JEFF ATWATER President of the Senate



J.R. Kelly Public Counsel

# STATE OF FLORIDA OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

c/o THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE 111 WEST MADISON ST. ROOM 812 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-1400 1-800-540-7039

EMAIL: OPC\_WEBSITE@LEG.STATE.FL.US WWW.FLORIDAOPC.GOV LARRY CRETUL Speaker of the House of Representatives

April 7, 2009

Ms. Ann Cole Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Room 100, Easley Building Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 070703-EI

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed for filing, on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida, are the original and 15 copies of the Amended Direct Testimony of David J. Putman.

Please indicate the time and date of receipt on the enclosed duplicate of this letter and return it to our office.

Sincerely,

Joe a. Mc Slothlin

Joseph A. McGlothlin Associate Public Counsel

Enclosures

JAM:bsr



DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 0 3064 APR-78 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

## **BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

)

)

)

)

In Re: Review of coal costs for Progress Energy Florida's Crystal River Units 4 And 5 for 2006 and 2007 Docket No. 070703-EI

Filed: April 7, 2009

# AMENDED DIRECT TESTIMONY

## OF

# **DAVID J. PUTMAN**

# ON BEHALF OF THE CITIZENS OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

0000MENT NUMBER-DATE 000064 APR-78 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1		AMENDED DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		DAVID J. PUTMAN
4		On Behalf of the Office of Public Counsel
5		Before the
6		Florida Public Service Commission
7		Docket No. 070703-EI
8		
9	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.
10	A.	My name is David J. Putman. My business address is 2236 Royal Crest Dive,
11		Birmingham, Alabama 35216.
12		
13	Q.	DID YOU PREFILE TESTIMONY EARLIER IN THIS PROCEEDING?
14	A.	Yes. I submitted testimony on behalf of the Office of Public Counsel. The
15		testimony was prefiled on February 2, 2009.
16		
17	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR AMENDED TESTIMONY?
18	A.	My purpose is to revise the total amount of the refund of overcharges related to
19		the cost of coal at Crystal River Units 4 and 5 and associated costs of SO2
20		emissions allowances in 2006-2007 that appeared in my original testimony, as a
21		result of a modification to the calculation that underlay my earlier
22		recommendation.
23		

DOCUMENT NUMBER-CATE 03064 APR-78 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

2

# Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE MODIFICATION TO THE CALCULATION METHODOLOGY TO WHICH YOU REFER.

3 A. A central issue of calculation methodology in this proceeding relates to the 4 difference in Btu content (per pound or per ton) between the bituminous coal that 5 was actually delivered to the units in 2006-2007 and the more economical sub-6 bituminous coal that I contend the utility should have bought had it prudently 7 positioned itself to take advantage of the flexibility of Crystal River Units 4 and 5. 8 My objective has been to apply to the circumstances of 2006 and 2007 the method 9 of identifying overcharges that the Commission employed in Docket No. 060658-10 EI. At the time I prepared my testimony I believed the intent of the Commission 11 in Docket No. 060658-EI was to calculate a refund by substituting sub-12 bituminous coal for the highest costing 20% of the tons of coal actually delivered, on a ton-for-ton basis. Based on a review of PEF's rebuttal testimony and further 13 14 consideration, I now agree that in the refund calculation of Docket No. 060658-EI there was implicit recognition of the additional tons of coal needed to match the 15 16 total Btus actually delivered in the period. I therefore am revising the total refund 17 to take those additional Btus into account. This has the effect of an offset to my earlier calculation, and serves to reduce the amount of refund. The change affects 18 my Exhibits (DJP-7), (DJP-11), and DJP-13), which I have revised 19 20 and which are attached.

21

## 22 Q. HOW HAVE YOU GONE ABOUT THE REVISED CALCULATION?

A. The difference in Btus can be "made up" in a variety of ways. One way is to
assume that they would consist of the same highest costing tons of bituminous

2

1		coal actually delivered that the comparison methodology identifies as the coal that
2		the alternative coal would displace. That appears to be the assumption underlying
3		the refund made in the last case, and I have made a calculation on that basis.
4		
5		I would point out that an assumption that the additional Btus would be comprised
6		entirely of bituminous coal would have the effect of reducing the portion
7		consisting of sub-bituminous coal below the 20% level that the Commission said
8		should form the basis of a refund calculation in the narrative portion of its order
9		(just as an assumption that the differential in Btus would be made up of entirely of
10		sub-bituminous coal would increase the portion above 20%). An alternative,
11		which I believe would be most consistent with the Commission's intent, would be
12		to assume the difference in Btus would be made up of the same blend of 20% sub-
13		bituminous and 80% bituminous coal. I have made that calculation as well. The
14		results of both calculations appear separately on my Revised Exhibit
15		(DJP-7), attached.
16		
17	Q.	WHAT ARE THE IMPACTS OF THESE CALCULATIONS ON THE
18		AMOUNT OF COAL COST-RELATED OVERCHARGES THAT YOU
19		<b>RECOMMENDED IN YOUR EARLIER TESTIMONY?</b>
20	A.	If the adjustment proceeds from the assumption that the differential in Btus
21		consists entirely of the more expensive bituminous coal that was actually
22		delivered in 2006 and 2007, then the revised differentials in coal costs for 2006
23		and 2007, respectively, are \$14,705,117 and \$13,039,488, or a total of
24		\$27,744,605. If instead the differential in Btus is assumed to be made up of a

1		20/80 blend, then the revised differentials in coal costs for 2006 and 2007,
2		respectively, are \$15,436,386 and \$13,647,445 and the total for the two years is
3		\$29,083,830.
4		
5	Q.	PLEASE CONTINUE.
6	A.	The modification affects the calculation of the impact of the alternative coal on
7		the cost of SO2 emissions allowances, as well. I have revised Exhibit(DJP-
8		11) to show the impacts under the "all bituminous" and "20/80 blend"
9		approaches to the Btu differential. In each scenario, the additional cost of SO2
10		emissions allowances is somewhat lower than the values shown in my original
11		testimony. For the "all bituminous" Btu differential case, the excess cost of SO2
12		emissions allowances for 2006 and 2007 are \$1,178,424 and \$5,048,555,
13		respectively, or a total of \$6,226,980 for the two year period. If one assumes the
14		Btu differential is supplied with a 20/80 blend, the values for 2006 and 2007 are
15		\$1,154,166 and \$5,337,520, or a total of \$6,491,686.
16		
17	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE IMPACTS OF YOUR AMENDED
18		CALCULATIONS ON THE OVERALL REFUND TO CUSTOMERS
19		THAT YOU RECOMMEND.
20	A.	The impacts are summarized on my Revised Exhibit (DJP-13), attached.
21		Using the "all bituminous" approach to supplying the Btu differential, the total
22		refund, excluding interest, is \$33,971,584. Under the assumption that the Btu
23		differential would be supplied with a 20/80 blend of the alternative sub-

1		bituminous coal and bituminous coal, the corresponding value would be
2		\$35,575,517.
3		
4	Q.	DOES THAT COMPLETE YOUR AMENDED TESTIMONY?
5	А	Ves

## DOCKET NO. 070703-EI CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail to the

following parties on this 7th day of April, 2009.

Keino Young, Esquire Lisa Bennett, Esquire Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

R. Alexander Glenn, Esquire John T. Burnett, Esquire Progress Energy Service Co., LLC Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, Florida 33733-4042

John McWhirter McWhirter, Reeves Law Firm P.O. Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601-3350 Paul Lewis, Jr. Director, Regulatory Progress Energy Florida 106 E. College Ave., Suite 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301

James Michael Walls, Esq. Dianne M. Triplett, Esq. Carlton Fields, P.A. Post Office Box 3239 Tampa, FL 33601-3239

Cecilia Bradley Senior Assistant Attorney Office of the Attorney General The Capitol – PL01 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

Joseph A. McGlothlin

Docket No. 070703-El Calculation of Excess Fuel Costs Revised Exhibit No. \_\_ (DJP-7) Page 1 of 6

### Cost of Tons Actually Purchased and Delivered to Crystal River That Could Have Been Replaced by a Lower Cost Coal. Comparison of actual delivered cost vs. evaluated cost of coal not purchased BTU's ARE BALANCED WITH PURCHASE OF ADDITIONAL BITUMINOUS COAL

Line												
1		2006 Water Tons delivere	d to Crystal Ri	iver # 4 & # 5 =	2,689,454	X 20 % =	537,890	Tons available to b	e blended pric	or to shipment to	the Plant.	
2		2007 Water Tons delivere	d to Crystal Ri	ver # 4 & # 5 =	2,626,932	X 20 % =	525,386	Tons available to b	e blended pric	or to shipment to	the Plant.	
3									·	•		
4							YEAR 2006	i				
5				Co	st of Coal Actua	ally Purc	hased and	Delivered To Cr	vstal River			
6						•					Delivered Cost	Delivered Cost
7						Costs	<b>Delivered</b>	at IMT	Other	Gulf Barge	for Purchased	at Crystal River
8		<b>Highest Cost Supplies</b>				Cash	Cash	Delivered	Costs	Transport	Coal	Purchased Coal
9	YEAR	Actually Delivered	Tons	Btu/lbs	MMBtu's	\$/ton	\$/MMBtu	\$	\$/MMBtu	Ś/MMBtu	Ś/MMBtu	Ś
10	2006	1st Highest Cost	186,430	12,402	4,624,210	\$73.28	\$2.95	\$13,661,590.40	••	<b>,,</b>	,,	*
11	2006	2nd highest Cost	221,017	12,399	5,480,780	\$72.74	\$2.93	\$16,076,776.58				
12		TOTALS	407,447	12,400	10,104,989		\$2.94	\$29,738,366.98	\$0.06	\$0.30	\$3.30	33,376,163
13												
14												
15			Cost of	Tons Offere	ed for Purchase	at Cryst	al River Th	at Could Have R	eplaced Hig	her Price Coal.		
16												Evaluated Cost
17		Replacement				Cash	Cash	Cash	Evaluated	Evaluated		At Crystal River
18	YEAR	Sub-Bituminous	Tons	Btu/lbs	MMBtu's	\$/ton	\$/MMBtu	Cost	Cost/ton	\$/MMBtu		Un Purchased Coal
19	2006	Kennecott-Cahokia	500,000	9,350	9,350,000	\$34.97	\$1.87	\$17,485,000.00	\$34.37	\$1.84		17,185,000
20	2006	Kennecott-Cahokia	37,890	9,963	754,996	\$39.81	\$2.00	\$1,508,400.90	\$39.22	\$1.97		1,486,046
21		TOTALS	537,890	9,393	10,104,996			\$18,993,400.90		\$1.85		18,671,046
22												
23				AD	DITIONAL COST	in 2006	DUE TO PI	JRCHASE OF HIG	GHER PRICE	COAL:		14,705,117
24												

Docket No. 070703-El Calculation of Excess Fuel Costs Revised Exhibit No. \_\_\_ (DJP-7) Page 2 of 6

25							YEAR 2007					
26				Co	st of Coal Actua	ally Purc	hased and I	Delivered To Cr	ystal River			
27 28						Costs	Delivered a	t IMT	Other	Gulf Barge	Delivered Cost for Purchased	Delivered Cost at Crystal River
29		Highest Cost Supplies				Cash	Cash	Delivered	Costs	Transport	Coal	Purchased Coal
30	YEAR	Actually Delivered	Tons	Btu/lbs	MMBtu's	\$/ton	\$/MMBtu	\$	\$/MMBtu	\$/MMBtu	\$/MMBtu	\$
31	2007	1st Highest Cost	295,880	12,394	7,334,273	\$76.93	\$3.10	\$22,762,048.40				
32	2007	2nd highest Cost	80,010	12,420	1,987,448	\$76.61	\$3.08	\$6,129,566.10				
33		TOTALS	375,8 <del>9</del> 0	12,400	9,321,722		\$3.10	\$28,891,614.50	\$0.08	\$0.29	\$3.47	32,340,652
34												
35												
36			Cost of	Tons Offere	ed for Purchase	at Cryst	al River Tha	at Could Have R	eplaced Hig	her Price Coal.		
37												Evaluated Cost
38		Replacement				Cash	Cash	Cash	Evaluated	Evaluated		At Crystal River
39	YEAR	Sub-Bituminous	Tons	Btu/lbs	MMBtu's	\$/ton	\$/MMBtu	Cost	Cost/ton	\$/MMBtu		Un-Purchased Coal
40	2007	PT Adaro-Indonesia	150,000	9,300	2,790,000	\$45.02	\$2.42	\$6,753,000.00	\$27.12	\$1.46		4,068,000
41	2007	PT Kideco Jaya Agung	375,386	8,700	6,531,716	\$56.02	\$3.22	\$21,029,123.72	\$40.58	\$2.47		15,233,164
42		TOTALS	525,386	8,871	9,321,716			\$27,782,123.72		\$2.07		19,301,164
43												
44												
45				ADI	DITIONAL COST	in 2007	DUE TO PU	RCHASE OF HIG	HER PRICE	COAL:		13,039,488
46												
47				Α	DDITIONAL COS	ST in 200	6 and 2007	<b>DUE TO PURCH</b>	ASE OF HIG	HER PRICE CO	AL:	27,744,605
48												

Docket No. 070703-EI Calculation of Excess Fuel Costs Revised Exhibit No. \_\_ (DJP-7) Page 3 of 6

#### NOTES

1 Actual tons delivered by water to Crystal River # 4 and # 5 in 2006: See response to OPC's Interrogatories # 4

2 Actual tons delivered by water to Crystal River # 4 and # 5 in 2007: See response to OPC's Interrogatories # 4

- 9 Highest cost supply source delivered to IMT in 2006 per FERC 423 data. See OPC's Request for Documents # 28
- 10 Second highest cost supply source delivered to IMT in 2006 per FERC 423 data. See OPC's Request for Documents # 28
- 11
   2006 totals and averages. Includes "other Transportation Costs", (see OPC's Request for Documents # 28), and Cross Gulf Transportation

   Rates. (See OPC's Request for Documents # 25),
   Calculates Actual Delivered Cost at CR for 2006
- 19 Lowest cost coal bid to PEF on April 2004 RFP. Costs are from the evaluation spread sheet developed by PEF coal group (See OPC's Request for Documents # 1). Bid is for coal to be delivered in 2006.
- 20 Second lowest cost coal bid to PEF on April 2004 RFP. Costs are from the evaluation spread sheet developed by PEF coal group (See OPC's Request for Documents # 1). Bid is for coal to be delivered in 2006.
- 21 Totals for 2006. Tons (537,890 tons) are equal to 20 % of the water tons delivered to Crystal River in 2006. PEF had an open position for 650,000 tons for 2006 and a Price Reopener on a contract when they purchased coal from the April 2004 RFP for 2006. Line calculates the Evaluated cost of un purchased coal had it been purchased and delivered.
- 23 Line makes the comparison of Actually Delivered Coal to CR 4 and 5 with the Evaluated Cost of Un-Purchased coal in accordance with the "Cost Effectiveness Analysis" adopted by the commission in Order 07-0816-FOF-EI. (See page39)
- 31 Highest cost supply source delivered to IMT in 2007 per FERC 423 data. See OPC's Request for Documents # 28
- 32 Second highest cost supply source delivered to IMT in 2007 per FERC 423 data. See OPC's Request for Documents # 28
- 33
   2007 totals and averages. Includes "other Transportation Costs" (see OPC's Request for Documents # 28) and Cross Gulf Transportation

   Rates (See OPC's Request for Documents # 25).
   Calculates Actual Delivered Cost at CR for 2006
- 40 Lowest cost coal bid to PEF on February 2006 RFP. Costs are from the evaluation spread sheet developed by PEF coal group (See OPC's Request for Documents # 1 and # 2). Bid is for coal to be delivered in 2007.
- 41 Second lowest cost coal bid to PEF on February 2006 RFP. Costs are from the evaluation spread sheet developed by PEF coal group (See OPC's Request for Documents # 1 and # 2). Bid is for coal to be delivered in 2007.
- 42 Totals for 2007. Tons (525,386 tons) are equal to 20 % of the water tons delivered to Crystal River in 2007 Line calculates the Evaluated Cost of un purchased coal had it been purchased and delivered.
- 45 Line makes the comparison of Actually Delivered Coal to CR 4 and 5 in 2007 with the Evaluated Cost of Un-Purchased coal in accordance with the "Cost Effectiveness Analysis" adopted by the commission in Order 07-0816-FOF-EI. (See page39)
- 47 The difference in total dollar cost between coal actually bought and delivered to Crystal River in 2006 and 2007 and the total evaluated cost of the same tons of sub-bituminous coal that were bid to PEF, but not purchased.

Blend	12,400	0.8	9,393	0.2	11,799
	12,400	0.8	8,871	0.2	11,694

49 Line

Docket No. 070703-El Calculation of Excess Fuel Costs Revised Exhibit No. \_\_ (DJP-7) Page 4 of 6

### Cost of Tons Actually Purchased and Delivered to Crystal River That Could Have Been Replaced by a Lower Cost Coal. Comparison of actual delivered cost vs. evaluated cost of coal not purchased BTU'S ARE BALANCED WITH AN ADDITIONAL PURCHASE OF A 20 % SUB-BITUMINOUS / 80% BITUMINOUS BLEND

.

Line												
1		2006 Water Tons delivered	d to Crystal Ri	ver # 4 & # 5 =	2,689,454	X 20 % =	537,890	Tons available to be	e blended pric	or to shipment to	the Plant.	
2		2007 Water Tons delivered	d to Crystal Ri	ver # 4 & # 5 ⊑	2,626,932	X 20 % =	525,386	Tons available to be	e blended pric	or to shipment to	the Plant.	
3												
4							YEAR 2006	5				
5				Co	st of Coal Actua	lly Purc	hased and	Delivered To Cry	stal River			
6											Delivered Cost	<b>Delivered</b> Cost
7						Costs	Delivered	at IMT	Other	Gulf Barge	for Purchased	at Crystal River
8		<b>Highest Cost Supplies</b>				Cash	Cash	Delivered	Costs	Transport	Coal	Purchased Coal
9	YEAR	Actually Delivered	Tons	Btu/lbs	MMBtu's	\$/ton	\$/MMBtu	\$	\$/MMBtu	\$/MMBtu	\$/MMBtu	\$
10	2006	1st Highest Cost	186,430	12,402	4,624,210	\$73.28	\$2.95	\$13,661,590				
11	2006	2nd highest Cost	132,490	12,399	3,285,487	\$72.74	\$2.93	\$9,637,323				
12		Additional Purchase	110,782	12,399	2,747,172	\$72.74	\$2.93	\$8,058,283				
13		TOTALS	429,702	12,400	10,656,869		\$2.94	\$31,357,196	\$0.06	\$0.30	\$3.30	\$35,193,668
14												
15												
16			Cost of	Tons Offere	ed for Purchase	at Cryst	al River Th	at Could Have R	eplaced Hig	her Price Coal.		
17												<b>Evaluated Cost</b>
18		Replacement				Cash	Cash	Cash	Evaluated	Evaluated		At Crystal River
19	YEAR	Sub-Bituminous	Tons	Btu/lbs	MMBtu's	\$/ton	\$/MMBtu	Cost	Cost/ton	\$/MMBtu		Un Purchased Coal
20	2006	Kennecott-Cahokia	500,000	9,350	9,350,000	\$34.97	\$1.87	\$17,485,000	\$34.37	\$1.84		\$17,185,000
21	2006	Kennecott-Cahokia	37,890	9,963	754,996	\$39.81	\$2.00	\$1,508,401	\$39.22	\$1.97		\$1,486,046
22		Additional Purchase	27,696	9,963	551,870	\$39.81	\$2.00	\$1,102,578	\$39.22	\$1.97		\$1,086,237
23		TOTALS	565,586	9,421	10,656,867			\$20,095,979		\$1.85		\$19,757,283
24												
25				AD	DITIONAL COST	in 2006	DUE TO P	URCHASE OF HIG	HER PRICE	COAL:		\$15,436,386

Docket No. 070703-EI Calculation of Excess Fuel Costs Revised Exhibit No. \_\_ (DJP-7) Page 5 of 6

26							YEAR 2007					
27				Cos	st of Coal Actua	lly Purcl	hased and D	elivered To Cr	ystal River			
28											Delivered Cost	Delivered Cost
29						Costs	Delivered at	IMT	Other	Gulf Barge	for Purchased	at Crystal River
30		Highest Cost Supplies				Cash	Cash	Delivered	Costs	Transport	Coal	Purchased Coal
31	YEAR	Actually Delivered	Tons	Btu/lbs	MMBtu's	\$/ton	\$/MMBtu	\$	\$/MMBtu	\$/MMBtu	\$/MMBtu	\$
32	2007	1st Highest Cost	271,086	12,394	6,719,680	\$76.93	\$3.10	\$20,854,646				
33	2007	2nd highest Cost	-	12,420	0	\$76.61	\$3.08	\$0				
34		Additional Purchase	126,992	12,420	3,154,481	\$76.61	\$3.08	\$ <del>9</del> ,728,857				
35		TOTALS	398,078	12,402	9,874,161		\$3.10	30,583,503	\$0.08	\$0.29	\$3.47	\$34,236,943
36												
37												
38			Cost of	<b>Tons Offere</b>	d for Purchase	at Cryst	al River Tha	t Could Have R	eplaced Hig	her Price Coal.		
39												<b>Evaluated Cost</b>
40		Replacement				Cash	Cash	Cash	Evaluated	Evaluated		At Crystal River
41	YEAR	Sub-Bituminous	Tons	Btu/lbs	MMBtu's	\$/ton	\$/MMBtu	Cost	Cost/ton	\$/MMBtu		Un-Purchased Coal
42	2007	PT Adaro-Indonesia	150,000	9,300	2,790,000	\$45.02	\$2.42	\$6,753,000	\$27.12	\$1.46		\$4,068,000
43	2007	PT Kideco Jaya Agung	375,386	8,700	6,531,716	\$56.02	\$3.22	\$21,029,124	\$40.58	\$2.47		\$15,233,164
44		Additional Purchase	31,748	8,700	552,415	\$56.02	\$3.22	\$1,778,523	\$40.58	\$2.47		\$1,288,334
45		TOTALS	557,134	8,862	9,874,132			\$29,560,647		\$2.09		\$20,589,498
46												
47												
48				ADI	DITIONAL COST	in 2007	DUE TO PUI	RCHASE OF HIG	HER PRICE	COAL:		\$13,647,445
49												
50				Δ		T in 200	6 and 2007				AL.	\$20,092,920
50				А	DUITIONAL CO:	51 III 200	o anu 2007	DUCTOPURCE	TAJE OF HIG	HER PRICE CU	4L;	323,003,830
ЭT												

Docket No. 070703-El Calculation of Excess Fuel Costs Revised Exhibit No. \_\_ (DJP-7) Page 6 of 6

#### NOTES

- 1 Actual tons delivered by water to Crystal River # 4 and # 5 in 2006: See response to OPC's Interrogatories # 4
- 2 Actual tons delivered by water to Crystal River # 4 and # 5 in 2007: See response to OPC's Interrogatories # 4
- 10 Highest cost supply source delivered to IMT in 2006 per FERC 423 data. See OPC's Request for Documents # 28
- 11 Second highest cost supply source delivered to IMT in 2006 per FERC 423 data. See OPC's Request for Documents # 28
- 12 Additional purchases of Bituminous coal to be part of a blend to Balance Btu's of Bituminous coal replaced with lower cost Sub-bituminous coal
- 13
   2006 totals and averages. Includes "other Transportation Costs", (see OPC's Request for Documents # 28), and Cross Gulf Transportation

   Rates. (See OPC's Request for Documents # 25),
   Calculates Actual Delivered Cost at CR for 2006
- 20 Lowest cost coal bid to PEF on April 2004 RFP. Costs are from the evaluation spread sheet developed by PEF coal group (See OPC's Request for Documents # 1). Bid is for coal to be delivered in 2006.
- 21 Second lowest cost coal bid to PEF on April 2004 RFP. Costs are from the evaluation spread sheet developed by PEF coal group (See OPC's Request for Documents # 1). Bid is for coal to be delivered in 2006.
- 22 Additional purchases of Sub-Bituminous coal to be part of a blend to Balance Btu's of Bituninous coal replaced with lower cost Sub-bituminous coal
- 23 Totals for 2006. Tons (537,890 tons) are equal to 20 % of the water tons delivered to Crystal River in 2006. PEF had an open position for 650,000 tons for 2006 and a Price Reopener on a contract when they purchased coal from the April 2004 RFP for 2006. Line calculates the Evaluated cost of un purchased coal had it been purchased and delivered.
- 25 Line makes the comparison of Actually Delivered Coal to CR 4 and 5 with the Evaluated Cost of Un-Purchased coal in accordance with the "Cost Effectiveness Analysis" adopted by the commission in Order 07-0816-FOF-EI. (See page 39)
- 32 Highest cost supply source delivered to IMT in 2007 per FERC 423 data. See OPC's Request for Documents # 28
- 33 Second highest cost supply source delivered to IMT in 2007 per FERC 423 data. See OPC's Request for Documents # 28
- 34 Additional purchases of Bituminous coal to be part of a blend to Balance Btu's of Bituminous coal replaced with lower cost Sub-bituminous coal
- 35
   2007 totals and averages. Includes "other Transportation Costs" (see OPC's Request for Documents # 28) and Cross Gulf Transportation

   Rates (See OPC's Request for Documents # 25).
   Calculates Actual Delivered Cost at CR for 2006
- 42 Lowest cost coal bid to PEF on February 2006 RFP. Costs are from the evaluation spread sheet developed by PEF coal group (See OPC's Request for Documents # 1 and # 2). Bid is for coal to be delivered in 2007.
- 43 Second lowest cost coal bid to PEF on February 2006 RFP. Costs are from the evaluation spread sheet developed by PEF coal group (See OPC's Request for Documents # 1 and # 2). Bid is for coal to be delivered in 2007.
- 44 Additional purchases of Sub-Bituminous coal to be part of a blend to Balance Btu's of Bituninous coal replaced with lower cost Sub-bituminous coal
- 45 Totals for 2007. Tons (525,386 tons) are equal to 20 % of the water tons delivered to Crystal River in 2007 Line calculates the Evaluated Cost of un purchased coal had it been purchased and delivered.
- 48 Line makes the comparison of Actually Delivered Coal to CR 4 and 5 in 2007 with the Evaluated Cost of Un-Purchased coal in accordance with the "Cost Effectiveness Analysis" adopted by the commission in Order 07-0816-FOF-EI. (See page39)
- 50 The difference in total dollar cost between coal actually bought and delivered to Crystal River in 2006 and 2007 and the total evaluated cost of the same tons of sub-bituminous coal that were bid to PEF, but not purchased.

Blend	12,400	0.8	9,393	0.2	11,799
	12,400	0.8	8,871	0.2	11,694

Line

Docket No. 070703-EI Excess Costs of Emissions Allowances 2006-2007 Revised Exhibit No.\_\_\_(DJP-11) Page 1 of 2

# Excess 2006-2007 Costs Related to SO2 allowances at CR 4 and CR5 BTU's ARE BALANCED WITH PURCHASE OF ADDITIONAL BITUMINOUS COAL

					YEAR 2006			
	Highest Cost Supplies			Total			Allowance Cost	Total Allowance
	Actually Delivered	Tons	Btu/Lb	MMBtu	Lbs SO2/MMBtu	Tons SO2	\$/Ton SO2	Cost in \$
	1st Highest Cost	186,430	12,402	4,624,210	1.04	2,404.59	\$977.00	\$2,349,284
	2nd highest Cost	221,017	12,399	5,480,780	1.09	2,987.02	\$977.00	\$2,918,323
	TOTALS	407,447	12,400	10,104,989		5,391.61		\$5,267,607
Year								
2006	Bids with lowest							
2006	Evaluated Cost			Total			Allowance Cost	Total Allowance
	Not Purchased	Tons	Btu/Lb	MMBtu	Lbs SO2/MMBtu	Tons SO2	\$/Ton SO2	Cost in \$
	Kennecott-Cahokia	500,000	9,350	9,350,000	0.80	3,740.00	\$977.00	\$3,653,980
	Kennecott-Cahokia	37,890	9,963	754,996	1.18	445.45	\$977.00	\$435,202
	TOTALS	537,890	9,393	10,104,996		4,185.45		\$4,089,182
	I	Excess 200	)6 Costs F	Related to S	O2 allowances	at CR 4 and	CR5	\$1,178,424
2006								
2006					YEAR 2007			
	Highest Cost Supplies			Total			Allowance Cost	Total Allowance
	Actually Delivered	Tons	Btu/Lb	MMBtu	Lbs SO2/MMBtu	Tons SO2	\$/Ton SO2	Cost in \$
	lst Highest Cost	295,880	12,394	7,334,273	1.13	4,143.86	\$1,091.00	\$4,520,956
	2nd highest cost	80,010	12,420	1,987,448	1.12	1,112.97	\$1,091.00	\$1,214,251
	TOTALS	375,890	12,400	9,321,722		5,256.84		\$5,735,208
Year								
2007	<b>Bids with lowest</b>							
	<b>Evaluated Cost</b>			Total			Allowance Cost	Total Allowance
	Not Purchased	Tons	Btu/Lb	MMBtu	Lbs SO2/MMBtu	Tons SO2	\$/Ton SO2	Cost in \$
	PT Adaro-Indonesia	150,000	9,300	2,790,000	0.10	139.50	\$1,091.00	\$152,195
	PT Kideco Jaya Agur	375,386	8,700	6,531,716	0.15	489.88	\$1,091.00	\$534,458
	TOTALS	525,386	8,871	9,321,716		629.38		\$686,652
	1	Excess 200	7 Costs F	Related to S	O2 allowances	at CR 4 and	CR5	\$5,048,555
2007								
2007	1	Excess 200	6-2007 C	osts Relate	d to SO2 allowa	ances at CR	4 and CR5	\$6,226,980

Docket No. 070703-EI Excess Costs of Emissions Allowances 2006-2007 Revised Exhibit No.\_\_\_(DJP-11)

## Excess 2006-2007 Costs Related to SO2 allowances at CR 4 and CR5

**Bids with lowest** 

#### Page 2 of 2

\$5,337,520

## BTU'S ARE BALANCED WITH AN ADDITIONAL PURCHASE OF A 20 % SUB-BITUMINOUS / 80% BITUMINOUS BLEND

	YEAR 2006											
	<b>Highest Cost Supplies</b>			Total			Allowance Cost	Total Allowance				
	Actually Delivered	Tons	Btu/Lb	MMBtu	Lbs SO2/MMBtu	Tons SO2	\$/Ton SO2	Cost in \$				
Year	1st Highest Cost	186,430	12,402	4,624,210	1.04	2,404.59	\$977.00	\$2,349,284				
2006	2nd highest Cost	132,490	12,399	3,285,487	1.09	1,790.59	\$977.00	\$1,749,407				
2006	Additional Purchase	110,782	12,399	2,747,172	1.09	1,497.21	\$977.00	\$1,462,773				
	TOTALS	429,702	12,400	10,656,869		5,692.39		\$5,561,463				

	<b>Evaluated Cost</b>			Total			Allowance Cost	Total Allowance
	Not Purchased	Tons	Btu/Lb	MMBtu	Lbs SO2/MMBtu	Tons SO2	\$/Ton SO2	Cost in \$
	Kennecott-Cahokia	500,000	9,350	9,350,000	0.80	3,740.00	\$977.00	\$3,653,980
2006	Kennecott-Cahokia	37,890	9,963	754,996	1.18	445.45	\$977.00	\$435,202
2006	Additional Purchase	27,696	9,963	551,870	1.18	325.60	\$977.00	\$318,115
	TOTALS	565,586	9,421	10,656,867		4,511.05		\$4,407,297

## Excess 2006 Costs Related to SO2 allowances at CR 4 and CR5 \$1,154,166

#### YEAR 2007

	Highest Cost Supplies			Total			Allowance Cost	Total Aliowance
	Actually Delivered	Tons	Btu/Lb	MMBtu	Lbs SO2/MMBtu	Tons SO2	\$/Ton SO2	Cost in \$
Year	lst Highest Cost	271,086	12,394	6,719,680	1.13	3,796.62	\$1,091.00	\$4,142,111
2007	2nd highest cost	-	12,420	0	1.12	0.00	\$1,091.00	\$0
2007	Additional Purchase	126,992	12,420	3,154,481	1.12	1,766.51	\$1,091.00	\$1,927,262
	TOTALS	398,078	12,402	9,874,161		5,563.13		\$6,069,373

	Bids with lowest							
	Evaluated Cost			Total			Allowance Cost	<b>Total Allowance</b>
	Not Purchased	Tons	Btu/Lb	MMBtu	Lbs SO2/MMBtu	Tons SO2	\$/Ton SO2	Cost in \$
	PT Adaro-Indonesia	150,000	9,300	2,790,000	0.10	139.50	\$1,091.00	\$152,195
2007	PT Kideco Jaya Agung	375,386	8,700	6,531,716	0.15	489.88	\$1,091.00	\$534,458
2007	Additional Purchase	31,748	8,700	552,415	0.15	41.43	\$1,091.00	\$45,201
	TOTALS	557,134	8,862	9,874,132		670.81		\$731,854

Excess 2007 Costs Related to SO2 allowances at CR 4 and CR5

Excess 2006-2007 Costs Related to SO2 allowances at CR 4 and CR5 \$6,491,686

Docket No. 070703-El Calculation of Total Overcharges 2006-2007 Revised Exhibit No.\_\_\_(DJP-13) Page 1 of 1

# Summary of Excess 2006 and 2007 Coal and SO2 Costs and Requested Refund (Exclusive of Interest Adjustment)

## **BTU'S ARE BALANCED WITH PURCHASE OF ADDITIONAL BITUMINOUS COAL**

	Excess Coal	Excess	Total
	Costs	SO2 Costs	Refund Request
2006	\$14,705,117.00	\$1,178,424.00	\$15,883,541.00
2007	\$13,039,488.00	\$5,048,555.00	\$18,088,043.00
Total	\$27,744,605.00	\$6,226,979.00	\$33,971,584.00

## BTU'S ARE BALANCED WITH AN ADDITIONAL PURCHASE OF A 20 % SUB-BITUMINOUS / 80% BITUMINOUS BLEND

	Excess Coal	Excess	Total
	Costs	SO2 Costs	<b>Refund Request</b>
2006	\$15,436,386.00	\$1,154,166.00	\$16,590,552.00
2007	\$13,647,445.00	\$5,337,520.00	\$18,984,965.00
Total	\$29,083,831.00	\$6,491,686.00	\$35,575,517.00