6

Responses to Question 4

Privileged and Confidential

Q: What percentage of FCG total load does the Miami/Dade load subject to this contract represent?

A: The percentage of FCG total load Miami Dade contract represents is

Q: What is the potential new load associated with the six EMD engines?

A: The potential new load associated with the six EMD engines is CFH.

Q: What would it cost Miami/Dade to bypass FCG and connect directly to FGT?

A: FCG does not have this information.

Q: What is the dollar amount that of fixed costs would be collected from the other ratepayers if Miami/Dade did bypass FCG?

A: The amount of fixed costs that would be collected from the other ratepayers if Miami-Dade bypasses FCG is **Section**, annually.

Q: Wouldn't the loss of Miami/Dade reduce costs to the remainder of the ratepayers by the amount currently collected through the CRA?

A: The loss of Miami-Dade would reduce the costs to the remainder of the ratepayers by **Summer** the amount currently collected through the CRA recovery factor, but this reduction would be offset by the amount of **Summer** that would have to be collected from the rest of the ratepayers if FCG loses this customer.

Attachment 1

Q: How were the numbers in column 2 derived?

A: The numbers is column 2 were from the original cost analysis of NUI, the numbers in column 3 were derived by applying the customer cost allocation factor in FPSC Order PSC-04-0128-PAA-GU, Docket No. 030569-GU, for the GS-1250K customer class to FCG's annual expenses. See attached excerpt.

Q: Does the last column represent the system average cost or the average cost to serve commercial
industrial customers similar to Miami/Dade?



DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00710 JAN 28 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Responses to FPSC Staff Second Data Request Docket No. 080672-GU January 9, 2009

1 A: The last column represents the average cost to serve commercial/industrial customers 2 similar to Miami-Dade, calculated under the formula approved for Miami Dade's rate class 3 in our last rate case. 4 5 Q: Why is the cost for the Alexander Orr plant less (on a percentage basis of the 'surveillance report' 6 number) than the Hialeah plant? 7 8 A: The original investment of \$833,239 to serve the Hialeah plant was higher than the 9 investment of \$387,250 to serve the Alexander Orr plant causing a higher requirement for 10 return on investments. 11 12 13 *Q: Provide FCG's total customer count and number of commercial/industrial customers.* 14 15 A: The total number of FCG customers is 102,736. Total FCG commercial/industrial customers is 6,198. Miami-Dade counts as a total of 3 commercial/industrial customers, 16 with two active services at the Alexander Orr facility and one service at the Hialeah plant. 17 18 19 *Q:* Of total FCG commercial/industrial customer load, what percentage does Miami-Dade represent? 20 A: Based on 2008, January – November information, Miami-Dade MACQ represents 21 22 of FCG system load and **4000**% of commercial/industrial customer load. 23 24 Q: Provide FCG's estimate of Miami-Dade's cost to bypass FCG services. 25 A: FCG estimates that Miami-Dade's cost to by-pass FCG services will be approximately 26 27 for the Alexander Orr plant; **State and P**for the Hialeah plant; and **S** 28 for the Black Point plant.