Ruth Nettles

From:

Scobie, Teresa A (TERRY) [terry.scobie@verizon.com]

Sent:

Tuesday, March 10, 2009 2:42 PM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

Angela Collins; App, Frank (FRANK); Kimberly Caswell; Cherie Kiser; David Christian; De O'Roark; Demetria

Clark; Floyd Self; Intrado; Lee Eng Tan; Townsley, Darrell S

Subject:

Docket No. 080134-TP - Verizon Florida LLC's Letter Regarding Motion for Summary Final Order

Attachments: 080134 VZ FL Letter re Motion for Sum Final Order-3-10-09.pdf



The attached one-page letter is submitted in Docket No. 080134-TP on behalf of Verizon Florida LLC by

Dulaney L. O'Roark III P. O. Box 110, MC FLTC0007 Tampa, Florida 33601 (678) 259-1449 de.oroark@verizon.com

Terry Scobie
Legal Secretary II
Verizon Legal Department
8800 E. Adamo Drive - MC FLTC0007
Tampa, Florida 33619-3526
813-483-2610 (tel)
813-204-8870 (fax)
terry.scobie@verizon.com

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01943 MAR 108

Dulaney L. O'Roark III
Vice President & General Counsel, Southeast Region
Legal Department



5055 North Point Parkway Alpharetta, Georgia 30022

Phone 678-259-1449 Fax 678-259-1589 de.oroark@verizon.com

March 10, 2009 - VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Ann Cole, Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re:

Docket No. 080134-TP

Petition by Intrado Communications, Inc. for arbitration to establish an interconnection agreement with Verizon Florida LLC, pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 364.162, F.S.

Dear Ms. Cole:

On January 22, 2009, Commissioner McMurrian, the Prehearing Officer in this case, issued an Order Granting Abeyance of this arbitration pending the Commission's resolution of Intrado's motions for reconsideration of the decisions in its arbitrations with Embarq (Docket No. 070699-TP) and AT&T (Docket No. 070736-TP). The Commission denied those motions on March 3, 2009, thereby affirming the Commission's dismissal of Intrado's arbitrations because it is not entitled to section 251(c) interconnection for its 911/E911 services.

Before the abeyance was granted, Verizon had filed a Motion for Summary Final Order, asking the Commission to find here, as it did in Intrado's arbitrations with AT&T and Embarq, that Intrado is not entitled to section 251(c) interconnection for its 911/E911 services. The Order Granting Abeyance stated (at 2) that "upon the Commission's resolution of the Motions for Reconsideration, an Order setting forth a new modified procedural schedule, including a due date for filing Intrado Communication, Inc.'s Response to the Motion for Summary Final Order, shall be issued."

Now that the Commission has denied Intrado's motions for reconsideration of the Orders in the Intrado/AT&T and Intrado/Embarg arbitrations, Verizon seeks establishment of any procedures necessary to expeditiously grant its Motion for Summary Final Order.

Sincerely,

s/ Dulaney L. O'Roark III

Dulaney L. O'Roark III

tas

c: Parties of Record - via electronic mail

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

0 | 943 MAR 10 8

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK