3597 APR 178

| 1 | | BEFORE THE |
|----|------------------|--|
| 2 | F FORID. | A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |
| 3 | | DOCKET NO. 080649-EI |
| 4 | In the Matter of | : |
| 5 | 21 | EDGING BY PROGRESS |
| 6 | ENERGY FLORIDA, | INC. / |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | THE SEE STATE OF THE SECOND SE |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | PROCEEDINGS: | ACENDA COMBEDENCE |
| | PROCEEDINGS: | AGENDA CONFERENCE ITEM NO. 14 |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | BEFORE: | CHAIRMAN MATTHEW M. CARTER, II COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR |
| 17 | | COMMISSIONER KATRINA J. McMURRIAN COMMISSIONER NANCY ARGENZIANO |
| 18 | | COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP |
| 19 | DATE: | Tuesday, April 7, 2009 |
| 20 | PLACE: | Betty Easley Conference Center |
| 21 | · | Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee Florida |
| 22 | | JANE FAUROT, RPR |
| 23 | REPORTED BY: | JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Reporter |
| 24 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 25 | | (850) 413-6732 |

PROCEEDINGS

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CARTER: That puts us, Commissioners and staff, on Item 14. Give staff an opportunity to get settled in. We will be on Item 14.

> Let's go off the record for a moment. (Off the record.)

CHAIRMAN CARTER: We are back on the record. And when we last stopped we were in the process of recognizing staff to introduce Item 14.

Staff, you're recognized.

MR. LESTER: Good afternoon, Commissioners. I'm Pete Lester with staff.

Item 14 is Progress Energy Florida's petition seeking approval to include hedging of coal transportation fuel surcharges in its hedging activities, and this involves diesel fuel costs associated with rail and barge transportation of coal. Staff is recommending that the Commission approve Progress's petition, and staff is prepared to answer your questions.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Skop, you're recognized.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just a quick question of staff and then a general comment. On Page 4 of staff recommendation,

the first full paragraph states that Progress does not intend to hedge the price of transportation fuel longer than 24 months. Would that be the hedging cycle, or will that just be hedging for just 24 months, or would it be a 24-month rolling basis?

MR. LESTER: It would be a rolling basis. They wouldn't go out further than 24 months at any particular time.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you for that clarification.

Mr. Chairman, at least from my perspective, I'm in favor of the staff recommendation. I think that the hedging guidelines and plans that have been approved by the Commission benefit ratepayers by mitigating fuel price volatility while providing the regulatory certainty for the utilities that engage in those hedging practices.

I think that the Progress petition here before us today to hedge the transportation-related fuel cost is another innovative way to further mitigate that fuel price volatility that consumers have seen to date, and on top of that, you know, our natural gas prices are hovering around historical lows. And I know that in line with the hedging guidelines and practices and approved plans, the

utilities are looking forward to hedge their fuel requirements for 2010 as we move later into this year; and, again, I'm in full support of the staff recommendation on this issue.

But I would also entertain if utilities, whether it be Progress or other IOUs in Florida, have ways or things that they wish the Commission to consider with respect to being able to further mitigate fuel price volatility or save consumers money in these times where, you know, the gas prices are at historical lows, then I would certainly be willing to entertain any proposals that the companies may submit in that regard.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.

Commissioner Argenziano.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Mr. Chair, I guess staff may be able to help me on this. Hedging works both ways. It can save money and it can also cost money, is that correct?

MR. LESTER: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: So if the cost of fuel drops and you're tied into a 24-month contract or hedging bet, then you're stuck at a higher price.

MR. LESTER: That can work that way, yes, ma'am.

. -

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: But by keeping it to a 24-month rather than longer kind of makes it a little less subject to the volatility of a change in the fuel prices, or is that not correct? I mean, it could change at any time I imagine, but I guess the 24-month was picked because of a reason?

MR. LESTER: That's probably the most foreseeable workable period for like diesel fuel prices or something. I mean, beyond that there may not be a lot of hedging instruments that would be available the further out you go. So within 24 months there is a lot of trading activity that would allow a company to hedge.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: My concern, and as Commissioner Skop said, there are savings, but there is also the downside to that, too. Because if you bought it at a high cost and the fuel as we don't know -- as we have seen in the past, right now it has been a little steady, but I guarantee you that is going to change soon. And I'm just concerned that if you had that big drop like from the -- compared to our gas in our cars, the \$4 a gallon to the \$2 a gallon, then you're stuck with that for 24 months, if you just happen to pick the wrong time to hedge, right?

MR. LESTER: Not quite, because I think they are saying up to 24 months. They may go out that far.

They may hedge within --

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: It could be less.

MR. LESTER: It could be less, yes, ma'am.

MR. HINTON: Yes, Commissioner. If you do -if you do lock in a long-term contract for 24 months at
a certain price, if the price falls below that, then it
will recorded as a loss going forward for that
particular contract until the rates go back up. But
that's also why they don't hedge 100 percent of any
particular fuel, they keep it to a certain measure.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: But it is better to just have up to 24 months than longer, right?

MR. LESTER: I believe so, yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Skop.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And I think Commissioner Argenziano raised an excellent point with respect to that discussion. You know, at least my observation is as Mr. Hinton pointed out, you know, not hedging 100 percent of your requirements provides some flexibility to take advantage of the, you know, upward or downward movements of fuel as well as not engaging in a long-term contract. Because, again, if you lock in at a high price, then you're at the peril of that

high price if fuel declines as Commissioner Argenziano mentioned.

I think the situation that we have today is a rolling reversal, and we have experienced that drop, and certainly there are probably going to be some hedging losses associated with that, but on a forward-going basis for 2010, I mean, fuel is about as low at least on natural gas, as I have seen it in the last decade. I don't really expect it to go much lower because historical norms would not support that.

And I know that hedging is not used as a speculative tool to try to lock in prices, but if prices are at a low, again, I think that gets to the point that I tried to make is that if there are plans that would need or could be modified to accommodate, you know, capturing savings or what have you, again, those are things that I think the Commission would entertain in terms of capturing this historical low fuel price at the current time to get your requirements for the coming year. But, again, I don't want to speak for the Commission. To me I know what I would do. So, thank you.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.

Commissioners, anything further? Any

| Commissioner Skop, you're recognized for a | |
|--|--|
| motion. | |
| COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. | |
| I would approve staff recommendation as to | |
| Issues 1 and 2. | |
| COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: Second. | |
| CHAIRMAN CARTER: It has been moved and | |
| properly seconded. Is there any further questions or | |
| any debate? The issue before us is to adopt staff's | |
| recommendation. Any further question, any further | |
| debate? | |
| Hearing none. All in favor, let it be | |
| known by the sign of aye. | |
| (Simultaneous aye.) | |
| CHAIRMAN CARTER: All those opposed, like | |
| sign. Show it done. | |
| * * * * * * | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | Al . | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | STATE OF FLORIDA) | |
| 2 | : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER | |
| 3 | COUNTY OF LEON) | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, dependent of the certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated. | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been | |
| 8 | transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, no am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | DATED THIS 17th day of April, 2009. | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | JANE FAUROT, RPR | |
| 16 | Official FPSC Hearings Reporter (850) 413-6732 | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |

25