a series of six meetings for six months with parties and staff to go over all of these concerns and monitor the situation and how well they were being resolved. We intend to do that whether the docket is open or not. If you feel better to have the docket open while that is in process, we can maintain that open for six months.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Carter.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Does this series of meetings include the ratepayers being apprised or invited to these meetings, as well? I know you said staff and the parties, but what about the ratepayers? Do they get a chance to be there, as well?

MR. WILLIS: I imagine they would. I would envision some of these would be conference calls.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: You may want to see if Mr. Beck has any thoughts on that. Could you speak to that point?

MR. BECK: Sure. That would be fine with us, and the normal way to do it. We could have participation -- wide participation that way. I certainly wouldn't have any objection to that.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Well, my concern -- excuse me if I am speaking out of turn, but my concern for that is that in order to overcome this credibility gap, then I think that the customers need to be there so they can verify and have some

input, as well. Because as you talk about the petitions, I think we had petitions in several of the other counties, as well. But I think that if there is a -- in order to overcome the credibility problem, as long as people are informed and people are being heard, if you say, you know, we had our first meeting, and we had -- X number of parameters have been checked off. And you have X plus Y number of customers saying, well, wait a minute. That's not so in my situation. So then we have a chance to deal with it before it gets -- before the wheels fall off the wagon. If we have a monitoring function, we can kind of deal with that as we go along.

Madam Chairman, that was my concern.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioners, other thoughts, comments, concerns, or requests?

Commissioner Skop.

1.0

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Again, if staff would like to propose that monitoring function that Commissioner Carter suggested, I echo that also.

I think that would be a good thing for the Commission to have.

So if we can make the appropriate motion, I would be happy to second it.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Argenziano.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: If I can, I would like to ask Mr. Franklin just one question that I did not ask before.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Of course.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: And it's probably obvious, but I just want to make sure.

2.2

As I mentioned before, I think you really were caught by surprise, and I view that in my opinion as a poor management problem in the state of Florida. Now, I imagine that you are now here to implement some type of management in your systems in Florida. I'm hoping that you recognize there has been a management problem also locally.

MR. FRANKLIN: Absolutely. Many of the issues that we found were preventable, and we are going to be diving into those issues in greater depth than we already have. We need to get through this initial step before we move there. But, absolutely, the next step is to take a deep dive into exactly what went wrong in all of these cases.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Thank you.

MS. GERVASI: And, Commissioners, if I may just reiterate something that Mr. Willis said, which is that the staff will monitor the utility's progress towards implementing this settlement, regardless of whether the docket is open or not at that point in time. And if there are any concerns that the staff has, we will bring them back to you.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

Commissioner Carter.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Does that mean that you are going to keep the customers aware? I mean, will they be -- you

know, there is a credibility gap. I think the people need to know what's going on. So, I mean, does that get us to the same place?

MR. WILLIS: If it helps, Commissioner, these meetings will be publicly noticed. Because they are in the sunshine with all parties meeting, they will have to be publicly noticed on our website. So customers will be aware if they are going to be held.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: That's cool, but we have some names and addresses already, don't we?

MR. WILLIS: Yes, we do.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: And we know where the people live, don't we? And we know what systems they are on, don't we? So if we are having these meetings, I think it would be prudent for us to do that, particularly in light of the credibility concern that we have here. I don't think we should take that lightly. I mean, is it just me or --

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: (Inaudible.)

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Ms. Sullivan, do you have any thoughts on this point?

MS. SULLIVAN: Credibility is key. And, for example -- and, yes, I am very Internet savvy and have learned a lot about the Internet in this process. But to put a notice on a Monday about a conference call that is happening on Wednesday, most of us are business people and we travel and we

are away. It is rather difficult as a consumer to be able to participate in a conference call that you only have two days notice.

2.3

That being said, I would believe that all of the citizens would like to have an opportunity -- for some of us, I certainly wouldn't suggest that 300 of us would participate.

But as we all know, often there is that, you know, 10 percent or 5 percent of the people that do a lot of laboring over projects. And I am confident that the citizens would call in and participate, and on occasion might actually come to Tallahassee to be there in person. So we would appreciate that opportunity.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner Argenziano. I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Is it possible to give more time and notice?

MR. WILLIS: Oh, absolutely.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Well, that may solve the problem.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Commissioner McMurrian and then Commissioner Skop.

COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: I mean, to add to that, I even thought it may not be a bad idea if we take some sort of minutes of the meetings and put those on the website so that a customer -- no matter how we notice it, there are going to be a lot of people who can't attend. And maybe at least if we put

that out there, and they can share it. I know that everyone doesn't have Internet access, but at least that would be one more way we could share with the public what was going on. So maybe if we could also do that.

MR. WILLIS: We can do that.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: And I see our staff nodding and taking notes.

Commissioner Skop.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Again, I want to echo the comments made by
Commissioner Carter, Commissioner Argenziano, and Commissioner
McMurrian. Again, I think it is very important to have
constructive change, given the credibility issue, to engage the
consumers in being part of the process at the town hall
meetings. So I think there's a lot of good points that were
just made, and maybe they need to be a little bit more -communication is the key. And I think Commissioner Carter
mentioned there are names and lists, and I'm wondering whether
the utility is going to send out notification mailers
identifying where the town hall meeting will be in a timely
fashion, which would address, I think, to some extent,
Commissioner Carter's concern.

Commissioner Argenziano raised some good points.

Commissioner McMurrian about meeting minutes that would be available to be disseminated on the web site so that consumers

that could not otherwise attend might be informed as to what was being discussed. I think all might be a good thing. So, again, I think there are some positive comments coming out of here and, hopefully, we can style some sort of motion which will encompass all of these positive suggestions.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay. Commissioners, what we have before us is whether to leave the docket open or closed, and other thoughts or directions that we would like to also adopt as a body.

So, Commissioner Carter.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Madam Chairman, I think in light of what staff has said, with the understanding of what we've just said among us about notice, about information, about timely informing the consumers and letting them know about what's going on, I think that with the understanding from what Ms. Gervasi said earlier, if we just said keep the docket -- you can close the docket, but with that understanding that staff will keep the citizens versed -- keep them informed about what's going on, as well as the town hall meetings and OPC and AG's office will be engaged. Did I hear you say that that would be appropriate if we just went with closing the docket with that understanding with what the spirit of the Commission is? Is that what you said?

MS. GERVASI: That is almost what I said.

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Help me out then.

68 MS. GERVASI: Rather than closing the docket 1 2 immediately, what we are recommending that the Commission do is 3 keep the docket open long enough for us to verify that the interim refunds have been made, that the customer notice has 4 5 been given --6 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. Six months, is that what 7 you were saying? 8 MS. GERVASI: I didn't say a time frame. We are not 9 sure exactly when the interim refunds will be completed, but after --10 11 COMMISSIONER CARTER: Why don't we just say six 12 months unless staff would come to us with a recommendation that 13 it should be earlier? How about that? 14

MR. WILLIS: We could do that, Commissioners. We would normally -- the Commission would normally give us administrative authority to close the docket after we verify that this has been done, would be the normal course. But we can do it either way you wish.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CARTER: Okay. I would make that motion, but one of you guys are going to have to write it up.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: And, Commissioner Carter, that makes our general counsel very uncomfortable. Not to speak for you.

Okay. Let me try this and let's see if I'm close.

Okay. I think what I have heard from each of my colleagues and from the staff is a need and a desire for our staff to follow

through on the information that will need to be submitted to us, and the steps that will need to be taken to implement the refunds, and to verify that all of the directions that we have given and adopted will be completed, and additional direction from my colleagues and from the discussion here at the bench about working more closely with the customers, consumers, OPC, and, of course, the company to get the word out and minutes of the meetings, and all other outreach types of tools that we have at our disposal, working, again, with all of the parties to the agreement and those customers who have to date and will in the future express specific interest in participating. And if that works, then the staff would have the ability, as they often do, to close the docket administratively after all of those steps have been taken with the appropriate follow-through that will you go on beyond that.

Commissioners, any concerns or additional thoughts?

Okay.

Commissioner Argenziano, could I get a so moved?

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: So moved.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Second.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

Seeing no further discussion, all in favor say aye.

(Unanimous affirmative vote.)

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Opposed?

Show it adopted.

On behalf of all of us, thank you all for your patience and for your participation. And our agenda conference is adjourned.

MR. HOFFMAN: Madam Chairman, I'm sorry. I was conferring. I was just unclear as to whether in approving the agreement as the Commission did whether the Commission had also approved the additional issue that was part of the agreement as moving forward toward the workshop to investigate alternative forms of rate consolidation. I was just unclear on that. I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Mr. Hoffman, my understanding from the discussion, if there is any disagreement, again, always let me know, but we have had discussion about the fact that a request for a workshop would be coming in, and that our staff will be working with the company, OPC, and others to move forward on that request.

MR. HOFFMAN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Thank you.

* * * * * * * * *

STATE OF FLORIDA CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON

I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section, FPSC Office of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.

IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.

DATED THIS 4th day of September, 2007.

JANE FAUROT,

Official FPSC Hearings Reporter FPSC Office of Commission Clerk (850) 413-6732

25