#### **Ruth Nettles**

Andrea Kruchinski [Andrea.Kruchinski@bsgclearing.com] From:

Monday, March 23, 2009 2:40 PM Sent:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us To:

Kevin Bloom; Laura King; Charles Murphy; Kathryn Cowdery; Susan.materson@embarg.com; Cc:

Greg.follensbee@att.com; ag.mccollum@myfloridalegal.com; keith.vandendorren@myfloridalegal.com;

Michael\_Palecki@oag.state.fl.us; Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us; mcglothlin.joseph@leg.state.fl.us; David Christian

Comments for Docket No. 090084 Subject:

Attachments: Florida PSC Comments to be filed.pdf

To whom it may concern:

Contact person: Andrea P. Kruchinski

7411 John Smith Drive, Suite 1500

San Antonio, TX 78229 Work: (210) 949-7152

Email: andrea.kruchinski@bsgclearing.com

Docket No: 090084 - Joint Petition of Public Counsel and Attorney General for Declaratory Statement and for Order Limiting Third Party Billing by Florida Telecommunications companies Verizon, Embarq, AT&T, et. al.

Comments being filed on behalf of Enhanced Services Billing, Inc.

Pages in attached document: 6

The attached document is ESBI's Comments concerning Docket No. 090084. The document contains comments concerning the Joint Petition filed by the Attorney General and OPC. The comments adopt Verizon's, Embarg's, and AT&T's Motion to Dismiss or Deny Joint Petition. They also discuss the consumer protections that ESBI currently has in place, and the material impact this petition would have on ESBI customers and Florida consumers.

Andrea P. Kruchinski Legal and Regulatory **BSG** Clearing 7411 John Smith Drive Ste. 1500 San Antonio, TX 78229

office: 210.949.7152 fax: 210.615.0877

email: andrea.kruchinski@bsgclearing.com

DOCUMENT NUMBER-CATE

02533 MAR 238



March 23, 2009

Ann Cole, Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re:

Docket No. 090084

Joint Petition for the declaratory statement regarding limitations on third party billing imposed by the Telecommunications Consumer Protection Act and for order prohibiting telecommunications companies from billing for services other than those authorized within the Act, by Attorney General and Office of Public Counsel

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filling in the above referenced matter is Enhanced Services Billing, Inc's Comments to the Joint Petition filed by the Attorney General and OPC. Service has been made as indicated on the Certificate of Service. If there are any questions regarding this filing, please contact me at (210) 949-7152.

Sincerely,

/s/ Andrea P. Kruchinski

Andrea P. Kruchinski

Enclosures

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Joint Petition of Public Counsel and Attorney General for Declaratory Statement and for Order Limiting Third Party Billing by Florida Telecommunications Companies, Verizon, Embarq, AT&T, et. al

Docket No. 090084

Filed: March 23, 2009

# COMMENTS OF ENHANCED SERVICES BILLING, INC.

Enhanced Services Billing, Inc. ("ESBI") respectfully submits the following comments on The Joint Petition of Public Counsel and Attorney General for Declaratory Statement and for Order Limiting Third Party Billing by Florida Telecommunications Companies Verizon, Embarq, and AT&T, et. al. As a third-party billing aggregator, ESBI would be substantially affected by the proposed limitation of restricting "entities for whom telecommunications companies...may perform third party billing services" and limiting the "services that may be the subject of such third party billing arrangements." These comments address specific concerns laid out by the Public Counsel and Attorney General in their Joint Petition.

I. Adoption of Verizon Florida, LLC, Embarq Florida, Inc., and AT&T Florida's Motion to Dismiss or Deny Joint Petition

ESBI adopts the arguments set forth in the above listed Local Exchange Carriers ("LECs") Motions to Dismiss or Deny Joint Petition. ESBI agrees that the threshold for a Declaratory Statement has not been met because Petitioner seeks a determination regarding past conduct and requests a ruling on that conduct. Further, there is a failure to state a claim because nowhere in the Telecommunications Consumer Protection Act does it say telecommunications companies are limited to the types of services they may include on local telephone bills. On the other hand, the Petitioner should deny the Joint

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02533 MAR 23 8

Petition because third party billing offers many benefits to customers, there are stringent consumer protections in place, and many of the services the Petition is requesting to limit have long been billed through the local telephone bill. ESBI, having read the arguments of AT&T, Verizon, and Embarq, wishes to incorporate them into its comments.

## II. ESBI has numerous consumer protections in place

ESBI completes a rigorous due diligence process of all potential customers and the services they intend to offer to end users (local consumers) before any charges are forwarded to the LECs. ESBI has implemented a Best Practices Program. The basis for the entire Program is an express authorization requirement. The objective is to eliminate cramming before it occurs and proactively address issues when they arise. The Program consists of due diligence, monthly performance monitoring, and testing of express authorization.

The due diligence process is a 100 step process where ESBI does a complete comprehensive review of documents, information, and research before records are submitted to LECs. ESBI "pre-screens" each service provider before it accepts them as customers by performing background and criminal checks of all the officers of potential service-provider customers and hiring a company to perform an on-site inspection of the facility where the services will be provided. ESBI also orders a Dun & Bradstreet report and requires articles of incorporation and other corporate documents for additional information about the service providers' business. ESBI then performs additional research about the service providers' sign-up process and the service itself. Once the company has been thoroughly researched, ESBI will randomly select 30 bill numbers and request documentation that shows the end users authorized those charges. ESBI will not

forward any of the first set of charges to the LEC for billing unless the service provider provides written documentation that all 30 randomly selected charges were in fact authorized. Once the customer is allowed to start billing, ESBI monitors the number of consumer, regulatory, and LEC inquiries associated with each customer and if the number of inquiries exceeds any of ESBI's preset thresholds, then ESBI repeats the "30 random charges" confirmation process to identify any performance issues. If a service provider is determined to be performing poorly, ESBI requires that service provider to submit an action plan to improve their performance. If the service provider fails to show improvement, ESBI has the option to either suspend or terminate the service provider.

The benefits of the program are to create a proactive approach instead of a reactive response and to increase and improve consumer protection. This process is put in place not only to protect the consumer, but protect ESBI and ESBI's customers. ESBI's customers know they will have to comply with this process or risk losing the ability to bill for their various services, which could ultimately cost them their business.

## III. Material Impact on ESBI Customers and Florida Consumers

ESBI customers are typically smaller companies without the resources to intervene in this type of proceeding on their own. As such, they rely on ESBI to represent their interests on important industry issues such as those under consideration in this proceeding. There are no other parties to this proceeding who can adequately address the concerns of ESBI or provide the unique perspective of its customers.

This Petition will have a substantial impact on ESBI's customers because it will adversely affect their ability to provide valuable services to consumers in Florida. By prohibiting telecommunications companies from performing third party billing for

services other than the telecommunications services and information services specified

within the Telecommunications Consumer Protection Act, these service providers will

lose a substantial part of their business. With decreased revenue, the service providers

will cease to exist. If these service providers cease to exist, then competition for those

products and services will decrease and prices will increase for Florida consumers. This

will deprive Florida consumers of services they desire. If customers desire services such

as voicemail, internet access, etc. then they should be able to have access to them at

competitive prices.

IV. Conclusion

ESBI respectfully requests that the Commission not enter a declaratory statement

that would limit third party billing or issue an order that would prohibit the billing of

services not expressly authorized by the Telecommunications Consumer Protection Act.

Respectfully Submitted,

Enhanced Services Billing, Inc.

By: /s/Andrea P. Kruchinski

4

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

#### Docket No. 090084

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the Enhanced Service Billing, Inc.'s Comments has been sent via electronic mail on March 23, 2009 to the following:

#### Florida Public Service Commission

Kevin Bloom
Laura King
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
kbloom@psc.state.fl.us
lking@psc.state.fl.us

# Florida Public Service Commission

Charles Murphy
Kathryn Cowdery
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
cmurphy@psc.state.fl.us
kcowdery@psc.state.fl.us

## Embarg Florida, Inc.

Susan S. Masterson
Mailstop: FLTH00102
1313 Blair Stone Road
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Susan.masterson@embarq.com

## AT&T Florida

Tracy Hatch c/o Greg Follensbee 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32303-161 Greg.follensbee@att.com

## Office of the Attorney General

Bill McCollum, Michael Palecki Keith P. Vanden Dooren The Capitol – PL01 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050 ag.mccollum@myfloridalegal.com keith.vandendorren@myfloridalegal.com Michael Palecki@oag.state.fl.us

## Office of Public Counsel

J.R. Kelly,
Joseph A. McGlothlin
111 West Madison St., Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us
mcglothlin.joseph@leg.state.fl.us

## Verizon Florida, LLC

David Christian 106 East College Ave. Suite 710 Tallahassee, FL 32301-7721 david.christian@verizon.com

/s/ Andrea P. Kruchinski