

Ruth Nettles

From: John_Butler@fpl.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2007 1:43 PM
To: Filings@psc.state.fl.us
Cc: Katherine Fleming; Jennifer Brubaker; Charles Beck; Minimushomines@aol.com; vkaufman@asglegal.com; zeasterling@ouc.com; wmillier@mbolaw.com; ryoung@yvlaw.net; fred.bryant@fmpa.com; jody.lamar.finklea@fmpa.com; dan.ohagan@fmpa.com; bmoline@publicpower.com
Subject: Electronic Filing for Docket No. 070650-EI / FPL's Preliminary List of Issues
Attachments: FPL's Preliminary List of Issues.doc

Electronic Filing

a. Person responsible for this electronic filing:

John T. Butler, Esq.

700 Universe Boulevard

Juno Beach, FL 33408

561-304-5639

John_Butler@fpl.com

b. Docket No. 070650-EI

In re: Florida Power & Light Company's Petition to Determine Need for Turkey Point Nuclear Units 6 and 7 Electrical Power Plant

c. The document is being filed on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.

d. There are a total of 3 pages, including an attached certificate of service.

e. The document attached for electronic filing is Florida Power & Light Company's Preliminary List of Issues.

(See attached file: FPL's Preliminary List of Issues.doc)

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Florida Power & Light Company's)
Petition to Determine Need for)
Turkey Point Nuclear Units 6 and 7)
Electrical Power Plant)

Docket No. 070650-EI

Filed: December 18, 2007

**FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S
PRELIMINARY LIST OF ISSUES**

Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") hereby files its Preliminary List of Issues.

FPL's preliminary positions will be included in its Prehearing Statement to be filed on January 4, 2008.

Issue 1: Is there a need for the proposed generating units, taking into account the need for electric system reliability and integrity, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519(4), Florida Statutes?

Issue 2: Is there a need for the proposed generating units, taking into account the need for fuel diversity, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519(4), Florida Statutes?

Issue 3: Is there a need for the proposed generating units, taking into account the need for base-load generating capacity, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519(4), Florida Statutes?

Issue 4: Is there a need for the proposed generating units, taking into account the need for adequate electricity at a reasonable cost, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519(4), Florida Statutes?

Issue 5: Are there any renewable energy sources and technologies or conservation measures taken by or reasonably available to Florida Power & Light Company which might mitigate the need for the proposed generating units?

Issue 6: Will the proposed generating units provide the most cost-effective source of power, as this criterion is used in Section 403.519(4), Florida Statutes?

Issue 7: Based on the resolution of the foregoing issues, should the Commission grant Florida Power & Light Company's petition to determine the need for the proposed generating units?

Issue 8: If the Commission grants Florida Power & Light Company's petition to determine the need for the proposed generating units, should the Commission's order expressly state support for the development of new nuclear generation, affirm the need to take steps now to preserve new nuclear generation as a resource option to meet future customer needs, acknowledge the risks and costs associated with a project of such magnitude and the corresponding stepwise role of the annual review process, and emphasize the importance of continued regulatory support throughout the process?

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

11007 DEC 18 5

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Issue 9: If the Commission grants Florida Power & Light Company's petition to determine the need for the proposed generating units, is it prudent for FPL to make advance payments for such long-lead procurement items as are reasonably necessary to preserve the potential for 2018-2020 in-service dates for the proposed generating units?

Issue 10: If the Commission grants Florida Power & Light Company's petition to determine the need for the proposed generating units, are prudent advance payments made prior to the completion of the proposed generating units' site clearing work properly characterized as "pre-construction costs," to be recovered pursuant to the mechanism provided in Rule 25-6.0423, F.A.C.?

Issue 11: If FPL were to file for recovery by May 1, 2008, would pre-construction costs associated with the proposed generating units that the Commission determines are reasonable and prudent be included for cost recovery purposes as a component of the 2009 Capacity Cost Recovery Factor in the annual Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery proceeding, pursuant to Rule 25-6.0423(5)(c), F.A.C.?

Issue 12: Should this docket be closed?

Respectfully submitted this 18th day of December, 2007.

R. Wade Litchfield, Vice President &
Associate General Counsel
Mitchell S. Ross
John T. Butler
Bryan S. Anderson
Antonio Fernandez
Jessica A. Cano
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

Stephen Huntoon
Florida Power & Light Company
801 Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 220
Washington, D.C. 20004

Kenneth A. Hoffman
Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell & Hoffman, P.A.
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 420
P. O. Box 551
Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551

Attorneys for Florida Power & Light Company

By: *s/ John T. Butler* _____
John T. Butler
Fla. Bar No. 283479